# Form- A

# FORM OF ORDER SHEET

Court of\_\_\_\_\_\_

Execution Petition No. <u>115/2023</u>

S.Nc	Date of order proceedings	Order or other proceedings with signature of judge		
1	2	3		
1	28.02.2023	The execution petition of Mr. Naveed Khan		
		submitted today by Roeeda Khan Advocate. It is fixed for		
	- -	implementation report before Single Bench at Peshawar		
		on Original file be requisitioned. AAG		
		has noted the next date. The respondents be issued		
	-	notices to submit compliance/implementation report on		
		the date fixed.		
		By the order of Chairman		
		E w		
		REGISTRAR		
, ,	 			
		**************************************		
,				

## BEFORE THE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICE TRIBUNAL, PESHAWAR.

Execution Petition No. 115 /2023

## In Service Appeal: 3871/2021

Mr. Naveed Khan son of Umar Khan, Constable Belt No. 5260 R/o Urmar Miana Mohallah Toheed Abad, Peshawar.

..... Appellant

### VERSUS

- 1. Inspector General of Police/PPO, Peshawar.
- 2. Capital City Police Officer, Peshawar.
- 3. Senior Superintendent of Police, Peshawar.
- 4. Superintendent of Police (Headquarter), Peshawar.
- 5. Deputy Superintendent of Police, (Security), Civil Secretariat, Peshawar.

.....Respondents

## **INDEX**

S.No.	<b>Description of documents</b>	Annexure	Pages
1.	Copy of Petition		1-2
_2.	Copy of Judgment	A	3-6
3.	Wakalat Nama		

Dated 28/02/2023

Appellant

Through

Rooeda Khan Advocate High Court, Peshawar

# BEFORE THE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICE TRIBUNAL, PESHAWAR.

Execution Petition No. // /2023

# In Service Appeal: 3871/2021

Mr. Naveed Khan son of Umar Khan, Constable Belt No. 5260

R/o Urmar Miana Mohallah Toheed Abad, Peshawar.

## ..... Appellant

#### <u>VERSUS</u>

- 1. Inspector General of Police/PPO, Peshawar.
- 2. Capital City Police Officer, Peshawar.
- 3. Senior Superintendent of Police, Peshawar.
- 4. Superintendent of Police (Headquarter), Peshawar.
- 5. Deputy Superintendent of Police, (Security), Civil Secretariat, Peshawar.

.....Respondents

## EXECUTION PETITION FOR DIRECTING THE RESPONDENTS TO IMPLEMENT THE JUDGMENT DATED 20/12/2022 OF THIS HONOURABLE TRIBUNAL IN LETTER AND SPIRIT.

## **Respectfully Sheweth:**

 That the appellant/Petitioners filed Service Appeal No. 3871/2021 before this Hon' able Tribunal which has been accepted by this Hon' able Tribunal vide Judgment dated 20/12/2022. (Copy of Judgment is annexed as Annexure-A).

- That the Petitioner after getting of the attested copy approached the respondents several times for implementation of the above mention Judgment however they using delaying and reluctant to implement the Judgment of this Hon' able Tribunal.
- 3. That the Petitioner has no other option but to file the instant petition for implementation of the Judgment of this Hon' able Tribunal.
- 4. That the respondent Department is bound to obey the order of this Hon' able Tribunal by implementing the said Judgment.

It is therefore requested that on acceptance of this Petition the respondents may kindly be directed to implement the Judgment of this Hon' able Tribunal letter and spirit.

Dated 28/02/2023

2.

ppellant/Petitioner

Through

Rooeda Khan

Advocate High Court Peshawar

## <u>AFFIDAVIT</u>

I, Naveed Khan, Constable do here by solemnly affirm and declare on oath that all the contents of the above petition are true and correct to the best of my knowledge and belief and nothing has been misstated or concealed from this Hon' able Tribunal.



ØEPONENT

# BEFORE THE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICE TREMAN

## Service Appeal No. 3871/2021

## BEFORE: MRS. ROZINA REHMAN MISS FAREEHA PAUL

## MEMBER (J) MEMBER (E)

For appellant

Naveed Khan son of Umar Khan, Contable Belt No. 5260 R/O Urmar Miana, Mohallah toheed Abad, Peshawar. ...... (Appellant)

#### <u>Versus</u>

1. Inspector General of Police/PPO, Peshawar.

2. Capital City Police Officer, Peshawar.

- 3. Senior Superintendent of Police, Peshawar.
- 4. Superintendent of Police (Headquarters), Peshawar.

