31st July, 2023

1. Clerk of counsel for the appellant present. Mr. Muhammad Jan learned District Attorney alongwith Khyal Roz, Inspector (Legal) for the respondents present.

2. Clerk of counsel for the appellant requested for adjournment on the ground that learned counsel for the appellant is busy before MTI Tribunal. Adjourned. To come up for arguments on 10.10.2023 before D.B. P.P given to parties.

(Muhammad Akbar Khan) Member (E) (Rashida Bano) Member (J)

*KaleemUllah`

28th Feb. 2023

Learned counsel for the appellant present. Mr. Muhammad Riaz Khan Paindakhel, Assistant Advocate General for the respondents present.

Learned counsel for the appellant requested for adjournment on the ground that he has not prepared the brief for arguments. Adjourned. To come up for arguments on 11.05.2023 before the D.B. P.P given to the parties.



(Fareena Paul) Member(E)

(Salah-ud-Din) Member (J)

11th May, 2023

Clerk of counsel for the appellant. Mr. Fazal Shah Mohmand,
 Additional Advocate General for respondents present.

SCANNED' KPST Poshawar

2. Counsel are on strike, therefore, the case is adjourned. Office is directed to notify the next date on the noticeboard as well as on the website. To come up for arguments on 31.07.2023 before D.B. P.P given to the parties.

(Fareeha Paul) Member (E)

(Kalim Arshad Khan) Chairman

Kaleem Ullah

Appellant present in person. Mr. Kabir Ullah Khattak, Additional Advocate General for respondents present.

Reply on behalf of respondents not submitted. Learned Additional AG seeks time to contact the respondents for submission of written reply/comments. Adjourned. To come up for written reply/comments on 24010.2020 before S.B.

(Fareeha Paul) Member (E)

24th Oct., 2022

Clerk of counsel for the appellant present. Kabirullah Khattak, Addl. AG for respondents present.

Reply/comments on behalf of respondents have been submitted which are placed on file. To come up for rejoinder/arguments on 01.12,2022 before the D.B.

01/12/22

Fareena Paul) Member(E)

Deleted from the list to come up on the ment date 28/2/23

Deader

Form- A

FORM OF ORDER SHEET

SCANNED KPST Peshawat

Court of		Peshawar
Case No	1285/ 2022	•

, •	Cas	e No1285/ 2022
S.No.	Date of order proceedings	Order or other proceedings with signature of judge
1.	2	3
1-	02/09/2022	The appeal of Mr. Muhammad Kamal Khan resubmitted today by Syed Noman Ali Bukhari Advocate. It is fixed for preliminary hearing before
		Single Bench at Peshawar on <u>5-9-2</u> Notices be issued to appellant and his counsel for the date fixed.
		By the order of Chairman
		REGISTRAR
	05.09.2022	Appellant present through counsel.
ppellan	Dx-100/-	Preliminary arguments heard and record perused. Points raised need consideration. The appeal is admitted for regular hearing subject to all legal objections. The appellant is directed to deposit security and process fee within 10 days. Thereafter, notices be issued to the respondents for submission of reply/comments. To come up for reply/comments on 10.10.2022 before S.B.
1	19/9/22	(Rozina Rehman) Member (J)
` . 		

The appeal of Mr. Muhammad Kamal Khan Ex-Constable 6429 received today i.e. on 02.09.2022 is incomplete on the following score which is returned to the counsel for the appellant for completion and resubmission within 15 days.

- 1- Copy of departmental appeal is not attached with the appeal which may be placed
- 2- Annexures-I & J of the appeal are illegible which may be replaced by legible/better

No. 2506 /S.T.

Dt. 29 /2022

SERVICE TRIBUNAL KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA PESHAWAR.

Syed Noman Ali Bukhari Adv. Pesh.

Kembruille d

copy of departmental appeal is not available with the appellant as mention in paras of appeal.

> Better copies of annex are enclosed

BEFORE THE KPK SERVICE TRIBUNAL PESHAWAR

APPEAL NO. 1285 /2022

Muhammad Kamal Khan

V/S

Police Deptt:

INDEX

S.No.	Documents	Annexure	Page No.
1.	Memo of Appeal		1-6
2.	Copy of charge sheet	-A-	07-08
3.	Copy of letter	B-	09
4.	Copy of suspension order	-C-	10
5.	Copy of show cause	-D-	11
6	Copy of enquiry finding	-E-	12-13
7.	Copy of charge sheet &reply	'-F-	14-15
8.	Copy of inquiry	-G-	16-17
9.	Copy of showcause	-H-	18
10.	Copy of impugned order	-I-	19
11.	Copy of rejection order	-J-	20
12.	Vakalt nama		21

Muhammad Kamal Khan

THROUGH:

(SYED NOMAN ALI BUKHARI)

ADVOCATE, HIGH COURT

PESHAWAR

BEFORE THE KPK SERVICE TRIBUNAL PESHAWAR

APPEAL NO.	/2022)
		-

Muhammad Kamal Khan, EX- Constable, 6429, SSU (CPEC), CCP Peshawar.

.....(Appellant)

VERSUS

- 1. The Inspector General of Police, KPK, Peshawar.
- 2. The Deputy Inspector General of Police, Operation & SSU (CPEC) KP, Peshawar.
- 3. The Superintendent of Police Admin/HQrs, SSU (CPEC), KP, Peshawar.
- 4. The Superintendent of Police HQrs, KP Peshawar.

.....(Respondents)

APPEAL UNDER SECTION 4 OF THE KPK SERVICE TRIBUNALS ACT, 1974 AGAINST THE ORDER of DATED 06.08.2021 WHEREBY, THE APPELLANT HAS BEEN DISCHARGE FROM SERVICE AND AGAINST REJECTION ORDER DATED 01/08/2022 RECEIVED ON 04/08/2022.

PRAYER:

THAT ON ACCEPTANCE OF THIS APPEAL, THE ORDER DATED 06/08/2021 & 04/08/2022 MAY PLEASE BE SET ASIDE AND THE APPELLANT MAY BE REINSTATED IN TO SERVICE WITH ALL BACK AND CONSEQUENTIAL BENEFITS. ANY OTHER REMEDY WHICH THIS AUGUST TRIBUNAL DEEMS FIT AND APPOPRIATE THAT MAY ALSO BE AWARADED IN FAVOUR OF APPELLANT.

