10.07.2023

Learned counsel for the appellant present. Mr. Zahir Shah,
Inspector (Legal) alongwith Mr. Asad Ali Khan, Assistant
Advocate General for the respondents present.

Learned counsel for the appellant seeks time for preparation of arguments. Adjourned. To come up for arguments on 15.09.2023 before the D.B. Parcha Peshi given to the parties.

(Rashida Bano) Member (J) (Salah-ud-Din) Member (J)

\*Naeem Amin\*

26.04.2023

Appellant present through counsel.

Fazal Shah Mohmand, learned Additional Advocate General for respondents present.



Learned Member Executive (Mr. Muhammad Akbar Khan) is on leave, therefore, case is adjourned. To come up for arguments on 23.05.2023 before D.B. Parcha Peshi given to the parties.

(Rozina Rehman) Member (J)

\*Mutazem Shah\*

- 23<sup>rd</sup> May, 2023
- 1. Learned counsel for appellant present. Mr. Fazal Shah Mohmand, Additional Advocate General for respondents present.
- 2. Learned counsel for appellant requested for adjournment in order to prepare the brief. Adjourned. To come up for arguments on 10.07.2023 before D.B. P.P given to the parties.

(Fareena Paul) Member (E) (Kalim Arshad Khan) Chairman

\*Mutazem Shah\*

04.01.2023

Learned counsel for the appellant present. Mr. Hikmat Khan, ASI alongwith Mr. Naseer-ud-Din Shah, Assistant Advocate General for the respondents present.

To come up alongwith connected Service Appeal bearing No. 515/2018 titled "Abdul Tawab Versus Superintendent of Police, FRP, Malakand Range, Swat and 02 others", on 10.02.2023 before the

D.B.

(Mian Muhammad)

Member (E)

(Salah-Ud-Din) Member (J)

10.02.2023

Learned counsel for the appellant present. Mr. Muhammad Adeel Butt, Addl. Advocate General alongwith Inamul Haq, Inspector for the respondents present.

Learned counsel for the appellant requested for adjournment in order to further prepare the brief. Granted. To come up for arguments on 26.04.2023 before the D.B.

Member (E)

(ROZINA REHMAN) Member (J)

10.06.2022.

Bench is manylete. Mosefre

the case is adjourned to 30.08.2022

before The Some.

READER

30.08.2022

Bench is incomplete, therefore, case is adjourned to 07.11.2022 for the same as before.

Reader

07.11.2022

Appellant present in person. Mr. Kabirullah Khattak,

Additional Advocate for the respondents present.

Former requested for adjournment due to engagement of learned senior counsel for the appellant before Honourable Peshawar High Court today. Adjourned. To come up for arguments on 04.01.2023 before the D.B.

SCANNED KEST Peshawar

(Farceha Paul) Member (E)

(Rozina Rehman) Member(J) 29.07.2021

Appellant present through counsel. .

Muhammad Adeel Butt learned Additional Advocate General Zubair A.S.I for respondents present.

Former made a request for adjournment. Request is accorded. To come up for arguments on 22.11.2021 before D.B.

(Rozina Rehman) Member (J)

22.11.2021

Nemo for the appellant.

Mr. Noor Zaman Khattak, District Attorney for the respondents present.

Appellant/counsel be put on notice for 14.03.2022 for arguments before D.B.

ktiq-Ur-Rehman Wazir)

Member (E)

(Rozina Rehman) Member (J)

14.03.2022

Due to retirement of the Worthy Chairman, the Tribunal is defunct, therefore, case is adjourned to 10.06.2022 for the same as before.

29.10.2020

Junior to counsel for the appellant, Zara Tajwar, DDA for the respondents present.

The Bar is observing general strike, therefore, the matter is adjourned to 06.01.2021 for hearing before the D.B.

(Atiq-ur-Rehman Wazir) Member

Chairman

06.01.2021

Counsel for the appellant and Asstt. A.G alongwith Nosherawan, Inspector (Legal) for the respondents present.

Learned counsel referred to the impugned order dated 06.12.2008 and stated that the same was given effect from 13.10.2018. She, therefore, requests for adjournment of instant appeal to a date after the hearing of proposition regarding retrospective applicability of penalty by a Larger Bench of this Tribunal.

Adjourned to 14.4.2021 for hearing before the D.B.

(Atiq-ur-Rehman Wazir) Member(E)

Chairman

14.04.2021

Due to demise of the Worthy Chairman, the Tribunal is non-functional, therefore, case is adjourned to 29.07.2021 for the same as before.

