25.09.2023

Learned counsel for the appellant present.

Mr. Lutf Ullah, Assistant alongwith Mr. Asif Masood Ali

Shah, Deputy District Attorney for the respondents

present.

Para-wise comments on behalf of respondents received through office. Copy of the same handed over to learned counsel for the appellant, who requested for adjournment on the ground that he has not gone through the para-wise comments submitted by the respondents. Adjourned. To come up for preliminary hearing on 23.10.2023 before the S.B at Camp Court Abbottabad. Parcha Peshi given to the parties.

(Salah Ud-Din)
Member (J)
Camp Court Abbottabad

Naeem Amin

19th June, 2023

1. Learned counsel for the appellant present and has been heard.

- 2. Though the appeal has been resubmitted after fifty two (52) days as against fifteen (15) days given to the appellant yet in the interest of justice the office objection is removed subject to the objection regarding the limitation if any taken by the other side and the office is directed to assign appeal number to this appeal.
- 3. Let pre-admission notice be issued to the other side.

 To come up for written reply/comments as well as preliminary hearing on 25.09.2023 before the S.B at camp court Abbottabad. P.P given to the parties.

(Kalim Arshad Khan) Chairman Camp Court Abbottabad

Adnan Shah, P.A

C

He made a request for adjournment in order to prepare the brief. Adjourned. To come up for arguments on office objection on 25.04.2023 before S.B at Camp Court, Abbottabad. Parcha Peshi given to the appellant.

> (Rozina Rehman) Member (J) Camp Court, Abbottabad

25.4-23

OP FOY the Same as before on 19.5-23

Red

Respected Sir,

It is submitted that the present appeal was received on 12.12.2022 after thoroughly scrutinizing the same many deficiencies were found in it which was returned to the counsel for the appellant for completion and resubmission within 15 days which was to be resubmitted on 28-12-2022 but counsel for the appellant re-filed the same through registered post which was received on 20.02.2023 late by 52 days without removing the objection no.8 & 11.

The appeal is submitted to your Honour under rule-7(c) of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Service Tribunal rules 1974 for appropriate order please.

REGISTRAR

Worthy Chairman

The appeal submitted by Mr. Muhammad Arshad Khan Tanoli Advocate today i.e. on 12.12.2022 is incomplete on the following score which is returned to him for completion and resubmission within 15 days.

- 1. Check list is not attached with the appeal
- 2. Appeal has not been flagged/marked with a mexules ma
- 3! Annexures of the appeal may be attested.

 4. Affidavit may be got attested by the Oath Commissioner.
- 59 In the memo of appeal many places have been left blank which may be filled up.
- 6. Copy of first appointment and termination order mentioned in para-1 of the memo of appeal (Annexure-A) are not attached with the appeal which may be placed on it.
- 7. Copy of Order dated 05.04.1997 attached with the appeal is illegible which may be replaced by legible/better one.
- (8) Address of appellant is hand written which is not acceptable the same may be written according to Khyber Pakhtunkhwa service tribunal rules 1974.
 9. Five more copies/sets of the appeal along with an next i.e. complete in all respect
- may also be submitted with the appeal. 👙
- 10. Wakalat nama in favour of appellant be placed on file. . .:
- (1) The documents that are to be provided must be legible.

KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA PESHAWAR.

M. Arshad Khan Tanoli Adv. High Court A.Abad.

93 2012V

Disti: Bar Aubottabad

KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICES TRIBUNAL, PESHAWA CHECK LIST

| Respondents CONTENTS NO This petition has been presented by: Has has keep Advocate Superior Court Reduction. Whether Counsel/Appellant/Respondent/Deponent have signed the requisite documents? Whether appeal is within time? Whether the enactment under which the appeal is filed mentioned? Whether the enactment under which the appeal is filed is correct? Whether affidavit is appended? Whether affidavit is duly attested by competent Oath Commissioner? | |
|--|------------|
| This petition has been presented by: **HSS hat km Advocate Supsem Court & Rebs. \\ 1. This petition has been presented by: **HSS hat km Advocate Supsem Court & Rebs. \\ 2. Whether Counsel/Appellant/Respondent/Deponent have signed the requisite documents? \\ 3. Whether appeal is within time? \\ 4. Whether the enactment under which the appeal is filed mentioned? \\ 5. Whether the enactment under which the appeal is filed is correct? \\ \tag{V} | |
| This petition has been presented by: Has had kin Advocate Supsem Court AReha. Whether Counsel/Appellant/Respondent/Deponent have signed the requisite documents? Whether appeal is within time? Whether the enactment under which the appeal is filed mentioned? Whether the enactment under which the appeal is filed is correct? | |
| This petition has been presented by: Hos had kin Advocate Supsem Court & Reha V Whether Counsel/Appellant/Respondent/Deponent have signed the requisite documents? Whether appeal is within time? Whether the enactment under which the appeal is filed mentioned? Whether the enactment under which the appeal is filed is correct? | |
| 2. Whether Counsel/Appellant/Respondent/Depondent late signed. 3. Whether appeal is within time? 4. Whether the enactment under which the appeal is filed mentioned? 5. Whether the enactment under which the appeal is filed is correct? | |
| 2. Whether Counsel/Appellant/Respondent/Depondent late signed. 3. Whether appeal is within time? 4. Whether the enactment under which the appeal is filed mentioned? 5. Whether the enactment under which the appeal is filed is correct? | |
| 3. Whether appeal is within time? 4. Whether the enactment under which the appeal is filed mentioned? 5. Whether the enactment under which the appeal is filed is correct? √ | + |
| 5. Whether the enactment under which the appear is filed is correct. | <u>-</u> |
| - Wall II - off-doubt to appointed? | - |
| 6. Whether attidavit is appended? | |
| Live a 10° S is about ottoeron inclinington Vini VVI (1977 *********************************** | - |
| | |
| | _ |
| | \ \ |
| _# | |
| 1 | <u></u> - |
| The Internation cannot be delivered to AU/UNO: | · |
| the Councel engaged is allested and signed by | ! |
| ter allow from an allow from an | |
| | <u>/</u> |
| 4C Whether enneal contains cutting/overwilling/ | c |
| harmonia and the superiod of the end of the superiod of the su | <u> </u> |
| | ٧ |
| to the state of th | 1 |
| 19. Whether requisite number of spare copies attached: | <u> </u> |
| 20. Whether complete spare copy is filed in separate file cover? 21. Whether addresses of parties given are complete? | 1 |
| | 1 |
| 22. Whether index filed? | 1 |
| 23. Whether index is correct? 24. Whether Security and Process Fee deposited? On | |
| The state of the s | 1 |
| 25. Whether in view of Knyber Pakhtunkhwa Service Thoulan Tuties 107 with copy of appeal and annexures has been sent to respendents? On | |
| 26 Whether copies of comments/reply/rejoinder submitted? Un | |
| 26. Whether copies of comments/reply/rejoinder submitted? On 27. Whether copies of comments/reply/rejoinder provided to opposite party? On | |
| | £11 |
| It is certified that formalities/documentation as required in the above table have been | Juli |
| Name:- Zahid New Z | |

