FORM OF ORDER SHEET

Court of 1971 1972 1972

Appeal No. 1737/2023

5.NO.	proceedings	Order or other proceedings with signature of judge
1	2	3
1-	29/08/2023	The appeal of Mr. Zafran Ullah is presented
		today by Mr. Taimur Ali Khan Advocate. It is fixed for preliminary hearing before Single Bench at Peshawar on 31-68-2023.

By the order of Chairman

REGISTRAR

BEFORE THE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICE TRIBUNAL PESHAWAR

SERVICE APPEAL NO. 1737 /2023

Zafran Ullah

VS

Police Department

INDEX

Iemo of Appeal ffidavit opy of appreciation certificate opies of charge sheet along with e statement of allegations and ply	Annexure A B&C	P. No. 01-04 05 06
ffidavit opy of appreciation certificate opies of charge sheet along with e statement of allegations and	A B&C	05
opy of appreciation certificate opies of charge sheet along with e statement of allegations and	A B&C	06
opies of charge sheet along with e statement of allegations and	B&C	- ·
e statement of allegations and	B&C	
	1	07-09
برام	1.	
hià		
ppy of inquiry	D -	10-12
ppies of show cause notice and	E&F	
oly	Lar	13-14
ppy of removal order dated .12.2021	G	15
pies of departmental appeal, order	H,I,J,K&L	16-20
olication and order dated 02.2023		
py of order dated 04 01 2021	M	21.22
	141	21-22
	pies of departmental appeal, order ed 25.03.2022 revision, plication and order dated	pies of departmental appeal, order H,I,J,K&L ed 25.03.2022 revision, plication and order dated 02.2023 by of order dated 04.01.2021 M

THROUGH:

APPELLANT

TAIMUR ALI KHAN
(ADVOCATE HIGH COURT)
Cell# 0333-9390916

BEFORE THE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICE TRIBUNAL PESHAWAR

SERVICE APPEAL NO. 737/2023

Zafran Ullah, Ex-Constable No.4542, District Security Branch, Peshawar.

(APPELLANT)

VERSUS

- 1. The Provincial Police Officer, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar.
- 2. The Capital City Police Officer, Peshawar.
- 3. The Senior Superintendent of Police, (Operation) Peshawar.

(RESPONDENTS)

APPEAL UNDER SECTION 4 OF THE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICE TRIBUNALS ACT, 1974 AGAINST THE ORDER DATED 07.12.2021, WHEREBY MAJOR PUNISHMENT OF REMOVAL FROM SERVICE WAS IMPOSED UPON THE APPELLANT, AGAINST THE ORDER DATED 25.03.2022, WHEREBY THE DEPARTMENTAL APPEAL OF THE APPELLANT HAS REJECTED AND AGAINST THE ORDER DATED 09.02.2023 RECEIVED BY THE APPELLANT ON 17.08.2023, WHEREBY THE REVISION OF THE APPELLANT WAS ALSO REJECTED FOR NO GOOD GROUNDS.

PRAYER:

THAT ON THE ACCEPTANCE OF THIS APPEAL, THE ORDER DATED 07.12.2021, 25.03.2022 AND 09.02.2023 MAY KINDLY BE SET ASIDE AND THE APPELLANT MAY BE REINSTATED INTO HIS SERVICE WITH ALL BACK AND CONSEQUENTIAL BENEFITS. ANY OTHER REMEDY, WHICH THIS HONORABLE TRIBUNAL DEEMS FIT AND APPROPRIATE THAT, MAY ALSO, BE AWARDED IN FAVOUR OF APPELLANT.