Reader

29.01.2020 No one present on behalf of appellant. Mr. Kabir Ullah Khattak learned Additional Advocate General alongwith Taj Fareen Khan S.I representative of respondent department present and submitted written reply/comments. Adjourn. To come up for rejoinder if any and arguments on 01.04.2020 before D.B. Appellant be put to notice for the date fixed.

Member

01.04.2020

Due to public holidays on account of Covid-19, the case is adjourned. To come up for the same on 24.06.2020 before

D.B

\_Reader

24.06.2020

Due to public holiday on account of COVID-19, the case is adjourned for the same on 25.08.2020 before D.B.

25.08.2020

Due to summer vacation case to come up for the same on 29.10.2020 before D.B.

06.09.2019

Counsel for the appellant present.

On the strength of judgments of this Tribunal handed down in Appeal No. 1385/2017 and No. 264/2012 instant appeal is admitted for regular hearing subject to all just exceptions. The appellant is directed to deposit security and process fee within 10 days. Thereafter, notices be issued to the respondents. To come up for written reply/comments on 05.11.2019 before S.B.

Counsel for the appellant and Adel.

nlongwith

Chairmar

05.11.2019

Hamshed ASI for the respondents present.

(Mijumgerbaiye 海岸 (Aspandents coor ) 制度多数可能的对应。通知

Representative of respondents seek, time to furnish the comments. Adjourned to 17.12.2019 on which date the requisite reply/comments shall positively be submitted.

Chairman

SCANNED NESPAWAR

17.12.2019

Nemo for appellant. Addl. AG alongwith Daud Shah, S.I for the respondents present.

Representative of respondents seeks time to furnish reply/comments. Adjourned to 29.01.2020 on which date the requisite reply/comments shall positively be submitted.

Chairman

# Form- A

# FORM OF ORDER SHEET

Court of		
Case No	1045/ <b>2019</b>	

	Case No	1043/2019
S.No.	Date of order proceedings	Order or other proceedings with signature of judge
1	2	3
1-	08/08/2019	The appeal of Mr. Jehan Zeb resubmitted today by Uzma Syed
, E	CANNED KDST eshawat	Worthy Chairman for proper order please.  REGISTRAR 8 9
2-	esnawar 16/08/19	This case is entrusted to S. Bench for preliminary hearing to b put up there on <u>Object 19</u>
	en e	Mu.
		CHAIRMAN
		•
		,
	·	
	·	∳
,		
		·

The appeal of Jehanzeb received today i.e. on 22-07-2019 is incomplete on the following score which is returned to the counsel for the appellant for completion and resubmission within 15 days.

1- Annexure-B & C of the appeal are illegible which may be replaced by legible/better one.

No. 1278 /S.T.

Dt. <u>26 - 7</u>-/2019

REGISTRAR , SERVICE TRIBUNAL KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA PESHAWAR.

Mst. Uzma Syed Advocate, Peshawar.

Sir.

objections B & C were removed & resubmitted.

5-9-2019

Objection ore still stands the opport in hard is returned to his counsel for completion and resubmission with in 15 days.

No.1373/55 ctt.05/8/2019 Registrar

Sir

both objection were removed & gile

Willer & desired was removed & desired with the desired with the desired was respectively to the desired with the desired was removed to the desired with the desired was removed to the desired with the desired was removed to the

# BEFORE THE KPK SERVICE TRIBUNAL PESHAWAR

APPEAL NO. 1045/2019

Jehan Zeb

V/S

Police Deptt:

### INDEX

S.No.	Documents	Annexure	Page No.
1.	Memo of Appeal		1-4
2.	Copy impugned order	-A-	.5
3.	Copy of tribunal judgment	B&C	4-12
4.	Copy of departmental appeal	-D-	14
5.	copy of rejection order	-E-	15
6.	Vakalat Nama		16

APPELLANT

THROUGH:

(UZMA SYED)

&

SYED NOMAN ALI BUKHARI (ADVOCATES, PESHAWAR)

### BEFORE THE KPK SERVICE TRIBUNAL PESHAWAR

APPEAL NO	/2019
Jehan zeb Ex-Constable No. 473 District Buner	
	(Appellant)
	VERSUS
<ol> <li>Inspector General of Police</li> <li>The Regional Police officer,</li> <li>District Police Officer Buner</li> </ol>	Malakand, at Saidu Sharif Swat .
	(Respondents)

APPEAL UNDER SECTION 4 OF THE SERVICE TRIBUNAL ACT, 1974 AGAINST THE ORDER DATED 25.06.2019 RECIVED BY APPELANT ON 1.7.2019 WHEREBY THE DEPARTMENTAL APPEAL OF THE APPELLANT AGAINST THE ORDER DATED 06.12.2008 WAS REJECTED FOR NO GOOD GROUNDS.