FACTS:

Facts giving rise to the present service appeal are as under:

- 1. That the appellant was appointed as police constable in police deptt and working with full zeal and zest.
- 2. That the appellant while serving or performing his duties regularly, suddenly fell ill and due to illness and some family problems remained absent from duty. On the basis which respondent no.4 issued charge sheet to the appellant which was properly replied by the appellant. Copy of the charge sheet is attached as annexure-
- 3. That, thereafter, the inquiry was conducted against the appellant. And after the inquiry the appellant was suspended by the incompetent authority (DIG) vide order dated 13.01.2021 and showcause notice was issued to the appellant but the same was not served upon the appellant. Thereafter, the enquiry officer conducted ex-Partee enquiry without summoning appellant. Copy of letter, suspension order, show cause notice and inquiry finding are attached as annexure-B, C, D & E.
- 4. That thereafter another charge sheet was issued by respondent no 3 which is properly replied by the appellant. Thereafter the inquiry was conducted and the inquiry officer wrote that the appellant produce medical report but without knowing the authenticity of the medical report the inquiry officer gave his opinion. Thereafter the final show cause notice was issued. And the appellant was discharge from service under police rules 1975.. Copy of the charge sheet, reply, inquiry report, show cause and order is attached as annexure-F, G, H &I.
- 5. That thereafter, the appellant filed departmental appeal, but the copy of the same is not available with the appellant may be requisite from the deptt:. Which was rejected by the incompetent authority vide order dated 01/08/2022 received on 04/08/2022. (Copy of rejection order is attached as Annexure- J).

2

GROUNDS:

- A) That the impugned orders dated 06-08-2021 & 01/08/2022 are against the law, facts, norms of justice and void-ab-initio as has been passed by Incompetent Authority and material on record, therefore not tenable and liable to be set aside.
- B) That the charge sheet issued by the respondent no.4 and impugned order was passed by respondent no.3 which was void in the eye of law, according to Superiors Court Judgment reported as 2007 PLC (cs) 85 and 2007 PLC (cs) 132. So the whole procedure and order is void-ab-initio cannot be sustained in the eye of law and liable to be set aside.
- C) That according to Supreme Court judgment when disciplinary proceeding initiated by the incompetent authority then the whole superstructure fall down automatically. The proceeding was initiated by the incompetent authority against the appellant. So liable to be set-aside.
- D) That the appellant has been condemned unheard which is violation of 'Audi Alterum Paltrum' and has not been treated according to law and rules.
- E) That the appellant has not been treated under proper law despite he was a civil servant of the province, therefore, the impugned order is liable to be set aside on this score alone.
- F) That the departmental appeal of the appellant was also rejected by the incompetent authority. So on this score alone the order may be set-aside.
- G) That the medical certificated must be referred to medical board to examine and dig out the truthfulness on the medical certificated but the deptt failed to follow this process and therefore it is requested the case may be remanded to deptt to conduct denovo enquiry by providing full opportunity to the appellant to meet the end of justice.
- That according to judgment of the superior court and the Service Tribunal the penalty awarded to the appellant is not included in the list of penalty provided in Police Rules 1975. So, the appellant is also entitled to the same relief which is granted to other appellant by the Hon'able Tribunal Peshawar in appeal Hazrat Ali vs Police Deptt and other similar appeal.

- I) That no chance of personal hearing was provided to the appellant and as such the appellant has been condemned unheard throughout.
- That the appellant is young and efficient but due to some serious problems he can't complete he recruit course, the department taken so harsh view, may kindly be taken lenient view and re-instate the appellant in to service.
- K) That the appellant seeks permission to advance others grounds and proofs at the time of hearing.

It is, therefore most humbly prayed that the appeal of the appellant may be accepted as prayed for.

APPELLANT

Muhammad Kamal Khan

THROUGH:

(SYED NOMAN ALI BUKHARI)
ADVOCATE, HIGH COURT
PESHAWAR

4

BEFORE THE KP SERVICE TRIBUNAL, PESHAWAR.

SERVICE	L APPI	EAL NO.	•	/2022
~				

Muhammad Kamal Khan

V/S

Police Deptt:

CERTIFICATE:

It is certified that no other service appeal earlier has been filed between the present parties in this Tribunal, except the present one.

DEPONENT

LIT OF BOOKS:

..(1)

- 1. Constitution of the Islamic Republic of Pakistan, 1973.
- 2. The ESTA CODE
- 3. Any other case law as per need.

APPELLANT

Muhammad Kamal Khan

THROUGH:

(SYED NOMAN ÁLÍ BUKHARI) ADVOCATE, HIGH COURT PESHAWAR

(b) (b)

BEFORE THE KP SERVICE TRIBUNAL, PESHAWAR.

SERVICE	APPEAL NO.	/2022

Muhammad Kamal Khan

V/S

Police Deptt:

<u>AFFIDAVIT</u>

I, Muhammad Kamal Khan (Appellant), do hereby affirm that the contents of this service appeal are true and correct, and nothing has been concealed from this honourable Tribunal.

DEPONENT

Muhammad Kamal Khan



I, Superintendent of Police, Headquarters, Capital City Police Peshawar, as a competent authority, hereby, charge that Recruit Constable Muhammad Kamal No.6929 of Capital City Police Peshawar with the following irregularities.

"It has been reported by Commandant PTC Hangu vide signal No.1827-29/GC dated 28.10.2020 that you <u>Recruit Constable Muhammad Kamal No.6929</u> while deputed for basic recruit training at PTC Hangu returned as unqualified & also absent from duty for 27-days without taking permission or leave. This amounts to gross misconduct on your part and is against the discipline of the force."

You are, therefore, required to submit your written defence within seven days of the receipt of this charge sheet to the Enquiry Officer committee, as the case may be.

Your written defence, if any, should reach the Enquiry Officer/Committee within the specified period, failing which it shall be presumed that have no defence to put in and in that case ex-parte action shall follow against you.

Intimate whether you desire to be heard in person.

A statement of allegation is enclosed.

SUPERINTENDENT OF POLICE,
HEADQUARTERS, PESHAWAR



DISCIPLINARY ACTION

I, Superintendent of Police, Headquarters, Capital City Police Peshawar as a competent authority, am of the opinion that Recruit Constable Muhammad Kamal No.6929 has rendered him-self liable to be proceeded against under the provision of Police Disciplinary Rules-1975

69/5

STATEMENT OF ALLEGATION

19-11-2020

"It has been reported by Commandant PTC Hangu vide signal No.1827-29/GC dated 28.10.2020 that Recruit Constable Muhammad Kamal No.6929 while deputed for basic recruit training at PTC Hangu returned as unqualified & also absent from duty for 27-days without taking permission or leave. This amounts to gross misconduct on his part and is against the discipline of the force."

