27th Sep,2023 1. Learned counsel for the appellant present. Mr. Muhammad Jan, District Attorney alongwith Mr. Behramand, A.D for the respondents present.



nranullah

2. Arguments heard. To come up for consideration/order on 12.10.2023 before D.B. Parcha Peshi given to the parties.

(Muhammad Akbar Khan) Member (E) (Rashida Bano) Member (J) 11

11.05.2023

Clerk of learned counsel for the appellant present. Mr. Mehtab Gul, Law Officer alongwith Mr. Asad Ali Khan, Assistant Advocate General for the respondents present.

SF 884.2

Clerk of learned counsel for the appellant requested for adjournment on the ground that learned counsel for the appellant is not available today due to strike of lawyers. Adjourned. To come up for arguments on 01.08.2023 before the D.B. Parcha Peshi given to the parties.

(Muhammad Akbar Khan) Member (E)

Naeem Amin

1st August, 2023 1.

1. Learned counsel for the appellant present. Mr. Asif Masood Ali Shah, Deputy District Attorney respondents present.

2. Learned counsel for the appellant requested for adjournment in order to prepare the brief. Adjourned. To come up for arguments on 27.09.2023 before the D.B. PP given to the parties.

(Fareeha Paul) Member (E)

(Rashida Bano) Member (J)

(Salah-ud-Din) Member (J)



*Kaleemullah

12.12.2022

Appellant present through counsel.

Muhammad Adeel Butt learned Additional Advocate General alongwith Behramand Khan AD and Mehtab Gul Law Officer for the respondents present.

File to come up alongwith connected Service Appeal No. 7544/2021 titled "Mst. Alia Vs. Government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa" on 06.02.2023 before D.B.

(Fareeha Paul) Member (E)

(Rozina Rehman) Member (J)

06.02.2023

SCANNE

Pochawar

Junior to learned counsel for the appellant present. Mr. Azam Umair Khan, learned Addl. Advocate General alongwith Bahramand, Assistant Director for the respondents present.

File to come up alongwith connected Service Appeal No. 7544/2021 titled "Mst. Alia Vs. Government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa" on 11.05.2023 before the D.B.

(FAREEHA PAUL) Member (E)

(ROZINA REHMAN) Member (J)

13th Oct., 2022

Counsel for the appellant present. M. Muhammad Adeel Butt, Addl. Advocate General alongwith Director E&SE Khyber Pakhtunkhwa (respondent No. 2) in person Bahramand ADEO for the respondents present.

File to come up alongwith connected Service Appeal No. 7623/2021 titled "Shakir Ullah Vs. Government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa" for arguments on 03.11.2022 before the D.B.

(Fareeha Paul) Member (E)

(Kalim Arshad Khan) Chairman

03.11.2022

Appellant present through counsel.

Muhammad Adeel Butt learned Additional Advocate General for respondents present.

File to come up alongwith connected Service Appeal No. 7623/2021 titled "Shakir Ullah Vs. Government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa" for arguments on 15.11.2022 before D.B.

(Fareena Paul) Member (E)

Counsel for the appellant present.

(Rozina Rehman) Member (J)

15^h Nov. 2022

Mr. Muhammad Jan, District Attorney alongwith Bahramand, Assistant Director and Mehtab Gul, Law Officer for the respondents present.

Learned counsel for the appellant requested for adjournment in order to further prepare the brief. Adjourned To come up for arguments on 12.12.22022 before the D.B.

(FAREEHA PAUL) Member(E)

(ROZINA REHMAN) Member (J)

14.07.2022

Learned counsel for the appellant present. Mr. Naseer-ud-Din Shah, Assistant Advocate General for the respondents present.

Learned counsel for the appellant requested for adjournment on the ground that he has not made preparation for arguments. Adjourned. To come up for arguments on 12.09.2022 before the D.B.

(Rozina Rehman) Member (J)

(Salah-ud-Din) Member (J)

12.09.2022

Appellant present through counsel.

Muhammad Jan, learned District Attorney alongwith Bahraman ADEO for respondents present.

File to come up alongwith connected Service Appeal No.7623/2021 tilted "Shakir Ullah Vs. Government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa" on 29.09.2022 before D.B.

(Fareeha Paul) Member(E)

(Rozina Rehman) Member(J)

29.09.2022

Appellant present through counsel.

Muhammad Jan, learned District Attorney alongwith Bahraman ADEO and Muhammad Tufail Assistant for respondents present.

File to come up alongwith connected Service Appeal No.7623/2021 titled "Shakir Ullah Vs. Government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa" on 13.10.2022 before D.B.

(Fareeha Paul) Member (E) (Rozina Rehman) Member (J) 17.06.2022

Junior of learned counsel for the appellant present. Mr. Naseer-ud-Din Shah, Assistant Advocate General for the respondents present.

Junior of learned counsel for the appellant sought adjournment on the ground that learned counsel for the appellant is unable to attend the Tribunal today due to death of his close relative. Adjourned. To come up for arguments on 24106.2022 before the D.B.

(Mian Muhammad) Member (E)

(Fareeha Paul) Member(E)

(Salah-ud-Din) Member (J)

24th June, 2022

Counsel for the appellant present. Mr. Muhammad Riaz Khan Paindakhel, Asstt. AG alongwith Bakhtmal Jan, AD and Mehtab Gul, Law Officer for the respondents present.

During the course of arguments it came to the notice of the Bench that earlier appeal of the appellant filed against the order dated 04.04.2019 was decided by a Bench headed by Mrs. Rozina Rehman, Learned Member (Judicial) who had also handed down the judgment. Therefore, it is appropriate that this appeal be placed before the Bench of Mrs. Rozian Rehman, Learned Member (Judicial). To come up for arguments on 01.07.2022 before the D.B.

Chairman

01.07.2022

Bench is not available, therefore, case is adjourned to 14.10.2022 for the same as before.

04.01.2022

RS. Sob Appellant Deposited Security & Process Fee Dosfoy f22 Counsel for the appellant present. Mr. Muhammad Adeel Butt, Addl. AG for the respondents present and furnished copy of enquiry report, which is placed on file. Preliminary arguments heard and record perused.

Vide my detail order of today in connected service appeal No.7623/2021 this appeal is also admitted for full hearing subject to all just and legal objections. The appellant is directed to deposit security and process fee within 10 days. Thereafter, notices be issued to the respondents for submission of written reply/comments. To come up for written reply/comments on 26/54/2022 before S.B.

26.04.2022

Counsel for the petitioner present. Mr. Muhammad Adeel Butt, Addl: AG for respondents present.

Written reply/comments not submitted. Learned AAG seeks time to contact the respondents for submission of written reply/comments. Adjourned. To come up for written reply/comments on 01.06.2022 before S.B.

> (MIAN MUHAMMAD) MEMBER(E)

> > Chairman

firman

SCARNEL KFSTI Vosnawal 1st June, 2022

Counsel for the appellant present. Mr. Haseenullah, Assistant for respondent No. 1 & 2 and Mr. Hamid Saleem, Law Officer for the respondent No.3 present.

Respondent No. 1 & 2 have submitted reply/comments, which is placed on file. Respondent No.3 submitted application for deletion from the panel of respondents. Case to come up for arguments on 17.06.2022 before D.B. $\frac{1}{2}$

19 . K. K

Form- A FORM OF ORDER SHEET

Court of

7683/2021 Case No.-S.No. Date of order Order or other proceedings with signature of judge proceedings 2 3 1 The appeal of Mst. Shazia Jan presented today by Mr. Noor 27/10/2021 1-Muhammad Khattak Advocate may be entered in the Institution Register and put up to the Worthy Chairman for proper order please. REGISTRAR This case is entrusted to S. Bench at Peshawar for preliminary 2hearing to be put there on $\frac{1}{112}$. 11.11.2021 Counsel for the appellant present. Case to come up on 04.01.2022 before S.B alongwith connected Service No. 7623/2021 titled "Shakirullah Vs. Secretary, E&SE Department, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Peshawar and others". han

BEFORE THE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICE TRIBUNAL, PESHAWAR

SERVICE APPEAL NO K-2021

SHAZIA JAN

EDUCATION DEPTT:

INDEX

V/S

| - S.N. 0 | DOCUMENTS | ANNEXURE | PAGE |
|-------------|------------------------|----------|--------------------|
| 1 | Memo of appeal | <u></u> | 1-4 |
| 2. | Affidavit | •••••• | 5 |
| 3 | Advertisement | A | 6-10 |
| 4 | Education testimonials | В | 11-12 |
| 5 | Notification | С | 11, 15 |
| 6 | Order | D | 19-15 |
| 7 | Impugned notification | E | |
| 8 | Departmental appeal | | 18-19 |
| 9 | Judgment | G | |
| 12 | Impugned notification | H | <u>20-27</u> 28 |
| 13 | Departmental appeal | I | ~ ~ & _ |
| 14 | Wakalat Nama | ••••• | 36 |

Dated: 26.10.2021

APPELLANT

Through: NOOR MOHAMMAD KHATTAK ADVOCATE FLATE NO. 64, 2ND FLOOR, JUMA KHAN PLAZA, NEAR FATA SECRETARIAT, WARSAK ROAD, PESHAWAR 0345-9383141

FORE THE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICE TRIBUNAL, PESHAWAR

APPEAL NO.____/2021

Mst. Shazia Jan, SST (G) (BPS-16) GGMS Khadizai, District Orakzai

.... APPELLANT

• VERSUS

- 1- The Secretary E&SE Department, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar.
- 2- The Director E&SE Department, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar.
- 3- The Chairman Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Public Service Commission, Fort Road, Peshawar.

RESPONDENTS

SERVICE APPEAL UNDER SECTION-4 OF THE SERVICE TRIBUNAL ACT, 1974 AGAINST THE IMPUGNED NOTIFICATION DATED 11.6.2021 WHEREBY THE WITHDRAWAL NOTIFICATION DATED 5.4.2019 REGARDING APPOINTMENT OF THE APPELLANT AS S.S.T (G) (BPS-16) HAS BEEN RESTORED IN UTTER VIOLATION OF LAW AND RULES AND AGAINST NO ACTION TAKEN ON THE DEPARTMENTAL APPEAL OF APPELLANT WITHIN THE STATUTORY PERIOD OF NINETY DAYS.

PRAYER:

That on acceptance of this appeal the impugned Notification dated 5.4.2019 and 11.6.2021 may kindly be set aside and the appellant may kindly be reinstated into service with all back benefits. Any other remedy which this august Tribunal deems fit that may also be awarded in favour of the appellant.

R.SHEWETH: ON FACTS:

 That in light of the ibid recommendation the respondents appointed the appellant as Secondary School Teacher (BPS-16) vide Notification dated 26.12.2012. That in response the appellant got herself medically examined and also submitted arrival report. That where after the appellant was adjusted at GGMS Khadizai, District Orakzai vide order dated 19.03.2013 and in response the appellant started performing his duty at the concerned station quite efficiently and up to the entire satisfaction of his superiors. Copies of the Notification and order are attached as annexureC &D.

That after proper verification of Educational antecedents and service documents the salary of the appellant has been released/started by the concerned authorities. That it is pertinent to mention that during the said period the appellant has successfully completed his probationary period and as such the Department confirmed the appellant against the post of SST (BPS-16).

5-

6-

7-

<u>GROUNDS:</u>

A-

B-

C-

E-

F-

G-

H-

I-

]-

- That the impugned Notifications dated 5.4.2019 and 11.6.2021 issued by the respondent No.2 is against the law, facts, norms of natural justice and materials on the record hence not tenable and liable to be set aside.
- That the appellant has not been treated by the concerned authority in accordance with law and rules and as such the authority violated Article 4 and 25 of the Constitution of Islamic Republic of Pakistan 1973.
- That the impugned Notifications dated 5.4.2019 and 11.6.2021 has been issued by the respondents in violation of the Principle of locus Poenitentiae.
- D- That no charge sheet and statement of allegation has been issued by the respondents before issuing the impugned Notifications dated 5.4.2019 and 11.6.2021.
 - That no show cause notice has been issued to appellant before issuing the impugned Notifications dated 5.4.2019 and 11.6.2021 by the respondents.
 - That no chance of personal hearing/defense has been provided to the appellant and as such the appellant has been condemned unheard.
 - That during service the appellant had successfully completed his probationary period and as such the Department confirmed the appellant against the post of SST (BPS-16).
 - That the appellant was also assigned seniority in the cadre of SST (BPS-16) and was due for promotion to the next higher scale but unfortunately the authority without any reason & rhyme and without following codal formalities straight away issued the impugned Notifications dated 5.4.2019 & 11.6.2021 whereby the appointment Notification of the appellant dated 26.12.2012 has been disowned by the authority.
 - That the concerned authority acted in arbitrary and malafide manner while issuing the impugned Notifications dated 5.4.2019 and 11.6.2021.
 - That appellant seeks permission and advance other grounds and proofs at the time of hearing.

It is therefore most humbly prayed that the appeal of the appellant may kindly be accepted as prayed for.

Dated: ____10.2021

APPELLANT S Ton

SHAZIA JAN

THROUGH:

NOOR MOHAMMAD KHATTAK & Houder Ali

ADVOCATES PESHAWAR

BEFORE THE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICE TRIBUNAL PESHAWAR

5

SERVICE APPEAL NO.____/2021


VS

MST: SHAZIA JAN

EDUCATION DEPTT:

<u>AFFIDAVIT</u>

Stated on oath that the contents of the accompanying service appeal are correct to best of my knowledge and belief and nothing has been concealed from this Honorable Service Tribunal.





CERTIFICATE:

Certify that no earlier service appeal has been filed by the appellant in the instant matter before this Honorable Service Tribunal.

an CERTIFICATION

| A (6) |
|---|
| NWFP PUPI TO OFFICE |
| NWFP PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION |
| = 101 (IVau Pesnawar Contt. |
| Website: www.nwfppsc.gov.pk |
| ADVERTISEMENT No. 01 / 2009. |
| |
| Applications are invited for the following posts from Pakistani citizens of N.W.F.P/F.A.T.A domicile by 26-02-2009 (13-03-2009 for candidates from abroad). Incomplete applications and applications without supporting documents required to prove the claim of the candidates shall also be rejected without intimation to the candidates. |
| ACDICITI |
| AGRICULTURE LIVESTOCK & CO-OPERATIVE DEPTT: |
| (S.No. 01) One (01) Post of assistant Botanist. In Livestock Research & Dev: Deptt: |
| UALIFICATION VC |
| <u>OUALIFICATION:</u> M.Sc Agriculture or B.Sc (Hons) Agriculture (Obtained) after "4" Years Instructions after F.Sc) from a recognized University under research programme in the subject relating to the subject groups as specified in schedule -II to |
| AGE LIMIT: 21 to 33 years PAY SCALE: BPS-17. ELIGIBILITY: Both Sexes. |
| |
| (S.No. 02) Two (2) Posts of Research officers Fodder. In Lⅅ Deptt: QUALIFICATION: MSc Agriculture 2007 |
| "4" Years Instructions after the Sol Change (Hons) Agriculture (Obtained) after |
| which the Vacancy occurs |
| AGE LIMIT: 21 to 33 years. <u>PAY SCALE:</u> BPS-17. <u>ELIGIBILITY:</u> Male. |
| Merit Zone-1 |
| .01 |
| CHIEF ENGINEER WORKS & SERVICE DEPARTMENT. |
| |
| (S.No. 03) Five (05) Posts of Data Entry Operators. |
| <u>QUALIFICATION:</u> (i) 2 nd Division FA/FSc with one year Diploma in Computer Science from the recognized Institute (ii) Speed of Ten thousand key depression per hour for punching/data entry/verification |
| hour for punching/data entry/verification. AGE LIMIT: 18 to 30 years DAX occurs and the second secon |
| AGE LIMIT: 18 to 30 years: <u>PAY SCALE</u> : BPS-11. <u>ELIGIBILITY</u> : Both Sexes. ALLOCATION: Zone-1 Zone-2 Zone-3 Zone-4 Zone-5 |
| |
| |
| |
| DIRECTORATE OF INDUSTRIES COMMERCE MINERAL DEV: LABOUR & |
| (S.No. 04) One (01) Post of Male Inspectors Minute |
| QUALUTICATION: (i) Bachalor Day |
| the provision of Mines Act 1923 and this True |
| Government Mining Industries registered and (in) Two years experience in Govt: or Semi |
| <u>AGE LIMIT:</u> 21 to 33 years. <u>PAY SCALE:</u> BPS-17. <u>ELIGIBILITY:</u> Male. <u>ALLOCATION:</u> NOTE: In case of non- availability of candidates possessing the |
| availability of candidates possessing the |
| wp4430 2018 Abdul malik vs Govt USB 403 pags |
| |

Ċ

Annezure:

provisions of the rules for the time being in force.

NOTE: For History-cum-Civics The candidates must possess Master's Degree either in History or Political Science provided the other required subjects has studied at B.A level. The other requirement of teaching degree will, however, remain intact. For Biology: 2nd Class Master Degree in Botany or Zoology provided that other subject have been studied at graduate level.

AGE LIMIT: 25 to 40 years: PAY SCALE: BPS-17 ELIGIBILITY: Female. ALLOCATION

| S.No | Subject | | | |
|----------|--------------------|--------------|-------------|---------------------------------------|
| | | No. of Posts | Allocation | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · |
| | Islamiyat | 02 | Merit Quota | |
| 6 | Pak: Study | 03 | Merit Quota | <u> </u> |
| . 7 | History-Cum-Civics | | | <u> </u> |
| <u> </u> | Economics | | Merit Quota | |
| 9 | English | | Merit Quota | |
| - 10 | Statistics | 02 | Merit Quota | |
| | Maths | 02 | Merit Quota | |
| | | 02 | Merit Quota | |
| | Biology | 02 | Merit Quota | · |
| | Chemistry | 02 | Merit Quota | |
| 14 | Physics | 02 | Merit Quota | |
| | | | | |

(S.No. 52) Sixteen Hundred Eighty One (1681) Posts of Male SETs. /S.S.Ts (Both

Science & Arts) (with out graduaty and pension).

QUALIFICATION: For Secondary School Teacher (General) (i) B.A Second Division from a recognized University and (ii) B.Ed or Equivalent Qualification from recognized University.

For Secondary School Teacher (Science) (i) BSc Second Division with at least Two of the Subjects of Physic, Chemistry, Zoológy, Botany, and Mathematics -A or Mathematics-B and (ii) B.Ed or Equivalent Qualification from a recognized University. AGE LIMIT: 21 to 35 years. PAY SCALE: BPS-16 ELIGIBILITY: Male. ALLOCATION:

| Merit | Zone-1 Zone-2 Zone-3 | Zone-4 | Zone-5 |
|-------|----------------------|-----------|--------|
| 420 | 280 281 280 | 210 | 210 |
| | | - <u></u> | |

(S.No. 53)

ATTESPED

Thirty Four (34) Posts of Male Disabled SETs. /S.S.Ts (Both Science & Arts) (with out graduaty and pension).

