10.07.2023

Learned counsel for the appellant present. Mr. Zahir Shah,
Inspector (Legal) alongwith Mr. Asad Ali Khan, Assistant
Advocate General for the respondents present.

Learned counsel for the appellant seeks time for preparation of arguments. Adjourned. To come up for arguments on 15.09.2023 before the D.B. Parcha Peshi given to the parties.

(Rashida Bano) Member (J) (Salah-ud-Din) Member (J)



26.04.2023

Appellant present through counsel.

Fazal Shah Mohmand, learned Additional Advocate General for respondents present.



Learned Member Executive (Mr. Muhammad Akbar Khan) is on leave, therefore, case is adjourned. To come up for arguments on 23.05.2023 before D.B. Parcha Peshi given to the parties.

(Rozina Rehman) Member (J)

Mutazem Shah

23rd May, 2023

- 1. Learned counsel for appellant present. Mr. Fazal Shah Mohmand, Additional Advocate General for respondents present.
- 2. Learned counsel for appellant requested for adjournment in order to prepare the brief. Adjourned. To come up for arguments on 10.07.2023 before D.B. P.P given to the parties.

(Fareeha Paul) Member (E) (Kalim Arshad Khan) Chairman

Mutazem Shah

04.01.2023

Learned counsel for the appellant present. Mr. Hikmat Khan, ASI alongwith Mr. Naseer-ud-Din Shah, Assistant Advocate General for the respondents present.

To come up alongwith connected Service Appeal bearing No. 515/2018 titled "Abdul Tawab Versus Superintendent of Police, FRP, Malakand Range, Swat and 02 others", on 10.02.2023 before the

D.B.

(Mian Muhammad) Member (E) (Salah-Ud-Din) Member (J)

10.02.2023

Learned counsel for the appellant present. Mr. Muhammad Adeel Butt, Addl. Advocate General alongwith Inamul Haq, Inspector for the respondents present.



Learned counsel for the appellant requested for adjournment in order to further prepare the brief. Granted. To come up for arguments on 26.04.2023 before the D.B.

(FAREEMA PAUL) Member (E)

(ROZINA REHMAN) Member (J) 10,06.2022.

Bench is incomplete. Therefore,
the case is adjourned to 30.08-2022
before the Same.

READER.

30.08:2022

Bench is incomplete, therefore, case is adjourned to 07.11.2022 for the same as before.

Reader

07.11.2022

Appellant present in person. Mr. Kabirullah Khattak, Additional Advocate for the respondents present.

Former requested for adjournment due to engagement of learned senior counsel for the appellant before Honourable Peshawar High Court today. Adjourned. To come up for arguments on 04.01.2023 before the D.B.

SCANNED KEST Peshawar

> (Fareeha Paul) Member (E)

(Rozina Rehman) Member(J) Appellant present through counsel.

Muhammad Adeel Butt learned Additional Advocate General Zubair A.S.I for respondents present.

Former made a request for adjournment. Request is accorded. To come up for arguments on 22.11.2021 before D.B.

(Rozina Rehman) Member (J) Chairman

22.11.2021

Nemo for the appellant.

Mr. Noor Zaman Khattak, District Attorney for the respondents present.

Appellant/counsel be put on notice for 14.03.2022 for arguments before D.B.

(Atiq-Ur-Rehman Wazir) Member (E) (Rozina Rehman) Member (J)

2/1/2/21

14.03.2022

Due to retirement of the Worthy Chairman, the Tribunal is defunct, therefore, case is adjourned to 10.06.2022 for the same as before.

Readel

29.10.2020

Junior to counsel for the appellant, Zara Tajwar, DDA for the respondents present.

The Bar is observing general strike, therefore, the matter is adjourned to 06.01.2021 for hearing before the D.B.

(Atiq-ur-Rehman Wazir) Member Chairman

06.01.2021

Counsel for the appellant and Asstt. A.G alongwith Nosherawan, Inspector (Legal) for the respondents present.

Learned counsel referred to the impugned order dated 06.12.2008 and stated that the same was given effect from 13.10.2018. She, therefore, requests for adjournment of instant appeal to a date after the hearing of proposition regarding retrospective applicability of penalty by a Larger Bench of this Tribunal.

Adjourned to 14.4.2021 for hearing before the D.B.

(Atiq-ur-Rehman Wazir) Member(E)

Chairman

14.04.2021

Due to demise of the Worthy Chairman, the Tribunal is non-functional, therefore, case is adjourned to 29.07.2021 for the same as before.

