

SERVICE APPEAL NO.4809/2021

Majid Ali s/o Muhammad Anwar, Constable No.351, District Police Haripur, presently posted in District Police Abbottabad

.....(Appellant)

VERSUS

Provincial Police Officer, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar, and others.

....(Respondents)

INDEX

S. No	Description of Documents	Annexure	Page
1.	Comments/reply, application for condonation of delay alongwith Counter Affidavit and Authority letter		1-8
2.	Copy of preliminary inquiry vide letter No.248 dated 18.06.2019.	"A"	9-10
3.	Copy of Inquiry findings vide office Memo: No. 236 dated: 30.07.2019.	"B"	11-14
4.	Copy of final show cause notice vide office Endst: No. 494-95 dated 01.08.2019.	"C"	15
5.	Copy of order vide OB No. 601 dated 18.09.2019.	"D"	16
6.	Copy of Departmental Appeal against the punishment order to the Regional Police Officer, Hazara Region, Abbottabad vide order Endst: No.3554-55/PA dated 31.01.2020.	"E"	17
7.	Copy of dismissal order of the District Police Officer, Abbottabad vide OB. No.184 dated 16.06.2021.	"F"	18
8.	Copy of revision petition of the Provincial Police Officer Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar vide order No. S931/21 dated 04.03.2021.	"G"	19

Deponent

2p. of 13

abatahael.

SERVICE APPEAL NO.4809/2021

Majid Ali s/o Muhammad Anwar, Constable No.351, District Police Haripur, presently posted in District Police Abbottabad

..... (Appellant)

VERSUS

Provincial Police Officer, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar and others.

.... (Respondents)

Reply/comments by respondents No.1,2,3 & 4.

Respectfully Sheweth.

PRELIMINARY OBJECTIONS:-

Kliyber Pakhtukhwa Service Tribunai

Dated 06/59/22

- 1. That the instant Service Appeal is not maintainable in the present form.
- 2. That the appellant is estopped by his own conduct.
- 3. That the appellant has not come to the Honorable Tribunal with clean hands.
- 4. That the appellant has suppressed the material facts from the Honorable Tribunal.
- 5. That the instant Service Appeal is bad for mis-joinder and non-joinder of necessary and proper parties.
- 6. That the instant Service Appeal is badly barred by law and limitation.
- 7. That the appellant has filed the instant service appeal just to pressurize the respondents.
- 8. That the order passed by the authorities are based on facts & rules, after fulfilling all the codal formalities, hence, the appeal is liable to be dismissed without any further proceeding.

REPLY ON FACTS:-

- 1. Correct to the extent that the appellant was enrolled in police department as constable on 21.08.2009, in District Haripur.
 - 2. Incorrect, the appellant constable Majid Ali No. 179 while posted at Police Post, TIP Haripur. ASP Circle Saddar Haripur, Mr. Qais Khan, conducted a preliminary enquiry in which the appellant was found involved in maintaining relations with drug peddlers, corrupt practices and taking bribe and illegal gratification form criminal, vide ASP Saddar office letter No.248 dated 18.06.2019. (Copy of preliminary inquiry is attached as annexure "A"). Therefore, the appellant was issued charge sheet with statement of

allegations by District Police Officer, Haripur vide this office Endst No.853-55/PA dated 19.06.2019. ASP Circle Ghazi, Mr. Nasir Mehmood was appointed as enquiry officer, who conducted proper departmental enquiry and submitted his findings vide his office memo No. 236 dated: 30.07.2019. (Copy of Inquiry findings is attached as annexure "B"). In which the enquiry officer held the charges proved and recommended the appellant for major punishment. Consequently the appellant was issued final show cause notice, vide this office Endst: No. 494-95 dated: 01.08.2019. (Copy of final show cause notice is attached as annexure "C"). The appellant could not give satisfactory reply of the final show cause notice. So, the appellant was called in orderly room and he was heard in person, the appellant could not give defense against the proved allegations. Hence, being found guilty of misconduct, the appellant was awarded major punishment of dismissal from service, vide OB No., 601 dated: 18.09.2019, by District Police Officer, Haripur. (Copy of order is attached as annexure "D"). The appellant filed departmental appeal against the punishment order to the Regional Police Officer, Hazara Region, Abbottabad who converted the major punishment of dismissal from service into major punishment of time scale constable vide order Endst: No.3554-55/PA dated 31.01.2020. (Copy of order is attached as annexure "E").