QUALIFICATION: For Secondary School Teacher (General) (i) B.A Second Division from a recognized University and (ii) B.Ed or Equivalent Qualification from a recognized University.

For Secondary School Teacher (Science) (i) BSc Second Division with at least Two of the Subjects of Physic, Chemistry, Zoology, Botany, and Mathematics -A or Mathematics-B and (ii) B.Ed or Equivalent Qualification from a recognized University. AGE LIMIT: 21 to 35 years. PAY SCALE: BPS-16 ELIGIBILITY: Male. ALLOCATION: Merit.

Ninty Two (92) Posts of Male SETs. /S.S.Ts For Earth Quake Quota (S.No. 54) (I.E) Battagram, Mansehra ; Shangla, Kohistan, Abbottabad. (Both Science & Arts) (with out graduaty and pension).

QUALIFICATION: For Secondary School Teacher (General) (i) B.A Second Division from a recognized University and (ii) B.Ed or Equivalent Qualification from a recognized University.

For Secondary School Teacher (Science) (i) BSc Second Division with at least Two of the Subjects of Physic, Chemistry, Zoology, Botany, and Mathematics -A or Mathematics-B and (ii) B.Ed or Equivalent Qualification from a recognized University. AGE LIMIT: 21 to 35 years. PAY SCALE: BPS-16 ELIGIBILITY: Male. ALLOCATION: Merit.

wp4430 2018 Abdul malik vs Govt USB 403 pags

| | | | | | (8) | |
|---------------------------------------|---|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|---|--------------------------------|-----------------|
| (S.No. 55) | Nine Hundre (Both Science | | VIII ANT 0 - 0 / | | | |
| | Division from recognized Un | a recognized (| Secondary Sci University and | iool Teacher (ii) B.Ed or Equ | (General) (i) ivalent Quali | fication from a |
| | Mathematics-E | and (ii) B.Ed 21 to 40 years | or Equivalent | (i) BSc Second I ology, Botany, Qualification fro <u>:</u> BPS-16 <u>ELI</u> | and Mather | matics – A or |
| · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | Merit | Zone-1 | Zone-2 | Zone-3 | Zone-4 | Zone-5 |
| | 243 | 162 | 162 | 162 | 122 | 122 |
| (S.No. 56) | Twenty One graduaty and <u>OUALIFICA</u> Division from | <u>TION:</u> For | Secondary Se | SETs. /S.S hool Teacher (ii) B.Ed or Eq | (Canaral) (| |
| · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | recognized Un | iversity. | | (| urraioni Quan | meanon from s |

For Secondary School Teacher (Science) (i) BSc Second Division with at least Two of the Subjects of Physic, Chemistry, Zoology, Botany, and Mathematics -A or Mathematics-B and (ii) B.Ed or Equivalent Qualification from a recognized University. AGE LIMIT: 21 to 40 years, years (10 years age relaxation)

PAY SCALE: BPS-16 ELIGIBILITY: Female. ALLOCATION: Merit.

Fifty One (51) Posts of Female SETs. /S.S.Ts For Earth Quake Quota (S.No. 57) (I.E) Battagram, Mansehra, Shangla, Kohistan, Abbottabad, (with out graduaty and pension).

OUALIFICATION: For Secondary School Teacher (General) (i) B.A Second Division from a recognized University and (ii) B.Ed or Equivalent Qualification from a recognized University.

For Secondary School Teacher (Science) (i) BSc Second Division with at least Two of the Subjects of Physic, Chemistry, Zoology, Botany, and Mathematics -A or Mathematics-B and (ii) B.Ed or Equivalent Qualification from a recognized University. AGE LIMIT: 21 to 40 years. PAY SCALE: BPS-16 ELIGIBILITY: Female. ALLOCATION: Merit.


TECHNICAL EDUATION AND MAN POWER TRAINING DEPARTMENT.

(S.No. 58) ATTEISTED Two (02) Posts of Assistant Professor Commerce in Govt: Colleges of Commercial/Govt: Commercial Training Institutes.

<u>OUALIFICATION:</u> (i) Ph. D in the relevant subject from a recognized University with three year teaching experience in recognized college / Govt: Commercial Institutes/ Govt: Commercial Institutes/ Govt: Commerce College ass Instructor/ Lecturer. OR (ii) Master's Degree from a recognized University in the relevant subject with Five Years experience of teaching as Lecturer / Junior Instructor in a recognized college Govt: Commercial Institute/ Govt: Commerce College.

AGE LIMIT: 25 to 40 years. PAY SCALE: BPS-18. ELIGIBILITY: Male. ALLOCATION: Merit.

(S.No. 59) Two (02) Posts of Assistant Professor in Computer Engineering in Govt: College of Technology & Govt: Polytechnic Institure. **<u>OUALIFICATION:</u>** (a) ~ Ph. D in Engineering from a recognized University 7





| (S. | No. 66 | 5) Te | n (10) Post | of Male office | Assistant. |
|-----|--------|---------|-------------|----------------|------------|
| | | | | | |

| | | <u>)N:</u> Bachelor degi | | | |
|-----|----------|--------------------------|--------------|------------------------|--------|
| | | to 30 years. PAY S | CALE: BPS-14 | I. <u>ELIGIBILITY:</u> | Male. |
| ALL | OCATION: | | | | |
| - | Zone-1 | Zone-2 | Zone-3 | Zone-4 | Zone-5 |
| | 02 | 02 | 02 | 02 | . 02 |

| | | | · · · · |
|---|--|--|---------|
| I | (S.No. 67) | One (01) Post of Female office Assistant. | |
| | | <u>OUALIFICATION:</u> Bachelor degree from recognized University. | |
| | الم | AGE SIMIT: 18 to 30 years. PAY SCALE: BPS-14. ELIGIBILITY: Female. | · . · |
| | e de la construcción de la constru La construcción de la construcción d | ALLOCATION: Merit. | • |

CORRIGENDUM

- The Post of Research Officer for Earth Quake Quota appearing at S.No.2 Advt: No.07/2007 may be read as 02 Post for Chemistry and one for cereal Crops.

The Post of Reader Advertised in Advtt: No. 07/2008 S.No. 39 may be read as one post instead of Two Posts.


GENERAL CONDITIONS.

Age, qualification and experience etc shall be reckoned on 26-02-2009 Maximum age limit as prescribed in the recruitment rules shall be relaxed upto 10 years for Govt Servants who have completed 2 years continuous service, upto 10 years for disabled persons and upto 3 years for candidates belonging to backward areas of Zone-1, Zone-3, Merged Areas of Hazara and Mardan Divisions and uper Tanawal, Districts of Swat, Upper Dir, Lower Dir, Chitral, Buner, Kala Dhaka Area, Kohistan District , Shangla, Gadoon Area in Swabi, Backward areas of Mansehra and Batagram, backward areas of Haripur District i.e., Kalanjar Field Kanungo Circle of Tehsil Haripur and Amazai Field Kanungo Circle of Tehsil Ghazi. However, a candidates from backward areas, in addition to automatic relaxation of three years shall be entitled to one of the relaxations available to Govt Servants, general or disabled candidates, whichever is relevant and applicable to them.

Degrees / Diploma / Experience Certificates / Testimonials of unrecognized Institution are not accepted. Only original Degrees / Certificates are accepted. However, the candidates can apply on provisional certificate signed by the Controller of Examination of the respective Institution. But candidates shall produce original degrees / certificates before their selection. Detail Marks Certificate for all the examination shall necessarily be required and these should be attached with the application forms.

ALLOCATION of vacancies in BPS-17 and below shall be strictly in accordance with the Zonal ALLOCATION as indicated against each post(s). The applications of the candidates other than the specified zone(s) shall be ignored except for posts reserved for Merit quota. No zonal reservation stands for posts allocated to disabled quota and also for the posts in BPS-18 and above. All such posts shall be filled in on Open Merit.

The candidates applying against disabled posts must attach with their application forms of disability certificate from the Provincial Council for Rehabilitation of Disabled Persons as well as disability certificate from the respective Medical Superintendent / Medical Board showing therein the specific disability.



(i)

(iiii)

(iv)

wp4430 2018 Abdul malik vs Govt USB 403 pags

- Ex-armed Forces Personnel must send copy of Discharge Certificate with their applications. Govt. / Semi Govt. / Autonomous / Semi Autonomous Bodies employees may apply direct but their Departmental Permission Certificates should reach within 30 days of the closing date.
- (vi) Applications should be on the prescribed application form obtainable from the listed below branches of the <u>NATIONAL BANK</u> Application Fee is Rs. 285/- (Rupecs Two Hundred Eight Five only) for all the candidates. In addition to the application fee, the candidates will have to pay Rs. 15/- (rupecs fifteen only) on account of Bank Charges. Separate application form will be required for each advertised category of posts. Application forms obtained other than the specified branches of the National Bank will be considered invalid and such applications will not be entertained. The applications on plain paper or Photostat shall not be accepted. Incomplete (vii)
- (vii) Application must be submitted within time as no extra time is allowed for postal transit. The applications if submitted on the last date for receipt of applications must reach the Commission's office by the closing hours.
- (viii) Applicants married to Foreigners are considered only on production of the Govt. Relaxation Orders.
 - (ix) No applicant shall be considered in absentia on paper qualifications unless, he/she possesses exceptionally higher qualifications than the minimum prescribed qualification for a particular post(s).
- (x) Govt. reserves the right not to fill any or fill more or less than the advertised post(s).
- (xi) Candidates who have already availed three chances by physical appearance before the Commission and have failed for the post(s) having one and the same qualifications and scale of pay shall be incligible.
- (xii) Experience wherever prescribed shall be counted after the minimum qualifications for the post(s), if not specifically provided otherwise against the advertised post(s).
- (xiii) In cases where the number of applications received for post(s) are disproportionately higher than the number of available vacancies; shortlisting of the candidates may be done in any one of the following manner:
 - (a) Written Test in the Subject.
 - (b) General Knowledge or Psychological General Ability Test.
 - . (c) Academic and / or Professional record as the Commission may decide,

SPECIFIED BRANCHES OF THE NATIONAL BANK OF PAKISTAN.

<u>Main Branches of:</u>

(ÿ) 🗄

- (i) Parachinar, Mardan, Swabi, Malakand, Shangla, Chitral, Timargara, Daggar,
 - D.I.Khan, Bannu, Karak, Kohat, Hangu, Lakki Marwat, Abbottabad, Haripur, and Mansehra.
- (ii) Saddar Road Branch, Tehkal Payan Branch, and G.T Road (Nishtar Abad) Branch Peshawar.
- (iii) Tchsil Bazar Branch Charsadda, Nowshera Cantt: Branch, Bank Square Branch Mingora and city Branch Tank.

ATTESTER

12 Par Cartalanter and

(Atta Ur Rehman) Secretary NWFP Public Service Commission 2-Fort Road Peshawar Cantt: Ph: 9212962

wp4430 2018 Abdul malik vs Govt USB 403 pags

Univerzity Øf Pezhawar

(Jakistan)

Sessing Anglis 1 2001

- shezie jek

Pribate Anndidate Of

prescribed examination hold in <u>June 2001</u> is this day admitted by the University Of Peshawar to in <u>2nd Division</u>

Baughter Of

the Augure of Arts

The examination was taken <u>As a whole</u>

(Replanation 9) (Replanation 9) (Ref No. 59322 2) 11 195 N. 17161-2484384-0 (Struct Christered on November 11, 2001 DIG Registerer Huibersity

having Passed the

and a

JAN AFZAL

ailability

SNO. Roll No. 160041 Groups Humanities

Avard of Intermediate and Secondary Education Peshawar NAIF Pakistan

INTERMEDIATE EXAMINATION SESSION 1997-ANNUAL

Son/Daughter of

Jan Afzal

Shazia Jan

and a Student / resident of Islamia College for Girls Shahi Bagh Registered No. 117-18/1006-195 has passed the Intermediate Examination of the Board of Intermediate & Secondary Education, Perhawar held on May, 97 as a Regular Private Candidate. He She obtained 585 Marks out of 1100 and has been placed in Grade D Representing Fair He / She has been awarded Grade "B on the basis of internal assessment by the institution concerned. The Examination was taken as a whole thin parts.

Assh Secretary

This certificate is issued without alteration or erasure




Peshawar N.W.F.P. Pakistan Secondary School Certificate Examination SESSION 1995 (ANNUAL) (HUMA NITIES GROUP)

| THIS IS T | O CERTIFY THAT _ | ^S hazia jan |
|---------------------------|------------------------|--|
| Son/Daughter c | of | J _{an Afzal} |
| and a resident o | f | Charsadda District. |
| has passed the | Secondary Schoo | I Certificate Examination |
| of the Beard-of-I | ntermediate and Sec | ondary Education, Peshawar held in April 1995 |
| as a Private car | ndicate. He/She obta | ained 43.5 Marks out of 850 |
| and has been pl | aced in Grade | C Representing Good |
| | Dassed in the followin | |
| 1. English | 3. Isiamiyat | 5. Gen: Science 7. Isl.Studies. |
| 2. Urdu | 4. Pakistan Stud | dies 6. Gen: Mathematics 8. Pashto |
| • • • • | | |
| · Da | ate of birth according | C admission form is Fourteenth ^M arch |
| , or | ne thousand nine hur | ndred and Seventy Nine (14-3-1979) |
| Viel. | | |
| Asstt. Secretary | | Behalf. |
| 28th July, 1995. 15 16 16 | Too certificate is | s issued without alteration or erasura. |



WIE: IN Case of non- availability of candidates possessing the w:2430 2018 Abdul malik vs Govt USB 403 pags



Notification.

Consequent upon the recommendation of the Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Public Service Commission, appointment of the following candidates is hereby ordered against the post of Secondary School Teacher (SST General) in BPS-16 (Rs.10000-800-34000) plus usual allowances as admissible under the rules on regular basis under the existing policy of the Provincial Government, in Teaching Cadre on the terms and condition given below with immediate effect and further his Services placed at the disposal of Director Education FATA for further posting against vacant SST Genral posts:-

| S. # | Name | Father Name | Domicile | Zone | Permanent Address | Place of Posting |
|------|------------------|----------------|-------------------|------|--|---|
| | Shazia Jan | Jan Afzal | Mohmand Agency | 1 | College Koroona Rajjar Tehsil & Distt: Charsadda | Service placed at the disposal of Director of Education FATA for further posting against vacant SST General |
| 2. | Seema Muhajid | Muhajid Ali | Mohmand Agency | | Mohallah Qazi Khail Hoti, Tehsil & Distt: Mardan | Post. Do |

Terms and conditions:-

5,

- 1. Her services will be considered regular but without pension & Gratuity in terms of section 19 of the NWFP civil Servant Act, 1973 as amended vide NWFP Civil Servants (Amendment) Act, 2005. She will however be entitled to Contributory provident fund in such a manner and at such rates as per prescribed by the Govt.
 - In case, she is already in Government: service and working against pensionable post on regular basis before 1st day of July 2001; without any service break, on application to Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Public service Commission through proper channel and selection by the commission, is appointed and allowed choice of option either to retain benefit of pension & gratuity as allowed to her under her previous terms of appointment or to avail the benefit of contributory provident fund allowed to her under new appointment.
- 3. Her services are liable to termination on one months notice from either side. In case of resignation with out notice her one-month pay/allowances shall be forfeited to the Government.
 - She should join her post within 30 days of the issuance of this notification. In case of failure to join there post within one month of issuance of this notification her appointment will expire automatically and no subsequent appeal etc shall be entertained.
 - She would be on probation for a period of one year extendable for another one year.
 - She will be governed by such rules and regulations as may be issued from time to time by the Govt.

Appointment Order No. 37 SST(G) FATA Adut No. 1/2009

8. 9

10.

11.

1.

2. 3.


4.

5.

б.

7.

8.



Her Services can be terminated at any time, in case his performance is found unsatisfactory during probationary period. In case of misconduct, she shall be proceeded under the rules framed from time to time.

Charge report should be submitted to all concerned

The DEO (F) concerned would furnish a certificate to the effect that the candidate has joined the post or otherwise after one month of the issue of his posting orders.

The DEO(F) concerned will verify their documents before release of pay.

Her seniority will be maintained as determined by the Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Public Service Commission.

12. No TA/DA will be allowed to the appointee for joining his duty.

(Muhammad Rafiq Khattak) Director Elementary and Secondary Education Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Peshawar.

Endst: No._____/File No.2/A-14/SST(F) Gen/PSC/Apptt: Dated Peshawar the Copy forwarded for information and necessary action to the:-

26/12/2012

Copy forwarded for information and necessary action to the

Accountant General Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Peshawar.

Secretary Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Public Service Commission Peshawar.

Director of Education FATA Warsak road Peshawar.

All Agency Accounts Officers in FATA.

Official Concerned

PS to the Secretary to Govt: Khyber Pakhtunkhwa E&SE Department. PA to the Director E&SE Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar.

M/File

Dy: Directoeress (Estab) Elementary and Secondary Education Khyber Pakhturkhwa Peshawar



DUSTMENT

FATA SECRETARIA DIRECTORATE OF EDUCAT - WARSAR ROAD | PHONT, 031-1210 ANTISCISCICCUL 12912

Consequent upon their appointment as SST (General) in BPS-16 on the basis of recon shyber Pakhtunkhwa Public service Commission and placement of their services at the disposal of Direc Education, FATA vide Director, Elementary & Secondary Education Khyber Pakhtunkhwa's Notification N 2558-66/File No. 2/A-17/SST(F)/Gen/PSC/Appli: dated 26-12-2012, the following SSTs are hereby indjusted

Name/Father's Name/Domicile/Address Posted at Shazia Jan D/o Jan Afzal (Mohmanad) Remarks Village College Koroona, Rajjar Tehsil & Distt: GGMS Khadizai Charsadda. Orakzai Agency Against 2 Scena Mujahid D/o Mujahid Ali (Mohmand) vacant post Mohallah Qazi Khail Hoti, Tehsil & Distr Mardan. GGMS Saifal Dara Against Orakzai Agency

Note: -

The terms & conditions of their posting will be the same as already prescribed in the above mentioned Notification of Director Elementary & Secondary Education, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar However the Agency Education Officer concerned will verify their documents before release of pay.