RESPECTFULLY SHEWETH:

FACTS:

- 1. That the appellant was appointed in the respondent department as Constable and has completed mandatory training and has performed his duty with great devotion and honesty, whatsoever assigned to him and no complaint has been filed against him regarding his performing.
- 2. That the appellant was performing his duty in District Security Branch, Peshawar and has received commendation certificate with cash reward for his excellent performance. (Copy of appreciation certificate is attached as Annexure-A)
- 3. That the appellant while performing his duty in such capacity, charge sheet along with statement of allegations were issued to the appellant which was properly replied by the appellant in which he denied the allegations and gave the real facts about the issue. (Copies of charge sheet along with the statement of allegations and reply are attached as Annexure-A&B)
- 4. That inquiry was conducted against the appellant in which no proper opportunity of defense was provided to the appellant as neither statements were recorded in the presence of the appellant nor gave him opportunity of cross examination, but despite the inquiry officer recommended him for major punishment. (Copy of inquiry is attached as Annexure-C)
- 5. That show cause notice was issued to the appellant which was replied by the appellant in which he again denied the allegations and gave facts about the issue. (Copies of show cause notice and reply are attached as Annexure-D&E)
- 6. That on the basis of above allegations and without conducting regular and proper inquiry to dig out the realty about the allegations, the appellant was removed from service vide order dated 07.12.2021. (Copy of removal order dated 07.12.2021 is attached as Annexure-F)
- 7. That the appellant filed departmental against dismissal order dated 07.12.2021, which was rejected on 25.03.2022. The appellant then filed revision on 28.03.2022, which was also rejected on 09.02.2023 for no good grounds, however, the rejection order dated 09.02.2023 was never communicated to the appellant and the appellant received the rejection order dated 09.02.2023 through an application dated 17.08.2023. (Copies of departmental appeal, order dated

25.03.2022 revision, application and order dated 09.02.2023 are attached as Annexure-G,H,I,J&K)

8. That the appellant has no other remedy except to file the instant appeal in this Honorable Tribunal for redressal of his grievance on the following grounds amongst others.

GROUNDS:

- A) That the impugned orders dated 07.12.2021, 25.03.2022 and 09.02.2023 are against the law, facts, norms of justice and material on record, therefore, not tenable and liable to be set aside.
- B) That no proper and regular inquiry was conducted against the appellant because no opportunity of defense was provided to the appellant as neither statements were recorded in the presence of the appellant nor gave him opportunity of cross examination, which is violation of law and rules and such the impugned order is liable to be set aside on this ground alone.
- C) That inquiry officer mainly relied on the statement of SI Zulfiqar ASHO Jumrad and SI Zia Ullah Khan IO without conducting proper and regular inquiry to dig out the realty about the allegations and gave it finding on presumption basis, which is not permissible under the law.
- D) That the appellant was arrayed in the case vide FIR No.319 dated 09.09.2021 u/s 9D, 13 KPK Act 15AA, 109, 419, 468, 471, 420 P.S Jamrud on the basis of statement of accused namely Najeeb Ullah u/s 163, however, the appellant was discharged by the competent Court of law on 04.01.2021 on the basis that beside the statement of coaccused, no evidence is available against the Zafran (appellant). (Copy of order dated 04.01.2021 is attached as Annexure-L)
- E) That no corroborative evidence was present against the appellant and due to that reason the competent court of law discharge him, but respondent department took action against the appellant on presumption basis which is against the norms of justice and fair play.
- F) The appellant who was arrayed in the case vide FIR No.319 dated 09.09.2021 u/s 9D, 13 KPK Act 15AA, 109, 419, 468, 471, 420 P.S Jamrud on the basis of statement of accused namely Najeeb Ullah u/s 163, however, he was discharged by the competent Court of law on 04.01.2021 on the reason that no evidence is available against the Zafran (appellant), therefore, there remain no ground to penalize the appellant.

- G) That the appellant has not been treated in accordance with law and rules and has been condemned unheard throughout.
- H) That the appellant seeks permission of this Honorable Tribunal to advance others grounds and proofs at the time of hearing.

It is, therefore most humbly prayed that on the acceptance of this appeal, the order dated 07.12.2021, 25.03.2022 and 09.02.2023 may kindly be set aside and the appellant may be reinstated into his service with all back and consequential benefits. Any other remedy, which this honorable tribunal deems fit and appropriate that, may also, be awarded in favour of appellant.