#### PRAYER:

THAT THE ACCEPTANCE OF THIS APPEAL, THE ORDER DATED 25.06.2019 and 06.12.2008 MAY BE SET ASIDE AND THE APPELLANT MAY BE REINSTATED WITH ALL BACK AND CONSEQUENTIAL BENEFITS. ANY OTHER REMEDY WHICH THIS AUGUST TRIBUNAL DEEMS FIT AND APPOPRIATE THAT MAY ALSO BE AWARADED IN FAVOUR OF APPELLANT.

 $\bigvee$ 

### **RESPECTFULLY SHEWETH:**

#### **FACTS:**

á,

Facts giving rise to the present service appeal are as under:

- 1. That the appellant was the employee of the police and was on the strength of the police force Buner.
- 2. That during Taliban Militancy in Buner appellant was dismissed from the service by the respondent no.3 vide order dated 06.12.2008. Copy of impugned order is attached as Annexure-A.
- 3. That, neither any show cause, charge sheet, statement of allegation, inquiry, opportunity of defense, final show cause notice, opportunity of personal hearing has been served and provided respectively nor any publication has ever been made calling him for assumption of his duty.
- 4. That some of the colleagues of the appellant have been re-instated by the Service tribunal, Peshawar . Copy of Judgments is attached as Annexure-B & C
- 5. That appellant Feeling Aggrieved, immediately preferred departmental appeal before respondent no.1& requested therein that case of the appellant is at par with those police officer, who have been re-instated in to service by service tribunal Peshawar, so the appellant has also entitled to re-instatement in principle of natural justice.

  Copy of departmental appeal is attached as Annexure D.
- 6. That the departmental appeal of the appellant was rejected by respondent no.1 vide order dated 25.06.2019 received by the Appellant on 01.07.2019 for no good grounds. Copy of rejection order is attached as Annexure- E.
- 7. That appellant being aggrieved of the impugned order of respondent and having no other adequate and efficacious remedy, file this service appeal inter-alia on the following grounds amongst others.

#### **GROUNDS:**

A) That the appellant has not been treated in accordance with law, rules and policy on subject and acted in violation of Article 4 of the Constitution of Islamic Republic of Pakistan 1973 by the respondents and the appellant has been dismissed from his legal service without adopting legal Pre-requisite mandatory Legal procedure. The order passed in violating of mandatory provision of law, such order is void and illegal order according to superior court judgment reported as 2007 SCMR 834. Hence the impugned order is liable to be set aside.

- B) That the impugned order was retrospective order which was void in the eye of law and also void according to Superiors Court Judgment reported as <u>2002 SCMR 1129</u>, <u>2006 PLC 22</u>1 and KPK Service Tribunal Judgment titled as <u>Abdul Shakoor Vs Govt of KPK</u>.
- C) That the appeal of the appellant was rejected on the ground that the appeal is time barred but according to superior court judgment reported as <u>2015 SCMR 795</u> there is no limitation was run against the void order. Moreover, the Supreme court of Pakistan has laid down vide reported judgment <u>PLD 2003 SC 724</u> and <u>2003 PLC (CS) 796</u> that the delay if any shall be condoned in respect of employee where delay already condoned in identical circumstances. All the person shall be treated equally who are sailing in the same board,
- D) That the appellant has highly been discriminated. Other police officials, who were also dismissed with appellant have been reinstated by the respondent No 1 and kp service tribunal, whereas, appellant has been denied the same treatment. The case of the appellant is similar and identical in all respect with those, who have been reinstated.
- E) That neither charge sheet, statement of allegation, show cause notice was not served upon the appellant nor was inquiry conducted against the appellant, which was necessary and mandatory in law before imposing major punishment which is violation of law, rules and norms of justice.
- F) That the appellant has not been treated according to law despite he was a civil servant of the province, therefore, the impugned order is liable to be set aside on this score alone.
- G) That no chance of personal hearing was provided to the appellant and as such the appellant has been condemned unheard throughout.
- H) That the appellant seeks permission to advance others grounds and proofs at the time of hearing.

It is, therefore most humbly prayed that the appeal of the appellant may be accepted as prayed for.

APPELLANT JEHAN ZEB

THROUGH:

(UZMA SYED)

(SYED NOMAN ALI BUKHARI) ADVOCATES, PESHAWAR posted at Police Lines Dagger According to the report received in this office you absented your-self from lawful duty vide D.D.NO. 16 dated 25.8.2008 Daily Diary Police Lines and remain absent till this date.

You were informed and directed to assume your duty immediately and report to your place of duty but you deliberately did not report your arrival and remain absent.

Again on 13.10.2008 you were informed through a written notice which the direction to join your duty but again you fail to report.