(ROZ WALI KHAN)

vacant post

Endst: No. 2477-84 A-WAPPUT of SST (General) (PSC)2013 Dated Pesh: the DIRECTOR EDUCATION (FATA) 3/2013

Director Elementary & Secondary Inducation, Shybar Enklum, Envar Peshawar

Agency Education Offices Oralizati Agency

Accurry Accurring Officer Gration Alleiney Califdidate Concerned

P.A to Director Longation PATA

ATTS

2 lower DIRPCION (ES

NOTIFICATION

ANNEXCR AS: Dne:Mst: Shazla Jan D/O Jan Alzal who hereelf appointed/adjusted as Ol (stransferred to settle erea) vide Notification No. 2558-66 dated 26/12/2012 upon the production of fake/bogue appointment/adjustment order not issued by the Directorale of Education erstwhile FATA Nor by the Directorate of Elementary and econdary Education Khyber Pakitiunkhwa

DIANCTORATE UP FORMER

SECONDARY EDUCATION UNYUR

AND WHERE AS, the compotent authority has directed the above said accused lo produce authentic and verified service record from the concerned authoritles, but she failed to co sly with the legilimate directions of high use regarding production of regulate authentic documents/record.

AND WHERE AS, further an inquiry committee was constituted by the competent authority vide Notification No. 8164-63 dated 04/8/2016 who have gone through the entire case record and it has been proved that the sold appointmeni/adjustment order for the eforeseld post advertised by Public. Service Commission. Khyt er Rakhtunkhwa was turnod out lake/bogus.

AND WHERE AS, It has come to the notice of the competent authority that Mat Shazia Jan D/O Jan Afzal having no legal status of the said appointment/adjustment order:

NOW THEREFORE, under the mandatory provision and power conferred under Section-20, & 21 for General clauses Act-1897 as emended in 1956 and In pursuance of the scrutiny of selection/appointment record in r/o the above mentioned SST which was found fako/bogus, thus her appointment/adjustment Notification No. 2558-66 dated 26/12/2012 is hereby "DISOWNED" ab initio and with the direction to District Education Officer (concerned) to recover salaries and other allied bene?'ts drawn by Mst. Shazia Jan D/O Jan Afzal in the interest of

Public Service.

Director Elementary & Secondary Education Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Poshawar

Copy forwarded with the request to take legal action and recover the outstanding amount from the aforosoid person/individual to the

District Education Officer Tribal District Orakzai with the direction to take necessary Deputy Commissioner, Tribal District Orakzal steps for the recovery of outstanding amount against take/bogus SST concerned 3. District Account Officer Tribal District Orakzai to co-operate in the matter. PS to Secretary Elementary and Secondary Education Khyber Pakhtunkhwa PA to Director Elementary and Secondary Education Khyber Pakhtunkhwa.

5

5807-11

Deputy Director (Pylink) Merged Districts

ANNERURE F

بحضور جناب سيكر ثرىE&SE د يپار شمن KP پشاور

تحكماندائيل برخلاف نونيفيكيش محرره 2019-04-04 جس كى روب دائر كم شرصاحب E&SE كد يباد شن KP پيتا در ف Applicant ك مجرق كرا حكامات بحثيت SST محرره 2012-26-26 كو كيطر فدطور پرجعلى دفرضى بتلاكر Applicant كوملازم ماضخ الكاركرديا-استدعا نونيفيكيش محرره 2019-04-04 مجازيه جناب دائر كم شرصاحب E&SE ديبار شمنك KP پيتا دركوكالعدم كرك Applicant كوملازمت بر تمام مراعات كرماته يحال كيا جائے-

جثار

-2

-3

-4

-5

18

- 1- بیرکه Applicant صلح مهمند کاپیدائتی باشندہ ہے۔

Through Proper Channel پانک

ید کہ جمرتی کے مروجہ طریقہ کارے لگلتے ہوئے Applicant میر خاسف میں جگہ بنانے میں کامیاب ہوا۔ یہ کہ جمرتی کے مروجہ طریقہ کارے لگلتے ہوئے E&SE میر خاصف کل جو کہ منظور کیا جو کہ محکمہ نے بذریعہ نو میلیشن محررہ Applicant کو Applicant کو KPPSC میں با قاعدہ E&SE ڈیپار شمنٹ KP پشاور کو منظور کیا جو کہ محکمہ نے بذریعہ نو میلیشن محررہ 2012-2012 کو تعیناتی کے احکامات جاری کر کے بعد از روئے تھم محررہ 2012-21-26 تعیناتی کے احکامات جاری کر کے بعد ازرہ نے محم محررہ 2012-21-26 ٹرائیل ڈسٹر کٹ اور کرتی میں پر کام کر دہی ہوں تقریباً 8 سال سے۔

میکه بغیر چارج شیٹ اور شوکا زنونس و پرشل میر تک اورر یکولرا تکوائری نے Applicant کیلطرفہ احکامات بحررہ 2019-04-04 کی رو سے نو کری سے برخاست کیا گیا۔ بلکہ بھرتی احکامات کو جعلی وفرضی گروانہ کیا جو کہ ظلم اور ناانصافی کامنہ بولتا ثبوت ہے۔ اس لیے قابل منسوخی

میک Applicant کے 8 سال سے زیادہ حرصہ طازمت کو بہ یک جنبش قلم ختم کر کے ند صرف کھر بھیج دیا کمیا بلکہ دور ملازمت کی تمام تخوا ہیں واپس کی جانے کے احکامات جاری کیے گئے جو کہ آئین اور قانون کے منافی ہے۔

لہذاالتماس ہے کہ بمنظوری درخواست ہذا نوٹیفیکیشن محررہ 2019-04-04 کوکالعدم کر ے Applicant ملازمت پر بحال کیا جائے۔



شازىيجان ايس ايس في جزل

مورخه; 2019-04-16

ATTESTED

(i)And the second of the second o upper and a start and a start of the start o مراح بنا حقیقیاں ولد (جزری ن ۲۰۱۰ میں در یے ۔ حب ی عدال مرد در 3 راح عبال کر ایج عدل کور کونک خداج میں -جمع، ی عبدالی ولد و مرجعه الله عبدالی عبدال وروب -عاعلی الرواز وروبه می طبیب میروی ای جاردی ما جرات مراح ما جرات ما عنگیت الرواز وروبار السرا میروی ای جاردی از ا ٤) عبلت الرون ولي بين محليه مليه واللي حاريف من من المرف -٤) جمع مل مله من مسلمان - ٢٠٢٦ فرد بالغرار مزلج ماجوف -۲- یی قربول ول جری - دسم عاری ور می این - دسم عاری ور می منبد -st و مغرلوبال ول گران - دسم معاده (له مناع مهند - nel معاده (له مناع مهند - nel معاده (له مناع مهند - nel معاده اله مناع مهند - در اله مناع م م م في في مان ولر شرقم - درم اختر بني ادر لري - السبير الد ولاحا في عبه المربي في عب اورار كاني المعدر عمر ولم بور رحم علم علم الحر من المعلم الوراز م 51 في المعدر عمر ولم بور درم علم من دار علم الورتز على إحداد ولا الرس العرض ومعام المعظ مع معار معد 51 معار معد 53 في السي درمار 32 الله من دار علم الورتز على إحداد من المرض ومعام المعان معار معار الم مر فل مرام ولم سمر براه بيه وسن علم اور عدر المرج الع مر مرجم فال عدمة مندور مرات مرات مراف ولم ماد و عدا مدان مدان مدان المر ولم رجم فال عدمة مندور مدان معلك الومان من وار وعلى وسر وعلى المراجل الدر الذي مدال عد المعلم من (BRIANED) فرى الى ما مر المربع ولم مع عليه عرف فر من جبر (ج المسلق الارولر رود ال عليه من الم فی عفاد السرولی عبد الحدام ولای منابط لندوری جنب (38) حضل را زق ول مغل زی دان مراری الم معلی ار در المری مساحد محل وضل در المحاصل المحل المحل المحل از قرار معلی در از محل المحل معلی ار در المری (Tranfer Land) المحل ا a statistic that had share

ANNEXORE

20.01 2021

Appellant present through counsel.

Kabir Ullah Khattak learned Additional Advocate General alongwith Hamid Salim Law Officer, Hayat Khan Assistant Director and Abdul Wahid ADEO for respondents present.

₹¥2 Sē,

Rehman)

Mentaber (J)

(Roz

Vide detailed judgment of today of this Tribunal placed on file of connected service appeal No.1014/2019 tilted Mst. Ishrat Vs. Education Department, the impugned orders stand set aside and the appellant is reinstated in service with direction to the Department to conduct proper inquiry. They shall investigate the issue through a proper inquiry reaching to a logical conclusion to find out the real culprits who maneuvered to make it possible and thereafter, the fate of appellant be decided in the light of the said inquiry. The respondents shall conclude the proceedings within 90 days after receipt of this judgment. The issue of back benefits shall be subject to the outcome of inquiry. With no order as to costs. File be consigned to the record room.

Announced. 20.01.2021

tiq-ur-Rehman Wazir) Member (E)

He ture copy Certified

Kbyb Scruce Tribunal Peshawar

Date of Presentation of Application Number of stra Costant 10.00 User a. Toron / Ø 00 Nerman ARA Provins Date of Consideration of Copy_

Date of Genvery of Copy_



Pakhtunk

(Appellant)

(Respondents)

FORE THE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICE TRIBUNAL PESHAWAR

Service Appeal No. 1014/2019

| Date of Institution | ••• | 02.08.2019 |
|---------------------|-----|------------|
| Date of Decision | ••• | 20.01.2021 |
| | | • |

Mst. Ishrat W/O Sher Afghan Khan Ex-SST (Gen), R/O Village Fazal Abad, Tehsil Takht Bhai, District Mardan.

VERSUS

1. Government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa through Secretary Elementary & Secondary Education, Civil Secretariat Peshawar and two others.

Present:

2

20/1/21

Amin ur Rehman Yousafzai, Ailvocate For appellant. Kabir Ullah Khattak,

Additional Advocate General ... For official respondents.

ROZINA REHMAN ... MEMBER (J) ATIQ UR REHMAN WAZIR ... MEMBER (E)

<u>JUDGMENT</u>

ROZINA REHMAN, MEMBER: This judgment is intended to dispose of 40 connected service appeals which are:

- 1. Service Appeal No.958/2019
- 2. Service Appeal No. 959/2019
- 3. Service Appeal No.960/2019
- 4. Service Appeal No.961/2019





| | • | | 2 |
|---------|-------|----------------|--------------|
| | 5. | Service Appeal | No.962/2019 |
| | 6. | Service Appeal | No.963/2019 |
| • | 7. | Service Appeal | No.964/2019 |
| • | 8. | Service Appeal | No.965/2019 |
| : *. | 9. | Service Appeal | No.966/2019 |
| | 10. | Service Appeal | No.967/2019 |
| | 11. | Service Appeal | No.968/2019 |
| | 12. | Service Appeal | No.969/2019 |
| | 13. | Service Appeal | No.970/2019 |
| | 14. | Service Appeal | No.971/2019 |
| | 1.5. | Service Appeal | No.972/2019 |
| | 16. | Service Appeal | No.973/2019 |
| 2 | 17. | Service Appeal | No.974/2019 |
| | 18. | Service Appeal | No.975/2019 |
| | 19. | Service Appeal | No.1009/2019 |
| | 20. | Service Appeal | No.1010/2019 |
| | 21. | Service Appeal | No.1011/2019 |
| e . | - 22, | Service Appeal | |
| P. 0 | 23. | Service Appeal | No.1013/2019 |
| The ! | 24, | Service Appeal | No.1014/2019 |
| | 25. | Service Appeal | No.1015/2019 |
| | 2.6. | Service Appeal | No.1016/2019 |
| | 27. | Service Appeal | No.1017/2019 |
| | 28. | Service Appeal | No.1018/2019 |
| · · | 29. | Service Appeal | No.1024/2019 |
| | 30. | Service Appeal | No.1025/2019 |
| | 31. | Service Appeal | No.1026/2019 |
| | | | |

÷ź





22

32. Service Appeal No.1027/2019

33. Service Appeal No.1028/2019

34. Service Appeal No.1029/2019

35. Service Appeal No.1030/2019

36. Service Appeal No.1031/2019

37. Service Appeal No.1032/2019

38. Service Appeal No.1033/2019

39. Service Appeal No.1041/2019

40. Service Appeal No.1111/20219

In view of common questions of law and facts, the above captioned appeals are being disposed of by this order.

2. Precisely stated the facts of the case are that the appellants were appointed as SSTs. They were directed to produce service record but failed. After completion of codal formalities, they were removed from service by means of orders dated 04.04.2019 and 05.04.2019. They preferred departmental appeals but the same were not responded to, hence, the present service appeals.

Learned counsel for appellants submitted that the appointments were made in accordance with law by following the prescribed procedure which cannot be held fake appointments. That notifications dated 04.04.2019 and 05.04.2019 are against law and facts. That the appellants were not treated in accordance with law and they were not given an opportunity to defend themselves as enshrined in Article 10-A of the Constitution of Islamic Republic of Pakistan 1973. Learned counsel further argued that neither regular inquiry was conducted nor the appellants were served with show cause notices, hence, they all were condemned unheard. That all the appellants being qualified, were property appointed



and fulfillment of all codal formalities but they were shown out of service with a single stroke of pen without care and caution of its legal consequences which caused grave miscarriage of justice. In order to substantiate his version, reliance has been placed on 2011 SCMR 1581; 2004 SCMR 303; 2016 SCMR 1299 and 2010 PLD SC 483.

4. Conversely learned A.A.G appearing on behalf of respondents, controverted the contentions of learned counsel for appellants by contending that claim of the appellants regarding their appointment is baseless and liable to be rejected as they never applied for the said post nor appeared in any interview, therefore, their appointment was declared fake & bogus and have been disowned by the Department vide notifications dated 04.04.2019 and 05.04.2019. He submitted that they were treated as per law, rules and policy and there is no question of violation of Article 10-A of the Constitution of Islamic Republic of Pakistan 1973, hence stance of the appellants is baseless and liable to be rejected and lastly, he submitted that those appellants who claimed to have been recommended by the Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Public Service Commission, failed to produce any proof of their recommendation by Public Service Commission. Reliance was placed on 2005 SCMR 1814; 2005 SCMR 1040; 2009 SCMR 1492 and 2012 SCMR 673.

5. Before dilating upon the main issue, it merits a mention here that total 40 connected cases are intended to be disposed of through this single judgment. There are three categories of cases; category-I includes fives cases of those employees who were appointed on contract basis and subsequently were regularized in service under the KP Employees (Regularization of Service) Act, 2009 and it was on 04.04.2019 when they is the service in the service in the service of these is the service in the service is an other service.

appellants was found bogus, thus, their appointment/adjustment notification dated 11.02.2010 was disowned. Category-II includes those employees who upon recommendation of D.S.C, were appointed as PTC, subsequently applied for SSTs' posts and were selected by the Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Public Service Commission. It was on 04.04.2019 when they received notification vide which appointment record in respect of these appellants was found bogus, thus, their appointment notification was disowned. Appellants of category-III are those, who were appointed as SSTs on the recommendations of KPPSC and two of them were promoted to the rank of S.S and it was on 04.04.2019 when they received notification vide which appointment record in respect of these appellants was found bogus, thus, their appointer they received notification vide which appointment notification was disowned to the rank of S.S and it was on 04.04.2019 when they received notification vide which appointment record in respect of these appellants was found bogus, thus, their appointment notification was disowned.

Secondary School Teachers (SST) previously known as S.E.T are usually appointed through Departmental Promotion Committee or through Public Service Commission. As per existing policy, the promotion share is 75% of various Teaching Cadre and 25% is through initial recruitment. Recruitment of SSTs were lastly made through Public Service Commission in 2012 while in the year 2008, SSTs were recruited on Adhoc/Contract Basis and later on, they were regularized. As per respondents there were rumors that various number of SSTs, SETs have been inducted in the system and working in different agencies without adopting the proper recommendation of Public Service Commission or Departmental Promotion Committee. This task was assigned to two Assistant Directors who checked the credentials of all the SSTs and submitted report where-after another Inquiry Committee was constituted and 69 SSTs were declared fake being directly inducted in TESTED the system. The Inquiry report was not available on record and it was produced upon the directions of Bench. There is a riddle as to how

EST

respondents came to know without any inquiry after a long period of service by all the appellants that their appointment order is fake. Different documents were produced by the appellants which were placed on file which show that some of the appellants applied for a post published by the Public Service Commission as they produced application forms. Appellant in Service Appeal No.964/2019 Shakir Ullah produced his application form, one Abdul Malik appellant in Service Appeal No.968/2019 also produced Call Letter for Interview from Public Service Commission. Similarly, appellant in Service Appeal No.1010/2019 also produced his Call Letter. Some documents were produced by appellant in Service Appeal No.965/2019 vide which he was directed by the Commission to rectify the deficiencies. Another letter addressed to the Secretary to Government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Elementary & Secondary Education vide which recommendations in favor of 21 recommendees was sent and few recommendees are still in service. Another recommendation in favor of three ladies is available on file wherein appellants Mst. Sarwat Jehan and Mst. Tahira Naz are available at Serial No. 1 & 3 whereas Mst. Rabia Shams at Serial No.2 is still in service. Similarly, other documents also show same entries. Record further shows that appellants in Service Appeals No.1027/2019 and 1033/2019 i.e. Mst. Sarwat Jehan and Mst. Seema Mujahid respectively were properly promoted as there was nothing against them. They are qualified and they served the Department for a long period. They drew their salaries and lastly, they were removed by the authority by disowning their service record. As per recommendations of the Inquiry report, 69 SSTs were neither recommended by the Public Service Commission nor promoted/recruited by the Elementary Secondary Education Khyber Pakhtunkhwa. Their salaries & were recommended to be stopped and proceedings under the Khyber

Pakhtunkhwa Government Servants (Efficiency & Discipline) Rules, 2011 were recommended to be initiated. The competent authority while passing the impugned order did not bother to go through the contents of the inquiry report. The appellants have received salaries for a long period which strengthen their case. The respondents did not irk to conduct proper inquiry as to who issued such orders and who processed and submitted their salaries to the Account Office. The respondents avoided all such mandatory steps and out rightly stopped their salaries by disowning their appointment orders, thus allow the real culprits to stay behind the curtain, knowing the fact that the appellants have now developed a vested right over the posts as they have drawn salaries for a long period against the sanctioned posts. The respondents handled the case carelessly by not reaching to a logical conclusion, hence, left the appellants in a hanging position.

7. In view of the situation, the impugned orders stand set aside and the appellants are reinstated in service with direction to the Department to conduct proper inquiry. They shall investigate the issue through a proper inquiry reaching to a logical conclusion to find out the real culprits who maneuvered to make it possible and thereafter, the fate of appellants be decided in the light of the said inquiry. The respondents shall conclude the proceedings within 90 days after receipt of this judgment. The issue of back benefits shall be subject to the outcome of inquiry. With no order as to costs. File be consigned to the record room.

