### Form- A . . . . .

### FORM OF ORDER SHEET

_		
Court	01	out all the second seco
		<del></del>

Implementation Petition No. 619/2023					
S.No.	Date of order proceedings	Order or other proceedings with signature of judge			
1	. 2	3			
1	08.09.2023	The implementation petition of Mr. Asif Khan			
		submitted today by Mr. Hassan U.K Advocate. It is fixed			
		for implementation report before Single Bench at			
		Peshawar on 12-09-2023. Original file be			
		requisitioned. AAG has noted the next date.			
		By the order of Chairman			
		A.			
		REGISTRAR			
		·			
-					
	,				

### BEFORE THE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICE TRIBUNAL, **PESHAWAR**

Execution petition No. 6/9 /2023
Service AppealNo. 182 /2022
Asif KhanAppellant
VERSUS
Govt. of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa through Secretary Home & Civil Secretariat KP & others

### INDEX

S.No	Description of Documents	Annex	Pages
1.	Application for implementation	•	1-2
2.	Affidavit	<u> </u>	3
3.	Copy of the judgment dated 03.07.2023	Α	4-7
4.	Copy of application etc	В	8-10
5.	Wakalatnama		11

Appellant<sub>i</sub>

Through

Hassan V.K Afridi Advocate Supreme Court Cell No.0300-9151963

Dated 08.09.2023



### BEFORE THE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICE TRIBUNAL, PESHAWAR

Execution petition N	0	619	/2023
, In			
Service AppealNo	182	/2022	



#### **VERSUS**

- Govt. of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa through Secretary Home & Civil Secretariat, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar
- 2. Inspector General of Police, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar
- Deputy Inspector General of Police/Regional Office Officer, Kohat
- 4. District Police Officer, Kohat......**Respondents**

Application for implementation/compliance of judgment of this Hon'ble Tribunal vide dated 03.07.2023.

### Respectfully Sheweth:-

1. That the applicant/appellant has filed service appeal before this Hon'ble Tribunal, which was decided in favour of applicant vide judgment dated 03.07.2023. (Copy of the judgment dated 03.07.2023 is attached as Annexure "A").

(2)

2. That the appellant moved an application before the respondents implementation of the said judgment of this Hon'ble Tribunal but vain. (Copy of application is attached as annexure "B").

 That the respondents turn deaf ear and not interested to implement the judgment of this Hon'ble Tribunal yet.

4. That any other ground if any will be raised at the time of arguments with the prior permission of this Hon'ble Tribunal.

It is therefore humbly prayed that on acceptance of this application the respondents may kindly be directed to implement the judgment dated 03.07.2023 of this Hon'ble Tribunal.

Appellant

Through

Dated 08.09.2023

Hassan U.K.Afridi Advocate Supreme Court

### 3

### BEFORE THE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICE TRIBUNAL, PESHAWAR

Execution petition No/2023 In
In Service AppealNo. <u>182</u> /2022
Asif KhanAppellant
VERSUS
Govt. of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa through Secretary Home & Civil Secretariat KP & others

#### **AFFIDAVIT**

I, Asif Khan S/o Wasil Khan R/o Mohallah new Abadi, Jungle Khel, Kohat, do hereby solemnly affirm and declare on oath that the contents of the accompanying **Application** are true and correct to the best of my knowledge and belief and nothing has been concealed from this Hon'ble Court.

Identified by

DEPONENT

CNIC#: 14301-1098591-3

Cell #: 0344-9243240

Hassan U.K Afridi Advocate Supreme Court



KHYBER PAK HTUNKHWA SERVICE TRIBUNAL PESHAWARANI

Service Appeal No. 182/2022

BEFORE:

MR. KALIM ARSHAD KHAN MRS. RASHIDA BANO CHAIRMAN MEMBER (J

Asif Khan S/O Wasil Khan, R/O Mohallah New Abadi, Jungle Khel, Kohat.

.... (Appellant)

#### **VERSUS**

1. Government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa through Secretary Home Civil Secretariat, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar.

2. Inspector General of Police, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar.

3. Deputy Inspector General of Police/Regional Police Officer, Kohat.

4. District Police Officer, Kohat.

Respondents)

Mr. Hasan U.K Afridi Advocate

For appellant

Mr. Asif Masood Ali Shah Deputy District Attorney

For respondents

#### **JUDGEMENT**

RASHIDA BANO, MEMBER (J): The instant service appeal has been instituted under section 4 of the Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Service Tribunal, Act 1974 with the prayer copied as below:

"On acceptance of the instant service appeal both the impugned orders may kindly be set aside and the respondents may kindly be directed to order for constitution of fresh medical board for examination of appellant and if appellant medically fit, then the appellant