Your this act is highly irresponsible, indisciplined and misconduct on your part which is liable U/S 5 Sub Section (4) of the Removal from Service (Special Power) Ordinance 2000 (Amendment) Ordinance 2001.

I, as competent authority, am, therefore, satisfied to proceed under Section 5 of Sub-Section (4) of the Removal from Service (Special Powers) Ordinarce (Amendment) Ordinarce 2001 and dispense with the enquiry proceedings as laid down in the said ordinance and am further satisfied that there is no need of holding departmental enquiry since the accused Police Official Constable Jehan Zeb EO.473 has been found guilty of misconduct as defined in the siad Ordinance, I, Syed Zubair Shah D.P.O. Buner as competent authority therefore impose misor penalty by dismissed him from service from the date of his absence.

Metrict Police Officer,

0.B.NO.

Dated.

2008

# BEFORE THE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICE TRIBU PESHAWAR

Appeal No. 1385/2017

Date of Institution ...

11.12.2017

Date of Decision ...

29.01.2019

Aurangzeb Ex-Constable No. 390 District Buner.

(Appellant)

#### VERSUS

The Regional Police Officer, Malakand Saidu Sharif, Swar and another.
... (Respondents)

#### Present.

Miss Uzma Syed & S. Nauman Ali Bukhari,

Advocates

For appellant

Mr. Muhammad Riaz Paindakhel,

Asstt. Advocate General.

For respondents.

MR. HAMID FAROOQ DURRANI;

MR. HUSSAIN SHAB, .

CHAIRMAN MEMBER(E)

#### HIDGMENT

### HAMID FAROOO DURRANI, CHAIRMAN:-

passed by the respondents No. 2 and 1, respectively. The former order pertains to dismissal of service of appellant while the latter is regarding rejection of his departmental appeal.

The facts, as gatherable from record, are that the appellant, while posted at Kingargalai was found absent from duty wiet. 05.05.2009. He

was, therefore, proceeded against departmentally and the order dated 30.05.2009 was passed under the provisions of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Removal from Service (Special Powers) Ordinance, 2000. Pertinently, the enquiry proceedings were dispensed with in the matter. The departmental appeal of appellant was rejected on the sole ground of being bared by time.

3. We have heard learned counsel for the appellant and learned Assistant Advocate General on behalf of the respondents.

It was the contention of learned counsel for the appellant that the impugned order of dismissal from service, though passed on 30:05:2009, was given effect from the date of absence of appellant i.e. 05:05:2009. In her view the order was; therefore, void and the delay in submission of departmental appeal was not to be counted to the detriment of appellant. It was further contended that admittedly no enquiry was conducted against the appellant, however, he was awarded major punishment of dismissal from service and there was consistent view of the Apex Court requiring holding of proper enquiry in similar matters. She relied on judgments reported as 2000-SCMR-1743 and 2008-SCMR-609.

On the other hand, learned Assit. Advocate General argued that the departmental appeal of appellant was hopelessly time-barred and in view of the travel history of appellant starting from 20:08.20(2) and ending on 15:06:2017, his appeal was rightly dismissed:

It is conspicuously noted that proceedings against the appellant were held under the erstwhile Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Removal from Service (Special Powers) Ordinance, 2000 which, no doubt, contained provisions for dispensing with the enquiry but reasons to be recorded in writing. At the same time, the provisions contained in Section 3 of the Ordinance ibid made: it mandatory for the departmental authority to issue order in writing and inform the accused of the action proposed to be taken with regard to him and the grounds of the action. Reasonable opportunity of showing cause against the proposed action was also to be given to the accused under the law ibid. The exceptions to the said mandatory provisions were in terms that where the competent authority was satisfied that in the interest of security of Pakistan or any part thereof it was not expedient to give such opportunity or in cases where a person, being proceeded against upon conviction by a court of law, was sentenced to imprisonment or fine.

In the case in hand the enquiry proceedings were admittedly not held against the appellant while the dispensing with of such proceedings was not supported by reasons as required by the law. It is also a fact that no show cause notice, as obligated by Section 3 of Khyber Pakirtunkhwa Removal from Service (Special Power) Ordinance, 2000 was served appointed appellant before imposing major panalty of dismissal from selection the circumstances, it can be safely held that the illegaling the part of departmental authority was not curable without series and impugned orders regardless the delay in submission of departs

In view of the above facts and also following the judgment of this Tribunal passed in Appeal No. 264/2012, decided on 06.10.2017, we allow. the appeal in hand and remit the matter to departmental appellate authority for re-deciding the appeal of appellant, within three months, on its merits and in accordance with law.

Parties are left to bear their respective costs. File be consigned to the record room.