<u>ANNOUNCED.</u> 20.01.2021

Certifiqe to be ture copy Rozina Rehman) Membel (J) (Atiq ur Rehman Wazir) Member (E) vice Tribunat



ANNIEXULE

NOTHIPLECA Charles

With The Bar parament of a state without the state of the Witten and Witten President and the Contract of the second and the Station of Appletation Back to 190 19 and state on the Back States - American Mathematical and the state of the Goderfeldungen fletterolitike ihne ander ihrer freihenste ander ander einer eine ander andere beitere einer ander stelle einer ander stelle ander and Maris Dibne Anoratice winteren andetoriquergable and and a fight at a start with Mean's and the ie (Dintelle (et par afe) al i batesuchige

AND WERERICANS WAS DURAGATED PRODUCTION TO SECONDERING PREPAREMENT & A MALE Bellerige-arcinete: 18-statement sen unite dite angenennet sociarizationiligericon herrier ing this Ditationale and anonsaman No. 38014-11 diffed 05.04-20119, stat. Continention No. 5597-219 annal 15-102-2021 and constitution an includy SOMMANTER OF FICE FIELD AND THERE FOR NO. 10 11- OF CHARTER OF STORE

D WAREN IN the manning committee au-manned its appear where Nor 7773 Caneal 244 (04+ 20)2 it

NONV TURIER OF THE MEAN OF TREEMENTED BY THE INCIDENT ommilies the se aster montheritor in respect of Mist Sheeter In DAG has Novell 1852 (SST ((Classeral)) anarsigned to seriled area insued wide Robinstion NO. 58074111' dentral (9540/4-2001'9) is instably regioned, while the motification ssneet vice. Non-Francisco No. 5797/92 dented 1'3-0/4-2024 is instably within and WIND BEES FOR THE COLLE OF IS ASSISTAND.

Difeio

Elamonterry and Secondary Education Killy bo- Ralatomikhwa. Resilensar

An 1.2 Resistance and The Still (OF) Saled Pashawar Bre

Endst No.

and we have the build permanent. 1

S. Section

1- 21. 1.10

માર સાઉલ(સર્થ)

trate Statementality Endereduced & Kingdode

and a start Hearges Digit was King

Dequision Friender Rection WENRED WINE MARTINE

2021

ATTESTED

The Secretary (E&SE) Department, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar.

SUBJECT: <u>DEPARTMENTAL APPEAL</u> AGAINST THE IMPUGNED NOTIFICATION DATED 11.6.2021 WHEREBY THE DISOWNED ORDER DATED 5.4.2019 HAS BEEN RESTORED

ANNEXURE

<u>R.SHEWETH</u>:

That initially the appellant was inducted/ appointed as Secondary School Teacher (BPS-16) on the proper recommendation of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Public Service Commission vide Notification dated 26-12-2012. That in response the appellant got herself medically examined and also submitted arrival report. That where after the appellant started performing her duty at the concerned station quite efficiently and up to the entire satisfaction of her superiors. That after proper verification of Educational antecedents and service documents the salary of the appellant has been released/started by the concerned authorities.

That it is pertinent to mention that appellant is equipped with the qualification of M.A and professional qualification of Master of Education which is over and above the requisite qualification for the post of SST (BPS-16). That it is pertinent to mention that during the said period the appellant has successfully completed her probationary period and as such the Department confirmed the appellant against the post of SST (BPS-16).

That unfortunately the authority without any reason & rhyme and without following codal formalities straight away issued the impugned Notification dated 5-04-2019 whereby the appointment Notification of the appellant dated 26-12-2012 has been disowned by the authority. Against which the appellant filed Departmental appeal followed by service appeal before the Tribunal and the august Tribunal directed the authority to re-instate the appellant into service and where after conduct denovo inquiry in the matter.

That unfortunately vide impugned Notification dated 11.6.2021 the order dated 13-04-2021 has been withdrawn and the order dated 5-4-2019 is restored without any regular inquiry.

It is therefore most humbly prayed that on acceptance of this Departmental appeal the impugned Notification dated 11.6.2021 may kindly be set aside and the appellant may be re-instated into service with all back benefits.

DATED: <u>9</u>.7.2021

APPELLANT

in

Mst. Shazia Jan, SST (BPS-16), Transferred to settle area.



VAKALATNAMA

BEFORE THE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICE TRIBUNAL, PESHAWAR

APPEAL NO: OF 2021 (APPELLANT) _____ (PLAINTIFF) an (PETITIONER) VERSUS Education Deptt. (RESPONDENT) (DEFENDANT) We <u>Shazia</u> Jon o hereby appoint and I/We Do hereby appoint and constitute NOOR MUHAMMAD KHATTAK Advocate, Peshawar to appear, plead, act, compromise, withdraw or refer to arbitration for me/us as my/our Counsel/Advocate in the above noted matter, without any liability for his default and with the authority to engage/appoint any other Advocate Counsel on my/our cost. I/we authorize the said Advocate to deposit, withdraw and receive on my/our behalf all sums and amounts payable or deposited on my/our account in the above noted matter.

Dated ____/2021

CLIENTS ACCEPTED NOOR MUHAMMAD KHATTAN KAMRAN KHAN all/ UMER FAROOØ M OHMAND SATD KH site Ad HAIDER **ADVOCATES**

BEFORE THE HONORABLE KHYBER PAKHATUNKHWA SERVICE TRIBUNAL PESHAWAR.

Service Appeal No: 7683/2021

Mst. Shazia Jan, Ex-SST (General) District Orakzai.....Appellant.

VERSUS

Secretary (E&SED), Khyber Pakhtunkhwa & others......Respondents

JOINT PARAWISE COMMENTS ON & FOR BEHALF OF RESPONDENTS No: 1-2.

Respectfully Sheweth:-

The Respondents No.1-2 submit as under:-

Preliminary Objections.

- 1 That the Appellant has got no cause of action/locus standi.
- 2 That the appellant is not an aggrieved person within the meaning of Article 212 of the constitution of Islamic Republic of Pakistan 1973.
- **3** That the Appellant has concealed material facts from this Honorable Tribunal.
- 4 That the instant Service Appeal is based on mala-fide intentions.
- 5 That the Appellant has not come to this Honorable Tribunal with clean hands.
- 6 That the Appellant is not entitled for the relief he/she has sought from this Honorable Tribunal as his/her 1st appointment/adjustment Notification No. 7057-70/A-D/Apptt of SST (G)/PSC/2012 dated 05/05/2012 has been disowned vide Notification bearing Endst No. 5663-68 dated 04-04-2019 by the Respondent No.02 of being fake & bogus against the Ex-SST (General) B-16 in District Mohmand after due process of Law & procedure.
- 7 That the instant Appeal is based on mala fide intentions, just to put extra pressure on the Respondents for gaining illegal service benefits.

- 8 That the instant Service Appeal is not maintainable in its present form.
- **9** That the instant Service Appeal is bad for mis-joinder & non-joinder of the necessary parties.
- 10 That the impugned Notifications dated Notifications dated 04-04-2019 & 11-06-2021 of the Respondent Department are legally competent & liable to be maintained in favor of the Respondents.
- 11 That no Departmental Appeal has been filed by the appellant to the Respondent Department against the impugned Notifications dated 04-04-2019 & 11-06-2021, hence, the instant appeal is not maintainable.
- 12 That the recommendation letter of the appellant has been declared as fake and bogus by the Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Public Service Commission/Respondent No.03.
- 13 That the Notification dated 25-05-2012 has correctly been disowned by the Respondent Department on the grounds of being fake & bogus after observing all Codal formalities by the Respondent No.02 in view of the inquiry committee notified vide Notification bearing No. 1911-16 dated 08-02-2021 who submitted it report vide letter No. 778 dated 24-04-2021 to the Respondent Department. (Copy of the Notification dated 08-02-2021 is attached as Annex-A).

<u>ON FACTS.</u>

- 1 That Para-1 is correct to the extent of publication of an advertisement through the National Press, whereby, the Respondent No.3/ KPK PSC has invited applications for the recruitment against the SST B-16 (G) Post in the Respondent Department, wherein, no application has been filed by the appellant nor appeared in test/interview for the post in question & has produced fake & bogus recommendation letter of the Respondent No.3 by showing him/her self to have been recommended by KP PSC against the SST (G) Post in B-16 & on the basis of the said fake & bogus recommendation letters of the Respondent No.03 the appellant adjusted got himself against the noted post in District Bajour on malafide intentions in the Respondent Department. **(Copy of the advertisement is attached as Annex-B).**
- 2 That Para-2 is also in correct & denied to the extent of publication of an advertisement through the National Press, whereby, the Respondent No.3/ KPK PSC has invited applications for the recruitment against the

SST B-16 (G) Post in the Respondent Department, wherein, no application has been filed by the appellant nor appeared in interview for the post in question & has produced fake & bogus recommendation letter of the Respondent No.3 by showing his self to has been recommended by the PSC against the SST (G) Post in B-16 & on the basis of the said fake & bogus appointment order, the appellant has got adjusted her/his self against the noted post in District Bajour on malafide intentions in the Respondent Department which was resulted in constitution of inquiry committee vide Notification No. 1911-16 dated 08-02-2021 through Mr. Muhammad Saleem & Munawar Gul who have submitted their inquiry report vide letter No. 778 dated 24-04-2021 to the Respondent No.2. (Copy of the inquiry report is Annexure-C).

- **3** That Para-3 is incorrect on the grounds that the whole service record of the appellant has been proved by the inquiry committee as fake & bogus during their inquiry proceeding against the appellant.
- **4** That Para-4 is incorrect & denied on the grounds that the act of the Department with regard to the Notification dated 04-04-2019 is legal & even is the result of due process of Law & procedure.
- **5** That Para-5 is correct that in view of the Departmental proceedings conducted by the Respondent Department against the appellant under the relevant provision of Law & Rules in field including formal inquiry, wherein, the 1st appointment order dated 25-05-2012 of the appellant has been proved fake & bogus, hence, her/his services against the mentioned post have been disowned by the Respondent No.2 vide Notification dated 11-06-2021 after due process of Law, therefore, the claim of the appellant is illegal & liable to be rejected.**(Copy of the Notification dated 11-06-2021 is Annexure-D).**
- **6** That Para-6 is correct that vide Notification dated 15-03-2021 the appellant was reinstated in service only for the purpose of De-Novo inquiry which was concluded vide Notification dated 04-04-2019, whereby, the services of the appellant has been disowned to the extent of the 1st appointment order dated 25-05-2012 of the appellant by the Respondent Department. (Copy of the Notification dated 04-04-2019 is Annexure-E).
- 7 That para-7 is incorrect & denied as no Departmental appeal against the Notification dated 04-04-2019 of the Respondent No.2 has been filed by the appellant till date, hence, got finality under the Law of limitation Act 1908 against the appellant, therefore, the appeal in hand is liable to be dismissed on the following grounds inter alia:-

ON GROUNDS.

I

- A Incorrect & not admitted. The appellant has been treated as per law, rules & policy vide the above said Notifications dated 04-04-2019 & 11-06-2021 by the Respondent Department in the instant case, hence, the stand of the appellant is baseless & liable to be rejected.
- B Incorrect & not admitted. The appellant has been treated as per law, rules & policy having no question of violating the provision of Artcle-4 & 25 of the constitution of 1973 by the Department.
- C **Incorrect & not admitted**. The statement of the appellant is without any cogent reason & justification on the grounds as agitated in the foregoing paras of the present reply by the Respondents as the Notifications dated 04-04-2019 & 11-06-2021 is legal & liable to be maintained.
- D **Incorrect & not admitted**. The stand of the appellant is without any cogent reason & legal justification, hence, denied.
- E **Incorrect & not admitted**. The plea of the appellant is without justification & liable to be rejected.
- F Incorrect & not admitted. The plea of the appellant is without justification & liable to be rejected as he/she has been treated as per Law & Rules by the Respondent No.2.
- G **Incorrect & not admitted**. As the whole service record of the appellant has been proved by the inquiry committee fake & bogus during their inquiry proceeding against the appellant.
- H Incorrect & not admitted. Hence, needs no further comments.
- I Incorrect & not admitted. Hence, needs no further comments.
 - **Legal**. However, the Respondents also seek leave of this Honorable Tribunal to submit additional grounds, record & case law at the time of arguments on the date fixed.

In view of the above made submissions, it is most humbly requested that this Honorable Tribunal may very graciously be pleased to dismiss the instant Service Appeal in favor of the Respondents in the interest of justice.

Dated ___/ /2022.

E&SE Department Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar. (Respondent No: 1)

DIRECTOR E&SE Department Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar. (Respondent No: 2)

AFFIDAVIT

I. Dr. Hayat Khan Assistant Director (Litigation-II) E&SE Department Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, do hereby solemnly affirm & declare on oath that the contents of the instant para wise Comments are true & correct to the best of my knowledge & belief.

Deponent VARHI

EXECTORATE OF ELEMENTARY & SECONDARY EDUCATION KHYBER PAHKTUNKHWA, PESHAWAR

MOTIFICATION

In compliance to the judgment of the Honorable Service Tribunal rendered in service appeal No.1 to 40, the competent authority is pleased to constitute a committee, comprising the following officers to conduct denovo enquiry against the SSTs inducted in the system illegally/unlawfully into the various Districts/Sub Divisions Khyber Pakhtunkhwa.

> 1. Muhammad Salim Principal (BS-19) GCMHS No.1 Tank

.

(Chairman)

Annexuse-t

 Ahmad Shahab Principal (BS-19) (Member) GHSS No.2 Peshawar (2014)

Terms of References (ToR)

- i. To compare and cross Examine / check the lists of SSTs provided by the AEOs offices with the lists of SSTs provided by Public Service Commission.
- ii. To determine that whether the SSTs working in various Director Elementary and Secondary Education Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Peshawar Department had been recommended by the Public Service Commission or otherwise.
- iii. To examine whether the adjustment / transfer orders of the said SSTs had been issued by the Directorate of Education.
- iv. To examine whether, the record viz a Personal Files etc of these SSTs exist in the Directorate of Education (FATA) and in the respective Agency Accounts Officer.
- v. To dig out their 1st date of induction in the system and present status of the inducted SSTs.
- vi. To propose proper strategy keeping in view all the relevant legal aspects for proceedings against these inducted teachers.
- vii. To propose / suggest further course of legal proceedings to be followed by the competent authority against these SSTs in case of their names do not exist in the list provided by Public Service Commission, keeping in view the following two lines.
 - a) If the competent authority proceeds against them under KPK Government Servants (Efficiency & Discipline) Rules 2011, will they not be given the status of a civil servant? While they are not.

b) If the competent authority straight away lodge an FIR against them in the respective political agent office / police station, avoiding KPK Government Servants (Efficiency & Discipline) Rules 2011, then the question of their status will not arise that if they were not civil servants then how they remained on the strength / ocquaintance role of education department for such period of time?

viii.

iχ.

To examine / scrutinize the reply in response to the statement of allegations so far issued by the competent authority and suggest further line of action to the competent authority and suggest further line of action to the competent authority. To verify / examine / scrutinize their all relevant service reserved along with

To verify / examine / scrutinize their all relevant service record along with qualification both general and professional.

x. To fix responsibility on officer / official with the convenience of whom these inductions have been made.

xi. Any other related issue / problem the committee may like to consider for probe.

Director Elementary and Secondary Education

Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar

2021.

Endst: No.

/F.No. E-06/Khyber (KC now) Dated Peshawar the

Copy of the above is forwarded to the:-

- 1. Additional Advocate (G) Service Tribunal Peshawar Khyber Pakhtunkhwa.
- 2. Register Service Tribunal Peshawar.
- 1-2. Chairman/Member of the Committee.
- 3. District Education Officer Lower & Central Kurram with the remarks to provide complete records/full cooperation to the Inquiry Committee during proceedings.

C DY: DIRECTOR (ESTAB) MERGED DISTRICTS

4. P.A to Director Elementary and Secondary Education Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Peshawar.

| | HAMERCUSE-B 1/2 C |
|----------|---|
| | NWFP PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION |
| <u>e</u> | 2- Fort Road Peshawar Cantt: |
| | Website: www.nwfppsc.gov.pk |
| | 5 |
| | Dated: 26-01-2009 |
| | ADVERTISEMENT No. 01/2009. Annex |
| | Applications are invited for the following posts from Pakistani citizens of Verser Adverte domicile.by 26-02-2009 (13-03-2009 for candidates from abroad). Incomplete applications and applications without supporting documents required to prove the claim of the candidates shall also be rejected without intimation to the candidates |
| | also be rejected without intimation to the candidates. |
| | |
| | AGRICULTURE LIVESTOCK & CO-OPERATIVE DEPTT: |
| | (S.No. 01) One (01) Post of assistant Botanist. In Livestock Research & Dev: |
| | OUALIFICATION: M.Sc. Agriculture or B.Sc (Hons) Agriculture (Obtained) after "4" Years Instructions after F.Sc) from a recognized University under research |
| | which the Vacancy occurs |
| | AGE LIMIT: 21 to 33 years. PAV SCALE: BPS-17. ELIGIBILITY: Both Sector. ALLOCATION: Merit. |
| | (S.No. 02) Two (2) Posts of Research officers Fodder. In Lⅅ Deptt: |
| | <u>QUALIFICATION:</u> M.Sc Agriculture or B.Sc (Hons) Agriculture (Obtained) after "4" Years Instructions after F.Sc) from a recognized University under research |
| • | programme in the subject relating to the subject groups as specified in schedule -11 to |
| | AGE LIMIT: 21 to 33 years. <u>PAY SCALE</u> , BPS-17. <u>ELIGIBILITY</u> : Male: <u>ALLOCATION</u> : |
| | Merit Zonc-1 |
| | 01 01 |
| | CHIEF ENGINEER WORKS & SERVICE DEPARTMENT. |
| | (S.No. 03) Five (05) Posts of Data Entry Operators. |
| | <u>OUALIFICATION:</u> (i) 2 nd Division FA/FSc with one year Diploma in Computer Science from the recognized Institute (ii) Speed of Ten thousand key depression per |
| | hour for punching/data entry/verification. <u>AGE LIMIT:</u> 18 to 30 years: <u>PAY SCALE</u> : BPS-11. <u>ELIGIBILITY</u> : Both Sexes. |
| | ADDUCATION: |
| - | Zone-1 Zone-2 Zone-3 Zone-4 Zone-5 01 01 01 01 01 01 |
| | |
| | DIRECTORATE OF INDUSTRIES COMMERCE MINERAL DEV. LABOUR & |
| | <u>TECHNICAL EDUCITION DEPARTMENT.</u> |
| | (S.No. 04) One (01) Post of Male Inspector Mines <u>OUALIFICATION:</u> (i) Bacheler Degree in Mining Engineering from recognized |
| | University and (ii) 1st Class Mines Manager's certificate of Competency granted under the provision of Mines Act, 1923 and (iii) Two years experience in Gove or Semi |
| | Government Mining Industries registered under the Mines Act. 1923. |
| | AGE LIMIT: 21 to 33 years. <u>PAY_SCALE</u> : BPS-17. <u>ELIGIBILITY</u> : Male. <u>ALLOCATION</u> : NOTE: In case of non-availability of candidates possessing the |
| | Tay I a Reparato 2014 Mpdul master vs Den USP 403 page |
| | 1/ 10 / Jame Hant |
| | |

provisions of the rules for the time cerng In Terce,

NOTE: For History-cum-Childs -The candidates must possess Master's Degree

- either in History or Political Science provided the other required subjects has studied at
- B.A level. The other requirement of teaching degree will, however, remain intact. For Biology: 2nd Class Master Degree in Botany or Zoology provided that other subject
- have been studied at graduate level.

