18.05.2023

Junior of learned counsel for the appellant present. Syed Alamzeb Shah, ADEO alongwith Mr. Asad Ali Khan, Assistant Advocate General for the respondents present.

POCAZINED

File to come up for alongwith connected Service Appeal No. 930/2020 on 04.08.2023 before D.B. Parcha Peshi given to the parties.

(Muhammad Akbar Khan) Member (E) (Salah-ud-Din) Member (J)

'kamranullah'

- 4th August, 2023 1. Learned counsel for the appellant present. Mr. Fazal Shah Mohmand, Additional Advocate General alongwith Syed Alamzeb Shah, ADO (Lit) for the respondents present.
 - 2. Learned counsel for the appellant requested for adjournment in order to prepare the brief. Adjourned. To come up for arguments on 04.12.2023 before the D.B. PP given to the parties.

(Fareeha Paul)

Member (E)

(Rashida Bano) Member (J)

*Kaleemullah

08th Feb, 2023

Junior of learned counsel for the appellant present. Mr. Muhammad Riaz Khan Paindakhel, Assistant Advocate General for the respondents present.

Junior of learned counsel for the appellant stated that similar appeals titled "Rahatullah etc Versus Education Department" have been fixed on 09.03.2023 before Principal Bench of this august Tribunal at Peshawar, therefore, let this appeal be fixed on the said date at Principal Bench.

Let it be fixed at Principal seat of this august Tribunal to see the nature of all the appeals at Peshawar for 09.03.2023.

SCANNED KPST Peshawar

(Salah-ud-Din)
Member (J)
Camp Court Swat

(Kalim Arshad Khan) Chairman Camp Court Swat

09th March, 2023

Junior of learned counsel for the appellant present.

Mr. Muhammad Riaz Khan Paindakhel, Assistant Advocate

General for the respondents present.



Junior of learned counsel for the appellant requested for adjournment on the ground that learned counsel for the appellant has proceeded to his native village due to some domestic engagement. Adjourned. To come up for arguments on 18.05.2023 before the D.B. Parcha Peshi given to the parties.

(Salah-ud-Din) Member (J)

(Kalim Arshad Khan) Chairman 10th Nov, 2022

Due to public holiday on account of Allama (pal Day, the case is Member (E)

adjourned to 07.12.2022 for the same as before. Camp Court Swat)

Reader

SCANNED KPST (Peshawar

07.12.2022

Tour is hereby cancelled, therefore, the case is adjourned to 04.01.2023 for the same as before.

Reader

04.01.2023

Junior to counsel for the appellant present.

Muhammad Riaz Khan Paindakhel learned
Assistant Advocate General for respondents present.

Former made a request for adjournment on the ground that learned senior counsel for the appellant is busy in Hon'ble Peshawar High Court, Peshawar today. Adjourned. To come up for arguments on 08.02.2023 before D.B at camp court, Swat.

SCANNED KPST Peshawar

(Fareeha Paul)
Member (E)
(Camp Court Swat)

(Rozina Rehman)
Member (J)
(Camp Court Swat)



ORDER 04.10.2022 Learned counsel for the petitioner present. Mr. Muhamad Jan, District Attorney for the respondents present. Arguments on restoration application heard and record perused.

278/2020 bearing No. Appeal Service "Bacha Sherin Versus Secretary, E&SE Department, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar and three others", was dismissed in default vide order dated 05.07.2022. According to learned counsel for the petitioner, he was busy in the august Peshawar High Court, Peshawar as well as Principal bench of this Tribunal at Peshawar, therefore, he could not appear before the Tribunal on the said date. The petitioner has submitted an application for restoration of appeal on 22.07.2022, which is well within time. The application for restoration of service appeal is supported by duly sworn affidavit. Law also favours adjudication on merit by avoiding technicalities.

The application in hand is, therefore, accepted and Service Appeal bearing No. 278/2020 stands restored on its original number. To come up for arguments on 09.11.2022 before the D.B at Camp Court Swat.

ANNOUNCED 04.10.2022

> (Rozina Rehman) Member (J)

Camp Court Swat

(Salah-Ud-Din) Member (J)

Camp Court Swat

05.09.2022

Clerk of learned counsel for the applicant present. Notice be issued to the respondents for submission of reply as well as arguments on restoration application on 04.10.2022 before the

D.B at Camp Court Swat.