- 3. Incorrect, the appellant could not give satisfactory reply of the final show cause notice, rather the allegations were fully proved in the departmental enquiry. Therefore, the appellant was issued final show cause notice as per law/rules.
- 4. In reply to this para, it is submitted that the matter was thoroughly probed in the preliminary as well as departmental enquiry, in which the appellant was found involved in mal practices. The appellant deviated from his duties and protected the criminals. The appellant used to take illegal gratification for extending shelter to the criminals. The charges were proved in the departmental enquiry. All facts, circumstances and evidence were taken into consideration while awarding major punishment to the appellant.
- 5. Incorrect, the appellant was held guilty of gross misconduct for which departmental action was taken and he was awarded appropriate punishment.
- 6. Incorrect, the appellant is adducing lame excuses to absolve himself from liability. His conduct was probed and he was found guilty. It is worth mentioning here that the appellant did not mend his way and committed gross misconduct at District Abbottabad and he was also dismissed form

- service there by the District Police Officer, Abbottabad vide OB.No.184 dated 16.06.2021. (Copy of order is attached as annexure "F").
- 7. Incorrect, the appellant was held guilty of gross misconduct on strong evidence against him. His conduct was against the norms of police force, as he was supporting the criminals for illegal gratification. The allegations were
- proved in the departmental inquiry. Hence, the punishment is quite legal and maintainable.
- 8. Incorrect, the appellant was held guilty of misconduct. He was dismissed form service from District Haripur as well as from District Abbottabad also which proves that he is habitual criminal minded person. The appellant was awarded punishment on strong evidence. Since, he committed gross misconduct, therefore, departmental proceedings were initiated against him as per law/rules.
- 9. Incorrect, proper departmental inquiry was conducted. All legal requirements were fulfilled while passing punishment to the appellant. He was given right of personal hearing and self defense also, hence, principles of natural justice were also observed. Therefore, the punishment is quite legal and maintainable.
- 10. Correct to the extent that the appellant filed departmental appeal against the order of punishment before the Regional Police Officer, Hazara Region, Abbottabad who took lenient view and converted major punishment of dismissal of service of appellant into time scale.
- 11.In reply to this para, it is submitted that the appellant file revision petition before the Provincial Police Officer Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar against the converted punishment i.e. time scale constable awarded by the Regional Police Officer, Hazara Region, Abbottabad. The revision petition was considered by the appellant board and was rejected on lawful grounds vide order No.S931/21 dated 04.03.2021, by the Additional Inspector General of Police Headquarters, CPO Peshawar. (Copy of order is attached as annexure "G").

REPLY ON GROUNDS:-

- A) Incorrect, the order of respondents dated 18.09.2019 and 31.01.2020 and 04.03.2021, are quite legal, based on facts, evidence and principles of natural justice, hence, the orders are lawful and maintainable.
- B) Incorrect, proper departmental inquiry was conducted. The appellant was given right of personal hearing and self defense. He was awarded lawful punishment on proved misconduct.

- C) Incorrect, the appellant committed gross misconduct. Therefore, departmental action was taken and the appellant was awarded appropriate punishment which is maintainable under the law/rules.
 - D) Incorrect, the appellant was held guilty of misconduct through strong evidence. His conduct was against the norms of police force and earned bad name for department. He deserved the lawful punishment awarded to him.
 - E) Incorrect, the appellate authority abode by the law and took into consideration all facts, circumstances and relevant evidence while passing/maintaining the punishment. Therefore, the order of punishment are lawful and maintainable.
 - F) Incorrect, the instant service appeal is badly time barred and not maintainable under the law.

PRAYER:-

٠. ٢ ,

In view of above stated facts it is most humbly prayed that the instant service appeal does not hold any legal force, may kindly be dismissed with costs, please.

Provincial Police Officer, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar (Respondent No.1)

Regional Police Officer,
Hazara Region,
Abbottabad
(Respondent No.2)

District Police Officer, Haripur

(Respondent No.3)

District Police Officer, Abbottabad

(Respondent No.4)

SERVICE APPEAL NO.4809/2021

Majid Ali s/o Muhammad Anwar, Constable No.351, District Police Haripur, presently posted in District Police Abbottabad

(Αı	lago	llan	ıt)
,		. p		,

VERSUS

Provincial Police Officer, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar, and others.

..... (Respondents)

REPLY TO APPLICATION FOR CONDONATION OF DELAY IN SERVICE APPEAL BY RESPONDENTS.