MEMBER(E)

(HAMID FAROOQ DURRAND) CHARMAN

Date of Presen	មិនប៉ុន្មែក ១៣ ក្នុងក្នុង ។ ។ ។	11 2 6/ -	0/1/2
Mutabos of Was	200	52	
Capying Feell	12-		
Urgset 1		- 1	
Testal	4		
Name of Copyle			·equili
Date of Comple	ghon at the s	14-2	78
Date of Delivery	of deposit	161 - 2-	
	No. 1 consists	myser state and the state of th	<u>-()</u>





Dario of . order/ proceeding. Order or other proceedings with signature of Judge or Magistrate



REFORE THE KHYBER PARTITUNKHWA SERVICE ERBUNAL

Service Appending, 264/2012

Date of Institution.

.... 21.02.2012

Date of Decisions.

..... 46.10:2017

Ammunt Khun Fx, Constable No. 1487FC, District Runer

 $\Delta$ ppeBaar

- 1. The Deputy Inspectors Ciencrals of Police, Malokand, Region Saida Sharif, Swat,
- 2. The District Police Officer, Bluer,

Respondens

06,10,2017

HIDGMENT

MUNIAMMAD PAMIDEMUCHAT, MEMBURT - Appelling present. Ecomod counsel for the appellant and Mr. Kabir Ollah Klimtink, Assistant Advocate Ceneral for the respondents present in

The appellant Amignatele himsels Constable, vehowors germited in the year 2007, has 同译社建操作事实实际中的peal als d of the Kligher Pakhtunkliwa Service William 15 X che 1970 against the respondents and challenged therein/the implicit median dated 26.08.2008 assed the accompanion. No. 2 whe clay the appetrant was assumed annion.



dated 20108,2012, whereby his application for reinstatement in

Learned counsel for the appellant contented that before the issuance of impugned order of removal from service, neither any change affect and statements of temporal from service, neither any combining the impugned order of the impulsion transcription order. Further argued that the appellant has not been illegably order. Further argued that the appellant has not been illegably order. Further argued that the appellant has not been illegably order. Further argued that the appellant has not been illegably order. Further argued that the appellant has not been intended in accordance with law as such the impugned orders are inable to be set aside and the appellant be remained in accordance with a position of the intended in accordance with the appellant better that the impugned orders are incompared in accordance with a position of the appellant better that the impugned orders are incompared in accordance with a position of the appellant better that are a position of the appellant better that the intended in accordance with a position of the appellant particular and the appellant particular and the appellant particular and the appellant particular and appellan

doesn't warrant any interference. Ceneral while opposing the present appeal is time barred. Further argued that the present appeal is time barred. Further appeal angued that the present appeal in the appealant was reported to bare goines and escaped than the appealant as provided in Removal From Service (Special Prover) appealant as provided in Removal From Service (Special Prover) appealant as provided in Removal From Service (Special Prover) appealant as provided in Removal From Service (Special Prover) appealant as provided in Removal From Service (Special Prover) appealant as provided in Removal From Service (Special Prover) appealant as provided in Removal From Service (Special Prover) appealant as provided in Removal From Service (Special Prover) appealant and the appealant and the provided in Removal From Service (Special Prover) appealant and the provided in the provided in

CHISHITA TO THE TOTAL STREET STREET



10 8

- 6. Perusal of the record shows that the appellant was removed from his service vide forder dated 26:08:2008 and after a deep slumber he preferred appeal/representation on 08:04:2011 which was dismissed by the respondent No: 1 vide order dated 14:05:2011. The appellant their filed mother application before respondent 86: 1 for his reinstatement which application was represed being time barred.
- Apparently the present appeal of the appellant is badly time barred, which fact also shows volumed about the conduct of the appellant that he was not at all interested to join the service. However, the thei cumot be lost sight of this serious irregularities/illegalities, were committed by the respondent in the impugned order and in the proceeding culminating in the passage of the impugned order which go to the roots of the matter. No proper show cause notice was served upon the appellant prior to the issuance of the impugned order of Removal from Service. Similarly, the appellant was awarded, punishingar of Removal from service with retrospective effect. Consequently the important orders Removal from Service is set aside and the appellant is reinstated the present appeal is decided on technical grounds more keeping in view the conduct of the appellant, he shall not be expliced to any back benefit hence therabsence penied intervening period during which the wage hand a mertained

KANDULIN

shall be treated as extra-ordinary leave withink department is at liberty so conclude de-novo proceeding surgion against the appellant in accordance with law. The present appeality decided in the above terms. Parties are left to bear their own costs. File be consigned to the record room after its completion.