For the purpose of scrutinizing the conduct of said accused with reference to the above allegations an enquiry is ordered and $\frac{SDPO-CITY\ T}{Officer}$ is appointed as Enquiry Officer.

2. The Enquiry Officer shall, in accordance with the provisions of the Police Disciplinary Rules, 1975, provide reasonable opportunity of hearing to the accused officer, record his finding within 30 days of the receipt of this order, make recommendations as to punishment or other appropriate action against the accused.

3. The accused shall join the proceeding on the date time and place fixed by the Enquiry Officer.

SUPERINTENDENT OF POLICE, HEADQUARTERS, PESHAWAR

No.	2	<u>63</u> /E/PA	, dated Pe	shawar t	he	16 /11	/2020
	1	SDPa	city	I		is direct	ted to
	finalize	the aforemen	tioned dep	artment	al proc	eeding wit	hin
	stipulat	ed period und	er the pro	vision of	Police	Rules-197	5.



FFICS OF THE DEPUTY SUPERINTENDENT OF POLICE, CHTY SUB-DIVISION, PESHAWAR.

No SOF meno dated Peshawar the 4 //2/2020.



To

and tup linear and if Police, Hiema Quader, Beshawar.

Subject: BETWEEN TAL ENOURIES.

Memo:

laters a later to your office letter dated 16-11-2020. (attached in origina:).

It is stand that the following enquiries is return herewith. withou, proceeding because of wrong belt numbers.

S.Nc

Rank & Name

Constable function Wall No.6474 /CPGC

Constable Johanninad Kamal No.6929

Deputy Superintendent of Police, City Sub-Division, Peshawar.

The official phosper Sames
Sam / DSP-chiques

Sam / DSP-chiques

PM 04/18722





OFFICE OF THE SPECIAL SECURITY UNIT (CPEC) KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA POLICE, PESHAWAR

Ph: 091-9211067 Fax 091-9213165, Email: ssu.cpec@gmail.com

<u>76</u>/OHC/HQrs.

dated Peshawar the

ORDER

Constable Kamal No. 6429 of SSU (CPEC), CCP Peshawar absented himself from his lawful duty w.e.f 18.11.2020 till date without taking any leave or permission from competent authority, received vide SP Hqrs. CCP Peshawar letter No. 255/PA dated 01.01.2021. Therefore, he is placed under suspension, pay stopped & direct him to collect his SCN from this office.

(AMIR MUHAMMAD KHAN KHADUKHEL)

SP Admin & HQrs. SSU (CPEC)

For Deputy Inspector General, of Police, Operations & SSU (CPEC) Khyber Pakhtunkhwa

Copy for information to the:

1. Worthy DIG Ops & SSU (CPEC), Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Peshawar.

2. SP Hars. CCP Peshawar.

3. Accountant HQrs. SSU (CPEC), Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Peshawar.

4. RI HQrs: SSU (CPEC), Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Peshawar.

5. SRC HQrs. SSU (CPEC), Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Peshawar.



(Under Rule 5 (3) K.P.K Police Rules, 1975)

As Ordered, I Superintendent of Police Admin & HQrs. SSU (CPEC), Peshawar, as npetent Authority hereby serve upon you Constable Kamal No. 6429 of SSU (CPEC)

While Posted at SSU (CPEC) CCP Peshawar you were remained absent from your ful duty w.e.f. 18.11.2020 till date without taking any leave or prior permission from competent authority received vide SP Hqrs. CCP Peshawar letter No. 255/PA, dated

- 1) Therefore I, Superintendent of Police Admin & HQrs. SSU (CPEC), Peshawar, as Competent Authority have tentatively decided to impose upon you major/minor penalty including dismissal from the service under police rules.
- 2) You are therefore, required to show cause & explain your position as to why penalty
- 3) If no reply to Show Cause Notice is received within the (07) seven days of its receipt, it shall be presumed that you have no defense to put in and consequently ex-parte action shall be taken against you.

(AMIR MUHAMMAD KHAN KHADUKHEL)

SP Admin & HQrs. SSU (CPEC) Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Peshawar

_OHC/HQrs.

dated Peshawar the 13/01/2021

Copy of the above is forwarded for information to the worthy DIG Ops/SSU C), Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Peshawar.

17361-0482645-3 17361-0482645-3 17361-0482645-3 0313-9933096-314014.

E Q

NDING U/R 6(5) OF POLICE RULES 1975, AGAINST RECRUIT CONSTABLE MUHAMMAD KAMAL NO. 6929.

The enquiry in hand was referred to this office to ascertain the misconduct, committed by the subject accused Recruit Constable vide order of enquiry No. 263/E/PA, dated 16-11-2020.

Short facts are that accused Recruit Constable Muhammad Kamal No. 6929 while deputed for basic recruit training at PTC Hangu returned as unqualified & also absent from duty for 27-days without taking permission or leave. This amounts to gross misconduct on his part and is against the discipline of the force.

In this regard, summons was issued to RI, Police Lines and OSI, Police Lines but the said constable was found as untraced. However from the perusal of the Commandant PTC Hangu signal vide No. 1827-29/GC, dated 28-10-2020, it is found that Muhammad Kamal No. 6929 is on serial No. 11 who belongs to District Nowshehra (Photocopy attached).

Keeping in view of the aforementioned record, the enquiry in hands is submitted for perusal and necessary orders, please.

Deputy Superintendent of Police, City Sub-Division, Peshawar.

Worthy Superintendent of Police, Head Quarters, Peshawar.

No. 69 /E/St, dated Peshawar the 23/01 /2021.

COSI TEPONÍ PR

M9 M9



OFFICE OF THE SUPERINTENDENT OF POLICE, DQUARTERS, PESHAWAR

Phone No. 091-9210737

61 /PA, Dt: 28/ 1 /2021

TO:

The SP Admn,

Special Security Unit CPEC

Subject:

DEPARTMENTAL ENQUIRY FILE AGAINST FC KAMAL NO.6629

OF CPEC

Memo:

The departmental enquiry proceedings against Constable Muhammad Kamal No.6929 of your unit while deputed for basic recruit course at PTC Hangu was returned as unqualified and also marked absent for 27-days vide Signal No.1827-27/GC dated 28.10.2020. Upon which, departmental enquiry was intimated and conducted by SDPO City-I against above named recruit constable.