Signature:-

TIC Put Composing Canter, Peshower High Court, Peshower Pioneer of legal drafting of compusing (28 Na: +923028838600/+923119149544/+923159737151 Email: <u>phenyteompusing@qmail.com</u>

BÉFORE THE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICE TRIBUNAL, PESHAWAR

Service Appeal No. 90 2023 Liagat Ali Khan PST RiMPS Bansair Battagram.

....APPELLANT

VERSUS

Government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa through Secretary Elementary and Secondary Education, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar & others.
...RESPONDENTS

SERVICE APPEAL

INDEX

| | | Page No. | Annexure |
|-----|---|----------|----------|
| S.# | Description | 1 to 6 | |
| 1. | Service appeal along with affidavit Copy of appointment order and termination order of the | - O | "A" |
| 2. | Copy of appointment order and terminal appellant appellant dated 28.02.2018 of the | 1-7 | "B" |
| 3. | Copy of appointment order dated 20.02 | 10-12 | "C" |
| 4. | Copy of department appeal | 14 | |
| 5. | Wakalatnama | 1 | L2 |

Through

Dated: _____/2022

(Muhammad Arshad Khan Tanoli) Advocate Supreme Court of Pakistan at Abbottabad

BEFORE THE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICE TRIBUNAL, PESHAWAR

Service Appeal No. 0/D 2023
Bansair

Liagrat Ali PST RMPs (Bostagoam)

...APPELLANT

VERSUS

- 1. Government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa through Secretary Elementary and Secondary Education, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar.
- 2. Director, Elementary & Secondary Education (E&SE), Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar.
- 3. District Education Officer (Male) Battagram.

... RESPONDENTS

SERVICE APPEAL UNDER SECTION 4 OF KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICE TRIBUNAL ACT 1974 FOR DECLARATION TO THE EFFECT THAT THE APPELLANT WAS APPOINTED ON 22 1/2 41 AND WAS TERMINATED FROM THE SERVICE ON 5-4-92. THEREAFTER, THE APPELLANT GOT APPOINTMENT AS PST ON 28.02.2018, AS PER KP SACKED EMPLOYEES APPOINTMENT ACT 2012, BUT PREVIOUS

SERVICE W.E.F 22-11-94 TO 5-4.9 AND 2012 TO 28.02.2018 HAS NOT BEEN COUNTED TOWARDS CALCULATION OF PENSION BY THE DEPARTMENT.

INSTANT ON ACCEPTANCE OF PRAYER; RESPONDENTS' THE APPEAL, SERVICE DEPARTMENT MAY GRACIOUSLY BE DIRECTED TO COUNT PREVIOUS SERVICE W.E.F (22-1)-921 TO 5-4-97 AND 2012 TO 28.02.2018 OF THE CALCULATION OF TOWARDS APPELLANT PENSION AND COMMUTATION.

Respectfully Sheweth;-

The facts forming the background of the instant service appeal are arrayed as under;

1. That the appellant got appointment in the respondents' department on 22-1194 and his service was terminated on 5-4-9. Copy of appointment order and termination order of the appellant is annexed as Annexure "A".

- 2. That, the Khyber Pakhtunkhwa announced KP Sacked Employees appointment Act 2012, wherein, the employees appointed in the year 1993-94 and was terminated in the year 1997-98 were to be re-instated in service.
 - 3. That, as per KP Sacked Employees appointment Act 2012, the appellant was appointment PST on the 28.02.2018, but his previous service w.e.f 22-1/-94 to 5-4-93 and 2012 to 28.02.2018 has not been counted towards calculation of pension/commutation of the appellant. Copy of appointment order dated 28.02.2018 of the appellant is annexed as Annexure "B".
 - 4. That, the appellant filed departmental appeal to respondents' department but of no avail. Copy of departmental appeal is annexed as Annexure "C".

 Hence, the instant service appeal is filed inter-alia on the following grounds.

GROUNDS;-

a. That as per judgment of service tribunal as well as of the Apex Court protected period of service has been declared to be counted

towards calculation of pension/
commutation of the sacked employees.

Therefore, the appellant is entitled to have
the period w.e.f 72-1/-94 to 5-4-97and 2012 to 28.02.2018 counted towards
calculation of pension and commutation.

- b. That, department was supposed to count the above mentioned period of the appellant towards calculation of pension etc on the analogy of similar and similarly placed employees.
- c. That the respondents' department is supposed to have one yard stick while dealing with the employees who are similarly placed. Besides, once a point of law is decided by the Superior Courts that must be made applicable to all the employees who are similarly placed and no discrimination may be mated out.
- d. That the matter in issue relates to the terms
 and conditions of service, therefore, the
 Honourable Tribunal has jurisdiction to

entertain the lis under Article 212 of the Constitution.