Mr. Muhammad Saeed Khan, Advocate

Mr. Muhammad Riaz Khan Paindakhel, ... For respondents Assistant Advocate General

Date of Institution		16.03.2021
Date of Hearing		20.12.2022
Date of Decision	••••	20.12.2022

#### JUDGEMENT

**EAREEHA PAUL, MEMBER (E):** The service appeal in hand has been instituted under Section 4 of the Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Service Tribunal Act, 1974 against the order dated 29.12.2020, against which departmental appeal dated 15.01.2021 was dismissed on 02.03.2021 by respondent No. 2. It has been prayed that on acceptance of the appeal, the impugned order dated 29.12.2020 might be set aside and the appellant be reinstated in

service with all arrears and consequential back benefits.

Brief facts of the case, as given in the memorandum of appeal, are that 2. appellant was appointed as Constable on 06.04.2011 in Police the Department after the due process of the law. He was suspended from service vide order dated 08.04.2020 as a result of being charged in a murder case vide FIR No. 273 dated 02.04.2020 u/s 302/34 PPC P.S Urmar, District Peshawar. The appellant was placed under suspension by respondent No. 4 vide order dated 08.04.2020 due to involvement in the criminal case and absenting himself from duty w.e.f.11.09.2019 till the issuance of suspension order. A charge sheet and statement of allegations was also served upon him on 08.04.2020 on the grounds of involvement in a criminal case and absenting himself from duty w.e.f. 31.03.2020. On 28.04.2020, the appellant appeared in police lines and joined the duty once again. Departmental inquiry against the appellant was initiated in which he was recommended for major punishment. He was dismissed from service vide order dated 29.12.2020. The appellant, being aggrieved and dissatisfied from the impugned order dated 29.12.2020, preferred departmental appeal on 15.01.2021 before respondent No. 2 which was rejected on 02.03.2021; hence the present appeal.

3. Respondents were put on notice who submitted written replies/comments on the appeal. We have heard the learned counsel for the appellant as well as the learned Additional Advocate General for the respondents and perused the case file with connected documents in detail.

4. Learned counsel for the appellant after presenting the case in detail contended that no show cause notice was served upon the appellant which

(

was not a formality but a mandatory provision of law and no opportunity of personal hearing was afforded to him before imposition of major penalty. He further contended that the competent authority was required to conduct a proper inquiry and provide opportunity of hearing, cross examination and defense to the appellant before imposition of major penalty which was not done. He further contended that the impugned order dated 29.12.2020 was violative of Section 24-A of General Clauses Act as the competent authority failed to pass a speaking order with sound reason and to substantiate allegation in the light of evidence on record. According to him, the appellant was falsely charged in the said FIR wherein the appellant was not convicted and the case was still pending before the competent court of law till the submission of the instant service appeal. Learned counsel presented the order of Additional Sessions Judge-XIII Peshawar dated 16.12.2022 vide which the appellant had been acquitted of the charges leveled against him.

5. Learned Assistant Advocate General, while rebutting the arguments of learned counsel for the appellant, contended that the appellant was proceeded against departmentally on the charge of his involvement in a criminal case and his prolonged willful absence from duty. He was suspended from service vide order dated 08.04.2020 and charge sheet alongwith statement of allegations was served upon him. He further contended that proper departmental inquiry was conducted against him, wherein he was given opportunity of defence but he failed to rebut the charges leveled against him and was found guilty beyond any shadow of doubt. Besides there were 27 bad entries and 03 minor punishments in his

FESTER

service record. Learned AAG requested that the appeal might be dismissed with cost.