Now, his departmental enquiry finding along with other related papers containing (11) Pages against Recruit Constable Muhammad Kamal No.6929 are sent herewith (in original) for further necessary action please.

> SUPERINTENDENT OF POLICE HQRS: PESHAWAR

CHARGE SHEET U/R 6(1) (A) NWFP (NOW KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA) POLICE RULES 1975.

You Constable Kamal No. 6429 of SSU (CPEC), District CCP, Peshawar is hereby charged for committing the following Omission/Commissions.

Constable Kamal No. 6429, of SSU (CPEC), District CCP, Peshawar, being remained absented himself from lawful duty w.e.f 18:11.2020 till to date received CCP, Peshawar vide letter No.255/PA dated 01.01.2021 without taking any leave/permission from competent authority.

In this regard a show cause has been issued to you with the letter No.171 dated 13.01.2021, but you failed to submit your reply. Therefore, you are hereby issued with a charge sheet, and called upon to submit your written defence statement against the above charged before the enquiry officer.

Your reply should reach to the inquiry officer within (07) days from date of receipt of this charge Sheet, failing with ex-part proceeding shall be initiated against you.

SUMMERY/STATEMENT OF ALLEGATION

Constable Kamal No. 6429, of SSU (CPEC), District CCP. Peshawar, being remained absented himself from lawful duty w.e.f 18.11.2020 till to date received CCP, Peshawar vide letter No.255/PA dated 01.01.2021 without taking any leave/permission from competent authority.

In this regard a show cause has been issued to you with the letter No.171 dated 13(01.2021, but you failed to submit your reply. Therefore, you are hereby issued with a charge sheet, and called upon to submit your written defence statement against the above charged before the enquiry officer.

Your reply should reach to the inquiry officer within (07) days from date of receipt of this charge Sheet, failing with Ex-part proceeding shall be initiated against you.

(AMIR MUHAMMAD KHAN KHADUKHEL)

SP Admin/HQrs, SSU (CPEC) Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Peshawar

Revised today

0332-9269924

The Superintendent of Police

Admin HQRS. SSU (CPEC)

Khyber Pukhtunkhwa Peshawar

Subject: Reply to charge sheet no. dated Nil.

R/SIR,

With profound respect it is submitted that during the fateful period I was suffering from typhoid. That due to some grudges with our opponents in our village I along with other family members was out of our residence I.e why I could not inform the concerned authority in time about my sickness, that when my health was recovered, I am regularly attending my duties' I have daily taken treatment from a certified doctor, though some of the perceptions are misplaced from me, however, medical perceptions available with me and are attached hereby for your kind/sympathetic concentration.

That I am a poor person having no source of income and this job is my only source of income and my absence from duty is not deliberate.

It is humbly prayed that my charge sheet and other inquiry proceedings may kindly be filed without taking any further action. I should be careful in future.

Yours obediently

Muhammad kamal khan(6429)

13-4-21 SSU (CPEC)

ازوفتر انكوائريانس

الكوائرى ربورث

جناب عال!

بحواله مشموله کاغذات انگوائری برخلاف کانشیبل محمد کمال SSU(CPEC)6429 نشلع پیثاور معروض خدمت بول که کانشیبل ند کوره بالا CCP پیثاور کا بھرتی شدہ اور تعینات تھا۔ کہ کانسلیل مذکورہ کہ خلاف بجو الہ جھٹی نمبر PA-255مور نیہ 2021-01-01 وفتر جناب SP/HQrs صاحب پیثاور سے نقلمدات مد55 غیر حاضری، مد 28روز نامچہ 2021-03-17 حاضری موصول ہو گی اور ساتھ ہی در خواست تخواہ بندش تحریر موصول ہوئی۔ جس پر OHC نے نوٹ شیٹ پٹ اپ کیااور جناب SP ایڈ من صاحب نے تنخواہ بندش اور ڈ بہار ممینٹل ایکشن تحریر فرمایا۔ مزید جناب DIG صاحب اپریشن نے فذکورہ کو بے سٹاپ ،سسپینڈاور ڈیپار ممینٹل ایکشن آرڈر جاری کیا۔ جناب SP ایڈمن صاحب نے بحوالہ OB نمبر OHC-171 مور نعہ 2021-01-13کوشوکاز نوٹس جاری کیا۔ مور فعہ 16-02-2021 کوبذریعہ سرکاری ٹیلی فون OHC نے بذات خود کانشیبل نہ کورہ کے موبائل پر کٹی بار رابطہ کیالیکن کوئی جواب موصول نہیں ہوا۔ رابطہ نہ ہونے کی صورت میں کانشیبل مذکورہ کے جمائی کے فون نمبر 139933096 وکہ فرنٹئیز کا لج میں کمپیوٹر ملازم ہے کو مطلع کیا گیا کہ کانشیل مذکورہ کو مطلع کریں کہ اپناشو کازنوٹس وصول کریں۔ کانشیبل مور خہ 2021-01-21 کو آگر اپناشو کازنوٹس خود وصول کیا۔ گر کانشیبل ند کورہ نے شوکاز نوٹس کاجواب ریکارڈ نہیں کرایا۔ مقررہ معیاد کے بعد جناب SP/Adminجساحب نے چھٹی نمبر 33-932مور خد 2021-03-31 كوچارج شيث جاري كيا۔ اور من انسكٹر د فضل معبود خان كو انگوائر كى افسر مقرر كيا۔ كانشيبل مذكورہ كو مور حد 2021-04-01 كو اسكے ذاتى موباكل نمبر 03329269924 بر مطلع كيا گيا كه اپنا چارج شيث وصول كريں _ كانشيل مذكوره نے مور خد 2021-04-12 کو آگر اپناچارج شیٹ خو دوصول کیااور مور خد 2021-04-13 کوچارج شیٹ کا تحریری بیان ریکارڈ کر ایااور ساتھ ہی پرائیوٹ میڈیکل کاغذات پیش کئے۔ جناب SP/HQrs صاحب پشاور نے برخلاف کانشیل مذکورہ بخوالہ چھٹی نمبر - 561 PA موصول ہوکر تحریر ہے کہ کانشیبل مذکورہ بحوالہ OB نمبر 1827-1827 کمانڈنٹ PTC سنگو نے 27 یوم غیر حاضری پر اَن کوالیفائیڈ کرکے مور خد 2020-11-13کو ضلع واپس کیا تھا۔ جملہ کاغذات انگوائزی کل (11) صفحات بمعہ کورنگ لیٹر لف ہمراہ انگوائری ہذاہے۔