(Mian Muhammad) Member (Executive) Camp Court Swat

(Salah-Ud-Din) Member (Judicial) Camp Court Swat

Form-A

FORM OF ORDER SHEET

Court of	·	
Postoratio	on Application No.	409 /2022

S.Ño.	Date of order	Order or other proceedings with signature of judge
•	Proceedings	
1.	2	3
1	22.07.2022	The application for restoration of appeal No. 278/202
•	-	submitted today by Mr.Noor Muhammad Khattak Advocate. It
		fixed for hearing before touring Division Bench at Swat o
N ,		5-9-22 Original file be requisitioned. Notices to the
		applicant and his counsel be also issued for the date fixed.
		By the order of Chairman
		DESCRIPTION OF
		REGISTRAR
		<i>}</i>
	·	
	,	

Restoration Appli vo.409/2022 CM. NO._____/2022

IN

__, ____

APPEAL No. 278/2020

BACHA SHERIN

VS

EDUCATION DEPT.

INDEX

S. NO.	DOCUMENTS	ANNEXURE	PAGE
1.	Memo of application		1.
2. •	Affidavit	***********	2
3.	Order dated 5-7-2022	. А	3-4

0334-5277323 APPELLANT

THROUGH:

NOOR MUHAMMAD KHATTAK ADVOCATE

Restozation Appli No. 409/2022

CM. NO._____/2022

APPEAL No. 278/2020

Unind 22/1/3022

BACHA SHERIN

VS

EDUCATION DEPT.

APPLICATION FOR RESTORATION OF THE ABOVE MENTIONED APPEAL

R/SHEWETH:

- 1- That the above titled service appeal was pending adjudication before this Honorable tribunal which was fixed on 05-07-2022.
- 2- That the counsel for the petitioner was busy in The Peshawar High Court at Peshawar as well as was engaged at principal bench of this honourable tribunal at Peshawar. That it is also worth mentioning that the subject appeals were not noted in the diary on that date.
- 4- That as **the** matter pertaining in the instant appeal has not been **decided** on merit, therefore the mentioned service appeal may be **res**tored for the sack of justice.
- 5- That there is no legal bar in restoring the mentioned appeal.

It is therefore, most humbly prayed that on acceptance of this application the above mentioned service appeal may very kindly be restored.

Dated:

PETITIONER/APPLICANT

Through:

NOOR MUHAMMAD KHATTAK

Advocate, Peshawar

07.06.2022

Appellant in person present. Mr. Kabirullah Khattak, learned Additional Advocate General for the respondents present.

Counsel are on strike. Adjourned. To come up for arguments on 05.07.2022 before D.B at camp court Swat.

(Mian Muhammad) Member (E) Camp Court Swat

(Kalim Arshad Khan) Chairman . Camp Court Swat

05.07.2022

Nemo for appellant.

Noor Zaman Khan Khattak, learned District Attorney alongwith Nasim Khan Section Officer for respondents present.

Case was called time and again but neither the appellant nor his counsel turned up till rising of the Bench. Consequently, instant service appeal is hereby dismissed in default for non-prosecution. Parties are left to bear their own costs. File be consigned to the record room.

Announced. 05.07.2022

> (Far**e**eha Pául) Member(E)

Camp Court, Swat

∕lember(J)

Camp Court Swat

Junior to counsel for appellant present.

Muhammad Adeel Butt, learned Additional Advocate General for respondents present.

The learned Member (Judicial) is on leave, therefore, case is adjourned. To come up for arguments on 03.02.2022 before D.B. Respondents be put on notice to submit reply within 10 days in office.

Challeman

03.02.2022 The Tribunal is non-functional, therefore, the case is adjourned to 15.04.2022 before S.B for the same.

Reader

15.04.2022

Counsel for the appellant present. Mr. Kabirullah Khattak Addl. AG for respondents present and requested for adjournment. Request accepted. Last opportunity is granted for submission of written reply on 11.05.2022 before S.B at Camp Court-Swat.

Chairman

11.01.2021

Mr. Noor Muhammad Khattak, Advocate, for appellant is present. Mr. Kabirullah Khattak, Additional Advocate General alongwith representative of respondent No. 2 Mr. Naseeb Khan, Section Officer (Litigation), is also present.

Written reply on behalf of respondents not submitted despite last chance given in the preceding order sheet dated 19.11.2020, therefore, the appeal is adjourned to 08.04.2021 on which date file to come up for arguments before D.B.

(MUHAMMAD JAMAL KHAN) MEMBER (JUDICIAL)

Bleader

08.04.2021

Due to denise of Horiable Chairman The Tribunal is defants Therefore The case is adjourned to 26.07.2021 for the same as before.

26.07.2021

Mr. Noor Muhammad Khattak, Advocate, for the appellant present. Mr. Kabirullah Khattak, Additional Advocate General for the respondents present.

Learned counsel for the appellant requested for adjournment on the ground that he has not met preparation for arguments. Adjourned. To come up for arguments before the D.B on 01.11.2021.