AGE LIMIT: 25 to 40 years PAY SCALE: BPS-17 ELIGIBILITY: Female.

| ALLOCATION: | | |
|-----------------------|--------------|-------------|
| S.No Subject | No. of Posts | Allocation |
| 5. Islamiyat | | Merit Quota |
| 6. Pak: Study | 19.1 1.03 | Merit Quota |
| 7. History-Cum-Civics | 02 | Merit Quota |
| 8 Economics | 02 1 | Merit Quota |
| 9English | 02 | Merit Quota |
| 10. Statistics | .02 | Merit Quota |
| 11. Maths | 02 | Merit Quota |
| 12. Biology | 02 | Merit Quota |
| 13. Chemistry | 02 | Merit Quota |
| 1.4. Physics | 02 | Merit Quota |
| | | |

| | | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | | | | | • | | • | | |
|-------|-----|---------------------------------------|--|----------------|------------|------------------|-----------|------------|------|---------|--------|
| C . | a 1 | ~ ~ ` | in i . | ÷ | | | | | | | · · · |
| - (C. | NO. | 52) - | Sixteen | Lundred | Eighty: | <u>One (1681</u> |) Posts | of Male | STTe | 15 S Te | (Rath) |
| 1 | | | in the second se | | | 1 | 1 . 0 . 0 | 17: 1:0010 | | 70.0.10 | LDOUL |
| | | | Science | & Arts) (| vith out | graduaty | and bar | | | | |
| | | | | | | . gradualy | ана рег | ыоць | | · | |
| | | | OTHTY | TT COL THE COL | T G | | | ······ | | | |

- QUALIFICATION: For Secondary School Teacher (General) (i) B.A Second Division from a recognized University and (ii) B.Ed or Equivalent Qualification from a recognized University.
- For Secondary School Teacher (Science) (i) BSc Second Division with at least Two of the Subjects of Physic, Chemistry, Zoology, Botany, and Mathematics -A or Mathematics-B and (ii) B.Ed. or Equivalent Qualification from a recognized University. AGE LIMIT: 21 to 35 years: PAY SCALE: BPS-16 ELIGIBILITY: Male.

| | AULOCATIC | <u>, NI</u> | | | | |
|---|-----------|-------------|--------|--------|--------|---------|
| | · Mcrit | Zonc-1 | Zone-2 | Zone-3 | Zone-4 | Zonc-5. |
| | 420 | 280 | :281 | 280 | 210 | 210 |
| • | | | 18 | | | · |

(S.No. 53) Thirty Four (34) Posts of Male Disabled SETs. /S.S.Ts (Both Science & Arts) (with out graduaty and pension).

- QUALIFICATION: For Secondary School Teacher (General) (i) B.A Second Division from a recognized University and (ii) B.Ed or Equivalent Qualification from a recognized University.
- For Secondary School Teacher (Science) (i) BUS Second Division with at least Two of the Subjects of Physic, Chemistry, Zoology, Solany, and Mathematics -A or Mathematics-B and (ii) B.Ed or Equivalent Qualification from a recognized University.
 - AGE LIMIT: 21 to 35 years. PAY SCALE: BPS-16 ELIGIBILITY: Male.
 - ALLOCATION: Merit.

STED

STIF

(S.No. 54) Ninty Two (92) Posts of Male SETs. /S.S.Ts. For Earth Quake Quota (I.E) Battagram, Manschra, Shangla, Kohistan, Abbottabad. (Both Science & Arts) (with out graduaty and pension).

QUALIFICATION: For Secondary School Teacher (General) (i) B.A Second Division from a recognized University and (ii) B.Ed or Equivalent Qualification from a recognized University.

For Secondary School Teacher (Science) (i) BSc Second Division with at least Two of the Subjects of Physic, Chemistry, Zoology, Botany, and Mathematics -A or Mathematics-B and (ii) B.Ed of Equivalent Qualification from a recognized University: AGE LIMIT: 21 to 35 years. PAY SCALE: BPS-16 ELIGIBILITY: Male. ALLOCATION: Meril.

18 Abdul mail ve 19 203 pads

| بر مع مربع المعرف مربع المعرف الم | | · Ke | 12-1200 | |
|---|---|---|---------------------------|-------------|
| // US-No. 55) | (Both Science P + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + | of Female ST | | . 7. |
| á | | ionstalli. | | |
| | Division from a recognized University and (ii) B:Ed or Ed recognized University | - (Ceneral) (i) Juivalent Qualifi | B.A Second | |
| · | the Subjects of Physic Cher Science) (i) BSc Second | Division with at | Paul Taur + C | O |
| | Mounding and (a) provide a second contraction | ∿ ⊂uu Niathern | after A | |
| - | , TOBOCATION; | GIBILITY: Fer | University. nale | Ť. |
| · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | Mierit Zone-1 Zone-2 Zone-3 | Zonc-4 | Zond-5 | |
| (S.No. 50) | 162 | 122 | 122 | |
| (S.No. 56) | graduaty and pennion). | .Ts Disabled | (with out | |
| | VUALIFICATION, R. COMPANY | | | |
| | | | | |
| | the Subjects of Division Charles (Science) (i) BSc Second H | Division with at 1 | need ID is a c | • |
| | AGE LIMIT: 21 to 40 years many (1) | · • · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | tics – A or University | |
| | PAY SCALE: BPS-16 RUICIBILITY F | ition) | | ļ |
| | <u> </u> | | | i |
| S.No. 57) | Fifty One (51) Posts of Female SETs. /S.S.Ts Fc (I.E) Battagram, Mansehra, Shangla Kobister | r Earth Oua | ka: Ouata | |
| · · · | graduaty and nension). (Start, seconstant, | Auportabad; | (with out | A . |
| | UUAL FICATION. R. C. | | | |
| | For Secondary School Toost and the | valent Qualificat | lion from a | |
| • • | For Secondary School Teacher (Science) (i) BSc Second D the Subjects of Physic, Chemistry, Zoology, Botany, Mathematics-B and (ii) B.Ed or Equivalent Qualification for | ivision with at le | ast Two of | |
| | AGE LIMIT: 21 to 40 years PAV SCATE DDG | n a recognized U | niversity | |
| | ALLOCATION: Merit. | <u>15111 Y;</u> Femal | le. | |
| TE | CHNICAL EDUATION AND MAN POWER | T'R אזזאזא | | |
| | DEPARTMENT. | | | |
| (S.No. 58) | Two (02) Posts of Assistant Professor Commerce Commercial/Govt: Commercial Training T | | | |
| 1 | Commercial/Govt: Commercial Training Institute | in Govt: Col s. | lleges of | |
| | <u>QUALIFICATION:</u> (i) Ph. D in the relevant subject from with three year teaching experience in recognized college / Gr Covt: Commercial Institutes/ Govt: Commercia College / Gr | n a recognized l ort: Commercial | University Institutes/ | |
| | OR (ii) Master's Degree from a solar control of the | structor/Lecturer | | |
| \ | Govi: Commercial Institute/ Govit/Commercial Institution | in a recognized | college / | |
| | AGE LIMIT: 25 to 40 years: <u>PAYSCALE</u> : BPS-18. <u>ELIGI</u> <u>ALLOCATION</u> : Merit. | <u>BILITY:</u> Male. | | |
| (S.No. 59) | $T_{\rm WO}$ (0.1) $T_{\rm WO}$ | | | |
| | Two (02) Posts of Assistant Professor in Comp Govt: College of Technology & Govt: Polytechnic) QUALIFICATION: (a) (Ph. D. (b) (c) (c) (c) (c) (c) (c) (c) (c) (c) (c | | | |
| ļ | Institute with one years's teaching from a | recognized Uni | versity / | |
| | such OR (b) Master's Degree in Engine | n the relevant si | ubject as | |
| · | with five years teaching/ professional experience in the relevan | t subject as such | : OR (c.) | |
| | wp4430 2018 Abdul malikevs Govt USB 463 page | | | |
| 10 m | | | · · · | |
| | | | 、 · | V (* |
| | | | | |

66) Ten (10) Posts of Male office Assistant

| <u>UUALIFICATI</u> | ON: Bachalan | | |
|--------------------|--|---------------------|-----------------|
| AGE LIMIT 18 | ON: Bacheldr degree'from re 8 to 30 years, PLANSCALT, P | cognized University | |
| ALLOCATION | 8 to 30 years. <u>PAM SCALE</u> : B | PS-14 FLIGTRIT | V. X. |
| | | | <u>r ivlale</u> |
| | Zone-2 Zone-7 | 1 1 1 | |
| | 12 | Zone-4 | Zone-5 |
| | <u> </u> | 02 | 07 |

| - No. 67 | | | |
|-----------|--|------------------|---------|
| | Uic (01) Post of Remale officer in | | |
| · · · . | One (01) Post of Female office Assistant. | | |
| | | | - 1 |
| · · · · · | QUALIFICATION: Bacheler degree from recognized AGE/LIMIT: 18 to 30 years: PAY-SCALE: BPS-14. El ALLOCATION: Merit. | University | <u></u> |
| · - • | ALLOCATION: Merit. | | |
| | ALLOCATION: Marit | JUBILITY: Female | |
| | | | |

OCATION: Merit.

CORRIGENDUM

The Post of Research Officer for Earth Quake Quota appearing at S.No.2 Advt: No.07/2007 may be read as 02 Post for Chemistry and one for cereal Crops.

The Post of Reader Advertised in Advit: No. 07/2008 S.No. 39 may be read as one post instead of Two Posts.

GENERAL CONDITIONS

$\{\cdot\}$

Age, qualification and experience etc shall be reckered on 26-02-2009 Maximum age limit as prescribed in the recruitment rules shall be relaxed up to 10 years for Govt Servants who have completed 2 years continuous service, upto 10 years for disabled persons and upto 3 years for cundidates belonging to backward areas of Zone-1, Zone-3, Merged Areas of Hazara and Mardan Divisions and uper Tanawal, Districts of Swal, Upper Dir, Lower Dir, Chitral, Buner, Kala Dhaka Area, Koliistan District Sliangla, Gadoon Area in Swabi, Backward areas of Manselira and Batagram, backward areas of Haripur District i.e., Kalanjar Field Kanungo Circle of Tehsil Haripur and Amazai Field Kanungo Circle of Tehsil Ghazi. However, a candidate shall be allowed relaxation in age in one of the above categories provided that the candidates from backward areas, in addition to automatic relaxation of three years shall be entitled to one of the relaxations available to Govt Servants, general or disabled candidates, whichever is relevant and applicable to them.

Degrees / Diploma / Experience Certificates / Testimonials of unrecognized Institution are not accepted. Only original Degrees / Certificates are accepted. However, the candidates can apply on provisional certificate signed by the Controller of Examination of the respective Institution Dut candidates shall produce original degrees / certificates before their selection. Detail Marks Certificate for all the examination shall necessarily be required and these should be attached

ALLOCATION of vacancies in BPS-17 and below shall be strictly in accordance with the Zonal ALLOCATION as indicated against each post(s). The applications of the candidates other than the specified zone(s) shall be ignored except for posts reserved for Merit quota. No zonal reservation stands for posts allocated to disabled quote and also for the posts in BPS-18 and above. All such posts shall be filled in on Open Merit.

(iv)

ΠĤ

 $\{0, \}$

2.

The candidates applying against disabled posts must attach with their application. forms of disability certificate from the Provincial Council for Rehabilitation of Disabled Persons as well ns disability certificate from the respective Medical Superintendent / Medical Board showing

2018 Abdul malik vs Govt USB 403 pags

Ex-armed Forces Personnel must send copy of Diseliarge Certificate with their applications. Govt. / Semi Govt. / Autonomous / Semi Autonomous Bodies employees may apply direct but their Departmental Permission Certificates should reach within 30 days of the closing date.

(vi)....

... Applications should be on the prescribes application form obtainable from the listed below branches of the NATIONAL BANK. Application Fee is Rs. 285/- (Rupees Two Hundred Eight Five only) for all the candidates. In addition to the application fee, the candidates will have to pay Rs. 15/- (rupces fifteen only) on eccount of Bank Charges. Separate application form will be required for each advertised category of posts. Application forms obtained other than the specified branches of the National Bank will be considered invalid and such applications willnot be enterthined. The applications on plain paper or Photostat shall not be accepted. Incomplete and late applications shall also be ignored. (vii)…

Application must be submitted within time as no extra time is allowed for postal transit. The applications if submitted on the last date for receipt of applications must reach the Commission's office by the closing hours.

Ċ

- (viii) Applicants married to Foreigners are considered only on production of the Govt. Relaxation .
- $(ix) \rightarrow$

No applicant shall be considered in absentia on paper qualifications unless, he/she possesses exceptionally higher qualifications than the minimum prescribed qualification for a particular (x)

- Gove, reserves the right not to fill any or fill more or less than the advertised post(s).
- Candidates who have already availed three chances by physical appearance before the (xi)Commission and have failed for the post(s) having one and the same qualifications and scale of. pay shall be incligible.
- Experience wherever prescribed shall be counted after the minimum qualifications for the (xii)post(s), if not specifically provided otherwise against the advertised post(s).
- In cases where the number of applications received for post(5) are disproportionately higher (xiii)than the number of available vacancies, shortlisting of the candidates may be done in any one of the following manner:
 - (a) Written Test in the Subject.
 - (b)·
 - General Knowledge or Psychological General Ability Test. Academic and / or Professional record as the Commission may decide. . (c)

SPECIFIED BRANCHES OF THE NATIONAL BANK OF PAKISTAN

Main Branches of:

 $(\hat{\mathbf{y}})$

- Parachinar, Mardan, Swabis Malakand, Shangla, Chitral; Timargara, Daggar, (i) D.I.Khan, Bannu, Karak, Kohak, Hangu, Lakki Marwat, Abbottabad, Haripur, and
- Saddar Road Branch, Tchkal Payan Branch, and G.T Road (Nishtar Abad) Branch-(ii)
- . Tehsil Bazar Branch Chursudda; Nowshern-Cantt: Branch, Bank Squade Branch (iii) Mingora and city Branch Tank.

(Atta Ur Rehman)

Secretary NWFP Public Service Commission. 2-Fort Road Peshawar Cantt: Ph: 9212962

网络哈哈日 HUH ېتې کېد د لروې OFFICE OF THE PRINCIPAL GOVT SHAHEED SHER NAWAZ CMHS NO.1 <u>TANK.</u> 770 ñío. Dated: 24 /04/2021 Ē ANNERWIE The Director Elementary and Secondary Education Department Kliyber Pakhtunkhwa Peshawar. Subject: -INQUIRY REPORT Menio, Reference to your kind Notification No. 1911-16/F.No.E-06/Khyber (KC now) Dated Peshawar the 08-02-2021. Enclosed find here with Inquiry report consists of (19) pages along-with supporting documents (182 pages) for further necessary process as desired please. Enclose: (As above). Muhammad Sálim Principal/Cháirman Inquiry Committee. by A Della 的形

INQUIRY REPORT

TLE OF INQUIRY:

Denovo inquiry on the direction of the Honorable KP Service Tribunal against the SSTs inducted in the stem illegally and unlawfully in various Districts/ Sub Division Khyber Pakhtunkhwa.

NQUIRY COMMITTEE

1 Muhammad Salim Khan Principal (BPS-19) GSSNCMHS No.1Tank (Chairman Inquiry Committee)

2 Munawar Gul Principal (BPS-19) GHSS Tarnab Farm Peshawar(Member Inquiry Committee)

BACKGROUND OF INQUIRY:

The Secondary School Teachers (SSTs) previously known as SETs are usually appointed/ recruited through Departmental Promotion Committees (DPC)or Public service commission. It is provincial cadre post and the Director E&SE Department Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Peshawar is appointing authority for appointment against the said post in Khyber Pakhtunkhwa and Ex- FATA, while Director Education FATA was only limited to the adjustment of the SST, whose services were placed at his disposal for further posting in various Agencies/ FRs of Ex-FATA. Previously as per recruitment policy 50% SSTs were directly recruited/ appointed through Public Service Commission whereas 50% through departmental promotion committee from different junior teaching radres. According to the existing policy 75% SSTs are promoted from various junior teaching cadres and 25% Ihrough initial recruitment. Recruitment of SST, were lastly made through Public Service Commission in 2012 while in 2008 a large number of SSTs were recruited on contract basis and were later on regularized since 01-01-2009.

In the 1stquarter of 2014 some reports/complaints were received to the Director of Education FATA from various quarters that some SETs/SSTs have been inducted in the system without proper recommendations of KP Public Service Commission or approval of the departmental promotion committee and all these illegally and unlawfully inducted teachers are properly working in various Agencies / FRs of FATA. On this the Director FATA assigned the task to 02 Assistant Directors to probe into the matter. They were required to check credentials of all the SSTs Male / Female working in Ex-FATA and cross match their selection and appointment orders with the record of the Directorate of Elementary and Secondary Education and that of KP Public Service Commission. Subsequently after cross checking of the data provided by the AEOs with record provided by KPPSC and Director Elementary and Secondary Education, appointment orders of 158 teachers working in Ex-FATA were found/ declared as suspected and recommended for conducting broad based inquiry to probe appropriately into the matter.

Consequently, a broad based committee under the chairmanship of the then Director Education FATA was constituted with the approval of Additional Chief Secretary FATA to conduct proper inquiry in this regard. Meanwhile, the KP NAB also intervened in the said case/issue. The inquiry committee in coordination with NAB authorities decided to conduct a fresh inquiry in the said case by obtaining list of all recommended candidates from FATA (Zone I) for the post of SST under advertisement No.01/2009 from KP PSC and list of SSTs promoted / recruited on contract basis and later on regularized against SETs / SSTs post, from the Directorate of Elementary and Secondary Education Department.

The inquiry committee completed the task and submitted report to the authorities concerned. According to the findings and conclusion of the said inquiry committee 69 SETs / SSTs working in various Tribal

ĺ

e to and Ex FATA were found directly inducted in the system illegally and unlawfully by producing fake applatment orders.

The Director Elementary and Secondary Education being competent authority in the said case an and ended against all the aforementioned SETs /SSTs and after proper verification and personal hearing, while appointment orders of 46 SETs /SSTs were disowned by issuing disowned Notification in respect of each.

