BEFORE THE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICE TRIBUNAL PESHAWAR

Service Appeal No. 1510/2022

BEFORE:	MISS FAREEHA	PAUL	N	IEMBER (E)	
	n S/O Zarwali Jan l ct Bannu				
		Versus			
 Deputy Commissionr, District Bannu. Commissioner, Bannu Division, Bannu. District Police Officer, District Bannu. Inspector General of Police, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar.					
Mr. Imran l Λdvocate	Khan	•••	For ap	pellant	
Mr. Muhan District Att			For resp	oondents.	

Date of Institution	05.10.2023
Date of Hearing	24.07.2023
Date of Decision	24.07.2023

JUDGEMENT

FAREEHA PAUL, MEMBER (E): Instant appeal has been filed under Section 4 of the Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Service Tribunal Λct, 1974, against the order dated 09.09.2014, whereby the appellant was terminated from service with the prayer that on acceptance of the appeal, the impugned order might be set aside and the appellant might be reinstated into service.

- 2. Preliminary arguments heard and the case file alongwith connected documents perused in detail.
- 3. From the arguments and record presented before this bench, it was found that the appellant was appointed as Sepoy in Federal Levy

The w

Force, FR Bannu on regular basis in BPS- 05 on 18.04.2011. He was terminated from service by the Deputy Commissioner, Bann/Commandant, Levy Force, Bannu on 09.09.2014 on the grounds of absence from duty. Feeling aggrieved, the appellant filed departmental appeal before the Secretary Law & Order, FΛΤΛ, FΛΤΛ Secretariat, Peshawar on 09.10.2015. When no action was taken on the departmental appeal, he filed the instant Service Λppeal alongwith application for condonation of delay on 05.10.2022. The grounds taken in the application for condonation of delay are not convincing, therefore, are not considered.

In the matter under reference, unexplained time lapses have been noted between the issuance of the impugned order dated 09.09.2014 and filing of departmental appeal on 09.10.2015 and then service appeal on 05.10.2022. The appellant could not sleep over his right to challenge the impugned order at the appropriate time, as was required under the law and rules. Reliance is placed on 2023 SCMR 291 titled "Chief Engineer, Gujranwala Electric Power Company (GEPCO), Gujranwala Vs. Khalid Mehmood and others", according to which such a plea would be acceptable in cases where the appellant has vigilantly pursued the case, whereas in this matter there is no such effort made by the appellant. Besides in the service appeal and application for condonation of delay in hand, the appellant failed to explain the reasons of delay in filing the service appeal after he filed the departmental appeal, which was also delayed by almost six years and nine months despite the fact that its statutory waiting period of 90 days completed on 7th of January, 2016.

- 5. The upshot of the above discussion is that the appeal is barred by time and is accordingly dismissed in limine. Consign.
- 6 Pronounced in open Court at Peshawar and given under my hand and the seal of the Tribunal this 24th day of July, 2023.

rceha Paul) Member(E)

Fazle Subhan, P.S