Announced SD/ M. Hamid Mughal, 06.10-2017 Alandes SD/ Ahmad Hasson Mandes

Certification tyre-copy ergice Tribunel, Peshawai

Date of Presentation of Application 12 Number of Words ... 1500 Trieni\_\_\_\_/O-Date of Complexion of Copy 12-10-20/12 Date of Delivery of Copy 12-10-12-05



# TO THE HONORABLE INSPECTOR GENERAL OF POLICE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA

Departmental Appeal (Through proper channel) against the order Dated 06/12/2008 where by the appellant was Dismissed From The Service.

### The Appellant submits as follows

- 1. That the appellant was appointed as police Constable and was allotted Constables No 473 and was placed on the strength of District Police Buner (appointment order attached as annex ,A)
- 2. That Due to the Talibanisation in District Buner and due to Threats to the appellant and his family he left District Buner in Emergency Condition because the Father of appellant his also been Murdered by Taliban.
- 3. That vide impugned order Dated06/12/2008 the appellant dismissed from service without issuing any show case Notice and without even informing him(Dismissal order is attached as annex ,B)
- 4. That the impugned order has been passed at the back of the appellant and rule of natural justice i-e audi altrum partem has been violated while dismissing the appellant from service.
- 5. That other similarly placed candidates have already been re-appointed by the competent authority.
- 6. That the impugned order is illegal, voide and against the natural justice.

it is therefore kindly requested that the appellant be re-instated is service with all back benefits.

Appelllant

Jehan Zeb s/o Khan Said District Buner

Dated:17/06/2019



OFFICE OF THE

INSPECTOR GENERAL OF POLICE

KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA Central Police Office, Peshawar.

/19, dated Peshawar the 25/06 /2019.

To:

The.

Regional Police Officer,

Malakand at Swat.

Subject:

<u>APPEAL.</u>

Mema:

The Competent Authority has examined and filed the appeal submitted by Ex-Constable Johan Zeb No. 473 of Buner District Police against the punishment of dismissal from service awarded by DPO. Buner vide OB No. 117, dated 06.12.2008, being budly time barred.

The applicant may please be informed accordingly.

-UL-HASSAN)

Registrar.

For Inspecior General of Police.

Buc Khyher Pakhtunkhwa,

Peshawar

Ec/ DPO Buner

Ec/ DPO Buner

Per Information and

madia the concerned

may be informed accordingly

MICH

دعويل باعدت تحرمرا نكبه

مقارمیة شدرج عنوان بالامس این طرنی سے واسطے پیروی و جواب دی وکل کار دانی متعلقه Enlow in the I Peshowar result منفر إلى تے افرار کیا جاتا ہے۔ کہ صاحب موصوف کومقدمہ کی کل کاروا کی کا کامل اختصار ، وگا۔ نیز و را بساحب کورات ما مدر من المراسية وتقرر ثالت و فيصله برحلف وسيع جواب داي اورا تبال وعوى اور المع ررت أُكرى كرنے اجراءا درصولی چيك وروپيارعرضي دعوى اور درخواست برتسم كي تقيديق زرایی بردستخط کرانے کااختیار ہوگا۔ نیز صورت عدم بیردی یاڈ گری میکطر فیہ یا ہیل کی برامدگی اورمنسوخی نیز دائر کر ۔ بے ایک تعرانی ونظر ٹانی دبیروی کرنے کا اختیار ہوگا۔از بصورت ضرورت مُمَّقَ<del>ر میبند کور</del> کے کل یا جزوی کا روانی کے واسطے اور وکیل یا مختار قانونی کوایے ہمراہ نیاا ہے بجائے تفرر کا ختیار مو کا \_ا زرمها حد . مفررشنده کو بھی وہی جملہ ند کورہ یا اختیارات حاصل ہوں محے اوراس کا س<sub>ا</sub> کھنتہ واخندم فطور تبول موج الدوران مقدمه من جوخرجد مرجانه التوائع مقدمه كسبب سه وموفحا کوئی تاریخ بیشی مقام دورہ پر ہویا حدے باہر ہوتو وکیل صاحب پابند ہوں ہے۔ کہ بیر دہی مٰدُوْرُكُر مِن \_لہِدَ اوكالت نا م<sup>رك</sup> هديا كەسندر ہے \_

# BEFORE THE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICE TRIBUNAL PESHAWAR

Service Appeal No. 1045/2019

# Jehan Zeb Ex-Constable No. 473 District Buner

	• •	Appellant	
	VERSUS	<b>3</b>	
1.	Inspector General of Police, Khyber Pak	khtunkhwa Peshawar.	•
2.	Regional Police Officer, Malakand Regional	ion at Saidu Sharif Swat.	
3.	District Police officer Buner.	년 	
		Respondents	