ATTESTED

h wher skhtukhw





## may please be reinstated in service, in accordance with law with all back benefits."

- 2. Brief facts of the case, as given in the memorandum of appeal, are that the appellant was appointed as constable in police department on 02.06.2006. The appellant performed his duties to the entire satisfaction of his high-ups and also qualified lower school course A1 and B1 examinations. That during service the appellant fell ill, a medical board was constituted as a result of which he was retired from service on 04.10.2020 on medical grounds. The appellant continued his treatment and after regaining his health, he submitted application for his reinstatement with a request to constitute a medical board for his medical examination which was rejected by respondent No.3 vide order dated 13.09.2021. He filed revision against said order was also rejected by respondent No.2 on 25.01.2022, hence the present service appeal.
- Respondents were put on notice, who submitted written replies/comments on the appeal. We have heard the learned counsel for the appellant as well as the learned Deputy District Attorney and perused the case file with connected documents in detail.
- 4. Learned counsel for the appellant argued that the impugned orders passed by the respondents are against law and justice hence liable to be set aside. He further contended that under the rules if any civil servant who had been invalidated during service could be reinstated if subsequently it was declared that he could efficiently his duty, even if he had availed his pension and dues. He argued that the appellant has fundamental right under constitution for his medical checkup by constituting medical board. Lastly, he submitted, that the appellant has not been treated in accordance with law and rules, therefore, he requested for acceptance of the instant service appeal.

Khyber Pakhtukhus

(B)

- 5. The learned Deputy District Attorney argued that on the request of the appellant he was invalidated out from service on the opinion of Standing Medical Board. He contended that the appellant was treated in accordance with law and rules. He further argued that the appellant is retired police personnel and he is no more at the strength of Police Department he has been granted all pensionary benefits and his re-instatement in service is not admissible under the rules.
- Perusal of record would reveals that appellant was appointed as constable in the respondent department on 02.06.2006, who qualified lower school course A1 and B1 examination. Appellant was allowed to retire from service w.e.f. 15.09.2020 on medical grounds vide order dated 04.10.2020. After regaining health and being found fit by his doctor, appellant applied for his reinstatement with request to constitute medical board for his medical examination but his application was rejected /filed by respondent No.3 vide order dated 13.09.2021 and revision petition against said order was also rejected by respondent No.2 on 26.01.2022. Perusal of both the impugned orders reveals that no reason for rejection /filing of it was given by respondents No. 2 & 3 but in reply it is mentioned that the appellant himself applied for medical board and as such he has not challenged the proceedings of medical board within stipulated time. It is also mentioned that after retirement on medical grounds appellant remains no more government servant and he got all pensionary benefits and also getting his pension regularly. Appellant's main contention is that after gaining health and being declared fit by his doctor he was entitled to be examined by medical board and reinstatement in service on the strength of Rule 519 of Civil Service Regulation which says that:

"There is no bar to the re-employment of an officer who has regained health after obtaining invalid pension, or if an officer is invalided as being incapacitated from employment in a



particular branch of the service, to his re-employment in some other branch of the service. The rules in such a case as to refunding gratuity, drawing pension, and counting service, the same as in the case of re-employment after compensation pension."

So bare perusal of this Rule 519 reveals that any government servant who was declared invalidated by medical board and getting invalid pension after regaining health could be re-employed and there exist no bar in respect of his reemployment/reinstatement. When there is no bare upon re-employment intoservice then in such a situation refusal to constitute medical board, for medical check-up of the appellant for the purpose of determination of his regaining health and to declare him fit or otherwise for re-employment/reinstatement into service by the respondent are unjustified and against law, rules and arbitrary in nature. Learned counsel for the appellant relied upon 1994 PLC (C.S) 957, which is applicable to the facts and circumstances of the appellant case, so far as plea taken by the respondents is concerned, that same is not in accordance with rules and law on subject, therefore, has no force in it and cannot be relied upon.

- As a sequel to the above discussion, we allow this appeal with direction to 8. respondents to constitute medical board for medical check-up of appellant within 30 days of the receipt of this judgment and if appellant was declared fit by the medical board then he may be reinstated/re-employed strictly in accordance with relevant rules and law. Costs shall follow the event. Consign.
- Pronounced in open court at Peshawar and given under our hands and seal of the Tribunal on this 3rd day of July, 2023.

(RASHIDA BA	NO) (KALIM AKSHAD KHAN
Member (J)	Chairman
	Date of Presentation of Application 1/-7-23
ertified to	Number of Words 1-1
	Copying Feedo/
South	Urgent
Service intune	Total 2
	Name of Copyies:
	Date of Complection of the 11-7-29

Date of Delivery of Copy

### بحضور جناب ڈسٹرکٹ بولیس آفیسرصاحب کوہاٹ

درخواست بمرادد باره تعیناتی میڈیکل بورڈ بمطابق فیصلہ خیبر پختونخواه سروسٹر بیونل بیٹا ورمور خیہ 2023-7-3

جناب عالی! سائل ذیل عرض کرناہے۔

رید کسائل مورخہ 2006-6-2 کو پولیس ڈیپارٹمنٹ میں بھرتی ہوااوراحسن طریقہ سے اپنی ڈیوٹی سرانجا م کرتا ہے۔