-10 out of 46 disowned appointees filed departmental appeal to the Appellate Authority. However, their seconds were not honored. Then all the 40 appellants filed appeal before KP Service Tribunal against the drawned Notification issued by the Director Elementary and Secondary Education Department. While the containing 06 appointees did not file appeal against their disowned Notifications and they are still out of system.

The Honorable Service Tribunal issued order in the service appeal 1-40 and set aside the disowned Hetifications issued by the Director E&SE Department and re-instated the appellant in service with directions to the department to conduct proper inquiry.

The operational part of the judgment is quoted as under:

"In view of the situation, the impugned orders stand set aside and the appellant are re-instated in service with direction to the department to conduct proper inquiry. They shall investigate the issue through a proper inquiry reaching to a logical conclusion to find out the real culprit who maneuvered to make it possible and thereafter, the fate of the appellants be decided in the light of said inquiry. The respondents shall conclude the proceeding within 90 days after receipt of this judgment. The issue of back benefit shall be subject to the outcome of inquiry. With no order as to costs."

The Director E&SE Department Peshawar in compliance with the judgment of the Honorable Court andered the instant inquiry vide Endst: No. 1911-16/F.No.E-06/Khyber (KC now) Dated Peshawar the 08-02-2021, with the TORs given below.(Annex A)

The Director E&SE Department Peshawar being respondent in the said service appeals also set aside the disowned Notifications issued in respect of all the 40 appellants and they were allowed to join their duties against their previous positions.

TERMS OF REFERENCES:

To compare and cross examine/ check the lists of SSTs provided by the AEOs offices with the list of SSTs provided by Public Service Commission.

To determine that whether the SSTs working in various Director E&SE Department Khyber Pakhlunkhwa

Peshawar had been recommended by the Public Service Commission or otherwise. To examine whether the adjustment / transfer orders of the said SSTs has been issued by the

To examine whether, the record viz a personal file etc of these SSTs exist in the Directorate Education (FATA) and in the respective Agency Accounts Offices.

5 To dig out their 14 date of induction in the system and present status of the inducted SSTs. To propose proper strategy keeping in view all the relevant legal aspects for proceeding against these 5

inducted teachers.

To propose/suggest further course of legal proceedings to be followed by the competent authority against these SSTs in case of their names do not exist in the list provided by the Public Service Commission, keeping in view the following two lines:

 η_l

h

a. If the competent authority proceeds against them under KPK Government Servants E&D rules 2011, will they not be given the status of a civil servant? While they are not.

b. If the competent authority straight away lodged an FIR against them in the respective political agent office/ police station, avoiding KPK Government Servants E&D rules 2011, then the question of their status will not arise that if they were not civil servants then how they remained on the strength/ acquaintance role of education department for such a period of time?

To examine/ scrutinize the reply in response to the statement of allegations so far issued by the competent authority and suggest further line of action to the competent authority.
 To verify/examine/ scrutinize their all relevant is

- To verify/examine/ scrutinize their all relevant service record along with qualification both general and professional.
 To fix responsibility on officers/ officials with the service record along with qualification both general and
- 10. To fix responsibility on officers/ officials with the convenience of whom these induction have been made.
- 1.1. Any other related issue/ problem the committee may like to consider for probe.

PROCEDURE OF INQUIRY:

The instant inquiry committee initiated fresh process in order to obtain complete record/ data of the working as well as disowned SSTs in order to conduct proper inquiry in the instant case. Some written remplaints were also found on the record submitted by those SSTs whose appointment orders were disowned; requesting for proceeding against some SSTs who were inducted directly and illegally but were not proceeded obtainst and are still working.(Annex B P 3 to 5)The committee visited Tribal Districts Orakzai, Kurram, Mohmand, Khyber, Bajour, Sub Division Darazinda D.I.Khan and Tribal District South Wazirisitan, as almost all the alleged illegal appointees were reported to have been working in these Districts. The available record portaining to the instant inquiry was obtained and thoroughly examined. The committee further obtained available data of SETs/SSTs working in all the Tribal Districts and Suo Divisions, complete record of proceeding for the post of SST under Advertisement No.01/2009 from KPPSC and recruitment record of contract employees and their regularization notifications from Director E&SE Department Peshawar. The data obtained from KP PSC and Director E&SE Department Peshawar was cross checked with the data of working SSTs provided by DEOs Tribal Districts and Sub Divisions.

The committee also cross checked the appointment and adjustment orders in respect of all the appointees who were found working but could not be verified as recommended by the KP PSC with the record maintained by the Directorate of E&SE Department Peshawar and Directorate of Ex-FATA.

Opportunity for personal hearing and cross examination the evidences was offered to all the SSTs who had produced appointment orders, taken over charge against SST post and had been working in various Tribal Districts and Sub Divisions but their recommendations against the SST posts were not verified by the Public herivice Commission and their appointment orders were found suspicious. (Annex C P 6 to 10) However, most of them refused to avail such opportunity on the plea that they wanted to change the instant inquiry committee and they had also submitted a written application in this regard to the authorities concerned. (Annex D P (Annex E P.13) to 25) The following officers/ officials of Ex Directorate of FATA were also interrogated and their statements were obtained:

I. Mr. Fazali Manan Ex- Director.

Director. 2. Mir. Syed Manzar Jan Ex- Additional Director.

3. Mst. Badr -E- Haram Ex- Députy Directress. 4. Mr. Muhammad Kashif Ex Assistant Director.

6. Mr. Naik Muhammad Ex- Dealing Assistant.

8. Mir. Muhammad Anwar Ex C/O.

2. Mr. Aftab Ahmad Ex- Dealing Assistant.

... Mr. Muhammad Fayaz Dispatcher.

K. Mr. Farid Ullah Ex Superintendent.

OBSERVATIONS

The available recruitment record of SST (M&F) provided by the Director E&SE Department Peshawar reveals that 2136 SSTs were appointed through online submission of application to Director E&SE Department Peshawar in 2008 on contract basis for 01 year. Later on they were regularized in service with effect from 01-01-2009 through proper notifications made by the Director E&SE Department Peshawar under the NWFP Employees Regularization Act 2009.

On the other hand KP PSC under Advertisement No.01 2009 offered 2852 posts of SST M/F for NWFP/FATA domicile candidates. Tests and interviews were held and PSC recommended more than 2500 candidates M/F for appointment against SETs/SSTs posts out of which 367 candidates (291 Male and 76 Female) were selected from zone 01 and recommended to be appointed in various Agencies and FRs of Ex- FATA. (Annex F P 26 to 52)

During cross checking of all the appointment orders issued by the Director E&SE Department Peshawar under various notifications and posted in almost all the Districts including FATA and regularization notification issued in this regard, appointment of only two appointees who claimed to be appointed by the DE E&SE on contract basis were found fake and forged. However, during cross checking of the SST data provided by the DEOs of all the Tribal Districts, Sub Divisions with the record provided by KP PSC, it was found that 59SSTs who had taken over charge and have been working against SST post in Ex-FATA (some of them transferred to other Districts) could not be matched with the candidates recommended by KPPSC. Hence, it is evident that they were not selected by KP PSC and their appointment orders and service against SST post are illegal, unlawful, irregular and unauthorized. Some of these illegal appointees were even not eligible to apply for the post Advertised by KP FSC because they did not possess prescribed qualifications required for the post as per Advertisement, which reveals that they have neither applied for the post through PSC nor have been gone through the recruitment. Process. (Annex G P 53 to 63)

After thorough examination of the mode of induction of the aforementioned appointees it was found that their way of induction and present status is not the same. Therefore they are divided into three main categories as per given detail.

CATEGORY A.

34 accused appointees whose appointment orders could neither be verified from KP Directorate nor their adjustment orders from Director Ex FATA. They have not been recommended by the KP PSC for appointment against SST post. They have managed their appointment orders through their own sources. Their detail particulars and irregularities observed by the inquiry committee are elaborated as under: (Annex H P 64 to 113)

| * | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | • | • | - | • | • |

/11

| | <u>ک</u> | | | | | | | | _ |
|--|-------------------------------|------------------------------|-------------|----------------------------------|----------------------|------------|-------|------------|----------|
| anna). Ytinutroqqo dous lieve of besufer ad but he refused to avail such | | 207 | nori mer | | . 161 | bertea | | fedpt | |
| | -0T-T | | | SHD | | иечу | peur | meduM | 9 |
| | . 9T-0 | ISLT | | | | | | | ·: |
| O P 11 & 12) His B.Ed result was decide of submission of application to KP PSC was 26-02-2009. (Annex G P 53) Hence, he was not even eligible to apply for the post-His appointment order was disowned. Now the said notification has been alsowned in the directions of the Court and he is set aside on the directions of the Court and he is | | ZIOZ | | Кипа | | | | | |
| rearing and cross examiner apportunity. (Annex Juc apportunity, (Annex Juc apportunity) and the | il -ot | -IS :3P | Ņ | lognA | | | 0001 | dA pairta | |
| | | -OTSLT | | SHD | piz | eA leze7 | pecu | dA politi | l - |
| le was offered proper opportunity for personal le was offered proper opportunity for personal | | | | | | | ļ | | ÷ |
| P 11 & 12) His appointment of the rout and been solved the set of the rout of the rout and the rout and the rout and he is as the rout and he rout and | D ib is | 7012 | pue | шЧоМ | | | | 1 | |
| xenna), vtinutroppo dona lieve of besufer ed to | aul -C | qt: 52-1(| | lesteW | - | | | 0000001201 | 1 |
| | | 7-92/2T | 1 of | ens de | u u | al htar Ja | | nel terset | · · |
| orking. was offered proper opportunity for personal | | | | | | | | | |
| ring and cross examination and cure to see the set of the refused to avail such opportunity. (Annex he refused to avail such opportunity as the set of the set of the set of the set of the court and he is as a set of the Court and he is as a set of the court and he is as a set of the court and he is as a set of the court and he is as a set of the court and he is as a set of the court and he is as a set of the court and he is as a set of the court and he is as a set of the court and he is as a set of the court and he is as a set of the court and he is as a set of the court and he is as a set of the court and he is a set of the court and he court and he is a set of the court and he co | eed - tud - esib fez | 015. f: 25-10- 3236-41 | p ı | ZM Temaru Jadj Tesvler(| к s _{r.} | ilA didol | N | ilA nedu | 1 |
| wned. Now the said normcanan meriden of the Court and he is king. | vorib set s disor | LV JCLL | | | | | | | |
| | su o j | 15 | 72 | лэдА | usi I | | | | 1 |
| - I | -(| -01-52 | | usmle | 45 | | | · . | |
| | | 56-184 | ET 1 | 107 S | | dallU mir | IGR | HellU Jeye | |
| e court and he is working. | arit 10 | 10 L L L | | | | | | | |
| auch opportunity. (אוווופא טר צע פי או אי געט גוון נאטע געט געט געט געט געט געט געט געט געט ג | ioqqe ioqqe | .0 -20-TT | 502 | | | · | | | |
| turity for personal nearing and order to | Jodoo | | L7 | - | | | | | |
| | of adver | -1777 | | puewi | | | | | |
| | | 1 | 500 | Bed is | | . 1 | лен | | erlog |
| 1 | | -60-9 | | | SMD | | INYD | pewwe | uniA |
| ms to be appointed by the Director E&SE | isio eH | <u> </u> | 5223 | | troq | | uien | | |
| | | 1. | | | Place ¹ | | Hitel | ë | |
| s>, Comments of inquiry Committee | Remar | ON 1 | Orde | | | | | ¥ | |

. 5

 $\mathbb{N}^{\mathbf{i}}$

| , | | | | |
|----------------------|--------------------|----------------------------------|---------------------------------|--|
| | | | c s | DP 11 & 12) His appointment order was disowned. Now the said notification has been set aside on the directions of the Court and he is working. |
| Margis | Bahadur Khan | Khuna | 12414-17 dt: 02-11- 2012. | She was offered proper opportunity for personal hearing and cross examination the evidences but she refused to avail such opportunity. (Annex D P 11 & 12) Her appointment order was disowned. Now the said notification has been set aside on the directions of the Court and she is working |
| Shabana Bibi | Abdul Sattar | GGHS Naγat Killi Bajour | 12414-17 dt: 02-11- 2012. | She was properly summoned through DEO Bajour to appear before the inquiry committee for personal hearing but she failed to avail such opportunity. Her appointment order was disowned. Now the said notification has been set aside on the directions of the Court and she |
| Inayat Ur Rehman | Abdur Rehman | GMS Laza Banda Bajour | 1138-43 dt: 22-01- 2013. | He was offered proper opportunity for personal hearing and cross examination the evidences but he refused to avail such opportunity. (Annex D P 11 & 12) His appointment order was disowned. Now the said notification has been set aside on the directions of the Court and he is working. |
| .o. Muhamma Lariq | d Sher Muhammad | GHS Inzar Patti Orakzai | 1138-43 dt 22-01- 2013. | He was offered proper opportunity for personal nearing and cross examination the evidences but he refused to avail such opportunity. (Anne D P 11 & 12) His B.Ed result was declared on February 10, 2010 while last date of submission of application to KP PSC was 26-02-2009. (Anne G P 54) Hence, he was not even eligible to appl for the post. His appointment order was disowned. Now the said notification has been set aside on the directions of the Court and he working. |
| 11. Abdul Hai | Muhamma Tayyab | id GHS Tang Charman Bajour | | He was offered proper opportunity for person hearing and cross examination the evidences but he refused to avail such opportunity. (Ann D P 11 & 12) His appointment order was disowned. Now the said notification has been set aside on the directions of the Court and he |
| 12. Muhamn Naeem | nad Maneen Khan | GHS Mandat Orakzai | 6231-36 i dt: 23-0 2013. | |

| | 1 | | * | | • | | · . |
|----------------|----------------|----------------------------|------------|-----------|---|--|----------------|
| | | | | | | utiention has h | een |
| | | | | disowned | . Now the said | notification has b ns of the Court ar | nd he is |
| | | | | | | is of the court is | |
| | | | | working. | • | | |
| | | | 6231-36 | Hewas | offered proper of | pportunity for pe | ersonal : |
| Noor | Muqeem | GHS Jalat | dt: 23-01- | | | INSTIGN THE EVICE | |
| Muhammad | Khan - | Milla Orakzai | 2013. | 1 | -fund to avail | Such opportunity. | V |
| Ì | | VIakzai | 2020 | 1 | A A Uir ANNOLL | tment older wus | |
| | | | | | L New tho sall | nnunitation nus | P 2 2 2 2 |
| | | | | | | ons of the Court a | |
| | | | | i working | If ad prope | r opportunity for | persona |
| Decin Roquim | Fazli Wahab | GGHS | 2672-76 | | d croce eyar | กกลุ่ยุบม ยาจ จะกรา | 1. N |
| Basra Begum | | Ragagan | dt: 19-02 | | r _ + + | | ·/· 14 |
| | | Bajour | 2013. | | | ler annoi fiùine i c' | |
| | | | 4 | | 1 11-01+00 53 | in nni i cauvi i ve | N |
| | | | | set asi | de on the direct | tions of the Court | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | Hayat Khan | GGHS | 2672-76 | | as offered prop | er opportunity fo imination the evid | dences |
| Nusrat | i i ayac kiran | Bandgai | dt: 19-0 | | | <u> - 11 CHE 11 LUH (OU COU</u> | |
| | | Bajour | 2013. | | - n (1 2 17) | Her annun un un un | |
| | | | | i | | '' IN DOULLANY '' | |
| | | | | set a: | side on the dire | ctions of the Cour | t and sh |
| | | | ļ | | | | 8 3 |
| | | m GHSS | 3238-4 | 3 Hew | las offered prop | er opportunity fo | idences & |
| 6. Asad Rahim | Noor Rahin | Pidas | at: 05- | 1 | | amination the ev ail such opportur | Lucher M. |
| | | Orakzai | 2013. | , | | AAATMANE ULUEL | 990-0 |
| | | | | 1 | 1 Mary the | eart hotillauve | 1103 200 8. |
| | | | Ì | | acide on the dir | ections of the Col | urt and H |
| | ł | | | 1 | | | ម |
| | | | 7ar 3238- | | r(und pro | oper opportunity i | for person |
| 17. Bashir Ahm | had Khan | GHS In | dt: 03 | | | | |
| | Muhamn | had Patti Orakzi | | 1 | r 1+ r | | |
| | | | | | | Ed result was det t date of submiss | |
| | | | >5 | 1 | \dots \dots \dots \dots \dots \dots \square | ついい いねら ノローリムニムマ | 0.0 |
| | | | | | | ras not even ener | NE CO GENT |
| | | | | | Line -+ Hic 3 | mointment or us | i vvus |
| | | | | | Nourt | no caid notiliuduu | /// <u></u> |
| | | Service and the service of | | 56 | et aside on the c | lirections of the C | Junitanu |
| | | | | 4 | | | · |
| | hmad Roman | Shah GHS | | 1. | le was offered p | proper opportunit s examination the | e evidend |
| 18. Ishtiaq A | nmau Kuman | Kurr | ram dt: | | fur od te | | i conneg - Ag |
| | | ļ | 20 | | 1- 2\ Llic | -annointment OIL | |
| | | | | | discovned. Now | the said notificat | ion has b |
| | · · · · · | 1 | 1 | 1 1 | | | |

منالية الجر

and the second se

.

patrice,

TEL STRAL

493. .

| | | | | | set aside on the directions of the Court and he is |
|----|--------------------|----------------------|--------------------------------------|----------------------------------|---|
| | | | | l I | working. |
| | | | | | Working. |
| | • | | | | |
| in | Shahid Hussain | Nadar Khan | Kurram | 3236-41 dt: 05-03- 2013 | His appointment order was disowned; however, he did not file appeal against the disowned notification before the KP Service Tribunal. He was not summoned for personal hearing. |
| | Mahmood Alam | Nazir Gul | Kurram | 3236-41 dt: 05-03- 2013. | His appointment order was disowned; however he did not file appeal against the disowned notification before the KP Service Tribunal. He was not summoned for personal hearing. |
| | shah Nawaz Khan | Shah Nazar Khan | GHS Badshah Mir Kali Khyber | 3242-45 dt: 05-03- 2013. | He was offered proper opportunity for personal hearing and cross examination the evidences but he refused to avail such opportunity. (Annex D P 11 & 12) His appointment order was disowned. Now the said notification has been set aside on the directions of the Court and he is working. |
| | Muhammad Zeb | Haji Dilawar Khan | GHS Badshah Mir Khyber | 3242-45 dt: 05-03- 2013. | He was properly heard by the inquiry committee. According to his statement he has been appointed through legal process and has been working regularly, devotedly and honestly since his taken over charge against the SST post. However, he failed to provide recommendation letter of KP PSC. His appointment order has not been disowned and has been working since taken over charge till date. |
| | 3. Shabeena Naz | Noor Hassan | GGHS Gumbat Mardan | 6134-38 dt: 16-04- 2013. | She was offered proper opportunity for personal hearing and cross examination the evidences but she failed to avail such opportunity. Her B.A result was declared on August 27, 2009 and B.Ed result on July 18, 2011 while last date of submission of application to KP PSC was 26-02- 2009. (Annex G P 56 & 57) Hence, She was not even eligible to apply for the post. Her appointment order was disowned. Now her disowned notification has been set aside on the directions of the Court and she is working. |
| | 24. Ghazala | Ikram Ud Din | GGMS Zarif Dhei Mardan | 6134-38 ri dt: 16-04 2013. | She was offered proper opportunity for personal |