APPELLANT

Zafran Ullah

THROUGH:

(TAIMUR^IALI KHAN) ADVOCATE HIGH COURT

BEFORE THE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICE TRIBUNAL PESHAWAR

SERVICE APPEAL NO. /2023

			•	•
			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
		1		
	•	,	•	
		. •		

Zafran Ullah

Police Department

AFFIDAVIT

I, Zafran Ullah, Ex-Constable No.4542, District Security Branch, Peshawar, (Appellant) do hereby affirm and declare that the contents of this service appeal are true and correct and nothing has been concealed from this Honorable Tribunal.

DEPONENT

COTO POLECE PROSE

COMMENDATION CERTIFICATE

Class II Is awarded to

v.	医 新生活 (1) (1) (1) (1)		A ·		
. A			Jordan Ba	•	\$/()
Λ	Ar ZAFR	AN NO	4)4-10-		

RIO DUS

In Recognition of

His excellent Performance of duly in case wife Die No. 277 dt 17. 8 21 U/s 110/900004.
PS Samuel ha a wonded ce il alongwith Cish record of Rs. 2000]

CAPITAL CITY POLICE OFFICER PESHAWAR

CHARGE SHEET

Whereas I, Yasir Afridi PSP, SSP/Operations Peshawar, am satisfied that a Formal Enquiry as contemplated by Police Rules 1975 is necessary & expedient in the subject case against Constable Zufran Ullah No. 4542 of District Security Branch District Peshawar.

2. And whereas, I am of the view that the allegations if established would call for major/minor penalty, as defined in Rule 3 of the aforesaid Rules.

3. Now therefore, as required by Rule 6 (1) (a) & (b) of the said Rules, I, Yasir Afridi PSP, SSP Operations, Peshawar hereby charge you Constable Zufran Ullah No. 4542 of District Security Branch District Peshawar under Rule 5 (4) of the Police Rules 1975 on the basis of following allegations/grounds:

It has been learnt from reliable sources that you while posted in District Security Branch, Peshawar were hand in gloves with organized criminals and drug paddlers similarly you were reportedly patronizing your illegal activities with the intention for personal gain. Being a member of the disciplined force, your above act comes within the ambit of corruption and is highly objectionable and render you liable for disciplinary proceedings under Police (Efficiency & Disciplinary) Rules, 1975.

4. I hereby direct you further under Rule 6 (I) (b) of the said Rules to put forth written defence within 7 days of the receipt of this Charge Sheet to the Enquiry Officer, as to why action should not be taken against you and also stating at the same time whether you desire to be heard in person.

5. In case your reply is not received within the specific period to the Enquiry Officer, it shall be presumed that you have no defence to offer and ex-parte action will be taken against you.

YASIK AFRIDI PSP Senior Superintendent of Police,

(Operations) Peshawar

No. 5-643 E/PA

dated Peshawar the 10/09/12021.

1.X

For Process

~ · ·

STATEMENT OF A LLEGATIONS

I, Yasir Afridi PSP, SSP/Operations Peshawar as competent authority, am of the opinion that Constable Zufran Ullah No. 4542 of District Security Branch District Peshawar has rendered himself liable to be proceeded against departmentally as he has committed the following acts/omission within the meaning of section 03 of the Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Police Rules, 1975.

It has been learnt from reliable sources that he while posted in District Security Branch, Peshawar were hand in gloves with organized criminals and drug paddlers similarly he was reportedly patronizing his illegal activities with the intention for personal gain. Being a member of the disciplined force, his above act comes within the ambit of corruption and is highly objectionable and render himself liable for disciplinary proceedings under Police (Efficiency & Disciplinary) Rules, 1975.

For the purpose of scrutinizing the conduct of afore said police official in the said episode with reference to the above allegations SP Centh. Is appointed as Enquiry Officer under Rule 5 (4) of Police Rules 1975.

