## $\sigma_{\rm th} = Form_{\rm B} A_{\rm 2000}$ , for a partition of the matrix

# MALE FORM OF ORDER SHEET AV BY THE MET ZERMON COT

Carret	~ (			
COURT	OL			
		17	The second of th	はいた だんげいた 山海 しょうじゅう

## Implementation Petition No. 604/2023

Muhammad is submitted today by Mr. Mir Zaman Sa Advocate. It is fixed for implementation report befor	.No.	Date of order proceedings	Order or other proceedings with signature of judge
Muhammad is submitted today by Mr. Mir Zaman Sa Advocate. It is fixed for implementation report befor Single Bench at Peshawar on Origina file be requisitioned. AAG has noted the next date.  By the order of Chairman REGISTRAR	1	.2	3 of the manufactured the section is
Advocate. It is fixed for implementation report befor Single Bench at Peshawar on Origina file be requisitioned. AAG has noted the next date.  By the order of Chairman REGISTRAR	1	31.08.2023	The implementation petition of Mr. Fida
Single Bench at Peshawar on Original file be requisitioned. AAG has noted the next date.  By the order of Chairman REGISTRAR			Muhammad is submitted today by Mr. Mir Zaman Saf
file be requisitioned. AAG has noted the next date.  By the order of Chairman  REGISTRAR			Advocate. It is fixed for implementation report before
By the order of Chairman REGISTRAR			Single Bench at Peshawar on Origina
REGISTRAR			file be requisitioned. AAG has noted the next date.
REGISTRAR			By the order of Chairman
			· :
			REGISTRAR
		•	
	-	÷	
	•		

BEFORE THE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICE TRIBUNAL

Execution Petition# 604/2023

In

**Appeal No.8636/2020** 

FIDA MUHAMMAD

VS

**EDUCATION DEPTT:** 

**INDEX** 

S.NO.	DOCUMENTS	ANNEXURE	PAGE NO.
1-	Memo of petition		1-2.
2- ,	Affidavit		3.
3-	Judgment	A	4- 7.
4-	Vakalat nama		8.

PETITIONER/APPLICANT

THROUGH:

MIR ZAMAN SAFI

ADVOCATE

**MOBILE NO.0333-9991564** 

0317-9743003

# Execution Petition # 604/2023 Implementation Petition No. \_\_\_\_\_/2023 In Kbyber Pakhtukhwa Service Tribunal

Appeal No.8636/2020

Service I		86
Dated 31	8	12023

Mr. Fida Muhammad, Ex-DM (BPS-15	),
GMS Devil, District Mansehra.	

**PETITIONER** 

#### **VERSUS**

- 1- The Secretary, E&SE Department, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar.
- 2- The Director, E&SE Department, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar.
- 3- The District Education Officer (Male), Mansehra.

......RESPONDENTS

IMPLEMENTATION PETITION FOR DIRECTING THE RESPONDENTS TO OBEY THE JUDGMENT OF THIS AUGUST TRIBUNAL DATED 20.02.2023 IN LETTER AND SPIRIT

#### R/SHEWETH:

- 1- That the petitioner filed Service appeal bearing No. 8636/2020 before this august Service Tribunal against the impugned Notification dated 07.11.2019 whereby appointment order of the petitioner was withdrawn.
- That appeal of the petitioner was finally heard by this august Tribunal on 20.02.2023 and was decided in favor of the petitioner vide judgment dated 20.02.2023. Copy of the judgment is attached as annexure.
- 3- That after obtaining attested copy of the judgment dated 20.02.2023 the petitioner submitted the same before the respondents for implementation but till date the judgment of this august Tribunal has not been implemented by the respondents in letter and spirit.
- 4- That the petitioner has no other remedy but to file this implementation petition.

It is, therefore, most humbly prayed that on acceptance of this implementation petition the respondents may very kindly be directed to implement the judgment of this august Tribunal dated 20.02.2023 in letter and spirit. Any other remedy which this august Tribunal deems fit that may also be awarded in favor of the petitioner.