۔ ۲۔ سیکہ سائل دل کی بیاری کی وجہ سے اپنی سروی جاری ندر کھ سکا اور میڈیکل بورڈ کی بنیاد پر مورخہ 2020-10-4 کوریٹائر منٹ لے لیا۔

۔ سے سیکسائل بچھ صد بعدعلاج معالجہ کرتے جب اپنا چیک اپ کیا توصحت یاب تھااس لیےسائل دوبارہ میڈیکل بورڈ کے لیے درخواست دی کیکن وہ خارج ہوئی۔

س پیکسائل نے سروس ٹر بیونل میں ائیل دائر کی جو کہ نظور ہوئی اور عدالت نے بیر ہدایا ہے، دی کہسائل کی دوبارہ میڈیکل بورڈ کیا جائے اور اگر سائل صحت مند ہوتو سائل کو دوبارہ اخینات کیا جائے

لہذ ااستدعاہے کہ بمطابق فیصلہ سروں ٹر بیونل مورخہ 2023-7-3 سائل میڈیکل بورڈ کروایا جائے اگر سائل صحت مند ہوتو سائل کو دوبارہ تعینات کیا جائے۔

يده ١٢ ارجولا كي ٢٠٢٣

عرضے سمعام کا کرنے آصف خان LHC / 657 ولد واصل خان سکنه نئی آبادی جنگل خیل کو ہاشے رابط نمبر 9243240 - 0344



E WALL

1/2/23

to confidential and formal

Was was first



# Office of the District Police Officer,

Phr #, 0922-9260116 Fas #, 0922-9260123

ONO 5/69

dated Kohat the 21/7 1202

To:

The

Assistant Inspector General of Police,

Legal, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa,

Peshawar.

Subject

SERVICE APPEAL NO. 182/2022, TITLED ASIF KHAN EX-

CONSTABLE NO. 657 VS GOVT OF KP THROUGH

SECRETARY HOME & TAS DEPTT: & OTHERS

Memo:

Kindly in continuation to this office Letter No. 4961-62/LB

dated 10.07.2023.

Enclosed please find herewith copy of the order / judgment of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Service Tribunal in the subject cited appeal is submitted for kind perusal and appropriate orders, please.

Encl:

DISTRICT POLICE OFFICER,

\*\*KONAT

No 5170

Line organization and the

Copy of above is submitted to the Regional Police Officer. Kohat for favour of information w/r to this office quoted above no, please.

DISTRICT POLICE OFFICER,

KOHAT

MILESTED

रुपार<u>्था प्रथम क्रिक्स स्थापन अधिकाल</u>न स्थापन स्थापन

بعدالت جناب حجمد طرور مراس (مراس) ور go up blodd et. جرم زبردفعه Execution Pethodi- 123 sir باعث تحريرة نكه مقدمه مندرجه عنوان بالامیں اپن طرف سے واسطے پیروی وجوابد ہی کل کاروائی متعلقہ آں مقام کے لیے مقرر کے اقرار کیا جاتا ہے کہ صاحب موصوف کومقد مدگی کل کاروائی کا اختیار ہوگا۔ نیز دیل صاحب کو کرنے راضی نامہ وتقرر ٹالٹ وفیصلہ برحلف دینے جواب دہی اورا قبال دعویٰ اور بصورت ڈگری کرانے اجرااور وصولی چیک درروپیہاور عرضی دعویٰ اور درخواست ہرتم کی تقیدیق زراس پردستخط کرنے کا اختیار ہوگا۔ نیز بصورت عدم پیروی یاڈگری کیے طرفہ یا پیل کی برآ مدگی اور منوفی و نیز دائر کرنے اپیل مگرانی نظر ثانی دیروی کرنے کا اختیار ہوگا۔ اور بصورت ضرورت مقدمہ مذکور کے کل یاج و کارروائی کے واسطے اور وکیل یا مخار قانونی کواپنے ہمراہ یا اپنی بجائے تقرر کا اختیار ہوگا اور صاحب مقرر شدہ کو بھی دہی جملہ مذکورہ بالا اختیارات حاصل ہوں گے اور اس کا ساختہ پر داختہ منظور و قبول ہوگا۔ دوران مقدمہ میں جوخر چدو ہر جاندالتوائے مقدمہ کے سبب سے ہوگا اس کے متحق کیاصاحب موصوف ہوالگا ﷺ نیز بقایا خرچہ کی وصولی کرنے کا بھی اختیار ہوگا۔ اگر کوئی تاریخ بیشی مقام دورہ پر ہویا حدے باہر ہودکیل صاحب پاہندنہ ہوں گے کہ بیروی ندکور کریں۔ لہذاو کالت نامہ لکھ دیا کہ سندر ہے۔ مقام كوہاث \_ ئےمنظور ہے 104342 Bill