# INDEX

S#	DESCRIPTION OF DOCUMENTS	ANNEX	PAGE
1.	Para-wise Comments	****	1-2
2.	Affidavit		3
3.	Authority Letter		4
4.	Copy of DD report No. 16, dated 25.08.2008	Α .	5 .
5.	Copy of written notice & Show Cause Notice	B, C	6 - 7

DISTRICT POLICE OFFICER,
BUNER.
(Respondent No. 03)

### PEFORE THE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICE TRIBUNAL PESHAWAR

Service Appeal No. 1045/2019

#### Jehan Zeb Ex-Constable No. 473 District Buner

	VERSUS
1.	Inspector General of Police, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Peshawar.
2.	Regional Police Officer, Malakand Region at Saidu Sharif Swat.
3.	District Police officer Buner.
	Respondents

#### PARA-WISE COMMENTS ON BEHALF OF RESPONDENTS.

#### Respectfully sheweth: Preliminary Objections:-

- 1. That the present service appeal is badly time barred.
- 2. That the service appeal is not maintainable in its present form.
- 3. That the appellant has not come to this Honorable Tribunal with clean hands.
- 4. That the appellant has concealed material facts from this august tribunal.
- 5. That the appellant has got no cause of action and locus standi to file instant appeal.
- 6. That the appellant has been estopped due to his own conduct.

### **ON FACTS:**

- 1. Correct to the extent that the appellant was enlisted on 26.07.2007 as Constable in District Buner but in his initial stage of service he remained absent for a period of more than 03 months.
- 2. Incorrect. That the appellant was absented from his law full duty on 25.08.2008, without any leave or prior permission of his senior and was remained absent for a period of more than 03 months. Therefore he was rightly dismissed from service. (Copy of absence report DD No. 16 dated 25.08.2008 as Annexure "A").
- 3. Incorrect. That the appellant was informed through written notice about his absence with the direction to ensure his presence in the respondent department for his law full duty but he did not complied the same there after he was issued proper show cause notice but neither he submitted his reply to the show cause notice nor he made his presence in the respondent department to explain his compulsion / position. (Copy of written notice and Show Cause Notice are Annexed as Annexure "B & C").
- 4. Pertains to record.
- 5. Correct to the extent that the appellant filed department appeal before the respondent No. 01 after a long period of 11 years.
- 6. Correct to the extent that the departmental appeal of the appellant was rejected, being badly time barred.
- 7. That the service appeal of the appellant is liable to dismissed on the following grounds.

#### GROUNDS

- a. Incorrect. That the appellant has treated in accordance with law / rules & policy and the respondents have not committed any violation of constitution of Pakistan. Therefore, the dismissal order of the appellant is legal, being passed according to the law & rules.
- b. Incorrect. That the impugned order being passed according to the law rules & policy of the Government therefore it is not a void order.
- c. Incorrect. That the appellant has filed departmental appeal after a long period of 11 years. Therefore the same has rejected in according with law and rules being badly time barred.
- d. Incorrect. That the appellant has not being discriminated but he has estopped due to his own conduct.
- e. Incorrect. That after fulfilling all codal formalities, the appellant was dismissed from service.
- f. As explained in the above proceeding Paras, the appellant has treated in accordance with law rules and policy. Therefore the impugned order is legal.
- g. Incorrect. That the appellant was offered for personnel hearing but he could not appear to respondent No. 03 to explain his compulsion / position.
- h. That the respondents also seek permission of this Honorable Tribunal to adduce more points / grounds at the time of arguments.

#### PRAYER:

In view of the above facts and grounds it is most humbly prayed that the service appeal of the appellant may graciously be dismissed with costs.

> INSPECTOR GENERAL OF POLICE, KHYBER PAKHTANKHWA PESHAWAR (Respondent No. 01)

> > and an entirement

kan Capinari

(Respondent No. 02)

OLICE OFFICER,

BUNER.

(Respondent No. 03)

# BEFORE THE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICE TRIBUNAL PESHAWAR

Service Appeal No. 1045/2019

Jehan Zeb	Ex-Constable	No. 473	<b>District Buner</b>
-----------	--------------	---------	-----------------------

		<u>.</u>	Appellant
	VERSUS		
1.	Inspector General of Police, Khyber Pakhtu	nkh	wa Peshawar.
2.	Regional Police Officer, Malakand Region	at Şa	iidu Sharif Swat.
3.	District Police officer Buner.	,	
			Respondents

# <u>AFFIDAVIT</u>

We the above respondents do hereby solemnly affirm and state on oath that the whole contents of the accompany Para-wise comments are true and correct to the best of our knowledge and belief and nothing has been concealed from this Honorable Tribunal.