PETITIONER

FIDA MUHAMMAD

THROUGH:

MIR ZAMAN SAFI ADVOCATE

# BEFORE THE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICE TRIBUNAL PESHAWAR

Implementation Petition No. /2022

**Appeal No.8636/2020** 

FIDA MUHAMMAD

VS

**EDUCATION DEPTT:** 

#### **AFFIDAVIT**

I Mir Zaman Safi, Advocate on behalf of the petitioner, do hereby solemnly affirm that the contents of this implementation petition are true and correct to the best of my knowledge and belief and nothing has been concealed from this Honorable Tribunal.

MIR ZAMAN SAFI ADVOCATE





#### BEFORE THE SERVICE TRIBUNAL KHYBER PAKHTUN KHWA PESHAWAR

Service appeal No..... of 2020

#### Versus

1) Secretary, Elementary and Secondary Education Department Peshawar

2) Director, Elementary and Secondary Education Department Peshawar.

3) District Education Officer (Male)
Mansehra......Respondents

APPEAL UNDER SECTION 4 OF KPK SERVICE TRIBUNAL ACT, 1974 AGAINST THE IMPUGNED NOTIFICATION NO 17693-96 DATED 07-11-2019 WHEREBY RESPONDENT NO 03 HAS WITHDRAWN THE APPOINTMENT NOTIFICATION OF THE APPELLANT VIDE ENDST NO 10261-67 DATED 20.06.2019\_AND WHERE UPON APPELLANT PREFER AND DEPARTMENTAL APPEAL AGAINST SAID ORDER, WHICH WAS REJECTED VIDE DATED 02-07-2020.

PRAYER:-

On acceptance of the appeal, the impugned NOTIFICATION NO. 17693-96 Dated 07-11-2019 may graciously be set-aside being illegal, void, without Lawful authority, of having no legal effect | and factually erroneous and appellant be reinstated into service as DM with all back benefits and other admissible allowances as per law on the subject and any other order as may deem fit and appropriate in the fact and circumstances of the case.

#### Respectfully Sheweth:-

1) That, initially, appellant was appointed against vacant post of DM at GMS Ahl Seri, Mansehra vide appointment Order Endst: No.29911-19 Dated 13-11-1996 by Competent Authority (Respondent No.03)

(Copy of appointment order dated 13-12-1996 is annexed as amexure "A").

2) That, appellant performed his duties and continued his services, unfortunately the service of appellant his services.

ATTESTED

Service Critical

SCANNED KPST

ESTED

20th Feb, 2023

Learned counsel for the appellant and Mr. Asif Masood Ali Shahar

Deputy District Attorney for the respondents present.



2. At the very outset, it was pointed out that Appeal bearing No. 5793/2020 titled "Khani Zaman Versus Secretary, Elementary & Secondary Education Department Peshawar and 02 others", had been decided by this bench of the Tribunal in the following manner:-



A perusal of the record would show that a scrutiny committee constituted scrutinizing the documents of the appellants as well as others for determining of their eligibility for appointment in light of the Sacked Employees Act, 2012. The scrutiny committee recommended the appellants for their appointment and has mentioned in its report that the scrutiny committee checked the record of all the candidates one by A meeting of the District Selection Committee was then held under the Chairmanship of DEO (Male) Mansehra, wherein the appellants were recommended for appointment on their respective posts and thus their appointment Notifications were issued by the competent Authority. The minutes of Departmental Selection Committee are available on the record, wherein it is mentioned that the committee had made thorough deliberations and had perused the record minutely. The appellants were, however later on issued show-cause notices on the ground that upon verification, fake and fabricated documents were found in their service record. Final show-cause notices were then issued to the appellants without mentioning therein



regular inquiry was dispensed with. It appears that the main allegations against the appellants were that their names had been inserted in the termination orders respective tempering. The question of tempering being factual in nature was required to have been ascertained through a regular inquiry but the same has not been done. The departmental Authority was required to have adduced evidence in support of the allegations against the appellants by providing them opportunity of cross-examination. Although it is mentioned in the impugned Notifications that proceedings were conducted under the Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Government Servants (Efficiency & Discipline) Rules, 2011 but the mandatory provisions of the not complied with. were circumstances, conducting of de-novo inquiry in the matter is necessary-for reaching a just and right conclusion.