(ATIQ-UR-REHMAN WAZIR)
MEMBER (EXECUTIVE)

(\$ALAH-UD-DIN) / MEMBER (JUDICIAL)

05.08.2020

Mr. Noor Muhammad Khattak, Advocate for appellant is present. Mr. Kabirullah Khattak, Additional AG alongwith representative of the department Mr. Mohibullah, Assistant are also present.

Representative of the department requested for time to furnish written reply/comments. Time is granted. File to come up for written reply/comments on 29.09.2020 before S.B.

(MUHAMMAD JAMAL KHAN) MEMBER

29.09.2020

Junior to counsel for the appellant and Addl. AG alongwith Sajid Superintendent and Ahmad Hassan, Litigation Officer for the respondents present.

Representative of the respondents seeks further time to furnish reply/comments. Adjourned to 19.11.2020 on which date the requisite reply/comments shall be submitted without fail.

Chairman

19.11.2020

Junior to counsel for the appellant and Addl; AG present. No representative of respondents is available.

Learned AAG is required to contact the respondents and submit written reply/comments on 11.01.2021, as last chance.

Chairman i

30.03.2020

Due to public holidays on account of Covid-19, the case is adjourned. To come up for the same on 19.06.2020 before S.B.

Reader

19.06.2020

Appellant with counsel present.

Contends that appellant was initially appointed as PTC now PST as stop gap arrangement but his services were dispensed with in 1997. He then submitted an application for his reinstatement on promulgation of KP Sacked Employees Act, 2012 which was not considered. He, therefore, filed Writ Petition which was allowed and the appellant was appointed. His departmental appeal for fixation of pay was not responded. It was further submitted that in the light of Rule2.3 of the West Pakistan Pension Rules, 1963, the appellant is entitled for the grant of pay fixation from the date of initial appointment.

Points raised need consideration. Instant appeal is admitted for regular hearing subject to all legal objections. The appellant is directed to deposit security and process fee within 10 days. Notice be issued to the respondents. To come up for written reply/comments on 05.08.2020 before S.B.

Appellant Deposited
Success Fee

(Rozina Rehman) /Member (J)

Form- A

FORM OF ORDER SHEET

Court of			
Case No		278/ 2020	

S.No.	Date of order proceedings	Order or other proceedings with signature of judge
1	2	3
1-	09/01/2020	The appeal of Mr. Bacha Sherin presented today by Mr. Noor Muhammad Khattak Advocate may be entered in the Institution Register
		and put up to the Worthy Chairman for proper order please.
		REGISTRAR 09 01 (20)
2-	(0/01/20	This case is entrusted to S. Bench for preliminary hearing to be put up there on (CO) 2000
•		CHAIRMAN
	10.02.2020	Learned counsel for the appellant present. Heard.
		Learned counsel for the appellant could not
		demonstrate that the appellant can claim service back
		benefits under the Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Sacked
		Employees (Appointment) Act, 2012. Learned counsel for the appellant seeks adjournment for proper assistance.
		Adjourn. To come up for preliminary hearing on
	:	30.03.2020. Learned counsel for the appellant may also submit copy of the judgment in Writ Petition bearing
		No.3-M of 2014 dated 28.03.2014 on the next date fixed.
ı		
		Member
5		
	,	

APPEAL NO. 278 /2019

BACHA SHERIN

VS

EDUCATION DEPTT:

INDEX

S.NO.	DOCUMENTS	ANNEXURE	PAGE
1	Memo of appeal		1- 3.
2	Appointment order	Α	4.
3	Judgment	В	5- 8.
4	Appointment order	. C	9- 10.
5	Medical certificate	D	11.
6	Departmental appeal	E	12.
7	Vakalat nama	***************************************	13.

APPELLANT

THROUGH:

NOOR MOHAMMAD KHATTAK, ADVOCATE

Flat No. 3, Upper Floor, Islamia Club Building, Khyber Bazar, Peshawar 0345-9383141

BEFORE THE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICE TRIBUNAL PESHAWAR Khyber Pakhtuk

Khyber Pzkhtukhwa Service Tribunal

APPEAL NO. <u>%78</u> /2029

Diary No. 295

Mr. Bacha Sherin, PST (BPS-12),

GPS Jatgram warai, District Dir Upper......Appellant

VERSUS

- 1- The Secretary, E&SE Department, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar.
- 2- The Secretary Finance Department, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar.
- 3- The Director, E&SE Department, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar.
- 4- The District Education Officer, District Dir Upper.

.....RESPONDENTS

APPEAL UNDER SECTION- 4 OF THE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICE TRIBUNAL ACT, 1974 FOR FIXATION OF PAY IN BPS-12 w.e.f. 01-01-1996 I.E. FROM THE DATE OF INITIAL APPOINTMENT TO THE POST OF PST AND AGAINST NOT TAKING ACTION ON THE DEPARTMENTAL APPEAL OF THE APPELLANT WITHIN THE STATUTORY PERIOD OF NINETY DAYS.

