Form- A

FORM OF ORDER SHEET

Implementation Petition No. 582/2023

S.No.	Date of order proceedings	Order or other proceedings with signature of judge
1	2	3
1.	16.08.2023	The implementation petition of Mr. Muhammad Ishtiaq is submitted today by Mr. Muhammad Arshad
		Khan Tanoli Advocate. It is fixed for implementation
		report before Single Bench at Abbottabad on
		. Original file be requisitioned. AAG has
		noted the next date.
	·	By the order of Chairman
		A my.
		REGISTRAR
	· ,	
	•	
·		
ļ		
	·	
-		

BEFORE THE HONOURABLE SERVICE TRIBUNAL KHYBER

Execution Petition # 582/2023

Service Appeal No. 574/2019

Muhammad Ishtiaq PST GPS Takhar Maira Circle Phulra District Mansehra.
...APPELLANT

VERSUS

DEO Male District Mansehra & others.

...RESPONDENTS

APPLICATION FOR IMPLEMENTATION

INDEX

S. #	Description	Page #	Annexures
1	Application alongwith affidavit	1 to 4	1 I I
2.	Copy of service appeal	5-11	"A"
3.	Copy of judgment dated 18.03.2021	12-17	3

Through

M. string &

...APPELLANT

Dated: _____/2022

(Muhammad Arshad Khan Tanoli)
Advocate Supreme Court of Pakistan

at Abbottabad

BEFORE THE HONOURABLE SERVICE TRIBUNAL KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA PESHAWAP

Execution Petition # 582/2023

Service Appeal No. 572/2019

Muhammad Ishtiaq PST GPS Takhar Maira Circle Phulra District Mansehra.
...APPELLANT

VERSUS

- 1. Government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa through Secretary Elementary and Secondary Education, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar.
- 2. Director, Elementary & Secondary Education (E&SE), Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar.
- 3. District Education Officer (Male) Mansehra.

...RESPONDENTS

SERVICE APPEAL

IMPLEMENTATION PETITION FOR **IMPLEMENTATION** OF **JUDGMENT** DATED 08/03/2021 IN SERVICE APPEAL NO.574/2019. THE HONOURABLE TRIBUNAL WHEREIN THE APPELLANT WAS ALLOWED COUNTING OF HIS PREVIOUS SERVICE FROM THE DATE **PROMULGATION** OF · THE KP SACKED EMPLOYEES (APPOINTMENT) ACT 2012 ONLY FOR PAYMENT OF PENSIONARY PLAINTIFFS, BUT RESPONDENT DID NOT IMPLEMENT THE JUDGMENT 08.03.2021 OF THE HONOURABLE TRIBUNAL.

Respectfully Sheweth:-

- 1. That the applicant/appellant fled a service appeal No.574/2019 before this Honourable Tribunal regarding the counting of service towards the payment of pensionary benefits from the date of promulgation of the KP sacked Employees (Appointment) Act 2012. Copy of service appeal No.574/2019 is attached as Annexure "A".
- 2. That this Honourable tribunal allowed the service appeal of the applicant/appellant and directed the respondents to count his service for payment of pensionary benefits from the date of promulgation of KP sacked Employees (Appointment) Act 2012 vide judgment dated 18/03/2021. Copy of judgment dated 18/03/2021 is attached as Annexure "B".
- 3. That, the applicant/appellant provided judgment dated 18/03/2021 of this Honourable Tribunal to the respondent, but the said respondent did not count service of the appellant as directed by the Honourable Tribunal so far.

That willfull non-implementation of the judgment 4. of this Honourable Tribunal amounts to the contempt of court.

In view of above, it is prayed that respondents may be directed to count service of the petitioner from the date of promulgation of KP sacked Employees (Appointment) Act 2012 forthwith failing which contempt of court proceedings may be initiated against the respondents.

M. shing

...APPELLANT

Through

Dated: ____/2023

Advocate Supreme-Court of Pakistan

at Abbottabad

BEFORE THE HONOURABLE SERVICE TRIBUNAL KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA PESHAWAR

C.M No	/202 3
IN	
Service Appeal N	lo. 574/2019

Muhammad Ishtiaq PST GPS Takhar Maira Circle Phulra District Mansehra.
...APPELLANT

VERSUS

DEO Male District Mansehra & others.