Reader

No one present on behalf of appellant. Mr. Kabir Ullah Khattak learned Additional Advocate General alongwith Taj Fareen Khan S.I representative of respondent department present and submitted written reply/comments. Adjourn. To come up for rejoinder if any and arguments on 01.04.2020 before D.B. Appellant be put to notice for the date fixed.

Member

1-04-2020- Due to public holidays on accord
of Covid-19, the case is adjourned.
To come up For the Same on
24-06-2020-

Ready

24-6-20

29.01.2020

Due to public holidy, the Call is adjoined. To come up for the same on 25-8-20

Roads

25.08.2020

Due to summer vacation case to come up for the same on 29.10.2020 before D.B.

Reader

06.09.2019

Appellant Deposited

Counsel for the appellant present.

On the strength of judgments of this Tribunal handed down in Appeal No. 1385/2017 and No. 264/2012 instant appeal is admitted for regular hearing subject to all just exceptions. The appellant is directed to deposit security and process fee within 10 days. Thereafter, notices be issued to the respondents. To come up for written reply/comments on 05.11.2019 before S.B.

Chairman

05.11.2019

Counsel for the appellant and Addl. AG alongwith Hamshed ASI for the respondents present.

Representative of respondents seek, time to furnish the comments. Adjourned to 17.12.2019 on which date the requisite reply/comments shall positively be submitted.

Chairman)

•

17.12.2019

Nemo for appellant. Addl. AG alongwith Daud Shah, S.I for the respondents present.

Representative of respondents seeks time to furnish reply/comments. Adjourned to 29.01.2020 on which date the requisite reply/comments shall positively be submitted.

Chairman

Form- A FORM OF ORDER SHEET

Court of		_
Case No	1046/ 2019	٠
Case No	1040/2013	

	Case No	1046/2019
S.No	Date of order proceedings	Order or other proceedings with signature of judge
1	2	3
1-	08/08/2019	The appeal of Mr. Shafiq Khan resubmitted today by Uzma Syed
	BCANNED PESNEWAR	Worthy Chairman for proper order please. REGISTRAR
2-	16/08/19	This case is entrusted to S. Bench for preliminary hearing to be put up there on <u>objecting</u>
		CHAIRMAN
,		
-		

The appeal of Shafiq Khan received today i.e. on 22-07-2019 is incomplete on the following score which is returned to the counsel for the appellant for completion and resubmission within 15 days.

17 19. 1

1- Annexure-A, B, C & E of the appeal are illegible which may be replaced by legible/better

No. 1277 /S.T,

Dt. 26-7- /2019

KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA PESHAWAR.

Mst. Uzma Syed Advocate, Peshawar.

Sik

es boromos esser moitosofde lla

resubmitted.

5-8-2019

Objections are Still Stand and the appaul in hard is returned again to the counsel for the eppelled for completion and nesula issign with 15 days. Registry

No. 1374 /S.T dt. 05/812019

Sin

(3 ot A)

(3 ot A)

(4 vesubmitted)

the file

13m-e 8-8-2019-30

7

06.01.2021

Counsel for the appellant and Asstt. A.G alongwith Nosherawan, Inspector (Legal) for the respondents present.

Learned counsel referred to the impugned order dated 06.12.2008 and stated that the same was given effect from 13.10.2018. She, therefore, requests for adjournment of instant appeal to a date after the hearing of proposition regarding retrospective applicability of penalty by a Larger Bench of this Tribunal.

Adjourned to 14.4.2021 for hearing before the D.B.

(Atiq-ur-Rehman Wazir) Member(E) Chairman

BEFORE THE KPK SERVICE TRIBUNAL PESHAWAR

APPEAL NO. 1046/2019

Shafiq Khan

V/S

Police Deptt:

INDEX

S.No. Page No. Documents Annexure Memo of Appeal Copy impugned order 2. -A-Copy of tribunal judgment 3. B & C _13 Copy of departmental appeal 4. -Dcopy of rejection order -E-5. Vakalat Nama 6.

APPELLANT

THROUGH:

(UZMA ŠYED)

&

SYED NOMAN ALI BUKHARI (ADVOCATES, PESHAWAR)





BEFORE THE KPK SERVICE TRIBUNAL PESHAWAR

APPEAL NO/2019	
Shafiq Khan Ex-Constable No. 576 District Buner	
(Appellant)	
VERSUS	
 Inspector General of Police ,KP Peshawar. The Regional Police officer, Malakand, at Saidu Sharif Swat . District Police Officer Buner. 	
(Respondents))

APPEAL UNDER SECTION 4 OF THE SERVICE TRIBUNAL ACT, 1974 AGAINST THE ORDER DATED 25.06.2019 RECIVED BY APPELANT ON 1.7.2019 WHEREBY THE DEPARTMENTAL APPEAL OF THE APPELLANT AGAINST THE ORDER DATED 06.12.2008 WAS REJECTED FOR NO GOOD GROUNDS.

