Ph: 9214461 Fax: 9220406

REGISTERED No. C.P.463-P/2016 - SCJ

SUPREME COURT OF PAKISTAN

Islamabad, dated

~2023

Khyber Pakhtukhwa Service Tribunal

Daren er Franzisch

From

To

The Registrar,

Supreme Court of Pakistan,

Islamabad,

The Registrar,

Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Service Tribunal,

Peshawar.

Subject:

CIVIL PETITION NO. 463 - P OF 2016

Govt. Of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa through Chief Secretary, Peshawar and

another

Versus

Umar Hayat,

On appeal from the Judgment/Order of the Khyber Pakhtunkhwa

Service Tribunal, Peshawar dated 03/06/2016 in Appeal.352/2013.

Dear Sir,

I am directed to enclose herewith a certified copy of the Order/Judgment of this Court dated 22/09/2022 dismissing the above cited case in the terms stated therein for information and further necessary action.

Please acknowledge receipt of this letter along with its enclosure immediately.

Encl: Order/Judgment:

Yours faithfully,

(MUHAMMAD MUJAH) MEHMOOD)
ASSISTANT REGISTRAR (IMP)
FOR REGISTRAR

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF PAKISTAN

(Appellate Jurisdiction)

PRESENT:

MR. JUSTICE UMAR ATA BANDIAL, CJ MRS. JUSTICE AYESHA A. MALIK

C.P.463-P/2016

(Against the judgment dated 03.06.2016 passed by KP Service Tribunal Peshawar in Service Appeal No.352/2013)

Govt. Of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa through Chief

...Petitioner(s)

Secretary, Peshawar and another

Versus

Umar Hayat

...Respondent(s)

For the Petitioner(s)

: Mr. Atif Ali Khan, Addl. AG KPK

For the Respondent(s)

: Mr. Zulfikar Khalid Maluka, ASC

Date of Hearing

: 22.09.2022

ORDER

UMAR ATA BANDIAL, CJ: The penalty order dated 19.07.2012 awards the punishment of "Removal from Service" on the respondent for wilful absence from duty with immediate effect. Thereafter it observes as follows:

"The unauthorized absence from 01.05.2012 is treated as extraordinary leave (EOL) without pay and allowances."

2. The impugned order by the KPK Service Tribunal, Peshawar ("Tribunal") has considered that the penalty order condones the respondent's period of absence. Keeping the circumstances of the case in view, the Tribunal has converted the penalty to compulsory retirement. That relieves the respondent from being deprived of financial benefit on the termination of his service. Learned Addl. AG has argued that such relief is not

ATTESTED

Court Associate
Supreme Court of Pakistan
Islamabau

M

available where the punishment of removal from service as in the present case.

- 3. Heard. The impugned order of the Tribunal has made a correction in the penalty order by addressing an ambiguity in its terms. We do not find any grounds to interfere with the impugned judgment of the Tribunal.
- 4. For the foregoing reasons, this petition is dismissed and leave to appeal is refused. C_0

Certified to be True Copy

Court Associate Supreme Court of Pakistan Islamabad

Islamabad 22.09 2022 Rashid/ Not approved for reporting