It is therefore, very humbly prayed, that on acceptance of instant service appeal, the respondents' department may graciously be directed to count previous service w.e.f 22-11-411 to 5-11-9 and 2012 to 28.02.2018 of the appellant towards calculation of pension and commutation.

..APPELLANT

Through;

Dated; _____/2022

(Muhammad Arshad Khan Tanoli)
Advocate Supreme Court of Pakistan
at Abbottabad

BEFORE THE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICE TRIBUNAL, PESHAWAR

Service Appeal No. 2022
Liagrat Ali PST RMPs Bansair Battegram
....APPELLANT

VERSUS

Government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa through Secretary Elementary and Secondary Education, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar & others.
...RESPONDENTS

SERVICE APPEAL

AFFIDAVIT

I, Liaquet Ali 1st Limps Ransair Battagram, do hereby solemnly affirm and declare that the contents of foregoing appeal are true and correct to the best of my knowledge and belief and nothing has been concealed therein from this Honourable Court.

DEPONENT

OFFICE ORDER NO.26 DATED BOY THE 22/11/

Advocast Supreme Court of Politica OMES & 31 Main Peass Adjecem to ' Dian Bai Abbuntahad

Consequent upon selection/recommendation of consisted the following Male untrained condidate's are hereby appointed ancient the vecent post of F.T @Rs. 1480/E.M. fixed plus usual allowance accinet the vecent post of F.T @Rs. 1480/E.M. fixed plus usual allowance of chisiable under the rules w.e.f. the date of his Taking over charge in the interest of public service. the interest of public service. Remarks

| | | - \$ X | town of Schill | where apput: | 110.00 |
|---|---------------|--|--|--|---|
| | azita . | Name Tather's home a constant | VERNE OT STORY | Mag. Cul Dolini | Ag:V:F |
| | مصمئت دار واس | Maik Mohammad S/O Jalal Khan | c∕o Ajmıru. | Mos:Gul Relian | n –do– |
| | 1, | DOTE MODERATED STREET | /- / ancological) () | 707 " 1/ * (2 * 10 * 110 * 120 * 120 * 1 | |
| | 2. | Sallie Remade D/ / Mac- | /a Clustus I | ロトンター ひょちょうくりごくく をいったん | " . ~GO~ . |
| | ** | Thomatum Deliman S/U Allur Reimor | 10 Tomans | n Rashidabad | , -do- |
| | 4 | Mirsamad Khan by O Hakam mileting | 1. Motter G | Bori GIS Masnico | ney do- i |
| | 5. | Mirsamad Khan S/O Hakim Khan R 1 Momin Khan S/O Hazarat Bilal 1 Ghulam Farooq S/O Ajoon Khan r/o | CAC Mercanos G | THE B/Patter | -do- |
| | الم | Chulem Farcog S/O Ajoon Khan r/C |) PRATIONICA OF | crs B/Tattey | · -do- |
| | 6. ⊓ ∠ | | | Mos: M. Jan Mohd | -do |
| | <i>A</i> | - Tingget all all all all all all all all all al | , | Marie Baria Dhoodki | ი -do- |
| 1 | | Liagat Ali S/O Ibrahim r/o Tumay Siraj Khan S/O Miun Khan r/o Pha Siraj Khan S/O Miun Khan r/o Pha | ogera. | THE A DITTION K | han-do- |
| | 91 | Siraj Khan S/O Miun Khan r/o Pha Fazalur Rehman S/O Abdul Hamid I | R/o Kakarsha | INE HOSID/Ounce it | -do |
| | 10 | Fazalur Rehman S/O Abdul Hamid I Javed M. Iqbal S/O Aurangzeb Khan | n r/o Thakot | GIN DUCKETORIA | čo |
| | 11. | | | | Grant Sp |
| | 12. | Regain Monamage by Orumbus | | | • |
| | \$2.50m | Rezir Pohamed by Orumba | u/Charif | GES Henifobed | -do- |
| | 14. | | . / | Moa:Khase Hill | -Ġo |
| | 15. | - w nase 5/5 Chillam (NISSOLU A/V ** | Contract of the contract of th | Gis Kahtora | (!o |
| | | | C 1 2 C C 20 20 . | GFS Bormai | -do− |
| | 16. | - o guisask Chah S/O MITGOIL Sou I/ | () 1177 A 2 1 1 - | GIS Damager | -do- |
| | 17 | - Se Jan - Managar - S. J. O. Girl - Namitoz (170 - 4) | III C. TYGZ M | TOTAL TERMINATION | <u>. </u> |
| | 700 | Rusten Khan S/O Begra Khan r/o | Thalcot | " GES Jabe Feroz | -do- |
| ٠ | | Mohammad Shafique S/O Aigullah | r/o Dabri | dis Mashkoney | uu- |
| | 20 | Mohammad Sharrage by C Aragrees | ~ | 1 to 2 to 2 | .*' |

Terms & Conditions.

1. Charge report should be submitted to all concerned and no TA/DA is all: 2. The appointment is purely temporary and liable to termination at any time without of esign tion they will have to submit one month prior

notice to the Department or forefited one month pay to Government.

They are required to produce Health and age certificate from the Medical Authority concerned before t/over charge in c/o they are not Govt. Servent.

They are not allowed T/o charge if their age is less than 18 and more than 25 years, age relexation for Distt: Bett: for 3 years up January 2000, has been granted vide notification No.4/1/SO/TA-II(HD)/94 Dated 27.9.1994 & age relex: for 2 years has been granted vide H'le Chief Finiater EWFF, Feshawar No.SOS-III/S&GAD, dt: 8.4.1994 from the date of 18.7.1994.

5. All original Educational Characters, domicile certificates must be verified by the Head of institution concerned & one set of documents

my be submitted to this office before Handing over charge. If they fail to taking over charge of the post with in 15 days from the issue of this order the appointment will be sutomatically considered as cencelled.

(FAZAL E-MEHMOOD KHAN) (MATE) SECONDARY BATTAGRAM.

