۲

29th Aug. 2023

2.

Learned counsel for the appellant present. Mr.
Muhammad Jan, District Attorney for the respondents present.

The appellant had for the first time filed representation

to seek promotion from the date of vacancy in Grade-17 but in the original representation, such date was not mentioned, whereas, in the appeals filed in the years 2015 and then in 2019, a date was introduced. The matter was twice remitted to the departmental appellate authority to pass a speaking order on the representation of the appellant but each time, no clear decision was made by the authority. Today, when the case was being argued, the learned District Attorney pointed out that when the date of vacancy was not written in the representation, then the order on representation could not be said to be vague or ambiguous. When confronted with the situation, learned counsel for the appellant sought some time to prepare the case. Granted. To come up for preliminary hearing 09.10.2023 before S.B. P.P given to the parties.

(Kalim Arshad Khan) Chairman

*Mutazem Shah *