Respectfully Sheweth:-

The reply to application for condonation of delay of service appeal on behalf of respondents No. 1,2 & 3, is submitted as under:-

- 1. Incorrect, the appellant/applicant constable Majid Ali No. 179 while posted at Police Post, TIP Haripur. ASP Circle Saddar Haripur, Mr. Qais Khan, conducted a preliminary enquiry in which the appellant/applicant was found involved in maintaining relations with drug peddlers, corrupt practices and taking bribe and illegal gratification form criminal, vide ASP Saddar office letter No.248 dated 18.06.2019. Therefore, the appellant/ applicant was issued charge sheet and statement of allegations by the then District Police Officer, Haripur vide this office Endst No.853-55/PA dated 19.06.2019. ASP Circle Ghazi, Mr. Nasir Mehmood was appointed as enquiry officer, who conducted proper departmental enquiry and submitted his findings vide his office memo No. 236 dated: 30.07.2019. In which the enquiry officer held the charges proved and recommended the appellant/ applicant for major punishment. Consequently the appellant/ applicant was issued final show cause notice, vide this office Endst: No. 494-95 dated: 01.08.2019. The appellant could not give satisfactory reply of the final show cause notice. So, the appellant/ applicant was called in orderly room and he was heard in person, the appellant/ applicant could not give defense against the proved allegations. Hence, being found guilty of misconduct, the appellant/ applicant was awarded major punishment of dismissal from service, vide OB No. 601 dated: 18.09.2019, by the then District Police Officer, Haripur. The appellant filed departmental appeal against the punishment order to the Regional Police Officer, Hazara Region, Abbottabad who converted the major punishment of dismissal from service into major punishment of time scale constable vide order Endst: No.3554-55/PA dated 31.01.2020.
- 2. Incorrect, the respondents dealt the applicant/appellant in accordance with law/rules. The orders of punishment passed by the respondents are quite legal, based on evidence and facts, hence, maintainable under the law/rules. Moreover, the applicant has no cause of action to file the instant service appeal, as it is time barred.

- 3. Incorrect, the respondents departmental authorities proceeded as per law/rules, the order of punishments have attained finality. The appellant/applicant was dealt with in accordance with law, moreover, his departmental appeal/revision was dismissed on lawful grounds, justification and evidence. Similarly, the instant service appeal is badly time barred. The applicant/appellant did not file the service appeal within statutory time period; hence, it is not maintainable under the law of limitation. Moreover, the applicant has no solid justification for condonation of delay rather statutory rights have accrued to the respondent department.
- 4. Incorrect, the application for condonation of delay does not hold any legal force, so, the service appeal and the instant application are liable to be dismissed.

In view of above, it is most humbly prayed that the instant service appeal as well as application for condonation of delay do not hold any legal force, which may kindly be dismissed with cost, please.

Provincial Police Officer, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar (Respondent No.1)

Regional Police Officer, Hazara Region, Abbottabad (Respondent No.2)

District Police Officer,

Haripur

(Respondent No.3)

District Police Officer,

Abbottabad

(Respondent No.4)

SERVICE APPEAL NO. 4809/2021

Majid Ali s/o Muhammad Anwar, Constable No. 351, District Police Haripur, presently posted in District Police Abbottabad

..... (Appellant)

VERSUS

Provincial Police Officer, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar, and others.

.... (Respondents)

COUNTER AFFIDAVIT

I, do hereby solemnly affirm and declare, that the contents of comments / reply, are true to the best of my knowledge and belief and nothing has been concealed from this Honorable Tribunal. It is further stated on oath that in this appeal, the answering respondents have neither been place ex-parte nor their defense has been struck off cost.

District Police Officer,

(Respondent No.3)

SERVICE APPEAL NO.4809/2021

Majid Ali s/o Muhammad Anwar, Constable No.351, District Police Haripur, presently posted in District Police, Abbottabad.

.....(Appellant)

VERSUS

Provincial Police Officer, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar, and others.

.....(Respondents)

AUTHORITY LETTER

I, the undersigned do hereby authorize Mr. Israr Shah, ASI Legal Haripur, to submit reply in the above cited Service Appeal on behalf of answering respondents and legally do whatever is needed in the court regarding the above titled Service Appeal.

District Police Officer, Haripur

(Respondent No. 3)

اسشنٹ سیرنٹنڈنٹ آف یولیس سرکل صدر ہری پور منجانب ضلعي يوليس آفيسرصاحب ہري بور بحانب نر: الح 24 مورند 18/06/2019 محكمانه كاردا كى برخلافASIسعيد شاه، LHC اسدسعيد بكشفيلان ماجد ،صديق

جناب مالى!