PRAYER:

THAT THE ACCEPTANCE OF THIS APPEAL, THE ORDER DATED 25.06.2019 and 06.12.2008 MAY BE SET ASIDE AND THE APPELLANT MAY BE REINSTATED WITH ALL BACK AND CONSEQUENTIAL BENEFITS. ANY OTHER REMEDY WHICH THIS AUGUST TRIBUNAL DEEMS FIT AND APPOPRIATE THAT MAY ALSO BE AWARADED IN FAVOUR OF APPELLANT.

(3)

RESPECTFULLY SHEWETH:

FACTS:

Facts giving rise to the present service appeal are as under:

- 1. That the appellant was the employee of the police and was on the strength of the police force Buner.
- 2. That during Taliban Militancy in Buner appellant was dismissed from the service by the respondent no.3 vide order dated 06.12.2008. Copy of impugned order is attached as Annexure-A.
- 3. That, neither any show cause, charge sheet, statement of allegation, inquiry, opportunity of defense, final show cause notice, opportunity of personal hearing has been served and provided respectively nor any publication has ever been made calling him for assumption of his duty.
- 4. That some of the colleagues of the appellant have been re-instated by the Service tribunal, Peshawar . Copy of Judgments is attached as Annexure-B & C
- 5. That appellant Feeling Aggrieved, immediately preferred departmental appeal before respondent no.1& requested therein that case of the appellant is at par with those police officer, who have been re-instated in to service by service tribunal Peshawar, so the appellant has also entitled to re-instatement in principle of natural justice.

 Copy of departmental appeal is attached as Annexure D.
- 6. That the departmental appeal of the appellant was rejected by respondent no.1 vide order dated 25.06.2019 received by the Appellant on 01.07.2019 for no good grounds. Copy of rejection order is attached as Annexure- E.
- 7. That appellant being aggrieved of the impugned order of respondent and having no other adequate and efficacious remedy, file this service appeal inter-alia on the following grounds amongst others.

GROUNDS:

ă,

- A) That the appellant has not been treated in accordance with law, rules and policy on subject and acted in violation of Article 4 of the Constitution of Islamic Republic of Pakistan 1973 by the respondents and the appellant has been dismissed from his legal service without adopting legal Pre-requisite mandatory Legal procedure. The order passed in violating of mandatory provision of law, such order is void and illegal order according to superior court judgment reported as 2007 SCMR 834. Hence the impugned order is liable to be set aside.
- B) That the impugned order was retrospective order which was void in the eye of law and also void according to Superiors Court Judgment reported as <u>2002 SCMR 1129</u>, <u>2006 PLC 22</u>1 and KPK Service Tribunal Judgment titled as <u>Abdul Shakoor Vs Govt of KPK</u>.
- C) That the appeal of the appellant was rejected on the ground that the appeal is time barred but according to superior court judgment reported as <u>2015 SCMR 795</u> there is no limitation was run against the void order. Moreover, the Supreme court of Pakistan has laid down vide reported judgment <u>PLD 2003 SC 724</u> and <u>2003 PLC (CS) 796</u> that the delay if any shall be condoned in respect of employee where delay already condoned in identical circumstances. All the person shall be treated equally who are sailing in the same board,
- D) That the appellant has highly been discriminated. Other police officials, who were also dismissed with appellant have been reinstated by the respondent No 1 and kp service tribunal, whereas, appellant has been denied the same treatment. The case of the appellant is similar and identical in all respect with those, who have been reinstated.
- E) That neither charge sheet, statement of allegation, show cause notice was not served upon the appellant nor was inquiry conducted against the appellant, which was necessary and mandatory in law before imposing major punishment which is violation of law, rules and norms of justice.
- F) That the appellant has not been treated according to law despite he was a civil servant of the province, therefore, the impugned order is liable to be set aside on this score alone.
- G) That no chance of personal hearing was provided to the appellant and as such the appellant has been condemned unheard throughout.
- H) That the appellant seeks permission to advance others grounds and proofs at the time of hearing.

3

It is, therefore most humbly prayed that the appeal of the appellant may be accepted as prayed for.