ì

10

P. J. Lawrence

ی در به در به

8

Ç

÷

| ì | | | | | |
|------|------------------|-------------|---------------------------------------|------------------------------------|--|
| 25.5 | Seema | Din S | Sahib Dad d Nahqi 2 Mohmand | dt: 30-04- 2013. | She was offered proper opportunity for personal hearing and cross examination the evidences but she refused to avail such opportunity. (Annex D P 11 & 12) Her appointment order was disowned. Now her disowned notification has been set aside on the directions of the Court and she is working. |
| 26. | Nizakat | | Shah Alam Salay Mohmand | 3627-33 dt: 03-09- 2013. | She was offered proper opportunity for personal hearing and cross examination the evidences but she refused to avail such opportunity. (Annex D P 11 & 12) Her B.Ed result was declared on January 14, 2010 while last date of submission of application to KP PSC was 26-02-2009. (Annex G P 58) Hence, she was not even eligible to apply for the post. Her appointment order was disowned. Now her disowned notification has been set aside on the directions of the Court and she is working. |
| 27. | Shazia Jan | Jan Afzal | GGHS Manga Mardan | 2479-84 dt: 19-03- 2013. | She availed opportunity for personal hearing in spite of the fact that she had signed refusal statement along with other appellants. She was properly heard by the inquiry committee. According to her statement she has appointed through legal process and no forgery has been committed by her. She failed to provide recommendation letter of KP PSC. Her appointment order was disowned. Now her disowned notification has been set aside on the directions of the Court and he is working. |
| 28. | Seema Mujahid | Mujahid Ali | GGHSS Takhtbai Mardan | 2479-84 dt: 19-03- 2013. | She was offered proper opportunity for personal hearing and cross examination the evidences but she refused to avail such opportunity. (Annex D P 11 & 12) Her seniority has been determined and finalized by the Director E&SE Department Peshawar being competent authority in spite of the fact that she is not included in the inter Se merit list of SST(F) provided by the KP PSC and has been promoted to SS post on the basis of illegally occupied post. of SST. Her appointment order was disowned by the department but she had been promoted to SS post before the issuance of such notification: She is regularly working against SS post. |
| 1/2 | Alia | Ithbar Gul | s GGHS Haryan Kot Malakan | 13727-3 dt. 25-1(2012 nd | 3 She was properly heard. During personal hearing |

Ŷ

111 ___________

(e. 5)

с. •.

11 ·... 2 5.

| 112.2213 |
|--------------|

| | | | | | However, she failed to provide recommendation letter issued by KP PSC. Her appointment order has not been disowned and she has been working since taken over charge till date. She has been transferred from FATA to District Malakand. She was transferred from District Bajour to |
|-----|-----------------------|------------------|-----------------------------|--------------------------------|--|
|) | Salma Jabeen | Abdul Ghaffar | Not traced | 13727-33 dt: 25-10- 2012 | District Mohmand but she did not take over charge there. She could not be traced and was therefore not summoned for interrogation. |
| J. | Anila | Nader Shah | GGHS Azim Kor Mohmand | 3491-96 dt: 04-03- 2013. | She was properly heard by the inquiry committee. According to her statement she has been appointed through legal process and no forgery has been committed by her. She failed to provide recommendation letter of KP PSC. Her appointment order has not been disowned and she has been working since taken over charge till date. |
| 2. | Sania Wali | Khan Wali | Not traced | 3251-56 dt: 04-09- 2013. | She was transferred from District Bajour as per statement of DEO Bajour. However, she could not be traced and was therefore not summoned and interrogated. |
| 33. | Kalsoom Shah | Qeemat Shah | GGHS Merubak Mohmand | 4271-76 dt: 05-03- 2013. | Her appointment order was disowned; however, she did not file appeal against the disowned notification before the KP Service Tribunal. She was not summoned for personal hearing. |
| 34 | Saima Abdul Wadood | Abdul Wadood | GGHS Merubak Mohmand | 4271-75 dt: 05-03- 2013. | Her appointment order was disowned; however she did not file appeal against the disowned notification before the KP Service Tribunal. Therefore, she was not summoned for personal hearing. |

<u>CATEGORY B.</u>

3

25 accused appointees whose appointment orders bearing No. and Date of Directorate of E&SE D KP Peshawar are fake. However their adjustment orders issued by Director Ex- FATA were found verified from the issue record. (Annex J P 114 to 135)

| # Name | Father's Name | Place of posting | Order No. | Remarks/ Comments of the Inquiry Committee |
|-----------------|-------------------|--|-------------------------------|--|
| I. Iftikhar Ali | Mir Salam Khan | GMS Jan Noor Baka Khel Wazir SD Bannu | 955-59 dt: 05-03- 2012. | He was properly heard. According to his statement, he had applied to PSC. He further stated that he has been serving in the department since his taken over charge till date and nobody has asked about his illegal status. However, he failed to provide recommendation letter of PSC. His appointment order has not been disowned and he has been working. |
| | | | 10 | |

| Abdul | Baseer | Gulshan Khan | Ex- AAEO FR D.I.Khan DEO Office SD Darazinda | 955-5 dt: 05 2012 | -03- pe ev o | e was offered proper opportunity for ersonal hearing and cross examination the ridences but he refused to avail such oportunity. (Annex D P 11 & 12) His opointment order was disowned. Now the aid notification has been set aside on the |
|----------------|-----------------|--------------------|--|-------------------------|---|--|
| (Juhi Farec | emmad og | Muhammad Yousaf | GMS Alinga Mohmand | r. 955- dt: C 201 | 59 } 15-03- 1 2. | irections of the Court and he is working. It was offered proper opportunity for personal hearing and cross examination the evidences but he refused to avail such opportunity. (Annex D P 11 & 12) His appointment order was disowned. Now the said notification has been set aside on the directions of the Court and he is working. |
| Abd | u: Malik | Said Muhammad | GMS Taj Muhamm Mohmant | ad dt: | 5-59 05-03- | He was offered proper opportunity for personal hearing and cross examination the evidences but he refused to avail such opportunity. (Annex D P 11 & 12) His appointment order was disowned. Now the said notification has been set aside on the directions of the Court and he is working. |
| , Ya | r Khan | Ali Rehmar | n GMS Bahadar Mohmar | Killi d | 55-59 1: 05-03- 012. | He was offered proper opportunity for personal hearing and cross examination the evidences but he refused to avail such opportunity. (Annex D P 11 & 12) His appointment order was disowned. Now the said notification has been set aside on the directions of the Court and he is working. |
| | afar Iqbal | Gul Rehn | jan GMS As Abad Mohma | | 955-59 dt: 05-03- 2012. | He was offered proper opportunity for personal hearing and cross examination the evidences but he refused to avail such opportunity. (Annex D P 11 & 12) His appointment order was disowned. Now the said notification has been set aside on the directions of the Court and he is working. He was offered proper opportunity for |
| | Muhamm Naeem | ad Muham Salim | mad GMAS Landi Khybe | | 4057. <u>-</u> 70 dt: 30-05 2012. | personal hearing and cross examination the evidences but he refused to avail such opportunity. (Annex D P 11 & 12) His appointment order was disowned. Now the said notification has been set aside on the directions of the Court and he is working. |
| 8 | Atta Ulla | h Abdul Jabbar | GHS Khar Khyl | ghali ber | 4057-70 dt: 30-0 2012. | in the and cross PXd10000000 |

.

1757-W

(2)

91S

`...

12

11

. ..

| - | | | | | said notification has been set aside on the directions of the Court and he is working. |
|------------|------------------|--------------------|--------------------------------|--------------------------------|---|
| | Anmad Shah | Suleman Shah | GHS Subhan Khur Mohmand | 4057-70 dt: 30-05- 2012. | He was properly heard. According to his statement he had applied to PSC and has bee serving in the department for the last 9 years and his appointment is legal. However he failed to provide recommendation letter of |
| - - | Shekir Ullah | Zargar | GMS Halki | 4057-70 | PSC. His appointment order has not been disowned. He has been working since taken over charge till date. |
| | | | Gandao Mohmand | dt: 30-05- 2012. | He was offered proper opportunity for personal hearing and cross examination the evidences but he refused to avail such opportunity. (Annex D P 11 & 12) His appointment order was disowned. Now the said notification has been set aside on the directions of the Court and he is working. |
| | Zla Ur Rehman | Atta Ur Rehman | GHS Ekka Ghund Mohmand | 5644-50 dt: 20-04- 2012. | He was properly heard. According to his statement he had applied to PSC and attende the interview and had been recommended fo the post of SST. His appointment order has n been disowned and he has been working sin |
| | Sarwat Jahan | Gul Rehman | GGHSS Landi Kotal Khyber | 2408-13 dt: 16-02- 2012. | taken over charge till date. Sne was offered proper opportunity for personal hearing and cross examination the evidences but she refused to avail such |
| | | | | | opportunity. (Annex D P 11 & 12) Her seniority has been determined and finalized the Directorate E&SE Department Peshawar spite of the fact that she is not included in th inter Se merit list of SST(F) provided by the K PSC and she has been promoted to SS post o |
| | | | | " | the basis of illegally occupied post of SST. He appointment order against SST was disowne by the department but she had been promoted to SS post before the issuance of such notification and she has been regularly working against SS post. |
| 13. | Robia Shams | Shams Ur Rehman | GGHSS Ghallanai | | She was summoned to appear before the inquiry committee for personal hearing and |
| | | | Mohmand | | cross examination the evidences but she fail to avail such opportunity. Her appointment |

Q

. <

| Tahira Naz | Fazal Dayan | GGHS Prang | | She was offered proper opportunity for |
|----------------|------------------|------------|------------|--|
| 1900 Store | | Ghar . | | personal hearing and cross examination the |
| 1 | | Mohmand | | evidences but she refused to avail such |
| | | | | opportunity. (Annex D P 11 & 12)Her |
| | | | | appointment order was disowned. Now the |
| | | | | said notification has been set aside on the |
| | | | | directions of the Court and she is working. |
| | Muhammad | GGMS Sabaz | 11174-86 | She was offered proper opportunity for |
| Sm32 | Akbar | Ali Baro | dt: 15-08- | personal hearing and cross examination the |
| | ANDOI | Khel | 2012. | evidences but she refused to avail such |
| | | Mohmand | 2012 | opportunity. (Annex D P 11 & 12) Her B.Ed |
| | | MOUMANO | | result was declared on January 14, 2010 while |
| | | | | last date of submission of application to KP |
| | | | | PSC was 26-02-2009. She is domiciled of |
| • | | | | district Charsada (Annex G P 598.60) Her |
| i k | | | | appointment order was disowned. Now the |
| | | | | said notification has been set aside on the |
| 1 | | | | directions of the Court and she is working. |
| | | | | allections of the Court and she is working. |
| 5. Zubaida | Gul Akbar | GGMS Kuta | 11174-86 | She was properly heard. According to her |
| Begum | | Trap | dt: 15-08- | statement she had applied to PSC for |
| | | Mohmand | 2012. | recruitment against SST post and had been |
| | | | | recommended. However she failed to provid |
| | | | | recommendation letter issued by PSC. Her |
| | | | l . | statement against alleged illegality and forge |
| - | | | | on his part was found unsatisfactory. Her |
| | | | | appointment order has not been disowned. |
| | | | | She has been working since taken over charge |
| | _ | | | till date. |
| 12 Alia Taj | Taj Ud Din | GGMS Sro | 11174-86 | She was properly heard. According to her |
| | ruj ou s | Killi | dt: 15-08- | statement she had applied to PSC and was |
| | | Mohmand | 2012. | recommended for posting. She refused any |
| | | | | of illegal appointment. However she failed t |
| | | | | provide recommendation letter of PSC. Her |
| | | | | B.Ed result was declared on July 18, 2009 |
| | | | | while last date of submission of application |
| | • | | | KP PSC was 26-02-2009. (Annex G P 61) |
| | | | 24 | Hence, She was not even eligible to apply for |
| | | ł | | the post. Her appointment order has not be |
| | | | | disowned. She has been working since take |
| | | | | over charge till date. |
| | | | 14174.00 | |
| 18. Ghazala Si | ana Sana Ullah ' | 1 | 11174-86 | the state of the s |
| 1 6 | | Kashmir | dt: 15-08- | evidences but she refused to avail such |
| ł | | Kore | 2012. | opportunity. (Annex D P 11-& 12) Her |
| | | Mohmand | | opportunity, (American was discoursed. Now th |
| 4 | | | | appointment order was disowned. Now th |
| | | | | said notification has been set aside on the |
| | 1 | | | directions of the Court and she is working. |

127

na di Statu Statu 8.29

13

| · : 7 | • | | | | |
|------------------|-------------------|-----------------|-----------------------------|---------------------------------|---|
| 1 | · , · · | 1 | | | 203 |
| , i ^t | | | •··· • | | at C |
| | Hira Shams | Shams Ur | GGHS Mian | 11174-86 | She was summoned to appear before the |
| 19. | 111.0 | | Mandi Mohmand | dt: 15-08 2012. | inquiry committee for personal hearing and cross examination the evidences but she failed |
| | | | | | to avail such opportunity. Her BA result was declared on March 31, 2009 and herB.ED result was declared on September 06, 2010 while last date of submission of application to KP PSC was 26-02-2009. (Annex G P 62&63) |
| | | | | | Her appointment order has not been disowned and she has been working since taken over charge till date. |
| <u>10.</u> | Fazli Raziq , | Fazli Rabi | GHS Sra Mila Orakzai | 12614-19 dt: 04-10- 2012. | He was offered proper opportunity for personal hearing and cross examination the evidences but he refused to avail such |
| | | | | . 2012. | opportunity. (Annex D P 12 & 12) His appointment order was disowned. Now the said notification has been set aside on the directions of the Court and he is working. |
| 21. | Muhammad Qasim | Mukamil Shah | GHS Mandati Orakzai | 12614-19 dt: 04-10- 2012. | He was offered proper opportunity for personal hearing and cross examination the evidences but he refused to avail such |
| | | | | | opportunity. (Annex D P 11 & 12) His appointment order was disowned. Now the said notification has been set aside on the directions of the Court and he is working. |
| 22. | Naheed Akhtar | Musafar Khan | GGHSS - Landi Kotal | 9074-82 dt28-06- | She was properly heard. According to her statement she had applied to PSC. She further |
| | | | Khyber | 2012. | stated that she has been serving in the department till date and nobody has asked about her illegal status. However she failed to provide recommendation letter of PSC. Her appointment has not been disconned and she is working since taken over charge till date. |
| 23. | Basmina | Mir Alam | GGHS Jalala | 9074-82 | Her appointment order was disowned, |
| | Begum - | Khan | Mardan | dt 28-06- 2012. ™ | however she did not file appeal against the disowned notification before the KP Service Tribunal. She was not summoned for personal |
| | . · | | | | hearing. |
| 24, | Farzana | Riwaj Ud Din | GGMS Gujar Gari Mardan | 2816-23 dt: 25-06- 2012. | She was summoned for personal hearing and cross examination the evidences but she failed to avail such opportunity. Her appointment |
| | | | · . | | order has not been disowned. According to the statement of her Head Mistress she is missing since 06-06-2019. |
| 25. | Ishrat | Bahadur Sher | GGHS Kachkool Khwazai | 2816-23 dt: 25-06- | She was offered proper opportunity for personal hearing and cross examination the evidences but she refused to avail such |

| е |
|----------|
| |
| |
| <u>,</u> |

TTGORY C.

02 number of accused appointees whose appointment orders were not provided to the inquiry provides. Their status was checked from the available record. Their appointment were neither verified by prectorate of E&SE Peshawar nor they have been recommended by the KP PSC for the posting against SST provide ver they have been taken over charge against SST post and had also been working for some time.

| 4.1 . | Name | Father's Name | Place of posting | Order No. | Remarks/ Comments of inquiry committee. |
|-------|--------------|------------------|-----------------------------|---|---|
| | Ahmad Shah | Feroz Shah | GHS Spin Qabar Khyber | Appointment order not provided by the office | He had taken over charge against SST post at GHS Spin Qabar Khyber but has been struck off from the system before issuance of disowned notification as per record. He could not be traced. He was not summoned. for personal hearing. |
| 2. | Fazli Haleem | Kalim Hussain | GHS Mawaz Killi Khyber | Appointment order not provided by the office | He had been taken over charge against SST post in District Khyber and has been working there. His appointment order was disowned by the Director E&SE Department Peshawar, however he did not file appeal against disowned notification before the KP Service Tribunal. He was not summoned for personal hearing. |

It is evident from the above-mentioned detail of alleged illegal appointees that:

a. 34 numbers of the said appointees have been inducted in the system by producing fake and forged appointment as well adjustment orders managed by themselves through their own sources. Therefore no one other then the beneficiaries can be held responsible for such illegality and forgery with huge loss to the public exchequer. b. 25 alleged illegal appointees who claimed to be appointed on the recommendations of public service commission have been inducted in the system by producing adjustment order issued by the Director Ex-FATA on the basis of fake appointment orders not verified from the record of Directorate of Elementary and Secondary Education Department KP being appointing authority.

Mr. Fazal Manan has been posted as Director Ex-FATA since 20-1-2006 to 31-10-2012. He was summoned by the Inquiry committee and properly interrogated. According to him it is retreated that the adjustment orders of SSTs made by DE FATA were based on the appointment notifications already issued by the competent authority, as specified at serial No.4 (2)(c) of the APT rules, 1989 and the adjustment orders would have not been issued by DE FATA if the appointments had not been ordered by the director E&SE KP. He further stated that all the perquisites of appointments were to be full filled by the respective appointing authority before issuance of appointment notifications. According to him

there was neither any established mechanism/procedure not any precedent available in the history of directorate of Education FATA that appointment notification issued by the E&SE KP were to be verified before making adjustment against vacant post in FATA and recruitment policy of SETs also did not indicate the requirement of verification of such notification issued by the respective appointing authority before making adjustment of already appointed teachers. He also stated that the adjustment af hundreds of SETs had been made in FATA schools and even a single notification of appointment has of been verified before adjustment. He further clarified that a copy of each and every adjustment of source to his notification and also to KP Public service commission. But neither the Public Service Commission had raised any objection or disowned its recommendation nor the DE E&SE KP had raised any objection on the basis of its appointment notification at any stage.