PRAYER:

That on acceptance of this appeal the appellant may kindly be allowed/granted pay fixation w.e.f. 01-01-1996 with all back benefits. Any other remedy which this august Service Tribunal deems fit that may also be awarded in favor of the appellant.

R/SHEWETH: ON FACTS:

Fledto-day Brief facts giving rise to the present appeal are as under:

0-9/01/20201-

- 2- That it is worth mentioning here, that in 1997 the services of the appellant along with others were dispensed with on the ground that their services were no more required to the Department.
- 3- That it is also worth mentioning, that on promulgation of KP Sacked Employee Act, 2012 appellant submitted an application for his reinstatement being covered under the said law but the same was not considered, hence the appellant filed writ petition no. 855/2016 before Peshawar high court Mingora Bench, which was

- 5- That the appellant filed Departmental appeal before respondents for fixation of pay w.e.f. the date of his first appointment i.e. 01/01/1996 but the same has not been responded within stipulated period of ninety days. Copy of Departmental appeal is attached as annexure.
- **6-** That feeling aggrieve and having no other remedy the appellant filed the instant appeal on following grounds inter alia.

GROUNDS:

- A- That the inaction of respondents by not allowing pay fixation to the appellant w.e.f. 01-01-1996 is against the law, facts, norms of natural justice and materials on the record.
- B- That appellant has not been treated in accordance with law and rules by the respondent Department on the subject noted above and as such the respondents violated Article 4 and 25 of the Constitution of Islamic Republic of Pakistan 1973.
- C- That the respondents acted in arbitrary and mala fide manner by not allowing pay fixation to the appellant w.e.f. 01-01-1996 and as such the inaction of the respondents is violative of law and rules.
- D- That the inaction of the respondents is discriminatory and against the norms of natural justice, therefore not tenable in the eye of law.
- E- That under Article 38 (e) of the Constitution of Pakistan, 1973 state is bound to reduce disparity in the income and earning of the individuals including persons in the various services of Pakistan, therefore in light of the above mentioned Article the appellant is fully entitle for the grant of pay fixation w.e.f. the date of initial appointment.
- F- That promulgation of Sacked Employee Act, 2012 established that dismissal of appellant along with others from services was against the law, hence the appellant is entitled to pay fixation from date of his first appointment.

G- That in light of Rule 2.3 of the West Pakistan, Pension Rules, 1963 the appellant is fully entitle for the grant of pay fixation from the date of initial appointment.

×.

H- That appellant seeks permission to advance other grounds and proofs at the time of hearing.

It is therefore, most humbly prayed that the appeal of the appellant may be accepted as prayed for.

APPELLANT

BACHA KHAN

THORUGH:

NOOR MOHAMMAD KHATTAK

MIR ZAMAN SÁFI

SHAHZULLAH YOUSAFZAI
ADVOCATES

BETTER COPY PAGE NO. 4

OFFICE ORDER:-

Consequent upon the non availability of trained PTC, in the constituency, Mr. Bacha Shireen S/O Mohammad Saeed Khan Village Jagram Tehsil & District Dir is hereby appointed on stop gap arrangements at GPS/MPS, Luqman Banda with effect from 1.03.1995 to 30.5.1996 at the pay scale of Rs. 1480/81/2695 subject to the following terms and conditions:-

- 1. Charge report should be submitted to all concerned.
- 2. Health & age certificate should be produced from the civil surgeon DIR at Timergara.
- 3. He may not be handed over the charge if his age exceeds 30 years or below 18 years.
- 4. Before handing over charge to him their original documents should be checked.

(FAZLE NAEEM KHAN)
DISTT: EDUCATION OFFICER(M)
PRY: DIR AT TIMARGARA.

OFFICE TO THE DISTT: EDUCATION OFFICER (M) PRY: DIR AT TIMERGARA.

Endst: No. 16-18/PED/ESTAB:/ dated Timergara the 1/1/1996

Copy forwarded to:-

Connequent upon the non availability of trained PTC. OFFICE ORDER : in the constituency, Mr. Bache Shireen, Mat: 8/0 Mohd Saced Khang Distt: Dir is hereby appointed as PTC.Tr: with offect on atop gap arrangements at GPS/MPS, buomanbands, from 1.3.1 to 30.5.96 at the pay scale of Re, 1480-81/ 2695 subject to the following terms and conditions:

- 1. Charge report should be submitted to all concerned.
- 2. Realth & Agn certificate should be produced from the Civil'Surgeon, Dir at Timergara.
 - 3. He may not be handed over the charge if his age exceeds 30 years of below 18 years.
 - 4. Before handing over charge to him his original docu ments should be checked.