The Enquiry Officer shall in-accordance with the provision of the Police Rules (1975), provide reasonable opportunity of hearing to the accused Official and make recommendations as to punish or other action to be taken against the accused official.

> YASIR AFRIDÎ Senior Superintendent of Police, (Operations) Peshawar

[1] E/PA, dated Peshawar the

مناب عالی!

ارمن فرمسوک سکورلی برای میں لقینات ہے۔ اور اس میں لقینات کے دوران تھانہ کے کوران تھانہ کے کار تھانہ کو کوران تھانہ کی کوران تھانہ کوران ک

صاب عال! من ما عرف علی که نیک نامی دور دینه فیلی کومنشیات باک کر ماکیلاسور کری در در می منشات فردش دس که ملاده من سائل ما در تومنشیات فردش سے ذاتی قا در سا دائیلے ہیں در در می منشات فردش کی کی سفارش کی ہے در کو فائدہ برخیا ناکیلا دیئے دختیا داشکا استحالی کیا ہے۔ دور فر کمی منشیات فردش کی کی سفارش کی ہے در در ہی دن کو کمیری فائدہ برنیا نے کی کوشش کی ہے۔

من ما كم حلفيه لمور بريان دينا بول كم مندرج ما لاعتالق ما لكل درست اور الماندارى ك

کن ہے۔

العارض ريكا مخلص زيفران الشركا لنظيل بيلى غر 4542

ATTSTED

MDING U/R 6(5) OF POLICE RULES 1975, (AMENDED 2014) AGAINST FC ZAFRAN NO. 4542

The enquity in hand was referred to this office to ascertain the miliconduct, committed by FC Zufran-No. 4542 vide order of enquiry No. 4647/E/PA dated 10.09.2021.

FC Zafran ullah No. 4542 was issued charge sheet and statement of allegations, which contained following allegations:

District Security Branch, Peshawar were hand in gloves with organized criminals drug peddlers. Similarly he was reportedly patronizing his illegal activities with the intention for personal gain. Being a member of the disciplined force, his above act comes within the ambit of corruption and is highly objectionable and render himself liable for disciplinary proceedings under police (Efficiency & Disciplinary) Rules, 1975.

ENQUIRY PROCEEDINGS:

To inquire the matter and unearth the real facts, undersigned called the following persons and recorded their statements and cross examined them as well.

1. FC Zafran No. 4542

2. SI Ziu Ullah (I.O of case FIR No. 319/2021 u/s 9-DCNSA/13 KPK/15-AA/109/419/468/471 PS Jamrud).

3 SI Zulfiger Khan (ASHO PS Jamrud)
Moreover, audio recordings provided by ASHO Zulfiger PS Jamrud were also examined.

STATEMENT OF FC ZAFRAN NO. 4542

Constable Zafran said in his statement that the allegations against lum are baseless and there is no truth in it but the accused in case FIR No. 319/2021 u/s 9-DCNSA/13 KPK/15-AA/109/419/468/471 PS Jamrud was his informer and on his information he identified several drug dealers and its diary has been provided to the senior officers in time and action was taken against many drug dealers on that information. For this reason, he tried to justify his contacts with the accused.

ATTSTED

MENT OF SI ZULFIQAR ASHO PS JAMRUD



SI Zulligar stated that on 09.09.2021 accused Najib was arrested with figurest and 10 grams of heroin was recovered from his possession. The rused was interrogated and another 6 kg of heroin and equipment for producing that was recovered from his Hujra.

During search, three mobile phones were recovered from the passession of the accused. There was a CDR in the WhatsApp chat of accused Najeeb. He was asked about the CDR that who sent it to which he replied that it was sent by his friend Zafran who is a constable in the police department. Further checking of the mobile phone found many links of the accused with Zafran. The accused also had audio recordings in his mobile phone which informed him about the police raids. Based on the evidence before 10, Constable Zafran was also charged under Section 109 PPC. Constable Zafran managed BBA from the honorable court. Constable Zafran has been found to be the facilitator of the above accused.

