


26<sup>th</sup> April, 2023

1. Counsel for the appellant present. Mr. Fazal Shah Mohmand; Addl. Advocate General for the respondents present.

2. Representative was directed to produce copies of the minutes of meeting of DPC, seniority list and working paper before making promotion. The departmental representative instead produced only copies of minutes of meeting of DPC and seniority list but has not produced working paper. Although we have heard the arguments but let the learned counsel for the appellant be confronted with these documents, therefore, we would like to provide another opportunity of hearing to the parties and for the purpose we adjourn this appeal to 23.06.2023 before any bench. In the meantime, the respondents shall produce copy of working paper positively. P.P given to the parties.

SCANNED  
KPSCT  
Peshi

  
(Fareeha Paul)  
Member (E)


  
(Kalim Arshad Khan)  
Chairman


"Kaleem Ullah"

23.06.2023

Junior of learned counsel for the appellant present. Mr. Muhammad Jan, District Attorney for the respondents present.

Junior of learned counsel for the appellant requested for adjournment on the ground that learned senior counsel for the appellant is not available today due to some domestic engagements. Adjourned. To come up for arguments on 20.07.2023 before the D.B. Parcha Peshi given to the parties.

  
(Fareeha Paul)  
Member (E)

  
(Salah ud-Din)  
Member (J)

\*Naeem Amin\*

223


Due to public holiday on account of 1st Moharram ul Haram. To come up for the same on 21/8/23


*On Record*

A #.159/2018

21<sup>st</sup> Aug, 2023

1. Learned counsel for the appellant and Mr. Muhammad Jan, District Attorney alongwith Mr. Bahraman Assistant Director for the respondents present.
2. Learned counsel for the appellant contended that in the tentative seniority list of 2013, the appellant was ranked at Serial No.46 i.e. above the private respondents, who have been promoted vide Notification dated 31.08.2015. The learned counsel further claims that he was senior to the private respondents and was ignored from promotion. On the other hand, learned District Attorney contended that after issuance of tentative seniority list in the year 2013, the final seniority list was issued on 25.05.2015, wherein, the appellant was shown at Serial No.52 and the private respondents were shown above the appellant, that was why, he was not considered for promotion. The learned counsel for the appellant submitted that even a person at Serial No.98 of the final seniority list of 2015 named Muhammad Ghulam, who was junior to the appellant, was promoted. Learned counsel for the appellant says that he is not in possession of the seniority list and seeks some time to go through the same. Respondents are directed to handover copy of seniority list of 2015 to the learned counsel for the appellant, within two days. This case pertains to Camp Court, Swat, therefore, it be fixed for 06.09.2023 for arguments before D.B at Camp Court, Swat. P.P given to the parties.

  
(Salah-Ud-Din)  
Member (J)

  
(Kalim Arshad Khan)  
Chairman