Dated 22/11/1994. Endot: Fo. 1520-45 /F. No. -EB/App: of FT/UT/

negation district bevoation of toeketchi

OFFICE CRIDER OF THE CRIDER OF THE TOTAL OF

However, they can be ly afrom ulong for their selection on merit.

Kero of Person Latter in Negeria affection and the latter in Negeria affection of the lat Indefulten in Husa Khen

VShemul Veheb v 27 / Kodul Veheb

Alom ratu

Xfazal Wahab v Abdul Veheb

Shah khiero i Rustem Khen

Amir Xeda / Kodul Gedco6

Vber huhemed Hazerst Busa

Vhuhemed Sultan / Ghasi

Vudrat Ali Shah / Hakeb Shab

Kudrat Ali Shah / Hakeb Shab

Kumaramed Shafiquo Hakeb Shab

Wanamad Shafiquo Hakeb Shab

Wanamad Shafiquo Hakeb Shab

Wanamad Shafiquo Hakeb Shab

Wanamad Shafiquo Hakeb Shab Nauirabed Berwali Their lands Huthel. nu. datallypoce 11. Ajners Ariol dijbori. 15. Winding Tall ((1) bo. |Hughtenabed |Gangy of | Maghelik en Noorul Hachun Dukhter 40. 1G, That are Relimon without themsen? Whater Ferein! Whater Zeefn Wooder Relimon Widel Bucker. Whoser Kailo More Raid Bounder Khan

Addus Ruttur

Khan Jehan

Nigab Shah

Ngtikullah Lunnine on Dangog-nocanati'a durati'aa Ohinov Ranhidabal 17. . . nigab shah ngtikullan ngtikullan Nguza Burhan Khan Ullah Karus. 23. Muzz Fornac Knon

Fulcomed Ullah

Fundaun Knon

Fundaun Kn -Anuarullul Loyer. Abdul Chaffor Metamaa Umar Abdullah Habsaned Ibrahia SheTkhay Hand Bakergeb to the standard to the control of th Lolmoi Col: Dattasta N/Buthool Nedia Azun (1946) Zanged up Relimini Adepngenth

(Ariz un Rehman

(Ariz un Rhan

(Ariz un Rha Wober Haley. Drey Pelor. clised Muhamand darantiple Rulimand 39. MohibuTilleq (A ĴΈ. Vanuer Sadad -VA. Thought of the International ://. :S. licor Kliun William Representation . H. for organical Xiliolil an Relient 5 O reids Hubernal Co. 41. Thyat Man Arer Muhamed

Akhter mair

Anner Leib

ilsg Newsz onineran kunadi. Kanangan kunadi 204 Pogulathan Summarium badd 100 100 1 (100) Chair Kuhenesde

- l.

THE

13. 15. 15

ers to



17



OFFICE OF THE DISTRICT EDUCATION OFFICER (MALE) BATTAGRAM

· Email: emisbattakram@gmail.com Ph# 9997-543539/ 543540

APPOINTMENT OF SACKED EMPLOYEES AGAINST PST POST

In compliance of the Judgment of Honorable Peshawar High Court Abbottabad Bench Dated:24-05-2016 in W.P No.516-A/2013 upheld by Honorable Supreme Court of Pakistan Vide Order dated:24-05-2017 passed in Civil petition No: 401-P/2016, other connected civil petitions and the Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Sacked Employees (Appointment) Act, 2012 & recommendation of the Departmental Selection Committee, appointment of the following sacked employees is hereby ordered against the vacant posts of Primary School Teachers (PSTs), in BPS-12 @(Rs. 13320-960-42120) plus usual allowances as admissible under the rules & existing policy of the Provincial Government, in Teaching Cadre at Schools mentioned /noted against each on the terms and conditions given below with effect from the date of their taking over charge:

| <i>ii</i> . | M. No | Nan | | Father Name | | ate of . | Qu | alif: | | CNIC | Vil | lage. | | Place Post | |
|-------------|----------|----------|------------------------------|--------------------|------------------|------------|-----|------------------|------|---------------------|---------|-----------|-------------------------|---------------|------------------------|
| - | 1) | Abd | 1 | Firdus kha | in 0 | 7/04/1969 | FA | | 1320 | 1-7899868-9 | Bia | ri All | ai | GPS I | Imaray Karg |
| - | 2 | Gha | | Abdul Wahab | 2 | 4/03/1970 | SŚC | | 1320 | 2-8014480- | 7 Ba | nian | | | Deedal |
| | 3 | 1 ' | hammad al Khan | Jamroz ki | ian 1 | 10/04/1970 | SSC | - | 1320 |)2-0775644- | | ttagr | | | Rakban |
| | 4 | | Jul Ghani | Syed Mar Shah | aur (| 01/9/1970 | SSC | . | 1320 | 02-0774792 - | 5 Kı | Jzəba | ında | | Banda Bala |
| j | 6 | _ | tiuliah | GuL Muḥamr | nəd | 27/02/1971 | 5S | <u>c</u> | 132 | 02-7264505 | 3 B | attan | nori | | PS Bhait 1/5 |
| 6 | 8 | 1 ' | ohammad | Khan Faiz Ali k | han. | 20/4/1971 | SS | G' | 904 | 03-0117926 | -5 S | hami | ai | | Doonga |
| 7 | 9 | Ar | omtaz nir | Muham Musa Ki | | 9/5/1971 | F/ | Α | 137 | 201-1818870 |)-i E | Janna | Allai | 1 | IPS Gatkhwa S Pitow |
| ' 8 | 10 | Ta | uhammad aj Zarin | Khairoo | | 02/6/1971 | . F | Α/ΡΤ <u></u> | 13 | 201-182975 | 7-9, 1 | lamb | ėra – | Ch | eeran' |
| 9 | 11 | N | aq Tuhanımad | Ghazi | | 13/06/1971 | F | A/P/TC | - 1 | 202-074732 | | Rajdi | iari . | | S Rajdhari |
| | _ | G | ultan iul Zahir : | Cherag Shah | h . | 6/8/1971 | S | sc _{ii} | 13 | 3202-951436 | 9-5 | Ajme | | | S Gandori |
| 11 | - | F | hah taza | Awalk | han | 03/12/197 | 1 | ssc"' | 13 | 3202-074618 | 30-5 | Batt | agram | | PS Chinow |
| | _ | <u>-</u> | Muhammad Muhammad | | g Zeb | 02/01/197 | 2 | FA | 1 | 3202-07839 | 21-5 | Pest | | | PS Peshora |
| ٠ | | | Fayyaz Faizul Bari | Moha | mad | 09/01/197 | 2 | SSC | 1 | 3202-07329 | 01-3 | Tha | kot | 1 ' | PS Banda Cha |
| 1 | | 6 | Ghulam | | - Khan | 12/01/19 | 72 | ssc | : | 1,3202-81977 | 62-9 | Ma | no Mera | | iaid : |
| . • • | | | Farooq Niaz | Muhi | ımmad | 01/02/19 | 72 | SSC | | 13201-1812 | 582-9 | Ва | nna Allai | | GPS Asharban |
| | | 7 | Mohamma Saifur | Haza | r Bakht | 02/3/197 | 2 | SSC · | | 13202-8878 | 153-5 | 1 | ghashori | | GPS KoyKandi |
| : | | 18 | Rehman Momin Kl | Khar nan Gul | Namro2 | 10/5/19 | 72 | FA | | 13202-0732 | 732-7 | | nlook Inda | | GPS Chatoo |
| | | 19 20 | Abdullah | · | anosh | | 972 | FA | | 13202-715 | 960-9 | | akot | | Kannai GPS Kishal |
| • | 18 | 21 | Muhamm | | mat | . 16/7/19 | 72 | ssc | - · | 13201-182 | | | ashang A uzaband | | Rashang GPS Kaktai |
| , | 19 | | Umar | | psH lu | 20/12/2 | 972 | FA/I | PTC | 13202-077 | 20/8- | | | | |
| • | 20 21 | 23 | Amal Jan Syed Far Shah | had Mu | hamma ir Shah | ad 3/3/19 | | ssc | | .13202-076 | 3560- | 7. P | irhari | | GPS Ranjha |

اقتیاردا (ریاب که و در است اینزمیشزی Muhay had Ay Ad Chair dair Advorate Septeme Court of Profitation

Office # 33 Vinnah Flora I di 300 M to



| ., | | • | | , ; | | | | |
|----------|-----|----------------------------|---------------------------|------------|----------|-----------------|-------------------------|-----------------------|
| 1 | 25 | Javed Muhammad Igbal | Aurang Zeb Khan | 4/3/1973 | FA | 13202-0745491-9 | Thakot | GPS Faqiroo |
| 23 | 26 | Gul Fam | Shah Jehan | 12/03/1973 | MA . | 13202-0741274-5 | Chappargram | GMPS Markhanai |
| 4 | 27 | Lians Ali | lbrahim | 12/03/1973 | SSC | + | Ajmera | GMPS Bansair |
| 5 | 28 | Rab Nawaz Khan | Afsar Muhammad Khan | 15/4/1973 | SSC | | Trand | GPS Kotgələ |
| 6 | 29 | Syed Ali Shah | Áshad Mian | 25/04/1973 | SSC/PTC | 13201-9941924-5 | Jambera | GPS Kot Sar |
| 7 | 30 | Sabir Alam | Jan Alam | 10/6/1973 | FA/PTC | 13201-7115223-7 | Kund Allai | GPS Sar Banjar |
| 8 | 32 | Muhammad Younus | Ghulam Nabi | 08/12/1973 | . SSC | 13202-0784422-5 | Ајтега | GPS Toopkani |
| 9 | 33 | Shah Rozam Khan | Hayat Khan | 16/4/1974 | ssc | 13202-6874360-9 | : Ajmera | GPS Karghari |
| 30 | 35 | Abdul Haq | Azim Khan | 28/8/1974 | SSC | 13202-0782417-1 | Shingri Kakarshang | GPS Bilandpatay |
| 31 | 38€ | Muhammad Saleem' | Maraj Nabbi | 4/01/1975 | FA/PTC | 13202-0777202-1 | Battamori | GPS Joze |
| 32 32 | 39 | Jamilur Rahman | Sher Muhammad Khan | 15/01/1975 | FA | 13207-1556740-5 | Shamlai | GPS Shamlai |
| 33 | 42 | Zullîqar Ali | Hidayatullah Khan | 20/02/1975 | FA/PTC | 13202-0764712-7 | Battagram | GPS Umaray Pokal |
| 34 | 43 | Fazlur Rahman | Abdul Həmid | 02/3/1975 | SSC | 13202-0728803-7 | Kakarshang | GPS Nehrai Ayub |
| 35 | 44 | Rustam | Sabīr | 4/3/1975 | SSC | 13202-0725558-1 | Rajmera | GP3.29Inguo 391 |
| 36 | 45 | Badiu Zaman | Talih Muhammad Khan | 5/3/1975 | ssc | 13202-0736662-1 | Gidri Taleh Muhammad | GPS_Nogram, |
| | 46 | Alam Zaib | Mashal Khan | 08/03/1975 | SSC | 13202-0738239-7 | Gijbori | GPS Malkot |
| 37 | · - | Amir Muhammad | Jan Muhammad | 01/04/1975 | ssc | 13202-0754006-9 | Tamai | GPS Soorgai |
| 39 | 48 | Syed Wahab | Khan Mehmood Said | 05/05/1975 | SSC. | 13202-4331045-5 | Ghari Nawab Syed | Asharban |
| 40 | 49 | Shah Attaullah | Muhammad Saeed | 6/6/1975 | FA | 13202-7799306-5 | | GPS Thaya Jadeed |
| 41 | 50 | Inamuilah | Haji Bara Khan | 12/6/1975 | FA | 13202-7230467-9 | Jesol Jesol | GPS Ughaz Pazang |
| 42 | 51 | Anwar Ullah | Muhammad | 10/11/1975 | SSC | 13201-1833629-9 | Biari Allai | GPS Sarkhailosa |
| 43 | SZ | Muhammad Javed | | 12/11/1975 | SSC | 13202-0724730-7 | 7 Gijbori | GPS Pashto |
| 14 | 53 | Wali Muhammad | Roshan Khan | 15/11/1975 | FA/PTC | 4200-495828-9 | Pora | GPS Deshwal GPS Uchar |
| 45 | 54 | Muhammad Khalid Khan | Sultan Room | 01/01/1970 | 5 FA/PTC | · | | Gantar |
| 46 | 55 | Attid Ur | "Abdur Rehman | 01/01/197 | 6 SSC | 132020-726166- | 3 Gijbori | GPS Peza Banja |