STATEMENT OF SI ZIA ULLAH KHAN I.O

SI Zia Ullah Khan stated that on 09.09.2021 accused Najib was arrested by ASHO PS Jamrud with a motorcar and 10 grams of heroin was recovered from his possession. The accused was interrogated and another 6 kg of heroin and equipment for producing drugs recovered from his Hujra.

During search, three mobile phones were recovered from the possession of the accused. There was a CDR in the WhatsApp chat of accused Najech He was asked about the CDR that who sent it to which he replied that it was sent by his friend Zafran who is a constable in the police department. Further checking of the mobile phone found many links of the accused with Zafran. The accused also had audio recordings in his mobile phone which informed him about the police raids. Dased on the evidence before 10, Constable Zafran was also charged under Section 109 PPC. Constable Zafran managed 1914 from the himorable court.



After going through the statement, verbally cross examining the Required and enquiring into the matter, the undersigned reached wing conclusion;

The presence of voice messages as well as CDR in the WhatsApp chat with the accused confirm constable Zafran links with narcotics dealer, Najeeb.

- 2. The audio messages shown by 10 of case prove that Zafran had close links with drug dealers and informed them about police action in a timely
- 3. The allegations made against Constable Zafran are correct and there is ample evidence to suggest that indeed he had established links with narcotics dealer Najceb and shared sensitive information with him thus giding in criminal activity.

RECOMMENDATION

Keeping in view the aforementioned findings, FC Zafran No. 4542 i hereby recommended for major punishment.

> AHMED ZUNAIR CHEEMA (PBF) SUPERINTENDENT OF POLICE, CANTT PESHAWAR



OFFICE OF THE SENIOR: SUPERINTENDENT OF POLICE (OPERATIONS), PESHAWAR

Phone. 091-9213054

 $\frac{13054}{9} = \frac{1}{1,2021}$

No. 2662 /PA

FINAL SHOW CAUSE NOTICE (Under Police Disciplinary Rules, 1975)

Dated Peshawar the

- Senior Superintendent of Police, Operations, Peshawar as competent authority, under the Police disciplinary Rules 1975, do hereby serve you Constable Zafran No. 4542 while posted to DSB District Peshawar as follows:-
- 2. (i) That consequent upon the completion of enquiry committee conducted against you by CCP Peshawar, who found you guilty of the charges for which you were given the opportunity of personal hearing.
 - (ii) Ongoing through the findings and recommendations of the inquiry officer, the material on record and other connected papers including your defense before the said officers:

 I am satisfied that you have committed the follow misconducts:

You have been found guilty of the charges already communicated to you vide this office charge sheet bearing No. 643/E/PA dated 10.09.2021.

- 3. As a result thereof 1, as Competent Authority decided to impose upon you major/minor penalty including dismissal from service under the said Rules.
- 4. You are, therefore, require to Show Cause as to why the aforesaid penalty should not be imposed upon you.
- 5. If no reply to this notice is received within 7-days of its delivery, it shall be presumed that you have no defense to put in and in that case an ex-parte action shall be taken against you.
- 6. You are at liberty to be heard in person, if so wished.

HAROON RASHID KHAN (T.ST PSP)
Sen of Superintendent of Police
(Operations) Peshawar

ATTSTED

13 le 10 = (12) بواله ندلی خارس التوکار نوش مهامی الد. سیا که صرف خرمت بور - به من سالم نفیل از می دوران انکوائرو مز ملا بال مل ع وکے عامی ملاحظ ہے۔ جس سام ری فرنس اس کرنا ما هنا ج -الحمال ما وتا بل و رج - به طرح شخص مدره الزلة ملامهی اور سی از از نگ برسی ی کیونل جو بھی الاکار DSB میں و ہوئی سرائی در دیا ہے۔ اس کا طرور جومان اور علاق كا خرىدال كسا لوكى كى المد شلى فون ردارطرس من المراع بعار من المراس الم المراس مران روا مای مادیر من ساع - ارزان روا مای مادیر من ساع - ا دُورً وُماران ا ور مغررون تو گرفتا رکتے سے عنگی ساء برسام بوانسال الات توجهن اسناد اور نقار انعامات دسم س - حرك لف هذا س سام الم مدرام مدرس انام من على على المام من كرام كل المرسم في كمان ولوكى 15m- 2 00 (6/10 hour con colo) colo las ان جرما كسائد سا روابط بهي اركيانك كري عي المي الم هم فر زواله م علادا كوى دومه ا يحوس نبوت نبس ح - في کے ساکری رامنی ما را معنوالی کی مناسی کر سے کے ریمالا جنوب کے دیمالا جنوب کے ف نظر سارا من خلوف فی را رانگوایم کو درانقل دفتر زماکو دیشی