According to him he had not given any specific orders or decisions to issue adjustment order without processing the case on file and it was a routine matter and the case had to be examined and put up on file as PUC with a note sheet and process through the proper channel of officers on the concerned sections for approval of the Director. He also provided detail of some appointees adjusted in FATA whose services were verified by the Director E&SE which certify availability of their service record at the ievel of Directorate E&SE KP. He further added that the illegal and unlawful adjustment orders had been stood automatically void and ineffective when the appointment orders were declared as fake and disowned by the appointing authority as the content of their adjustment orders were very much clear and consequential to the appointment notification. He further added that the Director FATA did not have any authority of appointment of SSTs/SETs (BS-16) and being the provincial cadre employees they are to be appointed by DE E&SE KP. According to him the DE FATA had just to adjust the teacher already appointed and their services placed at his disposal by the Director DE S&SEKP. He stated that he did not accept any kind of responsibility in this regard and he had made adjustment as per procedure already in vogue followed by his predecessors and successors and had not made any violation of prescribed policy and procedures.

He also stated that adjustment of the candidates would not have been made without the appointment orders of the respective teachers issued by the appointing authority and the DE FATA may not be held responsible for the illegal and invalid appointment orders of SSTs as he did not enjoy any legal authority for appointment. (Annex K P 136 to 142)

Mr. Syed Manzar Jan remained as Deputy Director Ex-FATA since November 16,2010 to April 05, 2011.According to his statement his job was to confirm the vacancies, tally names given in the appointment orders with names proposed for adjustment on file proceeded on the directions of the Director. He further stated that no process for verification of letters existed at the office as a lot of letter and orders etc were received on daily basis, action were taken and copies for information were sent to the concerned quarters. In the said case according to him, copies for information were regularly sent to the appointing authorities i.e. Director E&SE Department Peshawar as well as other quarter but no illegality or irregularity was pointed out so far by any of the office. He also stated that Director E&SE Department Peshawar is the appointing authority for SSTs and the candidates appointed were kept at the disposal of the Director Ex-FATA for further adjustment only, so the Director E&SE Department Peshawar is responsible for any irregularity being appointing authority. He denied any type of illegality or irregularity committed by him during all his service tenure (Annex L P 143 & 144)

Mst Badr-E- Haram was posted as Deputy Directross FATA since 16-7-2011 to 30-03-2014.According to her statement her job during posting at Directorate of Education Ex FATA was to ensure that the corresponding vacancies exist in the agency, to tally the names of SST given in the order B

÷

by the Director E&SE Department Peshawar with the name in the adjustment order and ensure that the graft prepared for adjustment is duly endorsed to all the stack holder including the appointing authority.

According to her there was no such practice mechanism?/ policy for formal verification of letter/ orders / notifications of the parent directorate and the undersigned was also not assigned any such task. she further stated that as the appointment orders were received from the Directorate of Elementary and secondary Education and the Adjustment orders were properly intimated to them who acknowledged the same, so the responsibility may be traced at the level of Director E&SE Department Peshawar. According to her she has fulfilled her duty honestly throughout her professional career and no illegality regarding the adjustment orders had come into her knowledge. (Annex M P 145 & 146)

Mr. Kashif Khan posted as Assistant Director Colleges and schools in Ex-FATA since 24-11-2011 to 18-05-2015 and AD training DE Ex-FATA since 15-04-2016 to 26-04-2018 was heard in length. According to his statement his job as Assistant Director was to ensure that corresponding vacancies existed in the Districts and also to ensure that the draft proposed for adjustment is duly endorsed to all stakeholders including the appointing authority. He further stated that for the first time a complaint regarding bogus / fake appointment of 04 numbers SSTs in Orakzai Agency was received from KP PSC'and in pursuance of the above the Director E&SE Department Peshawar was approached for verification of the said order. According to him the Director E&SE Department Peshawar responded that no such appointment order have been issued by the appointing authority. He added that an enquiry committee including him as member was constituted to unearth the factual position. The committee submitted its report and declared all the 04 SSTs as fake and recommended action against them. According to him some illegal transfer orders of SST issued by Director E&SE Department Peshawar are also on the record and he has also persuaded such cases for verification and action.

He further stated that he along with another Assistant Director was assigned the task by Director E&SE Ex-FATA to carry out a comparative study of the KP PSC selectees and the incumbent SST "list provided by the AEOs" in FATA. According to him thorough scrutiny was made and 158 number of suspected SST were detected and recommended for in depth inquiry. He further stated that he feels proud to say that this grey list of 158 number of suspected SST provided a base for all the succeeding inquiries carried out by the NAB as well as the department.

He also stated that there was no precedent of verification of appointment orders issued by the Director E&SE Department Peshawar in the history of DE FATA since its establishment in 1972/75. In the instant case copies of each appointment order has been endorsed to the Director E&SE Department Peshawar for verification. He also provided documentary evidence in support of his statement. (Annex N P 147 to 171) Statements of all the four officers mentioned above were found comprehensive, reasonable, genuine and convincing.

Mr. Fareed Ullah Khan Ex Superintendent Establishment, Naik Muhammad DA, Aftab Ahmed DA, Muhammad Anwar C/O and Fayaz Ahmed Dispatch In-charge were also interrogated. They were of the view that they have obeyed their superiors and had followed their directions as subordinate staff. They further stated that no irregularities have been observed by them and adjustment orders have been issued on the provision of appointment orders issued and received from Director E&SE Department Peshawar. Mr. Fayaz Khan the dispatcher in his statement said that copy of each and every order issued by DE FATA had been delivered for information and verification to the Director E&SE Department Peshawar. He provided some photo copies of peon book which reveals the delivery of adjustment orders in question to the Directorate of E&SE Department Peshawar. (Annex P P 172 to 182)

One alleged illegal appointee Mr. Ahmed Shah S/O Firozshah on S.NoO1 in category C has already been struck off from the system before the issuance of disowned notification and could not be traced. While another appointee Mr.Fazli HaleemS/O Kalim Hussain was declared fake by the previous inquiry committee and his appointment order was disowned by the Director E&SE Department Peshawar. He did not file appeal against the disowned notification before theService Tribunal and therefore was not summoned for interrogation.

we c

In view of the above narrated facts, perusal of the available office record and the documentary milence, the committee has come to the conclusion that:

1. All 61 accused appointees mentioned above were found inducted in the system illegally and unlawfully without going through proper recruitment process, recommendations of the KP PSC and appointment by Director E&SE Department Peshawar. Their appointment notifications are baseless, fake and forged. They have managed their appointment orders on their own level through scanning or other techniques. Their adjustment orders based upon their appointment notificationsare also void and ineffective. Their appointment orders being fake and forged are liable to be disowned.

2: 07 Nos of appointment orders bearing fake numbers and dates of the office of the Director E&SE Department Peshawar in respect of 25 SSTs generated by the accused appointees through their own sources have been submitted to the then Director FATA for further adjustment against vacant posts. On provision of all such orders proper files have been processed as per routine practice through all the concerned officer/ official and adjustment orders have been issued on approval accorded by the Ex-Director FATA Mr. FazleManan.

It is pertinent to mention that the Director Ex-FATA was neither appointing authority of SSTs nor appointment recordexcept their appointment notifications were provided to the Directorate of Ex-FATA. No formal practice of verification of the appointment letters received to DE FATA was available as per policy in vogue. Moreover, copies of all such adjustment appointment orders were endorsed and delivered to the Director E&SE KP with reference to his appointment orders for information but no any objection were raised by the quarter concerned regarding invalid status of such appointees. All such adjustment orders have been issued as per established routine procedure on the provision of appointment orders. Hence, the DE FATA and his team may not be held responsible for illegal induction of appointees in the system through the said adjustment orders. The beneficiaries/illegal appointees alongwith those who provided themtechnical and other support are sole responsible for this act of forgery and illegalitywith huge loss to the public exchequer. They know better how did they come into system and who did facilitate them to get their fake appointment orders.

Moreover the Director Ex-FATA has made a lot of correspondence with the Director E&SE Department Peshawar since 2013 to 2017 for verification of appointment orders of suspicious SSTs inducted in the system. Various inquiries have also been conducted by the DE FATA to scrutinize and verify, appointment status of the suspicious SSTs. A committee comprising two Assistant Directors at DE FATA Mr. Muhammad Kashif Khan and Muhammad Ullah ordered by the DE Ex-FATA was assigned the task to carry out a comparative study of the KP PSC selectees and the incumbent SSTs working in Ex-FATA. The committee after thorough scrutiny detected 158 number of suspicious SSTs and recommended for a broad based inquiry for further verification. Such efforts of the committee provided a base for all the succeeding inquiries including the instant inquiry. Hence all the efforts made by the Ex-Directorate to unearth the defaulters may not be ignored.

RECOMMENDATION.

The committee hereby recommends that:

1. The Previous "Disowned" notifications set asaid on the direction of Honorable KP Service pictural in respect of 38 illegally inducted appointees on serial No.01 to 18, 21, 23 and 24 to 27 in takegory A and on serial No.02 to 08, 10, 14, 15,18,20,21 and 25in Category B of the instant report may takes bered with the same direction to the DEOs concerned already communicated through the said hot fications.

12 numbers of illegal appointees on serial No.22, 29 and 31 in category A and on S.Vo.01.9,11,13,16,17,19,22 and 24 in category B have also been proved to join their services on producing fake appointment orders. But their appointment orders were not disowned. They possess the same illegal status as the previously disowned appointees have. Hence, they may be treated accordingly.

3. 02 numbers of illegal appointees on serial No.28 in category A and on serial No.12 in category B were recommended for promotion to SS posts before issuance of their disowned notification and they were promoted on the basis of illegally occupied SST posts. Their case may be sent to the competent authority to be proceeded against for their illegal and unlawful induction in the system.

4. 02 numbers of illegal appointees on serial No 30 and 32 in category A mentioned above could not be traced. Reportedly they are working in District Charsada/ Mardan. Hence, they both may be traced and treated accordingly.

5. OB numbers of illegally inducted employees on serial No.19,20,33 and 34 in category A, on serial No.23 in category B and on serial No.2 in category C whose appointment orders were disowned but they did not file appeal against the said notification before the service tribunal and they are still out of system. Hence, no further proceeding is required against them as their previous status is intact.
6. Otillegal appointee on serial No.1 in category C has already been struck off from the system. Hence, He may not be proceeded against for further action.

Muhammad Šalim, Principal Chairman Inquirý Committee

Dated: 2/ 4/ 2021.

ver Gul, Principal Muf Mendber Inquiry Committee

NEW THE PARTY OF T

NOTIFICATION

ANNEX -DU

WHEREAS, the judgment of the Honorable Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Service Tribunal Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Peshawar, dated 20-01-2021 rendered in Service Appeal #964/2019 in respect of Mr. Shakir Ullah S/O Zargar Ex SST (General) GHS Rahat Kor (Alimzai) District Mohmand is with the direction to the competent authority to set aside the impugned order/notification issued vide this Directorate under endorsement No. 5663-68 dated 04-04-2019 and to conduct proper Inquiry.

AND WHEREAS, the Director Elementary & Secondary Education Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Peshawar set aside the impugned order/notification issued by this Directorate under endorsement No. 5663-69 dated 04-04-2019, vide Notification No. 3555-57 dated 15-03-2021 and constituted an inquiry committee vide notification No. 1911-16 dated 08-02-2021.

AND WHEREAS, the inquiry committee submitted its report vide No. 778 dated 24-04-2021.

NOW THEREFORE, in the light of recommendations of the inquiry committee, the set aside notification in respect of Mr. Shakir Ullah S/O Zargar Ex SST (General) GHS Rahat Kor (Alimzai) District Mohmand issued vide Notification No. 5663-68 dated 04-04-2019 is hereby restored, while the notification issued vide Notification No. 3555-57 dated 15-03-2021 is hereby withdrawn with effect from the date of its issuance.

Director

Elementary and Secondary Education Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar

/A-12/Re-instatement/SST (M) Endst: No. Dated Peshawar the

Copy forwarded to the:-

- 1. Registrar Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Service Tribunal Peshawar.
- 2. District Education Officer Mohmand.
- 3. District Accounts Officer Mohmand
- 4. Principal/Headmaster concerned.
- 5. PA to Director Elementary and Secondary Education Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Peshawar.
- 6. PA to Additional Director (Estab) Merged Districts Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar.

Deputy Director (Estab) Merged Distric

/2021

NOTIFICATION WHERE AS: one Mr. Shakirullah S/O Zargar who himself appointed/adjusted as SST (G) in GHS Rahat Kor Alim Zai District Mohmand vide Notification No. 3506-13/File No. 2/A-14/SST(M)/PSC/Apptt: dated 25/5/2012 and No. 7057-70/A-1/Apptt: of SST (General) (PSC)2012 dated 30/5/2012 Jupph the production of fake/bogus in-Altheoruse-E appointment/adjustment of the non-model by the Dirac on the Media the set \$140 s ord Secondary Classication Knyper FATA Not by the Director stato? I Fleenbark Pakhtunkhwa 2 AND WHERE AS, the competent authority has directed the above said accused SST to produce authentic and venified service receid from the concerned authorities, but he failed to comply with the legitimate directions of high ups regarding production of requisite authentic documents/record. 2. AND WHERE AS, further an inquiry committee was constituted by the competent authority vide Notification No. 8154-63 dated 04/8/2016 who have gone through the entire case record and it has been proved that the said appointment/adjustment order for the aforesaid post advertised by Public Service Commission Khyber Pakhtunkhwa was turned out fake/bógus. 4. AND WHERE AS, it has come to the notice of the competent authority that Mr. Shakirullah S/O Zargar, having no legal status of the said appointment/adjustment order, 5. NOW THEREFORE, under the mandatory provision and rewar conterred under Section-20 & 21 for General in Juses Act-1897 as the fild up 1155 LOU ID pursuance of the soruting of sciention ap pintinent renurbling in the above is in a uphoint mentad ustment mentioned SST when which will ake/bag is + 10/5 2012 and Notification No. 3506 13/mie No. 2/A 14/SSV(11-25C)p / 11-2 No. 7057-70/A-1/Appti: of SST (General) (PSC)2012 dated ULS'2_12 is hereby "DISOWNED" ab initio and with the direction to District Education Officer. (concerned) to recover salaries and other allied benefits drawn by Mr. Shakirullah-S/O Zargar in the interest of Public Service. Director Elementary & Secondary Education (K3-68 Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Peshawar dated \mathcal{U} / 2019 Endst: No Copy forwarded to the:-1. Deputy Commissioner, District Mohmand with the request to take legal action. 2. District Education Officer District Mohmand with the direction to take necessary steps for the recovery of outstanding amount against fake, using is 7.31 concerned. Distric Account Officer Differ I I Inmond to competence 13 -Head Milster GHT Form 1000 Million man In PS to Secretary Line 1 y 22 1.00 6. PA to Director Etunning and Satondary and Constraint's york from tankhwa Deputy Director Marged Districts

BEFORE THE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICE TRIBUNAL, PESHAWAR

Service Appeal No. 7683/2021

Mst. Shazia Jan.....Appellant

VERSUS

Government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa & others......Respondents

INDEX

| S.NO. | PARTICULARS | ANNEXURE | PAGE NO |
|-------|--|----------|------------|
| 1. 、 | Parawise Comments of the Commission with Affidavit | | 1-3 |

Senior Law Officer

Senior Law Officer Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Public Service Commission Peshawar

BEFORE THE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICE TRIBUNAL, PESHAWAR

| Service Appeal No. 7683/2021 | • | |
|------------------------------|----------|-----|
| Mst. Shazia Jan | .Appella | int |

VERSUS

Government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa & others......Respondents

Khyber Palahtukhwa Service Tribunal

PARAWISE COMMENTS ON BEHALF OF (RESPONDENT NO. 03) Diary No. 111 Respectfully Shewth:

PRELIMINARY OBJECTIONS:

- 1. The appellant has not been recommended against any of the advertised posts. She is not a genuine recommendee / selectee of the Public Service Commission in respect of the post in question.
- That the instant Service Appeal is not maintainable against the replying Respondent No.3.
- 3. That the appellant has malafidely dragged Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Public Service Commission in the instant case.
- **4.** That the appellant is misleading this Honorable Service Tribunal as she never applied to the answering Respondent.
- That instant Service Appeal is liable to be dismissed with special costs as the same is based on ulterior motives of the appellant.
- 6. Instant service appeal is based on misrepresentation.

ON FACTS:

1-2. That the Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Public Service Commission advertised 973 (782 for Arts Group & 191 Science) posts of SETs/SSTs vide Advertisement No. 01/2009 S.No. 55 dated 26.01.2009 with the following qualifications: (Break up of 973 (782 Arts 191 Science) was published in Advt: No. 02/2009 through corrigendum).

QUALIFICATION: For Secondary School Teacher (General) (i) B.A Second

Division from a recognized University and (ii) B.Ed or Equivalent Qualification from a recognized University.

For Secondary School Teacher (Science) (i) BSc Second Division with at least Two of the Subjects of Physic, Chemistry, Zoology, Botany, and Mathematics –A or Mathematics-B and (ii) B.Ed or Equivalent Qualification from a recognized University.

AGE LIMIT: 21 to 35 years. PAY SCALE: BPS-16 ELIGIBILITY: Female. ALLOCATION:

| Merit | Zone-1 | Zone-2 | Zone-3 | Zone-4 | Zone-5 |
|-------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| 243 | 162 | 162 | 162 | 122 : | 122 |

(Annex-A)

A total of 918 candidates qualified. Final merit list is **Annex-B.** According to Zonal allocation 696 candidates were recommended out of 918 candidates on basis of their total marks and interview marks. The remaining posts were conveyed to the parent department, because it went out of the purview of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Public Service Commission. The appellant neither applied nor recommended against any of the advertised posts. List of the genuine candidates who appeared before the Commission and qualified the viva voce is already annexed as B. Therefore her name not found in the list ibid. The rest of the contents does not pertain to Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Public Service Commission

3-7. Not pertaining to Public Service Commission.

GROUNDS.

- A-I. Not pertaining to Public Service Commission.
- J. The appellant may not be allowed to raise any other grounds during the course of arguments against the replying respondent Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Public Service Commission.

It is, therefore, most humbly prayed that in light of above submissions the instant Service Appeal may kindly be dismissed with cost.

CHAIRMAN KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION PESHAWAR (RESPONDENT NO.03)

2

Stated on oath that the contents of this Para wise comments are true and correct & nothing has been concealed from this Honorable tribunal.

DEPONENTS

કે લ

₹.,÷.

BGUL

LAW OFFICER FOR (RESPONDENT NO.03)



AFFIDAVIT