...RESPONDENTS

APPLICATION FOR IMPLEMENTATION

AFFIDAVIT

I, Muhammad Ishtiaq PST GPS Takhar Maira Circle Phulra District Mansehra, do hereby solemnly affirm and declare that the contents of foregoing application are true and correct to the best of my knowledge and belief and nothing has been concealed therein from this Honourable Tribunal.

DEPONENT

THE SERVICE TRIBUNAL, KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA, PESHAWAR

Annex-A

Service Appeal No.

2018

Ishtiaq Ahmed S/o Said ur Rehman SSTG R/o Village and Post Office Sherwan Presently Govt. Middle School Marri Tehsil Havelian District Abbottabad.

...APPELLANT

VERSUS

- 1. Govt. of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, through Secretary Elementary & Secondary Education, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar.
- 2. Director Elementary & Secondary Education, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar
- 3. District Education Officer (Male) Abbottabad.
- 4. District Account Officer District Abbottabad.

... RESPONDENTS

are Suprems Country Tarion
The #33 Linnah Plaza Adjacent to
Malette Bar Abbottabad

SERVICE APPEAL UNDER ARTICLE 4 OF KPK SERVICE TRIBUNAL ACT, 1974, FOR DECLARATION TO THE EFFECT THAT THE

APPELLANT GOT APPOINTMENT AS UNTRAINED PTC (PST) ON 28/10/1987 AND REMAINED UNTRAINED TILL 12/03/1993. AS GOVT. OF KPK FINANCE DEPARTMENT REGULATION WING LETTER DATED 20/10/2009, WHEREIN, BENEFITS OF ANNUAL INCREMENTS OF UNTRAINED PERIOD TILL THE COMPLETION OF REQUISITE TRAINING HAS BEEN ALLOWED WITH EFFECT FROM 30/02/2009 WITHOUT ARREAS. RESPONDENT DEPARTMENT DID NOT ALLOW INCREMENTS OF UNTRAINED PERIOD W.E.F. 28/12/1987 TO 12/03/1993, WHICH DISCRIMINATORY, AGAINST THE LAW AND NOTIFICATION DATED 30/10/2009. '

GRACIOUSLY BE DIRECTED TO ALLOW ANNUAL INCREMENT OF UNITAINED PERIOD WITH EFFECT FROM 28/12/1987 TO 12/03/1993 IN THE

SERVICE

LIGHT OF FINANCE DEPARTMENT

PRAYER: ON ACCEPTANCE OF THE INSTANT

APPEAL RESPONDENTS

MAY

REPUBLICATION WING LETTER NO. FD (PRC)5-2/2002 DATED 30/10/2009 ALONGWITH ARREARS

ACCORDING TO THE LETTER. ANY OTHER

RELIEF WHICH THIS HONOURABLE COURT MAY

Attestad

ice # 33 Jimnah Haza Adjacent to Distr 2 at Abbottaban

P-7

P

DEEM APPROPRIATE IN THE CIRCUMSTANCES OF THE CASE ALSO BE GRANTED.

Respectfully Sheweth:-

The facts forming the background of instant service appeal of the appellant are arrayed as under:-

- 1. That the appellant got appointment as untrained PTC (PST) vide appointment order dated 27/12/1987. Copy of appointment order of appellant is attached as annexure "A".
- 2. That the appellant served as untrained teacher w.e.f. 28/12/1987 to 12/03/1993 and thereafter the appellant got appointment as Certified Teacher (CT) on 13/03/1993 and got charge of the post on 13/03/1993. Copy of appointment of the appellant as CT Teacher dated 03/03/1993 is attached as Annexure "B".

Attestal

Attention

A

Distr Par Aubottabad

3. That the appellant served the department with complete devotion and to the entire satisfaction of the superiors. The entire period of service of the

4 P- 8

appellant is unblemished and blotless. But the respondent department did not allow annual increments of untrained period of service w.e.f. 12/03/1987 to 12/03/1993. Copy of service book of the petitioner is attached as Annexure "C".

- That Govt. of KPK Finance Department Regulation Wing allowed the benefits of annual increments to the untrained teacher w.e.f the date of their appointment as such but without arrears vide letter No. FD (PRC)5-2/2002 dated 30/10/2003. Copy of letter of Finance Department Regulations Wing dated 30/10/2009 is attached as Annexure "D".
 - That the respondent department did not allow the benefits of annual increments of untrained period to the appellant with malafide intentions and the appellant started shuttling in the respondent office for redressal of his grievances but no avail.