9 (15)



SR: SEPERINTENDENT OF POLICE, (OPERATIONS) PESHAWAR Phone, 091-9210508

ORDER

Constable Zufran Filah No. 4542 while posted to DSB CCP Peshawar was place under suspension by the undersigned on account of reliable sources that he who posted in District Security Branch. Peshawar were hand in gloves with organize criminals and drug peddlers, similarly, he was reportedly patronizing his illeg activities will the intention for personal gain.

Inder Police Rules 1375 (amended 2014) proper charge sheet alongwish summary of allegation were issued against him and SP Cantt was appointed Enquiry Officer who submitted is finding on dated 16.11.2021 concluded that the matter was enquired secretly and it was found the allegations against him star prove.

3. Keeping in view of the above, the undersigned being a compete authority, do agree with the recommendation of the enquiry officer, therefor Constable Zufran Ullah No. 4542 is hereby awarded the major punishment "Removal from Service with immediate effect."

Order announced

HAROON RASHID KHAN (T.ST PEP)

Senior Superintendent of Police Operations) Peshawar

No. 2933-39 PA dated Peshawar, the 27/12/2021.

Copy for information and necessary action to:

- 1. The Capital City Police Officer, Peshawar.
 - 2. Superintendent of Police, Canti CCP Peshawar.
 - 3. SP HQrs CCP Peshawar
 - 2. OASI, CRC, PO
 - 3. EMC along with complete enquiry file for record (30).

ATTSTED

Lite me la deservició La cust (16) 2933-38/PA Sie Le May July 186-8933 Copy of flow lock - 07/12 jo 3 Stoling Removal" · 2 Ju Cet 2 we she willed My - 15 - Lind CN DSB III Upil Ste way In St. عام ما عراب كا سف الذولوى ما تدا تما روران دوی سام عراب مرشی مرضی فویدالزلهات نگالر - EJano Li Continuellande Significant of the continue of the same of براس مرقال على مارخ الى دعاع من عاى ديلر سَنَى وَ الْمُرْسِي الْمُولِي لَوْمِ الْمِيلِي وَيُلِي كَا وَمِيلِي وَيَلِي الْمُولِي الْوَمِ الْمِيلِي وَيَلِي الْمُولِي الْم 9-D/13-KPK-15AA 109 27 9/ 2021 180 319 World fruit 4 かこからりまとこかり、これというところは、これは、 مر ير مرالت في رف في والم ار در وقي الم وي المن الم is in the contraction of the de an interior of the sound of the contraction of the it with the same of the same o AT و ارسام ای سزا کو فسوخ و کار و کوروانی -4544 May will will by 25/1



OFFICE OF THE CAPITAL CITY POLICE OFFICER, <u>PESHAWAR</u>

ORDER.

This order will dispose of the departmental appeal preferred by Ex-Constable Zafran Ullah No. 4542 who was awarded the major punishment of "Dismissal from Service" under PR-1975 by SSP/Ops: Peshawar vide order No.2933-38/PA, dated 07.12.2021.