APPELLANT

Shafiq khan

THROUGH:

(UZMA SYED)

(SYED NOMAN ALI BUKHARI) ADVOCATES, PESHAWAR





As reported you Constable Shafiq Kham NO.576

received at Police Lines Dagger According to the report
duty vide D.D.NO. 15 you absented your-self from lawful
Diary Police Lines and remain absent till this date.

immediately and report to your place of duty but you duty Again on 13.10.2000 report your arrival and remained notice which the correction to Join your duty but again you fall

and misconduct or your part which is liable U/S 5 Sub Ordinance 2000 (Mendment) Ordinance 2001

to proceed under Section 5 of Sub-Section (4) of the Removal from Service (Special Powers) Ordinance (Amendment) Ordinance 2001 and dispense with the enquiry further satisfied that there is no need of holding departmental enquiry since is no need of holding satisfied than NO.575 has been found guilty of therefore impose the said ordinance, see Zubair Shail D.F.O. Buner as competent authority service from the cate of his absence.

0.B.No. 117

Bungar let Police Officer.

mai

BEFORE THE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICE TRIBU PESHAWAR

Appeal No. 1385/2017

Date of Institution ...

11.12.2017

Date of Decision ...

29.01.2019

Aurangzeb Ex-Constable No. 390 District Buner.

... (Appellant)

MERSUS

The Regional Police Officer, Malakand Saidu Sharif, Swar and another.

... (Respondents)

Present.

umiss Uzma Syed & S.Nauman Ali Bukhari,

Advocates

For appellant

Mir. Muhammad Riaz Paindakhel,

Asstt. Advocate General

For respondents.

MR. HAMID FAROOO DURRANI,

MR. HUSSAIN SHAH, .

CHAIRMAN

MEMBER(E)

HUDGMENT

HAMID FAROOO DURRANI, CHAIRMAN:-

Appellant is aggrieved of orders dated 30.05.2009 and 29.11.2017 passed by the respondents No. 2 and 1, respectively. The former order pertains to dismissal of service of appellant while the latter is regarding rejection of his departmental appeal.

The facts, as gatherable from record, are that the appellant, while posted at Kingargalai was found absent from duty-w.e.t. 05.05.2009. He

3

was, therefore, proceeded against departmentally and the order dated 30.05.2009 was passed under the provisions of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Removal from Service (Special Powers) Ordinance, 2000. Pertinently, the enquiry proceedings were dispensed with in the matter. The departmental appeal of appellant was rejected on the sole ground of being bared by time.

3. We have heard learned counsel for the appellant and learned Assistant Advocate General on behalf of the respondents.

It was the contention of learned counsel for the appellant that the impugned order of dismissal from service, though passed on 30'05'2009, was given effect from the date of absence of appellant i.e. 05'.05'.2009. In her view the order was, therefore, void and the delay in submission of departmental appeal was not to be counted to the detriment of appellant. It was further contended that admittedly no enquiry was conducted against the appellant, however, he was awarded major punishment of dismissal from service and there was consistent view of the Apex Court requiring holding of proper enquiry in similar matters. She relied on judgments reported as 2000-SCMR-1745 and 2008-SCMR-609.

On the other hand, learned Assit. Advocate General argued that the departmental appeal of appellant was hopelessly time-barred and in view of the travel history of appellant starting from 20.08.2009 and ending on 15.06.2017, his appeal was rightly dismissed:

It is conspicuously noted that proceedings against the appellant were held under the erstwhile Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Removal from Service (Special Powers) Ordinance, 2000 which, no doubt, contained provisions for dispensing with the enquiry but reasons to be recorded in writing. At the same time, the provisions contained in Section 3 of the Ordinance ibid made: it mandatory for the departmental authority to issue order in writing and inform the accused of the action proposed to be taken with regard to him and the grounds of the action. Reasonable opportunity of showing cause against the proposed action was also to be given to the accused under the law ibid. The exceptions to the said mandatory provisions were in terms that where the competent authority was satisfied that in the interest of security of Publishan or any part thereof it was not expedient to give such opportunity or in cases where a person, being proceeded against upon conviction, by a court of law, was sentenced to imprisonment or fine.

In the case in hand the enquiry proceedings were admittedly not held against the appellant while the dispensing with of such proceedings was not supported by reasons as required by the law. It is also a fact that no show cause notice, as obligated by Section 3 of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Refrom Service (Special Power) Ordinance, 2000 was served the appellant before imposing major panalty of dismissal from see in the circumstances, it can be safely held that the illegalist the part of departmental authority was not curable without semilaring and impugned orders regardless the delay in submission of departmental

Parties are left to bear their respective costs. File be consigned to the record room.