 Ultimately, the appellant filed departmental appeal to respondent No. 2 to do the needful on 08/02/2018 but the respondent did not bother to reply to the appellant. Copy of departmental appeal dated 08/02/2018 is attached as Annexure "E"

_.Altestal

5.

anemaa Arshan Khan Tano Jake Supreme Court of Pakisia ce 433 Jinnah Piaza Adjacent tr Distre Bar Abbottábad

GROUNDS:-

- department but the said increment is bounty of the department but the said increments have been allowed by the grant vide letter dated 30/10/2009 respondent department are duly bound to arrange to allow annual increment of untrained period of PST Service 28/12/1187 to 12/02/1993 and arrears thereof may also be granted to the appellate as per notification of the Govt. dated 30/10/2009.
- b. It is very sorrow state of the affairs that Govt. functionaries/institutions are not abiding by the law and rightful dues are not granted to the aggrieved Employees. In this regard, superior courts time and again issue judgments regarding following the law in letter and spirit but, the purpose has not and so far been achieved.

Attested

tamble Khan Tanol sie Supreme Court of Paristantic ice # 33 Jinnah Plaza Adjacent to Distribar Abbottabao

- c. That when law authorizes the appellant to have annual increments for his untrained period of service and if the said benefit is not allowed by the respondent department which amounts to misconduct and they are amenable to disciplinary proceedings.
- d. That respondent department has lead to the place which is utterly unknown to the principle of jurisprudence natural justice and rules in vogue.
- e. That the matter relates to the terms and conditions of the appellant, Therefore the same is maintainable before his Honourable Tribunal under Article 212 of the Constitution.

Most

f. That the service appeal of the appellant is within the period of limitation.

Life Supreme Court of Parisher ice # 33 Jinnah Plaza Adjacent to Distr. Bar Abbottabas

It is therefore, humbly prayed that on acceptance of the instant service appeal respondents may graciously be directed to allow annual increment of untrained period with of from 28/12/1987 to 12/03/1993 in the light of

Finance Department Regulation Wing letter No. FD (PRC) 05/02/2002 dated 30/10/2009 alongwith arrears according to the letter. Any other relief which this Honourable Court may deem appropriate in the circumstances of the case may also be granted.

APPELLANT

Through

Dated: /2018

Advocate High Court Abbottabad

VERIFICATION:-

Verified on oath that the contents of foregoing appeal are true and correct to the best of my knowledge and belief and nothing has been concealed therein from this Honourable Court.

Attested

ce # 33 Jinnah Plaza Adjacent to Jistr Bar Abbottabad

Ahnex- B

BEFORE THE SERVICE TRIBUNAL KHYBIR PAKHTUNKHUWA, PESHAWAR

Service Appeal No 72 /2019

Muhammad Haroon son of Khalil ur Relman, GPS Phulra District Mansehra.

MAPPELLANT

VERSUS

Binry No. 641

- Government of KPK through Secretary Elementary and Secondary Education, Peshawar.
- 2. Director Elementary& Secondary Education Khyber Pakhtunkhwa
- 3. District Education Officer (Male) District Mansehra.

... RESPONDENTS

10 1/2 dien-15 mg

SERVICE APPEAL UNDER SECTION 4 OF SERVICE TRIBUNAL ACT 1974 FOR DECLARATION TO THE EFFECT THAT THE APPELLANT WAS REINSTATED IN SERVICE WITH EFFECT FROM 04/12/2017 VIDE APPOINTMENT ORDER ENDST NO. 20672-702 DATED 04/12/2017 UNDER THE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SACKED EMPLOYEES APPOINTMENT ACT 2012; AS WELL AS IN THE LIGHT OF JUDGEMENT OF PESHAWAR HIGH

ht county we had been alway as he at the former with the state of the

ATTESTED

The State of the S

and Suppose Control Panion

Fice # 23 2 main flaza Adjacent to Distr. W. Donabad

isomethic section and the

M

EFORE THE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICE TRIBUNAL PESHAWAR

Service Appeal No. 572/2019

Date of Institution Date of Decision

22.04.2019 18.03.2021



Muhammad Haroon son of Khalil ur Rehman, G.P.S. Phulra District Mansehra.

(Appellant)

VERSUS

Government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa through Secretary Elementary & Secondary Education Peshawar and two others.

(Respondents)

Muhammad Arshad Khan Tanoli,

Advocate

For appellant,

Riaz Khan Paindakheil,

Assistant Advocate General

For respondents.