INSPECTOR-GENERAL OF POLICE, KHYBER PÄKHTUNKHWA PESHAWAR (Respondent No. 01)

REGIONAL POLICE OFFICER, MALAKAND REGION AT SAIDU SHARIF SWAT (Respondent No. 02)

> DISTRICT POLICE OFFICER, BUNER. (Respondent No. 03)

### BEFORE THE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICE TRIBUNAL PESHAWAR

Service Appeal No. 1045/2019

#### VERSUS

- 1. Inspector General of Police, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Peshawar.
- 2. Regional Police Officer, Malakand Region at Saidu Sharif Swat.
- 3. District Police officer Buner.

..... Respondents

### **AUTHORITY LETTER**

We, the above respondent do hereby authorize and allow Mr. Nowsherwan Inspector Legal District Buner to file the accompany Para wise comments in Honorable Service Tribunal Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Peshawar on our behalf and do whatever is needed in the court.

INSPECTOR GENERAL OF POLICE, KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA PESHAWAR (Respondent No. 01)

REGIONAL POLICE OFFICER, MALAKAND REGIONAT SAIDU SHARIF SWAT (Respondent No. 02)

> DISTRICT POLICE OFFICER, BUNER.

> > (Respondent No. 03)

Annexume A P. P. Si Vyors 35 0 10 10 16 Li عدا و كورك ونير طامر ن عدا مل وفت وون الارتم عي 25 والمورث كثيب في 173 المورث كثيب في 173 المريد محر کا دو النبی سے قریم کا کی سے تحریان و کورٹی کو کسی سی قریم کا کی سے تحریان و کورٹی کو کسی سی کا بن المان الل مرع درزا فيم بوكرا مد سر معقل بيان ساطاع مى 1 de cip 'e, c/, Jole 15/5 Mm. D. Dyger. 31/8/68.

American 5 11NO:369-5BG فوٹس پر اٹے حاضری 80-10-50/ تم كوبذر بعينون بذا مطلع كياجا يا ہے۔ كنة بر كوكسين الريش مين تعينات تھے۔ بدوران ايمر جنسي وليوني مور ند مرح المراح كراز خود بلاوجه غير عاضر بوكر بدستورغير عاضر بوتمهين باربار مطلع كرنے كے باوجودتم نے ابھی تک این حاضری کی ربورٹ نہیں گی-اس کے تمیں بذریعہ نوٹس ہذا اُخری بار مطلع کیا جانا ہے۔ کتیج پانچ دن کے اندراندرا پی حاضری کی رپورٹ <u>ی کسب را امرکن فوکر</u> میں کریں بصورت دیگر تہرار پرخلاف کیلم فیکارروائی عمل میں لائی جائیگی اور تمیں ملازمت سے برطرف كياجائيكا\_ خيامي چوليس آفيسر، 15/1/2/5/1/6 = . Cu prolicio 500 pm 18/10/08 f 1/2/2/2000 13/10/08 f 1/2/2/2000 13/10/08 كنهام كروس فدرسموك ترع ميرا رسيل والسي ارسال Jack p 16-6, 175.40.ps. 5.m.shoku 13/10/08 Jul Che Le 1/25 INSP/PP/GAREN

SHOW CAUSE NOTICE

WHEREAS, in the light of the facts, I am satisfied that no formal enquiry as contemplated by Removal from Service (Special Powers) Ordinance 2000 (Amendment) Ordinance 2001 is necessary and therefore. I am of the opinion that the allegations / misconduct call for any one or more punishments as defined in the aforesaid Rules.

Annexture (

Now, therefore, I <u>Sved Zubair Shah</u> District Police Officer, Buner under N.W.F.P. Removal from Service (Special Powers) Ordinance 2000 (Amendment). Ordinance 2001 on the following grounds of action, being authority call upon you <u>Const: Jehan Zeb NO.4473</u> to show cause within 7 days of the receipt of this notice, as to why the proposed punishment should not be awarded to you and also to state at the same time, whether you desired to be heard in person.

In case your reply is not reserved with in stipulated period, it shall be presumed that you have nothing to offer in your defence in that case, an exparte action shall be taken against you.

### GROUND OF ACTION.

Police Lines Dargar intentionally absented your self from duty with effect from 25.8.2008 vide Daily Daily No.15 dated 25.8.2008 Police lines Daggar till now with out leave and prior permission.

All this negligence and indiscipline on your part amounts to gross

misconduct.

And in case your reply is not received within stipulated period, it shall be presumed that you have nothing to-say in your defence and ex-partee decision shall be taken.

\_\_/EC,

Dated  $20 \cdot // - /2008$