(HUSSAIN SHAH) MEMBER(E) (HAMID FAROÓQ DURRANI) CHAIRMAN

<u>ANNOUNCED</u>

Cartina

Name of Presentation of Astronomy 26/6/1

Number of Wests 2000

Copyring Fac. 1

Degent ...

Name of Copyring 2000

Date of Observery of Cap. 14 2 7 9





Date of Forder/ Proceeding

06.10.3017

Order or other proceedings with signature of Judge or Magistrate



REFORESTIE KHYRER PARTITUNKHWA SERVICE TRIBUNAL

Service Appent No. 264/2012

Date of Justitution.

... 24.02.2012

Dany of Decisions!

... 06 10 2017

Annual Khin by Constable No. 4 1875C, District Bunct

Appellant

-Versu;

- The Deputy Inspector Generals of Police, Malakand, Region Solda Sharif, Svent.
- 2. The District Police Officer, Bimer,

Respondence

JUDGMENT

MUNIAMAAD HAMIDEMUGHAL, MEMBER: - Appelling present. Lemmed counsel for the appelling and Mr. Kubir (Mali Khanak, Assistant Advocate General for the respondents present)

The appellant Amanat Rham dex Constable, who was recentled in the year 2007, has tiledetherpresent appeal n/s d of the Eliebeir Palchtankhwa Service dribunale Act. £974 husinst the respondents and challenged therein the amplituded disteller dated 26.08.2008 passed by acceptant was provided and or published and the continuous distributions of the palchtane was a was definition of the published and the palchtane was a was definition of the published and the palchtane was a was defined and or published and the palchtane was a was defined and or published and the palchtane was a was defined and or published and the palchtane and the palchtane.

SERVICE CONTRACTOR OF DAMES.



dated 20,08,2012 whereby his application for reinstatement in service was lifed by respondent No. Esbeing time barred.

- is Learned counsel for the appellant contented that before the issuance of impugned order of removal from service ocither any charge affect and statement of allegations were drafted, nor inquiry confluenced, from oppositionally algebraic avers afforded nor final show cause notice and oppositionally algebraicable heatings was given to the appellant. Further argued that no dimitation trans against the illegal/void order. Further argued that the appellant has not been treated in accordance with law as such the impugned orders are liable to be set aside and the appellant be reinstated in service.
- 4. Learned, Assistant Advocate General while opposing the present appeal argued that the present appeal is time barred. Further argued that the appellant was reported to have gone abroad without securing ex-Pakistan leave and the competent authority was satisfied with the dispensing with the inquiry proceeding—against the appellant as provided in Removal From Service (Special Power) ordinance 2000 (Amendment) 2001. Further argued that the appellant was disinterested thwards his duties and escaped there from Further argued the impugned orders were validly passed and doesn't warrant any interference.

Arguments heard, Pile permett

* ATTESTED

ECA BANNER Khyber Pakh

Posta Care

6. Perusal of the record shows that the appellant was removed from his service vide order dated 26.08.2008 and after a deep slumber he preferred appeal/representation on 08.04.2011 which was dismissed by the respondent No. 1 vide order dated 14.05.2011. The appellant then filed mother application before respondent 86. 1 for his reinstatement which application was represed being time barred.

Apparently the present appeal of the appellant is badly time barred, which fact also shows volumes about the conduct of the appellant that he was not at all interested to join the service. However, the fact cannot be lost xight of that irregularities/illegalities, were committed by the respondent in the impugued order and in the proceeding culminating in the passage of the impugated order which go to the roots of the matter. No proper show game notice was served upon the appellant prior to the issuance of the impugned order of Removal from Service. Similarly the appellant was awarded punishment of Removal from service with retrospective effect. Consequently the imposped ordga Removal from Service is set aside and the appellant is reinstalled the present appeal is decided on technical grounds more keeping in view the conduct of the appellant, he shall not residentled to any back benefit hence the absence periodical intervening period during which the magellant mertornied

shall be treated as extra-brdinary leave with the department is at liberty to conduct de-novo proceeding stocking against the appellant in accordance withclaw. The present appealish decided in the above terms. Parties are left to bear their own costs. File be consigned to the record room after its completion.

Announced SD/M. Hamid Mughal, 06.10-2017 Manual Massaul De Ahmad Hassaul Manubes

les Tribanal, Fostiawar

Wate of Presentation of April of Lan-Number of West 1000 Copying Fee Gracest -----This:_____/.D-Date of Compression of Copy 12-10 Date of Delivery of Copy 12-10-





TO THE HONORABLE INSPECTOR GENERAL OF POLICE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA

Departmental Appeal (Through proper channel) against the order Dated 06/12/2008 where by the appellant was Dismissed From The Service.