ROZINA REHMAN

MEMBER (J)

ATIQ ÜR REHMAN WAZIR

MEMBER (E)

<u>JUDGMENT</u>

ROZINA REHMAN, MEMBER: This judgment is intended to dispose of

04 connected service appeals which are:

1. Service Appeal No.572/2019

2. Service Appeal No. 573/2019

Service Appeal No. 574/2019

4. Service Appeal No. 575/2019

rasted

anah rigge Adjacent to istr Sa Aubottabad

In view of common questions of law and facts, the above captioned appeals are being disposed of by this order.

- 2. The relevant facts leading to filing of Instant appeals are that appellants were appointed as C.Ts in the year 1993-94 and were terminated from service in the year 1997-98. After the announcement of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Sacked Employees (Appointment) Act, 2012, they were required to be reinstated in service but the appellants were not appointed accordingly, therefore, they filed Writ Petition before the Hon'ble High Court for their appointment under the said Act and it was during the pendency of the Writ Petition when appointment orders were accordingly issued on 04.12.2017. Some of the employees under the said Act were appointed in 2012-13 but the appellants were appointed on 04.12.2017, therefore, they filed departmental appeal which was not responded to, hence the present service appeal.
- 3. We have heard Muhammad Arshad Khan Tanoli Advocate for appellants and Riaz Khan Paindakheil learned Assistant Advocate General for the respondents and have gone through the record and the proceedings of the case in minute particulars.
- 4. Muhammad Arshad Khan Tanoli Advocate learned counsel appearing on behalf of appellants, inter-alia, argued that the respondent No.3 was supposed to appoint appellants under the Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Sacked Employees (Appointment) Act, 2012 when the said Act was promulgated in the year 2012 but their appointment order was issued on 04.12,2017 which is against law and discriminatory.

MITESTED.

E.C.A.B. Prince Enther Pakheribiya Bervice Princhlat Pushawar

Company Arstrad Khan Tanni Late Suprema Court of Pakioferi Tice # 33 Junan Pleza Adjacent to

Sisti-Bar Aubottabau

12

Learned counsel further argued that some of the employees who were juniors to appellants were appointed, whereas, appellants were reinstated later on which act is against the principle of equality and natural justice. He submitted that appellants are to be treated at par with other employees in the said Department and lastly, he submitted that similar employees were given benefit by the Apex Court by counting of their service for the protected period for payment of pensionary benefits, therefore, request was made for the stated relief.

- 5. As against that, learned A.A.G submitted that appellants were appointed as P.S.Ts but later on, their appointments were declared illegal and they were terminated. The Government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa promulgated Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Sacked Employees (Appointment) Act, 2012 and the appellants were appointed as P.S.Ts under Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Sacked Employees (Appointment) Act, 2012 as well as upon the direction of august High Court Abbottabad Bench. He submitted that as per Section-5 of the Sacked Employees (Appointment) Act, 2012, sacked employees shall not be entitled to seniority and other back benefits and that such nature cases were dismissed by the Service Tribunal. He, therefore, requested for dismissal of instant service appeals.
- 6. From the record, it is evident that appellants and others who were appointed back in 1994-95 were terminated in 1996-97. Sacked Employees (Appointment) Act, 2012 was specifically promulgated to extend relief to such sacked employees. Appellants were not

TESTEIN

Partializar

Testinibures of the effort

"ide \$ 23 Jinnan Haza Adjacent to

considered for the reason best known to the respondents. The respondents however, considered other similar cases just after promulgation of the Act ibid which was discriminatory on the part of respondents. It was upon the intervention of the Hon'ble Peshawar High Court that appellants were reinstated at a belated stage in 2017 but with immediate effect. The main concern of the appellants is that such employees would reach the age of superannuation before earning qualifying service for pensionary benefits. We have observed that appellants had possessed all the qualifications as prescribed in the Act like others. It is also on record that co-employees tried their level best for back benefits and their cases were dismissed by this Tribunal as their earlier stance, to get all service benefits. Feeling aggricved from the judgment of this Tribunal CPLAs were filed in the Apex Court and relief of back benefits to co-employees was refused by the Apex Court too. However, Apex Court allowed counting of their service for the protected period for payment of pensionary benefits. The present appellants have a strong case as they had every right to be reinstated just after promulgation of the Act as they were having requisite qualification as prescribed in the Act. Their claim was accepted by the august High Court and reinstatement was ordered.