بسلیلہ انگوائزی معلوم ہوا کہ کانشیل مذکور کو 27 یوم غیر حاضری پر کمانڈنٹ PTC ہٹگو نے مور ندہ 2020-11-13 کو ضلع والبن كيا تقامور خد 2020-11-18 تا 2021-03-17 تك غير حاضر تقاچونكه اب معلوم بهوا كه مورخه 2021-03-29 كالشيبل ند کورہ بدستور غیر عاضر ہے۔LO محرر لائن کو تحریری پروانہ جاری کیا۔ پروانے کے پشت پر محرر سٹاف نے تحریر کیا ہے کہ کانسیبل مذکورہ کو بحوالہ مد 25روز نامچیہ 2021–03–29 سے بدستور غیر حاضر ہے۔ پر دانہ واپس ار سال ہے۔جولف انکوائر کی ہذاہے۔ جو کہ محرر ساف کا ر نکار ڈ تصور ہے۔

خلاصد بيان:

(7)

کانشیل محمد کمال SSU(CPEC)6429 صلع پشاور نے اپنے تحریری بیان میں وجہ غیر حاضری فیلی مسئلہ ظاہر کیاہے۔ م بیاری کی نسبت فاروقی دواخانہ کے کاغذات پیش کیئے ہیں اور تحریر کیاہے کہ ایک غریب گھرانے سے تعلق رکھتاہے اور نوکری کرنے کی محمد خواہش ظاہر کی ہے۔

رائے اکوائری افسر:

کی گئی انکوائری اور میسر شدہ ریکارڈ سے معلوم ہوا کہ ریکروٹ کا نشیبل محمد کمال 6429 ضلع پیٹاور محکمہ پولیس میں مور ند 2019–12-10 کو (CPEC) کا SSU(CPEC) کی جربہ 4 مینے زیرا نکوائری غیر حاضر ہے۔ کا نشیبل بد کورہ نے جو بیان ریکارڈ کر وایا ہے میں بحیثیت انکوائری افسر اسکے اس بیان سے متفق نہیں ہوں۔ کیونکہ محکمہ پولیس ایک ڈسپلن فورس ہاور قواعد اور ضوابط موجود ہے۔ مگر 4 مینے غیر حاضری ، جبکہ PTC ہنگو سے 27 یوم غیر حاضری علیحدہ اور مور خد -20-29 قواعد اور ضوابط موجود ہے۔ مگر 4 مینے غیر حاضری ، جبکہ کا اور کا نشیبل مذکورہ ایک عادی غیر حاضر باش ہاور اس سے متفقیل کے ایک اچھے پولیس افسر بنتے کی اُمید نہیں کی جاسکتی۔ اور محکمہ پولیس میں نوکری کرنے کا ارادہ نہیں رکھتا۔ اور کا نشیبل مذکورہ کو محکمہ پولیس سے علیحدہ کرنے کی اُمید نہیں کی جاسکتی۔ اور محکمہ پولیس میں نوکری کرنے کا ارادہ نہیں رکھتا۔ اور کا نشیبل مذکورہ کو محکمہ پولیس سے مادی کو اگرائری رپورٹ گرارش ہے۔ مگر افسر ان بالاکا حکم افضل ہے انکوائری رپورٹ گرارش ہے۔ مگر افسر ان بالاکا حکم افضل ہے انکوائری رپورٹ گرارش ہے۔ مگر افسر ان بالاکا حکم افضل ہے انکوائری رپورٹ گرارش ہے۔ مگر افسر ان بالاکا حکم افضل ہے انکوائری رپورٹ گرارش ہے۔ میں موجود کے مقاور میں موجود کی مو

ا کوائری افسر (CPEC) SSU

ميذ كوار فريشاور

Assert Final SCN;
Som Pomot Char.

FINAL SHOW CAUSE NOTICE

(Under Rule 5 (3) K.P.K Police Rules, 1975)

I Superintendent of Police Admin & HQrs. SSU (CPEC), Peshawar, as Competent Authority hereby serve upon you Constable Kamal No. 6429 of SSU

That consequent upon the completion of the Inquiry conducted against you by Enquiry Officer Inspector Fazle Mabood khan of SSU (CPEC) for which you were given full opportunity of hearing. Ongoing to the findings/recommendations of the inquiry officer the material available on record and other connected papers. I am satisfied that you have committed the following acts/omissions per police rules 1975.

While Posted at SSU (CPEC) CCP Peshawar you were remained absent from your lawful duty w.e.f. 18.11.2020 upto 17.03.2021 (119 days) and once again from 29.03.2021 till date (119 + 58 = 177 days up till now), without taking any leave or prior permission from the competent authority received vide SP Hqrs. CCP Peshawar letter No. 255/PA, dated 01.01.2021.

1) Therefore I, Superintendent of Police Admin & HQrs. SSU (CPEC), Peshawar, as Competent Authority have tentatively decided to impose upon you major/minor penalty including dismissal from the service under police rules.

2) You are therefore, required to show cause & explain your position as to why penalty should not be imposed upon you.

3) If no reply to Show Cause Notice is received within the (15) fifteen days of its receipt, it shall be presumed that you have no defense to put in and consequently ex-parte action shall be taken against you.

(AMIR MUHAMMAD KHAN KHADUKHEL)

SP Admin & HQrs. SSU (CPEC) Khyber Pakhtunkhwa

OHC/HQrs.

Peshawar dated Peshawar the 26 / 05 / 2021

Copy of the above is forwarded for information to the worthy DIG Ops/SSU (CPEC), Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Peshawar.

Discharged from services the period of absence treated the amount of paid during
The absence. Tom Immallume.

14/viil/XXi reckon and recover

I 19



OFFICE OF THE SPECIAL SECURITY UNIT (CPEC) KHYBER PÄKHTUNKHWA POLICE, PENHAWAR

Ph; 091-0211067 Fax 091-9213165, fimail: ssupportagman.com

3043-48 HORS/SSU/

dated Peshawar the Ob / OS /2021

ORDER

This office order will dispose the formal departmental enquiry against Recruit Constabl Auhammad Kamal No. 6429 of Special Security Unit (CPEC), CCP Peshawar.