HERRY TOUGHTANDOR TO THE TOUGHT TO HER TO THE TOUGHT TO TH PRY: DIR AT TIHERGARA.

OFFICE OF THE DISTT: EDUCATION OFFICER (H) PRYL DIR AT TIMERGARA /PED/Estab: Dated Timergara the Copy forwarded so:-

1. The SDEO(M) Warring for information.

2. The DAO, Dir at Timergara for information.

3. The candidate concerned for information.

y Anv<u>er</u>/

DISTT: EDUCATION OFFICER (M) .. PRY: DIR AT

BEFORE THE PESHAWAR HIGH COURT BENCH AT MINGORA/DAR-UL-QAZA SWAT

60

C.M No. 855 g of 2016.

In

Writ Petition No. 03-M of 2014

Bacha Sherin son of Muhammad Said Khan Resident of Jagram, District Dir Upper.

.....applicant / Petitioner

VERSUS

- 1) District Education Officer (Male) Dir Upper at Dir.
- 2) Director Elementary & Secondary Education KPK at Civil Secretariat Peshawar.
- 3) Govt. of KPK through Chief Secretary at Civil Secretariat Peshawar.
- 4) Secretary Education Govt. of KPK at Civil Secretariat Peshawar.

Respondents

APPLICATION FOR IMPLEMENTATION OF THE JUDGMENT / ORDER OF THIS HONORABLE COURT DATED 28-03-2014 IN WRIT PETITION NO 03-M OF 2014.

28 SEP 2016

JUDGMENT SHEET

IN THE PESHAWAR HIGH COURT, MINGORA BENCH (DAR-UL-QAZA), SWAT (Judicial Department)

CM No. 855-M/2016

In W.P. No. 3-M/2014.

JUDGMENT

Date of hearing: 16.5.2017.

Petitioner:- (Bacha Sherin) by Syed Abdul, Advocate.

Respondents:- (DEO Dir Upper & others) by Mr. Rahim Shah A.A.G.

MOHAMMAD IBRAHIM KHAN, J.-This a of the implementation Petition for judgment/order dated 28.3.2014 rendered by this Court in W.P. No. 3-M of 2014. While directives contained in Para No. 4 of the said judgment are reproduced as under:-

"In view of the above, the Respondents are directed to consider the case of Petitioners in light of the Khyber Employees Sacked Pakhtunkhwa (Appointment) Act, 2012 subject to their eligibility in the light of the provisions of said Act within a period one month : through a speaking order.

The petition was disposed in above terms.

2. A look over the contents of the writ petition would reveal that Hanifullah and Bacha Sherin have jointly preferred their petition with the following perspective prayer:-



- " It is, therefore, prayed that on acceptance of this writ petition this august Court may kindly:
- A. Direct Respondents to re-appoint the

 Petitioners on the strength of the

 Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Sucked

 Employees (Appointment) Act 2012.
 - B. Any other relief, which this august

 Court deems appropriate in the
 interest of justice, may also be
 granted."
- 3. Having heard arguments of learned counsel for the parties, record with their assistance gone through.
 - 4. As the impugned judgment in the writ petition bearing No. 3-M of 2014 dated 28.03.2014 was delivered in terms that both the Petitioners i.e. Hanifullah and Bacha Sherin were to be given alike treatment under the law by way of their appointment under the Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Sacked Employees (Appointment)

STED

Act, 2012, but as it is learnt that in the light of the directives contained in the ibid judgment of this Court only Hanifullah has been appointed while present Petitioner/Applicant Bacha Sherin has been totally ignored in the recruitment process. It is glaring feature of our Constitution that everyperson is entitled to equal protection of law, as in the Supra case similarly placed person (Hanifullah) has been appointed by the Respondents, but for reasons known them the other Applicant/Petitioner Bacha Sherin has not been given his due right of appointment under the ibid sacked employees Act. Therefore it is as undertaken that in order to implement the directives . contained the said writ petition, the Applicant/Petitioner may file a contempt of Court petition in a short while accordingly. May do so within the legal framework.

5. In such terms, this petition is disposed of accordingly. Sd. Mohammad Broken Khan-

16.05.2017.

以其中的大学的基本的对象。

District Education Officer Male District Dir Upper



PH No. 0944-881400-Fax-880411 E-mail deomdirupper@gmail.com

9

APPOINTMENT.