7. The present appellants have also prayed for all service back benefits with a request for counting of their service for the protected period in the light of judgment of the Apex Court which was passed in the case of co-employees. So, from the record, it is crystal clear that

ATTESTED

Marker Pasiduking Service Prisiduking Pesitingan

Attested

Ministration Lines land Size and Jiman rices Adjacent to Distr. Eur. Loutebad



despite promulgation of an Act in the year 2012, appointment order of the appellants were issued in the year 2017 and that too, on the directions of the august High Court. No doubt, similar appeals of the sacked employees were dismissed regarding the back benefits but the Apex Court allowed the co-employees counting of their service for the protected period for payment of pensionary benefits only. Case of the present appellants is at par with those sacked employees who were granted this benefit by the Apex Court, therefore, these appeals are accepted to the extent that appellants are allowed counting of their services from the date of promulgation of the Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Sacked Employees (Appointment) Act, 2012 only for payment of pensionary benefits. No order as to costs. File be consigned to the record room.

ANNOUNCED. 18.03.2021

(Atiq ur Rehman Wazir) Member (E) Camp Court, Abbottabad

(Rozina Rehman) Member (J) Camp Court, Abbottabad

Certified to be cure copy

W Euchmidtwa Service Tribunal, Pesharyar

Mate of Presentation of Application.

Number of Words

Ketal.

Name of Copysian-

Date of Considering of Cap.

Date of Delivery of Cops

ne Supreme Least of Makistan fice # 35 Jirman r laza Adjacent to str: Ear Abbottabad

M.	Pepose Servie Torbunal telle Pashawar	
M		بعدالت عنوان:
	hh III	سوان. منجانب
	Naha 1 T	قي يوغي
	الرقمير.	لوعبت
	باعث گریر آنگر مقدمہ مندرجہ میں اپنی طرف سے واسطے پیروی و جواب دہی کل کاروائی متعلقہ آل مقام	
	Atd: M. Aoshed Whan Tanali As Atd	O
	کووکیل مقرر کر کے اقر ارکرتا ہوں کہ صاحب موصوف کومقدمہ کی کل کاروائی کا کامل اختیار ہوگا نیز ولیل	•
	صاحب موصوف کوکرنے راضی نامہ وتقرر ثالث و فیصلہ برحلف ودینے اقبال دعویٰ اور بصورت دیکرڈ کری	
	کرانے اجراء وصولی چیک روپیہ وعرضی دعویٰ کی تقید بین اوراس پردستخط کرنے کا اختیار ہوگا اور بصورت ضرورت مقدمہ ذکور کی کل یاکسی جزوی کاروائی کے لئے کسی اور وکیل یا مختار صاحب قانونی کواپیے ہمراہ اپنی	
*	صرورت مقدمہ مدلوری من یا می بروی ہاروان کے سے ن اوروی میں منتیارات ہوں گے اوراس کا بجائے تقرر کا اختیار بھی ہوگا ورصاحب مقرر ہندہ کو بھی وہی اور ویسے ہی اختیارات ہوں گے اور اس کا	Port
V.	ساختہ برداختہ مجھ کومنظور وقبول ہوگا۔ دوران مقدمہ جوخر چہو ہرجانہ التوائے مقدمہ کے سبب ہوگا ال کے	Hip Hip
	مستحق وکیل صاحب ہوں گے۔ نیز بقایار قم وصول کرنے کا بھی اختیار ہوگا۔ اگر کوئی پیشی مقام دورہ پر ہویا	
	حدے باہر ہوتو وکیل صاحب موصوف پابند ہوں گے کہ پیروی مقدمہ ندکورہ کریں اورا گرمختار مقرر کر دہ میں کوئی جز و بقایا ہوتو وکیل صاحب موصوف مقدمہ کی پیروی کے پابند نہ ہوں گے۔ نیز درخواست بمراد	
•	کوئ بر و بقایا ہوتو و یں صاحب تو سوف ملامین پیرون کے پابلاتہ وں سے پیرون سے ہوتا ہوتا ہوتا ہوتا ہوتا ہوتا ہوتا استجارت ناکش بصیغہ مفلسی کے دائر کرنے اور اس کی بیروی کا بھی صاحب موصوف کو اختیار ہوگا۔	
1	لهذاه كالت نامتحريركيا تاكەسندرىپ-	•
		· 🗸
·	Abbollabed Hegg	
Ą	II A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A	>
	الما من الما الما الما الما الما الما ال	Tano Li
- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	ASC OF Parents	. مال