Brief facts of the case are that the Recruit Constable Muhammad Kamal Sin. (2023) bsented himself from his lawful duty w.e.f (i) 18.11.2020 till to date received vide SP HQrs: CC eshawar letter No. 255/PA, dated 01.01.2021.

In this regard, a Note Sheet was presented before DIG Ops and SSU (CPHC), where is assed the remarks pay stopped, suspended and for departmentally inquiry. The accused Constant placed under suspension, pay stopped and issued SCN vide this office order No. 172-76 OHC/HOP. lated 13.01.2021, which was received by the Constable himself. He was summoned again at al again to ie did not feel bother to submit his reply. An enquiry file consisting 11 pages received from SD HQr CCP Peshawar vide letter No. 661/PA, dated 28.01.2021, where the constable had repair attachaquari the rom PTC Hangu for 27 days absence without taking any prior permission or leave from compoter uthority, requesting for further necessary action. Therefore, he was issued with a Charge Sheet via his office order No. 932-33, dated 31.03.2021 and Fazle Mabood Khan was nominated as Enquir Officer. During the course of inquiry it was reported that he made his arrival vide DD report No. 28 lated 17.03.2021 and absented himself once again w.c.f. 29.03.2021 till to date vide (31) report No. 2 received from LO CCP Peshawar. Charge Sheet was received by the constable himself on date 12.04.2021 and submitted his reply on dated 13.04.2021 of his illness and produced the medical prescriptions from a private Herbal doctor. The constable was enquired thoroughly by the EO and mad some cross questions and after proper proceedings the EO submitted his findings report that the accuse constable remained absented for 27 days from PTC Hangu, 03 months and 29 days from CCP Lines and ibsented once again w.e.f. 29.03.2021 till to date and recommended him for discharge from services for his deliberately absence because the accused Constable could not submit any cogent reason, therefore te was issued with a final Show Cause Notice vide this office order No. 1799 OFFC HQrs, date: 26.05.202, served upon him on 23.06.2021, summoned for statement time and again but he did not take any part in the enquiry proceeding.

Therefore, keeping in view the above facts, recommendation of the EO. Is Superintender of Police Admin and HQrs SSU (CPEC) in exercise of the powers vested in metander police rule 197. (amended 2014) hereby lawarded him a major punishment of "Discharge him fican Section" with immediate effect. The period he remained absent treated as without pay and the accountant mass recken and recover the amount if paid during the absence.

Order announced.

(AMIR MUHAMMAD KHAN KHAN)

SP Admin & HOrs, SSU (CPFC) Khyber Pakh unkhwa, Peshawar

Copy for information to that

J. 25



OFFICE OF THE INSPECTOR GENERAL OF

KIIV HER PAKHTUNKUWA Control Polico Office, Peshayar.

Sun 1/24

cll, dated Postinwar the Bl 32/2012

Me Communidads Peo sal Security Pungt II Ch Jeksber Pakhitipkhiya, Podiawa

REVISION PETITION:

Phono selet to your office Memor No. 3549-81-02 PHOESSEY, dated

the Component Anthony has examined and filed the Propose pention and the thought of Month and the Month and No. 6429 of CPFC Unit amount the protestancia of a charge from setting owneded by SP. Admin & HOrst SSU (CPFC), Klipber Pakhtuikkiwa.

Shower vide of keeper, 1911 J. Belli Joe. SSU, dated to 08, 2021, being tone barrel.

the applicant may please be intormed accordingly

(NOOR AFGILAN)

Registrat

For Inspector General of Police-Khyper Cakhumkhwa, Peshawat

BEFORE THE HON'BLE, KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA, SERVICE TRIBUNAL, PESHAWAR.

Service Appeal No. 1285/2022	
Muhammad Kamal Khan.	Appellant

Versus

Provincial Police Officer, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar etc

.....Respondents.

INDEX

S. NO	DESCRIPTION OF DOCUMENTS	ANNEXURE	PAGE
1.	Para-wise comments		1-3
2.	Affidavit	. :	4
3.	Authority Letter	+	
4.	Copy of order dated 13.01.2021	A	 6
5.	Copy of charge sheet dated 31.03.2020	В	$\frac{}{7}$
6.	Copy of order dated 06.08.2021	$\frac{1}{C}$	<u>,</u>
7.	Copy of order on revision petition	D	9

Respondents through

MASOOD KHAN

SI/LEGAL: SSU/CPEC,

PESHAWAR.

CNIC: 17101-0392555-1

MOBILE: 0314-9823269

BEFORE THE HONORABLE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICE TRIBUNAL, PESHAWAR

Service Appeal No. 1285/2022	
Muhammad Kamal Khan	(Appellant)
VERSU	JS
Inspector General of Police Khyber Pakhto	ınkhwa, Peshawar etc
	(Respondents)

REPLY BY RESPONDENTS NO. 1 TO 4

RESPECTFULLY SHEWETH:

PRELIMINARY OBJECTIONS:-

- a) That the appeal is not based on facts.
- b) That the appeal is not maintainable in the present form.
- c) That the appeal is bad for mis-joinder and non-joinder of necessary and proper parties.
- d) That the appeal is barred by law & limitation.
- e) That the appellant is stopped to file the instant Service Appeal by his own conduct.
- f) That the appellant has not come to this Honorable Tribunal with clean hands.
- g) That the appellant has got no cause of action and locus standi to file the instant Service Appeal.

FACTS:-

- 1. Pertains to service record of the appellant hence no comments.
- 2. Incorrect. The appellant deliberately absented himself from lawful duty without taking any permission from his superiors which showed his lack of interest while performing official duty. Further added that appellant was under probation so he did not follow the discipline of Police Force.
- 3. Incorrect. The appellant placed under suspension and served with Show Cause notice vide this office Letter No. 172-76/OHC/HQrs dated 13.01.2021, (Annexure "A") which was received by the appellant himself. He was summoned time and again but he did not feel bother to submit his reply.