TERMS & CONDITIONS:

1. Their services will be considered as regular in terms of section19 of the KP Civil servant act 1973 amended in 2013 issued vide Govt of KP Finance Department (Regulation wing) No SOS R-III/FD/12-1/2005 dated 27-02-2013. They will be governed by such rules and regulations as may be framed by the Govt from time to time for the rategory to which they belong.

2. This appointment order is subject to the Court decision in W.P.No: 1187-A of 2015, W.P.No: 1135-A of 2015 &

W.P.No: 1056-A of 2015.

3. They will be on probation for a period of one year extendable to another year keeping in view their performance.

Advocate Suprem Count of Pakiston
Office # 33 Jinnaa Plaza Anjacent to

Heir appointments have been made in pursuance of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Sacked Employee (Appointment Act: ₹ 2012), hence under section 5 of the said Act: they shall not be entitled to any claim of seniority, promotion or other back benefits.

The period during which they remained dismissed, removed or terminated from service till the date of their appointment shall have been deemed automatically relaxed. Their appointment has been made in pursuance of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Sacked Employee (Appointment) Act 2012, Hence under section 4 of the said Act the period during which they remained dismissed, removed or terminated from service till the date of their appointment shall have been deemed automatically relaxed.

Their Services are liable to termination on one month prior notice from either side. In case of resignation without prior notice, their one month pay plus allowences shall be forfeited to Government treasury.

The appointment is made subject to the condition that the candidate is permanent domicile holder of District Battagram.

If their performance is found un-satisfactory, they will be proceeded under E&D Rules, 2011. 3.

DDO Concerned will submit copies of their documents to this office for verification after 30 days of the issuance of appointment order to be sent for verification to concerned Board/ Universities. Pay will not be released by the DDO Concerned until and unless notification to this effect is issued by this office that their certificates are verified, anyone found producing bogus, forged documents his appointment order shall stand automatically cancelled from the date of his appointment order & will be reported to law enforcing agencies.

10. They shall obtain Medical fitness certificate from Medical Superintendent / Civil Surgeon DHQ Hospital

Battagram within seven days from the date of taking over charge.

11. The competent authority has the right to rectify the errors / omissions if any noted / observed at any stage.

12. Before handing over charge, once again their documents may be checked by the DDO concerned.

13. The prescribed qualification for appointment of PST as per appointment Policy in vogue during 1996-97 is SSC from a recognized Board with PTC Certificate /ADE from a recognized University / Institute. Candidates not in possession of requisite training shall acquire the same within 03 Three years from the date of issuance of this appointment order, failing which their appointment order shall stand cancel automatically, in the light of Judgment passed by the Peshawar High Court Abbottabad bench dated: 24-05-2016 upheld by the Supreme Court of Pakistan vide order dated: 24-05-2017.

14. They shall join their post within 30 days of the issuance of this appointment order If no willingness or response is received / failed to assume the charge of his/ their post within stipulated period, their / his candidature will stand automatically cancelled and the next senior sacked employee shall be considered for appointment in the

light of section 7(3) of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa SACNED Employees (Appgintment) Act, 2012.

15. Charge reports should be submitted to all concerned,

16. No TA/DA is allowed.

Jehan Muhammad District Education Officer (M) Battagram

Endst No 1775-84 LEB-II/ PST Sacked

Daied 28/02/2018

Copy forwarded for information and necessary action to the: -

Additional Registrar Peshawar High Court Abbottabad Bench w/r to Judgment passed in W.P.No.516-A / 2013 announced on 24.5.2016.

Director E&SE Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar.

PS to the Secretary to Govt. Khyber Pakhtunkhwa E&SE Department Peshawar

Deputy Commissioner Battagram.

District Accounts Officers Battagram:

District Monitoring Officer IMU Battagram.

Sub Divisional Education Officers (Malc) Battagram & Allai.

Teachers Concerned.

Master File.

District Education Officer (M) Battagram

Anner L

بخدمت جناب دُامرَ ميكشرصاحب اللمنشري ايندسكن ثري ايجوكيش خيبر پختوخواه بيثاور

درخواست برائے اوائیگی سابقہ بقایا جات وسرویں۔

عنوان

جناب عالى!