- 2- Short facts leading to the instant appeal are that the appellant while posted at DSB/CCP, Peshawar was proceeded against departmentally on the charges that he was hand in gloves with organized criminals and drug peddlers, Similarly, he was reportedly patronizing his illegal activities with the intention for personal gain.
- He was issued proper Charge Sheet and Summary of Allegations by SSP/Ops: Peshawar. SP/Cantt: Peshawar was appointed as inquiry officer to scrutinize the conduct of the accused official. The inquiry officer after conducting proper inquiry submitted his findings in which he was recommended for major punishment. The competent authority in light of the findings of the enquiry officer issued him Final Show Cause Notice, to which he replied but the same was also found unsatisfactory, hence was awarded the above major punishment.
- He was heard in person in O.R and the relevant record along with his explanation-perused. During personal hearing the appellant failed to submit any plausible explanation in his defence. He categorically denied the allegations but no solid evidence or proof was produced to prove his innocence. Therefore, his appeal for setting aside the punishment awarded to him by SSP/Ops: Peshawar vide order No. 2933-38/PA, dated 07.12.2021 is hereby rejected/filed.

(MUHAMMAD IJAZ KHAN) PSP CAPITAL CITY POLICE OFFICER, PESHAWAR

No. 968-75 /PA,

dated Peshawar the 95 / 03 /202

Copies for information and necessary action to the:-

- 1. SSP/Operations, Peshawar.
- 2. SP/HQrs: Peshawar.
- 3. AD-IT CCP Peshawar.
- 4. PO. CRC and OASI.
- 5. FMC along with complete fouji missal.

A60.1.

ATTSTEL

مزرت حناب نشرهزل افرال فيرك ترفواه بساور 25/03 182 968-75/PA U 16/16/20 1889 18/05/20 180 = 3 www Reject " puri clas to gar shire > فَوْدِعَانَ لَذَا يَسَ عَلَى إِنْهِ إِلَى الْمُعَالِلُ DSB النَّالُ عَلَى الْمُعَالِلُ DSB النَّالُ اللَّهِ ال من تعسات عا- حرران عساتي سائل على الله مودسا فيم الم رما ر سار في مرسى ما دير خوالم تداع ما والله والل 420 Mes. 9-0/13 KPK/ 13 AA/ 109/4/9/468/47/ المزركرك حسى في رهدالت م بوالم منصل في رم ورام دورو 180 = wy is - w 12/64/ 1000 2 / 16/ = 30 pour بن سار کے ملاف کھان کا روائی ہو آر جس کی سال سے ملاف کوئی توت سان ور دمالور برسار کی مدروت سے برواسے حل ملاف فحفان اس داخرر ت مسائل عمام عمرها - ارر دلال فع خالموس نه لا أ سال عالم اسل صنوخ درما له عريك سام الساق وار لوى جر نس الا عراب الم والا والمول سام في مرتن ما ورسانة طار وسفاف رمار د كومر نزاد و ر سائل تعمد المروث يم دول و كال فرما (متك و رومان - لم عمر رما كو Conduct No. 0333-9386408.

K (19) Culgary of Free Curice 2's Curing. 012,12 (M) 21 3 11-6.1 (M, Cs a) 12 de Com 10 10 (30) 12/2 p n 2 2/ m wo p 20/10 12/2 p 6,6 m b p 3d 1 1 p 28-3.2022 213 len) D wo fin - ilo 3 les 4542~1 () el (Le C 17/8/23

ATTSTE



OFFICE OF THE INSPECTOR GENERAL OF POLICE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA PESHAWAR.



ORDER

This order is hereby passed to dispose of Revision Petition under Rule 11-A of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Police Rule-1975 (amended 2014) submitted by Ex-FC Zafran Ullah No. 4542. The petitioner was removed from service by SSP/Operations, Peshawar vide order Endst; No. 2933-38/PA, dated 07.12.2021 on the ollegations that he while posted at DSB/CCP. Peshawar was hand in gloves with organized criminals and drug peddlers. Similarly, he was reportedly patronizing his Illegal activities with the intention for personal gain. His appeal was rejected by Capital City Police Officer, Peshawar vide order findst; No. 968-75/PA, dated 25.03.2022.