In view of the above discussion, the appeal in hand as well as connected Service Appeal bearing No. 5892/2020 titled "Ejaz Ahmad Versus Secretary, Elementary and Secondary Education Department Peshawar and two others", Service Appeal bearing No. 8634/2020 titled "Rafaqat Ali Versus Secretary, Elementary and Secondary Education Department Peshawar and two others" and Service Appeal bearing No. 8635/2020 titled "Zardad Khan Versus Secretary, Elementary and Secondary Education Department Peshawar and two others" are allowed by setting-aside the ATI impugned orders and the appellants are reinstated in service for the purpose of de-novocuters.

- Jakoh

ATTESTED

estination.



inquiry. The departmental Authority shall conduct de-novo inquiry strictly in accordance with the relevant law/rules within a period of 90 days of receipt of copy of this judgment and the result be intimated to this Tribunal through Registrar.

Needless to mention that the appellants shall be associated with the inquiry proceedings and fair opportunity be provided to them to defend themselves. Keeping in view peculiar facts and circumstances of the cases, the issue of salary and back benefits shall be subject to outcome of de-novo inquiry. Parties are left to bear their own costs. File be consigned to the record room.

SCANNED KPST Reshaway

- 3. This appeal being no different with the fact of the above stated appeal is also decided in the same manner. Disposed of accordingly. Consign.
- 4. Pronounced in open court in Abbottabad and given under our hands and seal of the Tribunal on this 20<sup>th</sup> day of February, 2023.

(Salah Ud Din)
Member (Judicial)
Camp Court Abbottabad

(Kalim Arshad Khan)
Chairman
Camp Court Abbottabad

Certified to be ture copy

Example:
Khyber Falchenshwe
Service Fribunat
Peshawar

	A Nostio	, 08/	03/7	
Date of Presentation of	Application			<b></b> >
Number of The L		i entre la	والمراود والمراود والمراود والمراود	
Copying Fee	<b>//</b> -	د ناور ر	and the same of th	وبنسيوس
Urgent				ونسيسر د.
Total	,			*******
Name of 1.		2//	3/2/3	<u>}</u>
Date of Contract	2	1/0/	22	
Date of Delivery of C	ору	1 3/		

### **VAKALATNAMA**

# <u>BEFORE THE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICE TRIBUNAL,</u> <u>PESHAWAR</u>

· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	OF 2023	
Fida Muhammad	(APPELLANT) (PLAINTIFF) (PETITIONER)	
<u>VERSUS</u>		
Education Dept.	(RESPONDENT) (DEFENDANT)	
I/We / John Months Mile Do hereby appoint and constitute MILE Peshawar to appear, plead, act, comparbitration for me/us as my/our Counted matter, without any liability for authority to engage/appoint any or my/our cost. I/we authorize the said Act and receive on my/our behalf all sur deposited on my/our account in the above	R ZAMAN SAFI, Advocate, romise, withdraw or refer to insel/Advocate in the above or his default and with the ther Advocate Counsel on dvocate to deposit, withdraw ins and amounts payable or	
Dated//2023	CLIENT  ACCEPTED  MIR ZAMAN SAFI	
	ADVOCATE	

OFFICE:

Room No.6-E, 5<sup>th</sup> Floor, Rahim Medical Centre, G.T Road, Hashtnagri, Peshawar. Mobile No.0333-9991564 0317-9743003