الرقم: 3-018 -3- 33

العارض_

Cold

Advotate Supreme Court of Pakistan Office # 33 Jinnah Plaza Adjacent to Distr. Bar Abbottabad

8-14 20 6 20 169

ا کورید

Service Taibunal 1xp Pes nawed with 2 service Taibunal 1xp Pes nawed with 2 service Applent service Applent service Service Applent services service Applent services services and services as services as services and services are serviced as services and services are serviced as services and services are serviced as serviced as services are serviced as servi

باعث تحريآ نكه

مقدمہ مندرجہ میں اپنی طرف سے واسطے پیروی و جواب دہی کل کاروائی متعلقہ آل مقام

کودکیل مقرر کر کے اقر ارکرتا ہوں کہ صاحب موصوف کو مقد مدی کل کاروائی کا کال اختیار ہوگا نیز ویک صاحب موصوف کو مقد مدی کل کاروائی کا کال اختیار ہوگا نیز ویک صاحب موصوف کو کرنے اجازہ کے کا اختیار ہوگا اور بصورت کرانے اجراء وصولی چیک روپی و کو گوری کا کا بھی ان کا جائے ہوئی کا اختیار ہوگا اور بصورت صرورت مقد مد نکور کی کل یا کسی جزوی کا گوری کا گوری کی ہوگا اور اس کی اختیار کا گوری کی اختیار اس ہوں گے اور اس کا احتیار کسی ہوگا ورصاحب مقرر شدہ کو بھی وہی اور ویسے ہی اختیار اس ہوں گے اور اس کا ساختہ پرواختہ جھے کو منظور و قبول ہوگا۔ دور ان مقد مدجوخ چہ وہ ہر چاندالتو اے مقد مدے سب ہوگا اس کے مستحق و کیل صاحب ہوں گے۔ نیز بقایار قم وصول کرنے کا بھی اختیار ہوگا۔ اگر کوئی پیشی مقام دورہ پر ہویا مدے باہر ہوتو و کیل صاحب ہوں گے۔ نیز بیز بقایار قم وصوف کی بیروی مقد مدند کورہ کریں اورا گرفتار مقرر کر دہ میں کوئی جزو بقایا ہوتو و کیل صاحب موصوف بیا بند ہوں گے کہ بیروی مقد مدند کورہ کریں اورا گرفتار مقرر کر دہ میں احتیار ہوگا۔ است بھراوتو و کیل صاحب موصوف کو اختیار ہوگا۔ استجارت نالش بھی خمفلس کے دائر کرنے اور اس کی بیروی کی بھی صاحب موصوف کو اختیار ہوگا۔ است بھراوتا کی کیل خوال نے نامہ تحرکر کیا بنا کہ سندر ہے۔

المرقوم:

office # 33 United Plans Augustin Dis Eleganorous au

BEFORE THE HON'BLE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICE TRIBUNAL CAMP COURT ABBOTTABAD

| SERVICE APPEAL No. | of 2023 |
|--------------------|---------|
|--------------------|---------|

: Index

| S | Description /Documents | Annexure | Pages |
|----|-----------------------------------|---|--|
| No | | | 1103 |
| l | Comments | A LOCAL DE LA CASA DE | 100 |
| 2 | Affidavit | | + |
| 3 | Copy of Sacked Employees Act 2012 | A | |
| 4 | Copy of Judgment | В | The state of the s |

Respondent

BEFORE THE HON'BLE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICE TRIBUNAL CAMP COURT ABBOTTABAD

SERVICE APPEAL No. of 2023

> Joiont Para-wise comments /Reply on behalf of Respondents NO. 1 to 3

Respectfully Sheweth:

Preliminary Objections

- 1. That the appellant has no cause of action/locus standi to file the present appeal.
- 2. That the appellant has concealed the material facts from this Hon'ble Tribunal.
- 3. That the appellant has not come to this Hon'ble Tribunal with clean hands.
- 4. That the appellant has filed the instant appeal on malafide grounds, just to put pressure on the respondent Department for illegal pension benefits.
- 5. That the appellant's appeal is against the prevailing rules and law.
- 6. That the appellant is estopped by his own conduct to file the instant appeal.
- 7. That the instant appeal is not maintainable in its present form and also in the present circumstances of the issue.
 - 8. As per Law/ Section 5 of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Sacked Employees (Appointment) Act 2012, a sacked employee appointed under Section. 3. shall not be entitled to any claim of seniority, promotion or other back benefits and his appointment shall be considered as fresh appointment; hence the instant appeal is liable to be dismissed without any further proceeding.
 - That the instant appeal is against the terms and conditions of the appellant's appointment and also Sacked Employees Appointment Act 2012, hence not

maintainable and liable to be dismissed without further proceedings.

ON FACTS

- 1. Para No. 1 of the appeal is correct. Pertaining to the appointment and further termination of the appellant; hence needs no comments.
- 2. In reply of Para No. 2, it is submitted that the appellant was appointed as a fresh appointee along with others in the light of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Sacked employees Appointment Act 2012.
- 3. Para No. 5 of the appeal is incorrect and denied. As per Section 5 of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Sacked Employees (Appointment) Act 2012, it is clearly mentioned that "a sacked employee appointed under Section. 3. shall not be entitled to any claim of seniority, promotion or other back benefits and his appointment shall be considered as fresh appointment". Hence the plea of the appellant is against the law/act and also the judgments of this Hon'able Court in this regard. (Copy of the Act and Judgment of Service Tribunal are attached as "Annex. A & B").
- 4. The appellant has no cause of action.

ON GROUNDS:

- a. Ground "a" of the appeal is incorrect, hence denied. The appellant is appointed in the light of Sacked Employees Appointment Act 2012 and it is clearly mentioned in the Act that this appointment shall be a fresh appointment and the appointee shall not be entitle for any kind of back benefits, hence respondents are not bound to obey the demands of the appellant in contrary with the Act.
- b. Ground "b" of the appeal is incorrect. Detailed reply is given in the preceding paras.
- c. Ground "c" of the appeal is incorrect. Detailed reply is given in the preceding paras..
- d. Respondents seek permission of this Honour able Court to agitate other legal and factual ground at the time of arguments.

It is therefore humbly prayed that on acceptance of above Para-wise comments, the appeal of the appellant may graciously be dismissed with cost.

RESPONDENT NO. 3

District Education Officer (Male)
Battagram

RESPONDENT NO. 2

Director Elementary & Secondary Education

. Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Peshawar

RESPONDENT NO. 1

Secretary Elementary & Secondary Education

Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Peshawar

BEFORE THE HON'BLE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICE TRIBUNAL CAMP COURT ABBOTTABAD SERVICE APPEAL No. of 2023

Liaqat Ali KhanAppellant
VERSUS

Government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa through Secretary E&SE KPK
Peshawar and others......Respondents

AFFIDAVIT

I Hafiz Muhammad Nawaz, District Education officer (Male) Battagram do hereby affirm and declare on oath that contents of accompanying <u>Joint Parawise comments</u> on behalf of respondent. NO. 1 to 3 are true and correct to the best of my knowledge and belief that nothing has been concealed from this Honorable Court.