Meeting of Appellate Board was held on 19.01.2023 wherein petitioner was heard in person. Petitioner denied the allegations leveled against him.

Perusal of enquiry papers reveals that the allegations leveled against the petitioner have been proved. His conduct was detrimental to discipline and his further retention in Police is bound to negatively influence discipline of other personnel of the force. Therefore, the Board decided that his petition is hereby rejected.

Sd!-SARIR AHMED, PSP Additional Inspector General of Police, HQrs: Khyher Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar,

No. St. 269-75 723, dated Peshawar, the 9-02 72023.

Copy of the above is forwarded to the:

The of the above named Ex-FC received vide your office Memo: No. 7902/CRC dated 20.04.2022 is returned berewith for your office record.

- Senior Superintendent of Police, Operations, Peshiwar.
- 3. PSO to IGP/Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, CPO/Peshawar.
- 4. AIG/Legal, Khyher Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar,
- 5. PA to Addl: IGP/HQrs: Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar.
- PA to DIG/HQrs: Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar.

Office Supdt: F-IV CPO Peshawar.

(DR. All) VELATITIED AIG/Establishment,
For Inspector General of Police,
Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar,

CRC ________

1. 2 2 13 ...

Assonstant-III
FOR NY action
1816 LC

ATTSTE

FORM "A" FORM OF ORDER SHEET

Court of Dr. Qazi Ataullah ASJ-I, Khyber.



Case No

Serial No. of Order or Proceedings	Date of Order or Proceedings	Order or other Proceedings with Signature of Judge on Magistrate and that of parties or counsel where necessary
Proceedings	Proceedings	

<u>Order...06</u>. 04.01.2021

Accused on bail Zafran Ullah and Najeeb Ullah present.

Their learned counsel Zafeer Gul Advocate present. Mrs.

Shabina Maqsood Dy. P.P present. Arguments on the application of accused Zafran Ullah, regarding his discharge, heard.

Accused Zafran Ullah was arrayed in this case on the basis of statement of the co-accused Najeeb Ullah u/s 163

Cr.P.C. Najeeb Ullah has stated that Zafran used to facilitate him in the business of contraband. For this accusation, no corroborative material is available on the file. No CDR has been placed on the record. During police custody, no incriminating material (recovery, discovery and confession etc) has been gathered against accused Zafran. The learned Dy. P.P has also replied that, besides the statement of co-accused, no supporting material is available on the file, against the accused Zafran. For the reason that sufficient material for indictment is not available, therefore, the court discharges accused Zafran.

ATTATE

(22)

Sufficient material for framing of charge upon accused Najeeb Ullah is available on the file. Formal Charge, therefore, framed upon him. Accused pleaded not guilty and claimed trial.

Notices be issued to the witnesses of prosecution.

Separate notice be issued for case property.

Case file come up for prosecution evidence on

08-03.2022

Dr. Qazi Ataullah, ASJ-I, Khyber

CERTIFIED TO BE TRUE COPY

CERTIFIED TO BE TRUE

No26 San Dated of Application of App

ATTOME

VAKALAT NAMA

NO	
IN THE COURT OF KP Service 7	Eibernal, Peshan
Mean Zafran allah VERSUS Police Department	(Appellant)
I/We, Zofan Ullah Do hereby appoint and constitute TAIMUR ALI KHAN, ADVOCA appear, plead, act, compromise, withdraw or refer to arbitration	on for me/us as my/our
Counsel/Advocate in the above noted matter, without any liat with the authority to engage/appoint any other Advocate/Couns I/We authorize the said Advocate to deposit, withdraw and recesums and amounts payable or deposited on my/our account in The Advocate/Counsel is also at liberty to leave my/our case.	el on my/our costs. Eive on my/our behalf all the above noted matter, se at any stage of the
proceedings, if his any fee left unpaid or is outstanding against i	me/us.
Dated/2023(C	LIENT)

BC-10-4240 CNIC: 17101-7395544-5

Cell No. 03339390916

TAIMUR ALI KHAN Advocate High Court