As per the decision of Hororable Peshawar High Court Mingora Bench/Dar-ul-Qaza Swat in W-P NO. 3-M/2014, CM NO. 855-M.2016 & Announced on 28-03-2014, 16-05-2017 & Letter Vide No.317/AD/(Litigation) Dated Peshawar the 02-08-2017 Director Elementary & Secondary Education Peshawar in the light of sacked employees (appointment) Act,2012(Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Act NO.XVII-2012 and @ of 30% share from the available vacant Posts. Mr. Bacha Sherin S/O Mohammad Said Khan, Sacked employee's appointment is hereby ordered against the post of Primary School Teachers (PST) Male at GPS Jatgram Wari School-based in BPS-12 (Rs.13320-955-41970) Plus usual allowances as admissible under the rules & the existing policy of the Provincial Government, in Teaching Cadre on the terms and condition given below with effect from the date of taking over charge.

TERMS AND CONDITIONS.

- 01. Appointment of Sacked employees shall be made through only regular basis to a civil post who possessed the prescribed qualification and experience required for the said post during the period from 1st day of November, 1993 to the 30th day of November 1996(both days inclusive) and were dismissed/removed, or terminated from service during the period from 1st day of November, 1996 to 31st day of December, 1998 on various grounds.
- 02. He will be on probation for a period of one year.
- 03. Appointment of Sacked employees subject to section 7 may be appointed in his respective cadre of his concerned Department, in which he occupied civil posts before his dismissal, removal and termination from service.
- 04. The Sacked employees shall be appointed against thirty percent of the available vacancies in the Department.
- 05. As per court decision if the appointees fail to acquire the training PTC and the required qualification (FA/FSC) within three years, his appointments will be considered as cancelled after the expiry of that period.
- 06. The appointees will provide undertaking that they will be completed the requisite training during a period of three years.
- 07. He should obtain Medical Fitness certificate from Medical Superintendent Concerned.
- 08. The Sacked employees shall not be entitled to claim seniority and other back benefits. A sacked employee appointed under section 3 shall not be entitled to any claim of seniority, promotion or other back benefits and his appointment shall be considered as fresh appointment.
- 09. The appointees will be governed by such rules and regulations/policies as prescribed by the Government from time to time.



- 10. If the appointees fail to take over charge with in fifteen days after issuance of this order, his appointment will be deemed as automatically cancelled.
- 11. Charge report should be submitted to all concerned.
- 12. All the drawing & Disbursing Officer is directed to verify his Academic and Professional Certificate/degree etc from the quarter concerned before starting his salaries otherwise he will be held responsible for the consequences if occurred in this regard.
- 13. The errors and omissions etc if found at any stage shall be rectified. In case of termination of said candidate, he will have no right to claim the order already issued in any court.
- 14. No. TA/DA is allowed.

(MDIN-UD-DIN KHAITAK)
District Education Officer,
Male dir Upper

Endst: No 590/-/// File No.123/Apptt:/ DEO (M)/ADO (P) Dated Dir (U) the 33 /08/2017.

Copy forwarded for information and necessary action to the: -

- 1. Director of Elementary & Secondary Education Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Peshawar.
- 2. District Accounts Officer Dir Upper
- 3. Dy: District Education Officer Male Dir Upper.
- 4. Sub Divisional Education Officer Male Wari.
- 5. A.P EMIS Local Office.
- 6. Official Concerned.
- 7. M/File

District Education Officer, Male dir Upper

ATTESTED

MEDICAL CERTIFICATE Name of Official Cast or race ... Father's Name . Residence VIII: Talgarm Tel War 1) ST Exact height by measurement Personal mark of identification Signature of the official Seal of Officer..... I do hereby certify that I have examined Mr. Backa Shering candidate for employment in the office of the Education Debland cannot discover that he had nay disease communicable of other constitutional affection or bodily infirmity except. co no consider this as disqualification for employment in the office of the His age according to own statement ... 4.7. years LEST HAND THUMB AND FINGER Civil Hospital .. MPRESSION: Medical Superintendent DIN O Hospital

. Upraed Oid

Charge Report



Certified that Mr. Bacha Sherin S/o

Muhammad Saeed kham resident of Jatgram took over the charge of his duty as PST at GPS Jatgram before moon today on 24-08-2017 Vide DEO order No 5904-11/ File No. 123/Appt:/DEO(M)/ADO(P) Dated Dir (U) the 23-08-2017

No - 37 - dated 24.8-01

Head Teacher APS Jatgram

Head Teacher G.P.S Jatgram Distt: Dir Upper 24/8/2017 Teacher concerned

Br.

ATTESTED



The Director, E&SE Department, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar.