The Appellant submits as follows

- 1. That the appellant was appointed as police Constable and was allotted Constables No 576 and was placed on the strength of District Police Buner (appointment order attached as annex ,A)
- 2. That Due to the Talibanisation in District Buner and due to Threats to the appellant and his family he left District Buner in Emergency Condition.
- 3. That vide impugned order Dated06/12/2008 the appellant dismissed from service without issuing any show case Notice and without even informing him(Dismissal order is attached as annex ,B)
- 4. That the impugned order has been passed at the back of the appellant and rule of natural justice i-e audi altrum partem has been violated while dismissing the appellant from service.
- 5. That other similarly placed candidates have already been re-appointed by the competent authority .
- 6. That the impugned order is illegal ,voide and against the natural justice.

it is therefore kindly requested that the appellant be re-instated is service with all back benefits.

Appelliant

Shafiq Khan s/o Amir Ahmad khan District Buner

Dated:17/06/2019



OFFICE OF THE

INSPECTOR GENERAL OF POLICE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA Central Police Office, Peshawar,

119, dated Peshawar the 25/06 /2019.

To:

The

Regional Police Officer. Malakand at Swal.

Subject

APPEAL.

Maino ,

the Competent Authority has examined and filed the appeal submitted by Ex-Constable Shafiq Khan No. 576 of Buner District Police against the punishment of dismissal from service awarded by DPO, Buner vide OB No. 117, dated 06.12.2008, being badly time barred.

The applicant may please be informed accordingly.

JERSJUL-HASSAN)

Registrar,

For Inspector General of Police:

Mu Khyber Pakhtunkhwa. Peshawar

Et Dro Burier.

Per Maclier The Concarned may be Informed accordingly

Mease.

For: Resis 8/6/6/10 Officer

- Malakani, at Spidu Sharif Swa

Bervice Tribural, Perhauxa مثفيق فان بنام وعوبي Police بزم بإعدف تحريرا تكبه مقدمه مندرج عنوان بالامين اپن ظرنب سے داسطے بیروی و جواب دہی وکل کاروائی متعلقہ way of my ches de mine me me lie مفر کرے افرار کیا جاتا ہے۔ کہ صاحب موصوف کومقد مہ کی کل کا روائی کا کامل اختیار ہوگا۔ نیز وكرا بساحب كوراضي ناسة كرية وتفرر ثالت وفيصله برحلف دييج جواب واي اورا قبال دعوى اور الله ورت ذکری کرنے اجراءا درصولی چیک درویسارعرضی دعوی اور درخواست ہرشم کی تقید لق زرایں پر دستخط کرانے کا اختیار ہوگا۔ نیز صورت عدم پیروی یا ڈگری میکطرفہ یا اپیل کی براید گی اورمنسوخی نیز دائر کر ۔ نے اپیل منزانی ونظر ثانی دبیروی کرنے کا ختیار ہوگا۔ ازبصورت ضرورت مقدمہ مذکور کے کُل یا جزوی کاروانی کے واسطے اوروکیل یا مختار قانونی کواییے ہمراہ یاا ہے جیکئے تقریر کلافتدار ہوگا ۔ازرمسا حسب،مقررشدہ کوہمی وہی جملہ ندکورہ یاا ختیارات حاصل ہوں میےاوراس کاسا کھتہ واخند منظور قبول ہوگا۔ وران مقدمہ میں جوخر چدد ہرجان التوائے مقدمہ کے سبب __ کوئی تاریخ بیشی مقام دورہ پر ہویا حدہ باہر ہوتو وکل صاحب پابند ہوں ہے۔ کہ پیروی آرکوزگر میں۔لہزاوکالت نام^ی تھدیا کے سندر ہے۔ ccepted .2019 -7 _ لئے تقور ہے۔

BEFORE THE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICE TRIBUNAL PESHAWAR

Service Appeal No. 1046/2019

Shafiq Khan Ex-Constable No. 576 District Buner

 !	•••	Appella	nt
•			
			•

VERSUS

- 1. Inspector General of Police, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Peshawar.
- 2. Regional Police Officer, Malakand Region at Saidu Sharif Swat.
- 3. District Police officer Buner.

..... Respondents

INDEX

S#	DESCRIPTION OF DOCUMENTS	ANNEX	PAGE
1.	Para-wise Comments		1-2
2.	Affidavit ,		3
3.	Authority Letter		4
4.	Copy of DD report No. 16, dated 25.08.2008	A	5
5.	Copy of written notice & Show Cause Notice	B, C	6 - 7

DISTRICT POLICE OFFICER, BUNER.

