BEFORE THE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICE TRIBUNAL PESHAWAR

APPEAL NO.418/2022

Farman Ali Shah, Ex- Constable No.No.1172.
PoliceLine Bannu.

APPELLANT

VERSUS

1. The provincial police Officer, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar.
2. The Regional Police Officer, Bannu Region, Bannu.
3. The District Police Officer, Bannu.

RESPONDENTS

APPLICATION FOR CORRECTION OF ADDRESS OF RESPONDENT NO. 2 I.E THE REGIONAL POLICE OFFICER, BANNU REGION, BANNU WHICH WAS INADVERTENTLY WRITTEN AS THE REGIONAL POLICE OFFICER, MARDAN REGION, MARDAN IN THE MEMO OF APPEAL.

RESPECTFULLY SHEWETH:

1. That the appellant has filed the instant appeal appeal in this Honorable Tribunal which is pending for adjudication.

2. That in the panel of respondents it was inadvertently written asRegional PoliceOfficer, Mardan Region, Mardanwhile the correct address of respondent No.2 is Regional Police Officer Bannu Region Bannu.

3. That it will be in interest of justice to correct the address of respondent No.2 in the panel of respondents.

It is thereforemost humbly prayed that on the acceptance of this application the address of respondent No.2 may be corrected as Regional Police Officer Bannu Region Bannu and notice may also be issued to respondent No. 2 after correcting his address.

APPELLANT

THROUGH:

TAIMUR ALI KHAN
ADVOCATE HIGH COURT

BEFORE THE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICE TRIBUNAL, PESHAWAR.

APPEAL NO. 418 /2022

Farman Ali Shah, Ex-Constable No.1172,
Police Line Bannu.

(APPELLANT)

VERSUS

1. The Provincial Police Officer, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar.
2. The Regional Police Officer, Mardan Region, Mardan.
3. The District Police Officer, Bannu.

(RESPONDENTS)

APPEAL UNDER SECTION 4 OF THE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICE TRIBUNALS ACT, 1974 AGAINST THE ORDER DATED 05.07.2010, WHEREBY THE APPELLANT WAS DISMISSED FROM SERVICE AND AGAINST NOT TAKING ACTION ON THE DEPARTMENTAL APEPAL and revision OF THE APPELLANT WITHIN THE STATUTORY PERIOD OF NINETY DAYS.

Filedto-day
Registrar
17/3/2022.

PRAYER:

Re-submitted to-day and filed.
Registrar
17/3/2022

THAT THE ACCEPTANCE OF THIS APPEAL, THE ORDER DATED 05.07.2010 MAY KINDLY BE SET ASIDE AND THE APPELLANT MAY BE REINSTATED INTO HIS SERVICE WITH ALL BACK AND CONSEQUENTIAL BENEFITS. ANY OTHER REMEDY WHICH THIS AUGUST TRIBUNAL DEEMS FIT AND APPROPRIATE THAT MAY ALSO BE AWARDED IN FAVOUR OF APPELLANT.