عنوان:

بحواله مقدمه علت نمبر 474 مورند 15.06.2019 جرم A-381 ت پتھانہ کھلا بٹ بیس ملز مان

ا _ رضوان شاہ ولدصاحب شاہ سکنہ بابو چوک کھلا ہے حال سکند پور۲ _ اسدا قباولد عبدالما لک سکنہ محلّہ سوا نیاں سکندر پورگر فنار ہوئے دوران انٹاروگیشن ملزم رضوان شاہ نے انکشاف کیا کہ بابو چوک کھلا بٹ میں تھیم خان افغانی کاریت بجری کاٹھیے ہے وہاں پر میں اکثر ؛ پیما کرتا تھا حکیم خان نے ریت بجری کے ٹھیہ پر کام کاج کے لئے اپناٹر یکٹرٹرالی بھی رکھا ہوا تھا جورات کووہاں پر کھڑ اکرتا تھا جہاں اپررات کے وقت چوکیداز ہیں ہونا تھا جسکا ذکر میں نے اپنے دوستوں اسدا قبال شفیق سے کیا اور ہم تینوں نے صلاح مشورہ كر الله الله المرابية المرابي جو کالا ڈھا کہ بلوسہ میں مسمی امدادالرحمٰن پر مبلغ تین لا کھرو بے میں فروخت کر کے نقدر قم ایک لا کھساٹھ ہزاررو بےوصول کئے بقایا قع المائش کے کا غذات ایک سال کے اندر دینے پروصول کرنے کا معاہدہ ہوا۔ جسکے بعد ہم پلوسے ہری پورواپس آگئے۔ ملزم فضوان شاہ نے مزید انکشاف کیا کہ مومن سابقہ بولیس ملازم سکنہ بیٹ گلی اماز کی جومیر ارشنہ دار بھی ہے کیساتھ اسد سعید پولیس ملازم سکنے میر بور کے بھائی کی شادی پر میں اور میرا ماموں زاد بھائی احسان گئے تھے۔جس کے بعد اسد سعید کے ساتھ میری ڈوشی ہوگئی۔اسدسعیدسنگا تھانہ، کالا ڈھا کہ ہے جرس منگوا کرا پنے گاؤں میں اپنے ڈیرہ پر جو دیران جگہ پر ہے جہاں پراس کا والد ہوتا ہو ہاں پراسد سعید نے 3/4 اشخاص جنکے نام مجھے نہیں آتے بھی رکھے ہوئے ہیں جو کہ چرس، پینتول فروخت کرتے ہیں اسد سعید کے اپنے پڑوں میں ایک گھر ہے جیکے ساتھ اسکی مخالف تھی اسد سعید نے جس کا ذکر ہمارے ساتھ کیا اور کہا کہ ایکے گھرامیں واخل ہوکر مار پیٹ کرنی ہے۔اسدسعید کے کہنے پر میں ،مومن اوراحسان کے ساتھ مغرب و کیلے اسد سعید کے گھر میر پور کیلے گئے جہاں پراسدسعید نے ہمیں اپنی بیٹھک میں بٹھا کر کھانا وغیرہ کھلا یا اور رات ہونے کا انتظار کرنے لگے اسد سعید کے گھر میں آئین کا اِسْنَاوْمِیں 75 کارتوس والی بھی ہیں جواسد سعید نے اپنے گھرسے بیٹھک میں لائیں جن میں سے ایک کلاشکوف میں نے ،ایک مون نے اور ایک احسان نے اپنے ساتھ ہمراہ رکھیں اسد سعید کے پاس پستول تھارات ہونے پرہم سب نے اسد سعید کے کہنے پراسکے گھر کے پڑوس والے گھر میں نقاب پہن کر دیوار بھلانگ کررات قریب 12 بجے داخل ہوئے چونکہ سردیوں کا موسم تھا متعلقہ گھر والے کمرہ کے اندر درواز ہبند کر کے سوئے ہوئے تتھے اسد سعید نے درواز بے کولاتیں مار کرز بروی کمرے کا دروازہ کھلوایا۔ جہاں پرایک مرداورا کیے عورت تھے جنکو ہم سب نے لات مکوں مار ناشر وع کردیں اسی دوران اسدسعید نے اپنے پستول سے فائز ار عورت کوزخمی کردیا شورشرابہ ہونے پر ہم سب موقع سے بھاگ گئے تھے ہونے پر اپلیس کے آنے پر اسد سعید نے بولیس کی آ مد کا بنلایا اور کہا کہ میں خودموقع پرموجود ہوں آپ اوگ بے قلرر ہو۔اسد سعید جرس اپنی موٹر کارپرلاتے وقت سر کاری لائیٹ کا ا استعمال بھی کرنا تھااورا بنی موٹر کار میں اس نے خفیہ خانے بھی بنار کھے تھے جسکے ہمراہ میں اورمومن آنے جاتے تھے۔صدایق نا می