- 4. Incorrect. The appellant was served with charge sheet vide office Letter No. 932-33 dated 31.03.2020 (Annexure "B") and Fazle Mabood Khan was appointed as Enquiry Officer. During the course of enquiry it was reported that he made his arrival vide DD report No. 28 dated 17.03.2021 and absented himself once again w.e.f 29.03.2021. The appellant collected charge sheet by his own and submitted his reply on 13.04.2021, the appellant also produced 06 medical prescriptions from a private Herbal Doctor. The appellant was enquired thoroughly by the Enquiry Officer and made cross questions and after proper proceedings the Enquiry Officer submitted his findings report wherein the appellant was found guilty of misconduct. Further added that the appellant badly failed to provide any plausible reason in his self defense. After fulfillment of all codal formalities he was discharge from service vide order dated 06.08.2021. (Copy of order is enclosed as Annexure "C").
- 5. Incorrect. The revision petition of the appellant was rejected being badly time barred vide order dated 01.08.2022 (Annexure "D"). It is worth to add here that when appeal before departmental authority is time barred, service appeal before the Service Tribunal is incompetent. Reliance has already been placed on 2017 SCMR 965, 2006 SCMR 453, 2007 SCMR 513, 2011 SCMR 1429 & 2021 SCMR 144. Furthermore, the instant service appeal is not maintainable on the following Grounds.

GROUNDS:-

- A. Incorrect. The orders passed by the Competent Authority and Appellate Authority are quite legally justified therefore no need to be set aside.
- **B.** Incorrect. The orders passed by the respondents are maintainable in accordance with law/ rules.
- C. Incorrect. The departmental proceedings were conducted in accordance with Law.
- **D.** Incorrect. As already explained in Para No. 4 of Facts.
- E. Incorrect. The appellant has been treated in accordance with law/rules.
- **F.** Incorrect and misleading. The departmental appeal was rejected on cogent reasons and under the mode of competency.
- G. Incorrect. As already explained above in Para No. 4 of Facts.

- H. Incorrect. As already explained above. The appellant has been treated in accordance with law/rules.
- I. Incorrect and misleading. The appellant badly failed to prove his innocence during departmental proceedings.
- J. The orders passed by the authorities are quite in accordance with law/rules.
- **K.** The respondents may also be allowed to raise additional Grounds at the time of hearing of the instant service appeal.

PRAYER:-

Keeping in view the above stated facts and rules it is therefore humbly prayed that the appeal is not maintainable being devoid of merits hence, may kindly be dismissed with costs, please.

Superintendent of Police, HQrs: Peshawar. (Respondent No. 4) Superintendent of Police, Admn, SSU (CPEC), Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar. (Respondent No. 3)

Deputy Inspector General of Police, SSU (CPEC), Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar.

(Respondent No. 2)

Provincial Police/Officer, Khyber Pakhtuakhwa, Peshawar.

(Respondent No. 1)

BEFORE THE HONORABLE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA, SERVICE TRIBUNAL, PESHAWAR

Service Appeal No. 1285/2022

Provincial Police Officer, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar.....(Petitioner)

VERSUS

Muhammad Kamal Khan.....(Respondents)

AFFIDAVIT

I, Masood Khan SI/Legal, SSU/CPEC do hereby solemnly affirm on oath that the contents of writ petition on behalf of Inspector General of Police, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar are correct to the best my knowledge and belief. Nothing has been concealed from this Honorable Court.

DEPONENT

(MASOOD KHAN)

SI/ Legal,

SSU/CPEC, PESHAWAR. 17101-0392555-1

0314-9823269

AUTHORITY LETTER

Mr. Masood Khan SI/Legal, SSU/CPEC, Peshawar Focal Person is authorized to pursue the cases pertaining to SSU/CPEC, Peshawar in Honorable Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Service Tribunal, Peshawar submission of Para-wise comments/reply in Honorable Tribunal on behalf of undersigned, please.

MUHAMMAD ZAFAR ALI KHAN COMMANDANT,

SSU/CPEC, PESHAWAR.



OFFICE OF THE SPECIAL SECURITY UNIT (CPEC) KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA POLICE, **PESHAWAR**

Ph: 091-9211067 Fax 091-9213165, Email: ssu.cpec@gmail.com

6_/OHC/HQrs.

dated Peshawar the

ORDER

Constable Kamal No. 6429 of SSU (CPEC), CCP Peshawar absented himself from his lawful duty w.c.f 18.11.2020 till date without taking any leave or permission from competent authority, received vide SP Hqrs. CCP Peshawar letter No. 255/PA dated 01.01.2021. Therefore, he is placed under suspension, pay stopped & direct him to collect his SCN from this office.

(AMIR MUHAMMAD KHAN KHADUKHEL)

SP Admin & HQrs. SSU (CPEC) For Deputy Inspector General, of Police,

Operations & SSU (CPEC) Khyber Pakhtunkhwa

Copy for information to the:

1. Worthy DIG Ops & SSU (CPEC), Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Peshawar. 2. SP Hors. CCP Peshawar.

3. Accountant HQrs. SSU (CPEC), Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Peshawar.

4. RI HQrs: SSU (CPEC), Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Peshawar.

5. SRC HQrs. SSU (CPEC), Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Peshawar.

ORDER OF INQUIRY AGAINST CONSTABLE KAMAL NO. 6429 CONTAINED UNDER SUB, RULE 4, OF RULE 5 OF NWFP (NOW KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA) (E&D) RULES 1975.

It has been made to appear before me that accused Constable Kamal No. 6429 is primes-face guilty of the following charges to be dealt with under General Police proceedings contained u/r 5(4) of NWFP Rules (E&D) 1975.

Constable Kamal No. 6429, of SSU (CPEC), District CCP, Peshawar, being remained absented himself from lawful duty w.e.f 18.11.2020 till to date received CCP, Peshawar vide letter No.255/PA dated 01.01.2021 without taking any leave/permission from competent authority.

In this regard a show cause has been issued to you with the letter No.171 dated 13.01.2021, but you failed to submit your reply. Therefore, you are hereby issued with a charge sheet, and called upon to submit your written defence statement against the above charged before the enquiry officer.

The act of accused official falls within the ambit of misconduct within the meaning of rules 2 (iii) rules 1975 and is liable to be proceeded with under the General police proceedings, contained in Police Rules 1975.

From the above charge, I am convinced that the said official has ceased to become efficient and it accused of gross misconduct therefore, I Superintendent of Police Special Security Unit (CPEC) HQrs. Peshawar being authorized officer within the meaning of 2(ii) of the said rules nominate Inquiry Officer. <u>Inspector Fazle Mabood Khan</u> to inquiry into the charge, levelled against him.