PEFORE THE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICE TRIBUNAL PESHAWAR

Service Appeal No. 1046/2019

Shafiq Khan Ex-Constable No. 576 District Buner

•	; , , ,	٠.	 	٠.		•	•				 •	•		Appellant

VERSUS

- 1. Inspector General of Police, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Peshawar.
- 2. Regional Police Officer, Malakand Region at Saidu Sharif Swat.
- 3. District Police officer Buner.

..... Respondents

PARA-WISE COMMENTS ON BEHALF OF RESPONDENTS.

Respectfully sheweth: Preliminary Objections:-

- 1. That the present service appeal is badly time barred.
- 2. That the service appeal is not maintainable in its present form.
- 3. That the appellant has not come to this Honorable Tribunal with clean hands.
- 4. That the appellant has concealed material facts from this august tribunal.
- 5. That the appellant has got no cause of action and locus standi to file instant appeal.
- 6. That the appellant has been estopped due to his own conduct.

ON FACTS:

- 1. Correct to the extent that the appellant was enlisted on 26.07.2007 as Constable in District Buner but in his initial stage of service he remained absent for a period of more than 03 months.
- 2. Incorrect. That the appellant was absented from his law full duty on 02.09.2008, without any leave or prior permission of his senior and was remained absent for a period of more than 03 months. Therefore he was rightly dismissed from service. (Copy of absence report DD No. 19 dated 02.09.2008 as Annexure "A").
- 3. Incorrect. That the appellant was informed through written notice about his absence with the direction to ensure his presence in the respondent department for his law full duty but he did not complied the same there after he was issued proper show cause notice but neither he submitted his reply to the show cause notice nor he made his presence in the respondent department to explain his compulsion / position. (Copy of written notice and Show Cause Notice are Annexed as Annexure "B & C").
- 4. Pertains to record.
- 5. Correct to the extent that the appellant filed department appeal before the respondent No. 01 after a long period of 11 years.
- 6. Correct to the extent that the departmental appeal of the appellant was rejected, being badly time barred.
- 7. That the service appeal of the appellant is liable to dismissed on the following grounds.

GROUNDS

- a. Incorrect. That the appellant has treated in accordance with law / rules & policy and the respondent have not committed any violation of constitution of Pakistan. Therefore the dismissal order of the appellant is legal, being passed according to the law & rules.
- b. Incorrect. That the impugned order being passed according to the law rules & policy of the Government therefore it is not a void order.
- c. Incorrect. That the appellant has filed departmental appeal after a long period of 11 years.

 Therefore the same has rejected in according with law and rules being badly time barred.
- d. Incorrect. That the appellant has not being discriminated but he has estopped due to his own conduct.
- e. Incorrect. That after fulfilling all codal formalities, the appellant was dismissed from service.
- f. As explained in the above proceeding Paras, the appellant has treated in accordance with law rules and policy. Therefore the impugned order is legal.
- g. Incorrect. That the appellant was offered for personnel hearing but he could not appear to respondent No. 03 to explain his compulsion / position.
- h. That the respondents also seek permission of this Honorable Tribunal to adduce more points / grounds at the time of arguments.

✓ PRAYER:

In view of the above facts and grounds it is most humbly prayed that the service appeal of the appellant may graciously be dismissed with costs.

INSPECTOR GENERAL OF POLICE, KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA PESHAWAR (Respondent No. 01)

REGIONAL POLITE OFFICER,

MALAKAND REGION AT SAIDU SHARIF SWAT

(Respondent No. 02)

DISTRICT POLICE OFFICER, BUNER.

BEFORE THE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICE TRIBUNAL PESHAWAR

Service Appeal No. 1046/2019

	Shafiq Khan Ex-Constable No.	. 5/6 District Buner
		Appellan
	VERSUS	
1.	Inspector General of Police, Khyber Pakhtu	nkhwa Peshawar.
2.	Regional Police Officer, Malakand Region	at Saidu Sharif Swat.
3.	District Police officer Buner.	7.
		Respondents

AFFIDAVIT

We the above respondents do hereby solemnly affirm and state on oath that the whole contents of the accompany Para-wise comments are true and correct to the best of our knowledge and belief and nothing has been concealed from this Honorable Tribunal.