May lo

پولیس ملازم جوبھی کاہل میر پورکار ہائش ہے۔جو ھلارتھانہ میں ڈیونی کرتا ہے اسد سعید ٹیکسلا سے چرس خرید کرلاتا تھااور صدایق کو بھی دیتا تھا جو بھی اسد سعید کی جرس فروخت کرتا تھا۔ ما جازنا می پولیس ملازم جو کہ بیکٹرنمبر 02 کھلا بٹ ٹاؤن شپ کار ہائتی ہے پولیس چو کی TIP میں نو کری کرتا ہے جسکے ساتھ کھی میری کا دو آتی تھی ماجد کے ساتھ میں نے ملکر قیوم نامی مخص سکنہ سنگا تھانہ سے بدھوڑا ہوٹل در بنداؤا میں 4 کلوجیس 6/7رمضان السارک کو این اور ما جد نے اسے پکڑااور سعید شاہ ASI چوکی TIP والے کو برموقع بلا کر مجھے اور قیوم کوموٹر کاربیں بٹھا کرچو کی TIP کے گئے اور جہاں پر ماجداورسعید شاہ نے قیوم سے رقم کیکراور جرس بھی فیضہ میں کر کے ہم دونوں کو پولیس جو کی ہے جھوڑ دیا۔جسکے بعد ماجد نے میرے بارے میں چرس بکڑوانے کا قیوم کو ہٹلا کرمیری فون ریکارڈ نگ کے 3 ہزاررو پےلیکرا سے سنائی اور قیوم کومزیلج بنلایا کشمیں رضوان شاہ نے پکڑوایا ہے جسکامیں نے ماجد سے گلیشکوہ کیا جواس بات پر ہماری آپس میں مخالفت شروع ہوگئ۔ ہمان کا ٹریکٹر چوری والی وار دات کا ماجد کو پہتہ چلا جو مجھےاور اسدا قبال کو پکڑ کر چوکی TIP لے گیا جہاں پر میں نے اپنی واللہ او کو ٹیلی نون کر کے رقم 80 ہزار روپے منگوائی اوراسدا قبال کے گھر ہے بھی 80 ہزار روپے منگوا کر ہم دونوں ہے بیلغ 1 لا کھ 60 ہزار روپے سعید شاہ ASI نے ٹریکٹرنمبر DGB-5903 جوہم نے بابو چوک KTSریت بجری کے ٹھیے سے چوری کیا تھا کے بدلے مین کیکر ہم دونوں کوجھوڑ دیا تھاا نٹاروگیشن ریورٹ ہمراہ لف ہے۔ ' نفانیش افسر کی انثار و گیشن کے بعد زیر د مخطی نے دونوں ملز مان کوعلیجدہ طلب کر کے جناب SP صاحب انوشی کیشن کی موجودگی میں سنا۔ جودونو ں ملز مان کے بیانات میں وقوعہ کی نسبت مطابقت پائی گئی۔اندریں بالا حالات سےاس نتیجہ پر پہنچا ہوں کے متذکرہ بالا ملاز مان پولیس محکمہ پولیس میں رہتے ہوئے جرائم کی روک تھا سے سجائے جرائم پیشدا شخاص ہے ملکرمحکمہ پولیس کیا سخت بدنای کاسب سے ہیں۔استدعاہے کہ متذکرہ بالا بولیس ملاز مان کے خلاف خت تکمانہ کاروائی کی سفارش کی جاتی ہے۔ the officers . Suspend all اسشنٺ سيرنٽنڏنٽ ف پوليس wirdhed. سرکل صدر ہری بور proper deportmental DA A Leet plosted interes

OFFICE OF THE ASSISTANT SUPERINTENDENT OF POLICE CIRCLE GHAZI, HARIPUR

Ph. # 0995-660265

E-Mail:sdpoghazi@gmail.com No: 236 Dated 30 /07/2019

To:

The District police Officer,

Haripur,

Subject:

DISCIPLINARY ACTION AGAINST FC MAJID ALI NO.179

Memo:

Kindly refer to Charge Sheet No. 356-56 Dated 19.06.2019 FC Majid Ali No 179.

Please find attached Inquiry Report, Statement of allegations, charge sheet, 2. statements and documents on the subject inquiry in original duly signed.

ir Malimood

Assistant Superintendent of Police/Inquiry Officer

Circle Ghazi

Enclosed 11 Pages)

Subject:

INQUIRY REPORT

Reference Charge sheet No.356-58 Dated 19.06.2019 by worthy District Police officer Haripur served on FC Majid Ali 179.

BRIEF FACTS OF THE CASE

FC Majid Ali while posted at Police Post TIP Police Station City Haripur was found involved in corruption collusions with Criminals. These facts came to light during the interrogation of Rizwan Shah s/o Sahib Shah. The official was charge sheet, hence the instant enquiry.