DEPARTMENTAL APPEAL FOR FIXATION OF PAY IN BPS-12 w.e.f. 01-01-1996 i.e. FROM THE DATE OF INITIAL APPOINTMENT

Respected Sir,

It is most humbly stated that was appointed before your good self Department as PTC vide order dated 01-01-1996 as stop gap arrangement. That in year 1997 the services were dispensed with on the ground that his services was no more required to the Department. That on promulgation of KP Sacked Employee Act, 2012 I was submitted an application for reinstatement being covered under the said law but the same was not considered. Feeling aggrieved I was filed writ petition before the Peshawar High Court Mingora Bench, which was allowed. Dear Sir, I was appointed as PST w.e.f. taking over charge in light of Peshawar High Court Darul Qaza Bench judgment. That in response I have submitted my charge report and started duty quite efficiently and up to the entire satisfaction of my superiors. That I am entitle for fixation of pay from the date of my initial appointment i.e. 01-01-1996 but the concerned authority, has been appointed me with immediate effect i.e. from the date of taking over charge. Sir, I am feeling aggrieved preferred this Departmental appeal before your good self.

It is therefore, most humbly prayed that on acceptance of this Departmental appeal I may kindly be allowed for pay fixation w.e.f. 01-01-1996 with all consequential benefits. Any other remedy which your good self deems fit that may also be awarded in my favor

Dated: 18.09.2019

Your's Sincerely

BACHA SHERIN, PST GPS Jatgram, Wari, Dir Upper

VAKALATNAMA

Defor the sep lenne Tribul	nerhower
OF 2019	
Racha Sherin (P	PELLANT) LAINTIFF) TITIONER)
VERSUS	
Lolwheir Deptt. (DE	PONDENT) FENDANT)
Do hereby appoint and constitute NOOR MCKHATTAK, Advocate, Peshawar to appear, compromise, withdraw or refer to arbitration for my/our Counsel/Advocate in the above not without any liability for his default and with the engage/appoint any other Advocate Counsel on relive authorize the said Advocate to deposit, we receive on my/our behalf all sums and amounts deposited on my/our account in the above noted.	plead, act, or me/us as ed matter, authority to my/our cost. ithdraw and payable or
Dated/2019 CLIENT ACCEPTION NOOR MOHAMMA	
MUHAMMAD MA	\
OFFICE: Flat No.3, Upper Floor, Islamia Club Building, Khyber Bazar, Peshawar City. Mobile No.0345-9383141	an Safi

Service Appeal No. 278/2020

Bacha S	herin, PST (BPS	S-12)GPS Jatgram	Wari District Dir
Upper		1.	
	·	*****************	(APPELLANT)

Versus

- 1. Secretary, Elementary and secondary Education Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Peshawar.
- 2. Secretary Finance Department, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Peshawar.
- 3. Director, Elementary and secondary Education Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Peshawar.
- 4. District Education Officer (M) DIR Upper
 (RESPONDENTS)

JOINT PARA WISE COMMENTS ON &FOR BEHALF OF RESPONDENT NO: 4& Other

INDEX

S# "	Description		- Page Nos	Annexure
1 ,	Para Wise Comments	l I		
2	Affidavit	1		Λ
3	Authority Letters	- [В

District Education Officer (M) Dir Upper

Service Appeal No. 278/2020

Bacha Sherin, PST (BPS-	-12)GPS Jat	gram Wari,Distric	ct Dir .
	Upper		· .
		(APPEL	LANT)

Versus

- 1. Secretary, Elementary and secondary Education Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Peshawar.
- 2. Secretary Finance Department, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Peshawar.
- 3. Director, Elementary and secondary Education Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Peshawar.
- 4. District Education Officer (M) DIR Upper
 (RESPONDENTS)

JOINT PARA WISE COMMENTS ON &FOR BEHALF OF RESPONDENT NO: 4& Other

Respectfully Sheweth: -

PRELIMNARY OBJECTIONS.

- 1. That the Appellantis not the "aggrieved" persons with the meaning of Article 212 of the Constitution of the Islamic Republic of Pakistan 1973.
- 2. That the Appellant has got no cause of action /locus standi to file the instant appeal because the Appellant did not come on merit.
- 3. That the Appellant has not come to this Honorable court with clean hands.
- 4. That the Appellant is estopped by his own conduct.
- 6. That the instant writ petition suffers from laches, hence not maintainable in the present form.
- 7. That as per section 5 of the Sacked Employee Act 2012 the appellant is neither eligible nor entitled for relief he prayed in the instant appeal.

(Sacked employees shall not be entitled to claim seniority and other back benefits)

ON FACTS.

- 1. Para -1 of the facts is correct hence need no comments.
- 2. Para- 2of the facts is also correct hence need no comments.

ign

Service Appeal No. 278/2020

Bacha Sherin, PST (BPS-	-12)GPS Jat	gram Wari,District Dir
•	Upper	
•		(APPELLANT)

Versus

- 1. Secretary, Elementary and secondary Education Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Peshawar.
- 2. Secretary Finance Department, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Peshawar.
- 3. Director, Elementary and secondary Education Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Peshawar.
- 4. District Education Officer (M) DIR Upper

•	(DESDONDENTS)
	(KESPONDENIS)

JOINT PARA WISE COMMENTS ON &FOR BEHALF OF RESPONDENT NO: 4& Other

Respectfully Sheweth: -

PRELIMNARY OBJECTIONS.