After hearing the arguments and going through the record present-6. before us, it transpires that the appellant was charged in a murder case vide FIR dated 02.04.2020. He was put under suspension on 08.04.2020 by hiscompetent authority. His appeal for bail before arrest was rejected on 07.09.2020 by the Additional Sessions Judge-IV, Peshawar and he was arrested on that date and put behind bar. He was granted bail by the august Supreme Court of Pakistan vide its judgment dated 05.11.2020. On 08.04.2020, when the appellant was suspended, an inquiry was initiated against him by issuing him a charge sheet and statement of allegations. The Inquiry Officer, a Deputy Superintendent of Police, submitted his report. dated 29.12.2020 which consisted of proceedings of one sentence according. to which, "the alleged official was summoned by the parwanas and on his mobile cell No. 0301-8808140 several times but he could not appear before the undersigned to attend the inquiry proceedings." It is difficult to understand that an officer of DSP rank had no idea of conducting inquiry in case of an accused who was behind the bar. Proceedings of inquiry indicate that it was not ensured whether the accused received the charge sheet and statement of allegations. As he was behind the bar, the Inquiry Officer had to go to him to conduct the inquiry, which was not done, despite the fact that he was bound under the rules to provide reasonable opportunity of hearing to the accused, as was clearly mentioned in the statement of allegations also. The competent authority also did not ascertain whether all the legal

AFTESTED

formalities for conducting the inquiry were fulfilled or not. The inquiry report was, therefore, faulty and full of lacunas, but the competent authority of the appellant passed the order of dismissal based on the same report. The appellate authority (The CCPO Peshawar) rejected the departmental appeal of the appellant based on the same findings of the Inquiry Officer/report.

7. It would have been in the fitness of the matter that the appellant would have been kept under suspension till the outcome of the trial in the competent court of law. During the course of proceedings in this Tribunal, the appellant was acquitted of all the charges by the court of Additional Sessions Judge-XIII, Peshawar vide its judgment dated 16.12.2022.

8. In view of the above discussion, the appeal in hand is allowed as prayed for. Parties are left to bear their own costs. Consign.

9. Pronounced in open court in Peshawar and given under our hands and seal of the Tribunal this 20<sup>th</sup> day of December, 2022.

(ROZINA REHMAN) Member (J)

(FAR Member (E) 🕴

Certific to be ture cor ίσημ

Number at  $\frac{Page}{25P}$   $1 - \frac{Page}{25P}$   $1 - \frac{30}{25P}$   $1 - \frac{30}{25P}$ 

21

بعدالت سويد برا سوط - i Jee 2023متجاب الدلم Levez bland دعوكي جرم باعث تحريراً نک مقدمه مندرجه عنوان بالامين اپنی طرف سے واسطے پیروی وجواب دہی دکل کاروائی متعلقہ كلي رور مناف ا آن مقام لیشاور مقرر کر کے اقرار کیا جاتا ہے۔ کہ صاحب موصوف کو مقدمہ کی کل کاردائی کا کامل اختیار ہوگا۔ نیز وکیل صاحب کو راضی نامه کرنے ق تقرر ثالث و فیصلہ برحلف دینے جواب دہی اور اقبال دعویٰ اور بصورت ڈ گری کرنے اجراء اور وصولی چیک و روپیہ ار عرضی دعویٰ اور درخواست ہر فتم کی تصدیق زرایں پر دستخط کرانے کا اختیار ہوگا ۔ نیز صورت عدم پیروی یا ڈگری کیطرفہ یا ایل کی برا مدگ اور منسوخی نیز دائر کرنے اییل نگرانی و نظر ثانی و بیروی کرنے کامختاج ہوگا۔ از بصورت ضرورت <sup>ت</sup> مقدمہ مذکور کے کل باجزوی کاردائی کے واسطے اور وکیل یا مختار قانونی کو اینے ہمراہ یا اینے بجائے تقرر کا اختیار ہو گا۔ اور صاحب مفرر شدہ کو بھی وہی جملہ مذکور با اختیار ات حاصل ہو ں گے ادر اس کا ساخت پر داخت منظور دقبول ہوگا دوران مقدمہ میں جوخر چہ ہر جانہ التوائے مقدمہ ہوں گے سبب سے وہوگا ۔کوئی تاریخ بیشی مقام دورہ پر ہویا حد سے باہر ہوتو دکیل صاحب پابند ہوں گے۔ که پیروی ندکورکریں لہذاوکالت نامہ کھھدیا کہ سندر ہے۔ +20<u>2</u>3 50204 الرقوم 6 6 Accept مقام فسل هد <u>کے لئے منظور ہے</u>