INQUIRY PROCEEDING

Statement of Majid Ali No.179

He denied the allegations and stated that he was dutiful and honest during his tenure.

Personal Hearing.

He was given opportunity of personal hearing to present evidence for his defense. During the hearing following Questions were asked from FC Majid AC:

SiNo	Question	Answer by HC
01	Where you are involved such activities as mention in interrogation report.	No
02	What was your relationship with Rizwan Shah.	He was our informer.
03	Did you remain in contact with Rizwan Shah?	Yes
04	Why would your informer turn against you	It is a preplanned conspiracy against me

Statement of Complainant Rizwan Shah

He stated that he was informer of HC Saeed Shah and FC Majid. He would work with them and provide intelligence on narcotics. He stated that he would sell

He had when the second

-parcotics that were recovered during the raids. He also stated that he turned against them when they disclosed his name to person who was arrest on his information and later kept him in illegal confinement.

S/No	Question	Answer by HC	
01	What was your relationship with majid Ali.	I was there informer	
02	What kind of activities do you do for them?	I would provide intelligence on narcotics, sell the narcotics for them and provide them their share after taking mine.	
03	Why would they keep you in illegal confinement?	I have audio proof of their dealing with me as surety. They took them away after after picking me up and keeping in TIP I also paid them 80000 through my mother	
04	Why would you turn against them?	They have done injustice to me.	

FINDINGS

Statement of accused, Persual record and personal hearing, I, Inquiry officer, found following.

- 1. The FC Majid Ali and Rizwan Shah were in contact with each other are well known to one another.
- 2. During interrogation at PS KTS, these facts were admitted by Rizwan Shah and also admitted by FC Majid Ali. Annex-A
- 3. The stolen tractor which was allowed to pass after getting bribe has been recovered by the KTS PS and an FIR was registered against the accused.
- 4. Rizwan Shah also admitted that he would sell narcotics of behalf of HC Sneed Shah and FC Majid Ali and provide them with their share.
- 5. The Rizwan Shah after the incident has alleged that the HC Saeed Shah and FC Majid has harassed him in kept him illegal confinement to recover proof of their involvement in the criminal activities. Annex-B
- 6. Clandestine inquiry has proved that the statement of Rizwan Shah is correct and also revealed that the FC Majid worked with HC Saeed in all matters

they have

that he was involved in including collusion with narcotics dealer, drug pendlers of and prostitution dens.

Conclusion

"From the finding of preliminary inquiry, statement of accused, Personal hearing, cross questioning and perusal of record. I find <u>FC Majid Ali</u> guilty of being <u>inefficient and involved in corrupt practices</u> and recommend him for <u>Major Punishment</u> as provided under the E&D Rules 1975 amended 2014"

Nash Malymood ASP/Enquiry Qfficer

Helled

FINAL SHOW CAUSE NOTICE

I, Dr. Zahid Ullah, (PSP). District Police Officer, Haripur as Competent Authority under Police Rules 1975, do hereby serve Final Show Cause Notice upon you FC Majid Ali No. 179 on the following grounds: -

- "That while you were posted at PP TIP, it has come to the notice of the (1)undersigned that preliminary enquiry No. 248 Dated 18.06.2019, conducted by ASP Saddar Haripur that you and along with HC Saeed Shah I/C PP TIP, LHC Asad Saecd No. 297 Moharrar PP Pind Muneem and Constable Saddique No. 669 PS Hattar, intentionally involved in Corruption, helping drug peddlers, took bribe/illegal gratification from the accused involved in different criminal activities and facilitated them. Your act is severe violation of Rule 14-32 of Police Rules 1934 and also gross misconduct on your part in terms of Police E&D Rules 1975"
- For the purpose of scrutinizing the conduct on your part with reference to (2)the above allegation, you was serve with Charge Sheet/Statement of allegation and Assistant Superintendent of Police, Ghazi, Haripur was appointed as enquiry officer against you for the conduct of proper desartmental enquiry vide this office Memo No. 356-58, dated 19,06,2019,
- The enquiry officer after conducting proper departmental enquiry (3)submitted his finding vide his office letter No. 236 dated 30.07.2019, in which he had the charges of misconduct proved and recommended for major punishment under section-4 of the KPK Police E&D Rules 1975.
- Keeping in view of above allegation on your part, you are hereby called upon. To Show Cause within (07) days of the removed of this Final Show Cause notice as to why you should not be awarded punishment under the Police Rule 1975, if your written reply is not received with in supurated period. It shall be presumed, that you have no defense to affirm, you are also allowed to appear before the undersigned, if you so desired.