The Inquiry officer after completing all inquiry proceedings, shall forward the verdict/Findings to the undersigned within due dated period of 10-days contained U/S 6 (5) of the rules.

Charge sheet and summary of allegations against the accused officer, are being issued separately, reply where of shall be submitted before the enquiry officer within the period of 07.days from date of receipt.

(AMIR MUHAMMAD KHAN KHADUKHEL

SP Admin/HQrs, SSU (CPEC) Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Peshawar

No. $\frac{932-33}{\text{HQrs/SSU}}$ dated Peshawar the $\frac{31}{\sqrt{03}}$ /2020.

Enquiry Officer. **INSPECTOR FAZLE MABOOD KHAN**

OFFICE OF THE SPECIAL SECURITY UNIT (CPEC) KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA POLICE, PESHAWAR

Ph: 091-9211067 Fax 091-9213165, Email: ssu.cpec@gmail.com

3043-48 HQrs/SSU/

dated Peshawar the <u>06/08</u>/2021

ORDER

This office order will dispose the formal departmental enquiry against Recruit Constable uhammad Kamal No. 6429 of Special Security Unit (CPEC), CCP Peshawar.

Brief facts of the case are that the Recruit Constable Muhammad Kamal No. 6429 absented himself from his lawful duty w.e.f (i) 18.11.2020 till to date received vide SP HQrs: CCl Peshawar letter No. 255/PA, dated 01.01.2021.

In this regard, a Note Sheet was presented before DIG Ops and SSU (CPEC), where h passed the remarks pay stopped, suspended and for departmentally inquiry. The accused Constabl placed under suspension, pay stopped and issued SCN vide this office order No. 172-76/OHC/HQrs dated 13.01.2021, which was received by the Constable himself. He was summoned again and again bu he did not feel bother to submit his reply. An enquiry file consisting 11 pages received from SP HQr CCP Peshawar vide letter No. 661/PA, dated 28.01.2021, where the constable had repatriated unqualifie from PTC Hangu for 27 days absence without taking any prior permission or leave from competer. authority, requesting for further necessary action. Therefore, he was issued with a Charge Sheet vid this office order No. 932-33, dated 31.03.2021 and Fazle Mabood Khan was nominated as Enquir Officer. During the course of inquiry it was reported that he made his arrival vide DD report No. 28 dated 17.03.2021 and absented himself once again w.e.f. 29.03.2021 till to date vide DD report No. 2. received from LO CCP Peshawar. Charge Sheet was received by the constable himself on date 12.04.2021 and submitted his reply on dated 13.04.2021 of his illness and produced 06 medica prescriptions from a private Herbal doctor. The constable was enquired thoroughly by the EO and mad. ' some cross questions and after proper proceedings the EO submitted his findings report that the accuse constable remained absented for 27 days from PTC Hangu, 03 months and 29 days from CCP Lines and absented once again w.c.f. 29.03.2021 till to date and recommended him for discharge from service fo his deliberately absence because the accused Constable could not submit any cogent reason, therefore he was issued with a final Show Cause Notice vide this office order No. 1799/OHC/HQrs, date 26.05.202, served upon him on 23.06.2021, summoned for statement time and again but he did not takany part in the enquiry proceeding.

Therefore, keeping in view the above facts, recommendation of the EO, I, Superintender of Police Admin and HQrs SSU (CPEC) in exercise of the powers vested in me under police rule 197 (amended 2014) hereby awarded him a major punishment of "Discharge him from Service" with immediate effect. The period he remained absent treated as without pay and the accountant must reckon and recover the amount if paid during the absence.

Order announced.

(AMIR MUHAMMAD KHAN KHADUKHEL)

SP Admin & HQrs. SSU (CPEC

Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar

Copy for information to the:

1. Worthy DIG Ops & SSU (CPEC), Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Peshawar.

2. SP HQrs CCP Peshawar.

3. Accountant HQrs. SSU (CPEC), Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Peshawar.

4. RI/LO/OHC HQrs. SSU (CPEC), Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Peshawar.

5. SRC SSU (CPEC), Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Peshawar.

08 No. 418 Pated 66 1 08 1 027



OFFICE OF THE INSPECTOR GENERAL OF

KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA Central Police Office, Peshawar.

No. S/ 1684

/22, dated Peshawar the O/ OS/2022.

Τo

The

Commandant,

Special Security Unit (CPEC), Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar.

Subject:-

REVISION PETITION.

Memo:

Please refer to your office Memo: No. 4549-51/EC/HQrs/SSU, dated 20.07.2022.

The Competent Authority has examined and filed the revision petition submitted by Ex-FC Muhammad Kamal No. 6429 of CPEC Unit against the punishment of discharge from service awarded by SP/Admin & HQrs: SSU (CPEC), Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar vide order No. 3043-48/HQrs/SSU, dated 06.08.2021, being time barred.

The applicant may please be informed accordingly.

(NØOR AFGHAN)

Registrar,

For Inspector General of Police, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar.

SP/Admn:

Commandant SSU

for further alactor and report please.

58/A/222 04/08/22

E:\Secret Branch 2022\Letters\Letters B.rtf

VAKALATNAMA

N. T.	•	
NO.		•
	- /2()	
The same of the sa		

IN THE COURT OF KP SERVICE TRIBUNAL PESHAWAR

Talamas Kama Khou

Petitioner Plaintiff.

VERSUS Police Dept

Respondent (s) Defendants (s)

Muhammad kamal khau

do hereby appoint and constitute the SYED NOMAN ALI BUKHARI Advocate High Court for the aforesaid Appellant(s), Petitioner(S), Plaintiff(s) / Respondent(s), Defendant(s), Opposite Party to commence and prosecute / to appear and defend this action / appeal / petition / reference on my / our behalf and al proceedings that may be taken in respect of any application connected with the same including proceeding in taxation and application for review, to draw and deposit money, to file and take documents, to accept the process of the court, to appoint and instruct council, to represent the aforesaid Appellant, Petitioner(S), Plaintiff(s) / Respondent(s), Defendant(s), Opposite Party agree(s) ratify all the acts done by the aforesaid.

DATE

(CLIENT)

SYED NOMAN ALI BUKHARI ADVOCATE HIGH COURT

CELL NO: 0306-5109438