- 1. That the Appellantis not the "aggrieved" persons with the meaning of Article 212 of the Constitution of the Islamic Republic of Pakistan 1973.
- 2. That the Appellant has got no cause of action /locus standi to file the instant appeal because the Appellant did not come on merit.
- 3. That the Appellant has not come to this Honorable court with clean hands.
- 4. That the Appellant is estopped by his own conduct.
- 6. That the instant writ petition suffers from laches, hence not maintainable in the present form.
- 7. That as per section 5 of the Sacked Employee Act 2012 the appellant is neither eligible nor entitled for relief he prayed in the instant appeal.

(Sacked employees shall not be entitled to claim seniority and other back benefits)

ON FACTS.

- 1. Para -1 of the facts is correct hence need no comments.
- 2. Para- 2of the facts is also correct hence need no comments.

- 3. Para-3 of the facts is correct up to the extent of the judgment in the writ petition No.855-M/2016, in compliance the appellant was appointed with immediate effect.
- 4. Para-4 of the facts is correct that the appellant was appointed as per judgment of the Honorable Peshawar High Court Mingora Bench.
- 5. Para-5 of the facts pertain to record up to the extent of the departmental appeal, furthermore the appellant was re-appointed as per judgment of the Honorable Peshawar High Court Mingora Bench with immediate effect whereas in section 5 of the SACKED EMPLOYEE ACT 2012, it is clearly stated that the appellant will not be entitled to claim seniority and other back benefit. It is also pertinent to mention here that as per terms and conditions No.08 of the appointment order dated 23/08/2018, "the Sacked Employees shall not be entitled to claim the seniority and other back benefits as sacked employee appointed under section 3 shall not be entitled to claim any seniority, promotion or other back benefits and his appointment order shall be considered as fresh appointment".
- 6. Para-6, need no comments.

GROUNDS.

- A) In correct. The respondents always follow rules and policies consigned by government and the Appellant has been treated as per law rules and policies.
- B.) Incorrect, hence denied, the official respondents always follow rules and policies in letter and spirit and no illegality has been done by the official respondents.
- C) Need no comments.
- D) In correct hence denied. Detail reply has been submitted in the above Para's.
- E) Need no comments.
- F) Incorrect hence denied and as per section 5 of the Act ibid the appellant is not entitled for any back benefits.

- G) Need No comments.
- H) Legal, However the official respondent also seeks permission for additional grounds during arguments.

It is, therefore, humbly prayed that on acceptance of the above submission, the instant service appeal may very graciously be dismissed in favor of the answering respondents with cost.

Secretary:

SED Khyber Pakhtunkhwa

(Respondent No. 1)

Secretary:

il Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Finance Deportment (Respondent No. 2)

Director:

E&SE Khyber Pakhtunkhwa (Respondent No. 3)

District Education Officer (M) Dir Upper

(Respondent No. 4)

Service Appeal No. 278/2020

Bacha Sherin,	PST (BPS-12) GPS Jatgram Wari, District Dir
Upper	
	(APPELLANT)

Versus

- 1. Secretary, Elementary and secondary Education Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Peshawar.
- 2. Secretary Finance Department, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Peshawar.
- 3. Director, Elementary and secondary Education Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Peshawar.
- 4. District Education Officer (M) DIR Upper (RESPONDENTS)

Affidavit

I, Syed Alamzeb Shah Litigation officer DEO (M) Dir Upper do hereby solemnly affirm and state on oath that the whole contents of this reply are true and correct to the best of my knowledge and belief and nothing has been concealed from this August court.

Identified by

Addl; Advocate General Khyber Pakhtunkhwa.

Deponent

Syed Alamzeb Shah
Dir Upper

itigation Officer DEO (M) Dir Upper

Service Appeal No. 278/2020

Bacha (Sherin, PST (BPS-12) GPS Jatgram Wari, District Dir Upper
	(APPELLANT)

Versus

- 1. Secretary, Elementary and secondary Education Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Peshawar.
- 2. Secretary Finance Department, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Peshawar.
- 3. Director, Elementary and secondary Education Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Peshawar.
- 4. District Education Officer (M) DIR Upper

..... (RESPONDENTS)

AUTHORITY LETTER

Mr. Syed Alamzeb Shah Litigation Officer of the office of the undersigned is hereby authorized to submit the comments /reply in the service appeal No.278/2020

Title: Bacha Sherin v/s Govt: of KP on my behalf.

District Education officer (M)

District Dir

Upper