No. 6,94-95 / dated Plaripur the / / 82019

Copy of above is submitted to the Regional Police Officer, Hazana Region Abbottabad for favor of information please

> Aphid Wight, (PSP) District Police Officer,

Haripur

Alarka

Mistric Haring

OFFICE OF THE DISTRICT POLICE OFFICER, HARIPUR



Ph. # 0995-614712 / 0995-61129

Fax # 0995-6147 4 E-Mail: dpoharipur1@gmail.com

Ť _				•
10:	D-4-1			1
	Dated	/ ,	100	ط اه
		 , ,	20)	١,١
		 	· · · /)	4 /

ORDER

Constable Majid Ali No.179, while posted at PP TIP, Haripur, it came in the notice of undersigned through preliminary enquiry conducted by Mr. Muhammad Qais Khan, ASP Saddar, Haripur. That Constable Majid Ali along with HC Saeed Shah, LHC Assad Saeed No.297, Muharrar PP Pind Munim, and Constable Saddique No.669, PS Hattar, intentionally involved in corruption and corrupt practices, helping drug peddlers, took bribe/illegal gratification from the accused involved in different criminal activities and facilitated them. The acts and omissions of defaulter police official were misconduct under Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, police efficiency and discipline rules 1975. Therefore, He was served with charge sheet and statements of allegations, vide this office Endst No.356-58/PA dated 19.06.2019.

To probe the allegations, Mr. Nasir Mahmood, ASP/Ghazi, District Haripur, was appointed as enquiry officer, who conducted proper departmental enquiry and submitted his finding, vide his office Memo No.236 dated 30.07.2019. In which, he mentioned that the charges of misconduct proved against defaulter police official and found involved in corrupt activities. Therefore, enquiry officer recommended him for major punishment. Therefore, the defaulter police official was served with final show cause notice vide this office Endst: No.494-95/PA dated 01.9.2019, in which he could not give satisfactory reply.

Constable Majid Ali No.179, was heard in orderly room on 18.09.2019, during personal hearing, he was given opportunity to clarify his position with respect to the allegations levelled against him and subsequent enquiry. But he could not give any evidence in his defense.

Keeping in view, the finding of enquiry officer, I am fully satisfied that Constable Majid Ali No.179 committed gross misconduct. Therefore, I, Dr. Zahid Ullah District Police Officer, Haripur being competent authority under Khyher Pakhtunkhwa police efficiency and discipline rules 1975, awarded major punishment of "Dismissal from service" with immediate effect.

Order announced in his presence. OB No. -624- dated 18.09.2019

set that

District Police Officer

Add



7

Hours E.

OFFICE OF THE REGIONAL POLICE OFFICER
HAZARA REGION, ABBOTTABAD

0992-9310021-22 0922-9310023

 \bowtie

r.rpohazara@gmail.com

0345-9560687

NO: <u>3553</u>/PA

DATE 31 / 1 /2020

ORDER

This order is hereby passed to dispose off departmental appeal under Rule 11-A of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Police Rules, 1975 submitted by Constable Majid No. 179 of district Haripur against the order of punishment i.e. *Dismissal from service* awarded by the District Police Officer, Haripur vide OB No. 601 dated 18-09-2019.

Facts leading to the punishment are that he while posted at PP TIP Haripur, it came in the notice of DPO Haripur during preliminary enquiry conducted by ASP Saddar, Haripur that Constable Majid Ali No.179, HC Saeed Shah alongwith, LHC Asad Saeed No.297, Muharrar PP Pind Munim PP TIP and Constable Saddique No.669 PS Hattar intentionally involved in corruption helping drug peddlers, took bribe/illegal gratification from the accused involved in different criminal activities and facilitated them.

After receiving his appeal, comments of DPO Haripur were obtained and examined/ perused. The undersigned called the appellant in OR, heard him in person. Keeping in view his explanation and financial position, I take lenient view and the punishment awarded by DPO Haripur i.e. "Dismissal from service is hereby converted into time scale constable" with immediate effect. Moreover the official is barred from Haripur district for rest of carrier and transferred to district Abbottabad.

Dr. Mazhar-ulhaq Kakakhel (PSP/PPM/S.St)

No. 3554 -55/PA, dated Abbottabad the 31 / 1 /2020

CC.

1. District Police Officer, Haripur for necessary action with reference to his office Memo No.8170 dated 27-11-2019. Service Roll alongwith Fauji Missal containing enquiry file of the official are returned herewith for record.