INSPECTOR GENERAL OF POLICE, KHYBER PAKHAUNKHWA PESHAWAR (Respondent No. 01)

Regional Police Viceta REGIONAL POLICE OFFICER, MALAKAND REGION AT SAIDU SHARIF SWAT (Respondent No. 02)

> DISTRICT POLICE OFFICER, BUNER.

BEFORE THE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICE TRIBUNAL PESHAWAR

Service Appeal No. 1046/2019

Appellan		District Buner	nstable No. 5	Khan Ex-C	Shafiq
Appellan		•	•	•	·
Appellan					•
* · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	ppellant				•
	, L			÷	:

VERSUS

- 1. Inspector General of Police, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Peshawar.
- 2. Regional Police Officer, Malakand Region at Saidu Sharif Swat.
- 3. District Police officer Buner.

..... Respondents

AUTHORITY LETTER

We, the above respondent do hereby authorize and allow Mr. Nowsherwan Inspector Legal District Buner to file the accompany Para wise comments in Honorable Service Tribunal Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Peshawar on our behalf and do whatever is needed in the court.

INSPECTOR GÉNERAL OF POLICE, KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA PESHAWAR (Respondent No. 01)

REGIONAL POLICE OFFICER,
MALAKAND REGION AT SAIDU SHARIF SWAT
(Respondent No. 02)

DISTRICT POLICE OFFICER, BUNER.

المان الموان ال

الوالي على ما وقت الله الما المالي المالية ال

ا كالتيجي.

كولهارهان فالمنتم لقل

mm. pl Dagger.

5- 9-08.

نوٹس برائے چاضر ای *اگاہ - 10 - 18 ا* . بنام: كنظيبل مستون 1576 كان 576 تقان طح كل . تم كوبذر يعيذوش بذا مطلع كيامة تاب-كرتم <u>د كوكسين المرئن</u> مين تعينات تھے۔ بدوران ايمر جنسي ذيو في مور ن<u>ہ 8 ہے 14/4 کے</u> کوازخود بلاوجہ غیر ناضر ہو کر بدستورغیر حاضر ہو شہیں بار بار مطلع کرنے کے باوجودتم نے ابھی تک اپنی ماضری کی ربورٹ نہیں گی۔ اس کے تمیں بذریعہ نوٹس بڑا اُخری بار مطلع کیا جا تا ہے۔ کہ اُن پانچ دن کے اندراندرا پی حاضری کی رپورٹ <u>ہ کو کمیں را کرنے بڑکر</u> میں کریں _بصورت دیگر تنہار _عظافہ ایک طرفہ کارروائی عمل میں لائی جائیگی اور تمیں ملازمت سے gfw. المِي پِي اليسي أَفْيسي، 1900 10/16 place in sign of the aveled all in. 1889/88/Gagen 13/1/09 Ju is The pip -0 كخل مركره ص خرر تقل ب ز 20/10/10/10/100 to Pr. S.m. Shekad (/6.T/p 1310 lot (/h/ld/spe); hs

SHOW CAUSE NOTICE

١.	WHEREAS, in the light of the facts, am satisfied that no formal
	enquiry as contemplated by Removal from Service (Special Powers) Ordinance 2000 (Amendment) Ordinance 2001 is an end of the facts, am satisfied that no formal
	(Amendment) Ordinance 2001 is present from Service (Special Powers) Ordinance 2000
	(Amendment) Ordinance 2001 is necessary and-therefore, I am of the opinion that the
	misconduct can for any one or more punishments as defined in the affect in
	Rules.

Now, therefore, I <u>Sved. Zubair Shah</u> District Police Officer, Buner under N.W.F.P. Removal from Service (Special Powers) Ordinance 2000 (Amendment) Ordinance 2001 on the following grounds of action, being authority call upon you <u>Const:Shafiq Khan NO.5765</u> to show cause within 7 days of the receipt of this notice, as to why the proposed punisament should not be awarded to you and also to state at the same time, whether you desired to be heard in person.

In case your reply is not received with in stipulated period, it shall be presumed that you have nothing to offer in your defence. In that case, an exparte action shall be taken against you.

GROUND OF ACTION

You Const:Shafiq Khan NO:576 while posted

Const:Shafiq Khan NO.576 intentionally absented yourself from duty with effect from

2.9.2008 vide Duily Dairy No 15 dated 2.9.2008 of Police lines

till now with out leave and prior permission.

All this negligence and indiscipine on your part amounts to gross

And in case your reply is not received within stipulated period, it shall be presumed that you have nothing to say in your defence and ex-partee decision shall be taken.

District Police Officer,

No. ____/5 ___/EC,

misconduct.

Dated 20. 11. /2008.