2. District Police Officer, Abbottabad for information and necessary action.

3. Establishment Branch.

Joseph Jackery

In-02-2020

Sardar Mikammad Irshad Office Superintendent For RECHONAL POLICE OFFICER

Atestad

Hadra (99)

ORDER

This office order will dispose off the departmental enquiry against FC Majid No. 351. As per preliminary enquiry conducted by Addl: SP Abbottabad vide his office memo: No. 1317/PA dated 01-04-2021, he while posted at PS Nawansher, on 07-03-2021 at 19:35 hrs a fake/ bogus case vide FIR No. 139 dated 07-03-2021 u/s 15AA was registered at PS Nawansher while on the same day at 17:15 hrs the same accused namely Hazrat Gul s/o Bahadar Gul r/o Kotkah Sokari Bannu was also charged in murder case vide FIR No. 178 dated 07-03-2021 u/s 302/324/34 PPC PS Cantt district Bannu, how is it possible that the accused is charged in two FIRs at the same time and date in two very faraway districts. During cross examination it came to the surface that he alongwith IHC Shahzad Shah reached at Khokhar Maira Interchange to receive the accused in protocol under the direction of SHO while the interchange is out of the jurisdiction of PS Nawansher. Furthermore, the allegations of preplanning in registering bogus case and obtaining of illegal gratification/ bribe has been proved against him. All this shows his malafide intention, sever violation, a senior professional dishonesty and gross misconduct in term of police E&D Rules- 1975.

He was issued with Charge Sheet along with statement of allegations. SP Investigation Abbottabad was appointed as Enquiry Officer. He conducted proper departmental enquiry against the delinquent official and recorded statements of all concerned. After conducting proper departmental enquiry, the Enquiry Officer submitted his findings wherein he reported that the allegations level against delinquent official are proved correct. He was issued with Final Show Notice. He was summoned to appear in Orderly Room on 09-06-2021. He was given a patient hearing but he had nothing plausible to state in his defence.

Therefore, in exercise of the powers vested in the undersigned Police Disciplinary Rules-1975 (Amended 2014), I, Zahoor Babar Afridi, PSP, District Police Officer, Abbottabad as a competent authority, am constrained to award him the punishment of **Dismissal form Service** with immediate effect.

Order announced.

OB No. 184

Dated 16 6 27

District officer Officer Abbottabad

CC.

1. Pay Officer DPO Office.

SRC DPO Office.

3. OHC DPO Office alongwith Enquiry containing (41) pages for completion of record.

stested him

Alcolo



Lį

No. S/

OFFICE OF T INSPECTOR GENERAL OF PO KHYBER PAKHTUNKH

PESHAWAR.

/21, dated Peshawar the

ORDER

This order is hereby passed to dispose of Revision Petition under Rule 11-A of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Police Rule-1975 (amended 2014) submitted by Constable Majid No. 179. The petitioner was dismissed from service by District Police Officer, Haripur vide OB No. 601, dated 18.09.2019 on the allegations that he while posted at The Haripur, it came in the notice of District Police Officer, Haripur during preliminary enquiry conducted by ASP/Saddar, Haripur that Constable Majid No. 179, HC Saced Shah alongwith LHC Asad Saeed No. 297, Muharrar PP Pind Munim PP TIP and Constable Saddique No. 669 PS Haltar intentionally involved in corruption helping drug peddlers, took bribe/illegal gratification from the accused involved in different criminal activities and facilitated them. The Appellate Authority i.e. Regional Police Officer, Hazara converted his dismissal from service into time scale Constable vide order Endst: No. 35\$3-55/PA, dated 31.01.2020.

Meeting of Appellate Board was held on 21.01.2021 wherein petitioner was heard in person. Petitioner denied the allegations leveled against him.

Petitioner failed to advance any plausible explanation in rebuttal of the charges. Since he has already been granted relief by Regional Police Officer, Hazara. His revision petition is also_time barred. Therefore, the Board decided that his petition is hereby rejected.

Sd/-

KASHIF ALAM, PSP

Additional Inspector General of Police, HQrs: Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar,

Copy of the above is forwarded to the:

- 1. Regional Police Officer, Hazara at Abbottabad. One Service Roll and one Fauji Missal (containing enquiry file) of the above named FC received vide your office Memo: No. 21991, dated 07.09.2020 is returned herewith for your office record.
- 2. District Police Officer, Haripur.

3. PSO to IGP/Khyher Pakhtunkhwa, CPO Peshawar.

AIG/Legal, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar.

PA to Addl: IGP/HQrs: Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar.

PA to DIG/HQrs: Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar.

Office Supdt: E-IV CPO Peshawar.

GLLAH KHAN) PSI

Establishment,

For Inspector General of Police, Khyber Pakhunkhwa, Peshawar.

OK