DEPENDENT

CNIC: 6110118839563

PO COMMO COM

(5)

Annex - A

THE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SACKED EMPLOYEES (APPOINTMENT) ACT, 2012.

(KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA ACT NO. XVII OF 2012)

CONTENTS

PREAMBLE

SECTIONS

- 1. Short title, extent and commencement.
- 2. Definitions.
- 3. Appointment of sacked employees.
- 4. Age relaxation.
- 5. Sacked employees shall not be entitled to claim seniority and other back benefits.
- 6. Preference on the basis of age.
- 7. Procedure for appointment.
- 8. Removal of difficulties.
- 9. Act to override other laws.
- 10. Power to make rules.

THE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SACKED EMPLOYEES (APPOINTMENT) ACT, 2012.

(KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA ACT NO. XVII OF 2012)

[first published after having received the assent of the Governor of the Khyber Pakhtunkhwa in the Gazette of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa (Extraordinary), dated the 20th September, 2012].

AN ACT

o provide relief to those sacked employees in the Government service, who were dismissed, removed or terminated from service, by appointing them into the Government service.

WHEREAS it is expedient to provide relief to those sacked employees who were appointed on regular basis to a civil post in the Province of the Khyber Pakhtunkhwa and who possessed the prescribed qualification and experience required for the said post, during the period from 1st day of November 1993 to the 30th day of November, 1996 (both days inclusive) and were dismissed, removed, or terminated from service during the period from 1st day of November 1996 to 31st day of December 1998 on various grounds;

WHEREAS the Federal Government has also given relief to the sacked employees by enactment;

AND WHEREAS the Government of the Khyber Pakhtunkhwa has also decided to appoint these sacked employees on regular basis in the public interest;

It is hereby enacted as follows:

- 1. Short title, extent and commencement.—(1) This Act may be called the Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Sacked Employees (Appointment) Act, 2012.
- (2) It shall apply to all those sacked employees, who were holding various civil posts during the period from 1st day of November, 1993 to 30th day of November, 1996 (both days inclusive).
 - (3) It shall come into force at once.
- 2. <u>Definitions.</u>— In this Act, unless the context otherwise requires, the following expressions shall have the meanings hereby respectively assigned to them that is to say,-
 - (a) "civil post" means a post created by the Finance Department of Government for the members of civil service of the Province;



- (b) "Department" means the Department and the Attached Department as defined in the Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Government Rules of Business, 1985, including the Divisional and District Offices working thereunder;
- (c) "Government" means the Government of the Khyber Pakhtunkhwa;
- (d) "Prescribed" means prescribed by rules;
- (c) "Province" means the Province of the Khyber Pakhtunkhwa;
- (f) "rules" means the rules made under this Act; and
- "Sacked employee" means a person who was appointed on regular basis to a civil post in the Province of the Khyber Pakhtunkhwa and who possessed the prescribed qualification and experience for the said post at that time, during the period from 1st day of November 1993 to the 30th day of November, 1996 (both days inclusive) and was dismissed, removed, or terminated from service during the period from 1st day of November 1996 to 31st day of December 1998 on the ground of irregular appointments;
- 3. Appointment of sacked employees.— Notwithstanding anything contained in any law or fule for the time being in force, on the commencement of this Act, all sacked employees subject to section 7, may be appointed in their respective cadre of their concerned Department, in which they occupied civil posts before their dismissal, removal and termination from service:

Provided that the sacked employees shall be appointed against thirty percent of the available vacancies in the said Department:

Provided further that the appointment of sacked employees shall be subject to the medical fitness and verification of their character antecedents to the satisfaction of the concerned competent authority.

4. Age relaxation.— The period during which a sacked employee remained dismissed removed or terminated from service, till the date of their appointment shall be deemed to have been automatically relaxed and there shall be no further relaxation under any rules for the time being in force.

5. Sacked employees shall not be entitled to claim seniority and other back benefits.— A sacked employee appointed under section 3, shall not be entitled to any claim of seniority, promotion or other back benefits and his appointment shall be considered as fresh appointment.

- 6. Preference on the basis of age.— On the occurrence of a vacancy in the respective cadre of the concerned Department of the sacked employee against the thirty percent available share, preference shall be given to the sacked employee who is older in age.
- 7. Procedure for appointment.—(1) A sacked employee, may file an application, to the concerned Department within a period of six months from the date of commencement of this Act, for his appointment in the said Department:

Provided that no application for appointment received after the due date shall be entertained.

- (2) The concerned Department shall maintain a list of all such sacked employees whose applications are received under sub-section (1) in the respective cadres in chronological order.
- (3) If any vacancy occurs against the thirty percent available share of the sacked employee in any Department, the senior in age from such sacked employee shall be considered by the concerned Departmental Selection Committee or the District Selection Committee, as the case may be, to be constituted in the prescribed manner, for appointment:

Provided that no willingness or response is received within a period of thirty days, the next senior sacked employee shall be considered for appointment.

- (4) The Concerned Departmental Selection Committee or District Selection Committee, as the case may be, will determine the suitability or eligibility of the sacked employee.
- (5) If no sacked employee is available against thirty percent vacancy reserved in respective cadre in a Department, then the post shall be filled through initial recruitment.
- 8. Removal of difficulties.— If any difficulty arises in giving effect to any of the provisions of this Act, the Chief Minister Khyber Pakhtunkhwa may issue such order not inconsistent with the provision of this Act as may appear to him to be necessary for the purpose of removing the difficulty:

Provided that no such power shall be exercised after the expiry of one year from coming into force of this Act.

- 9. Act to override other laws.—Notwithstanding anything to the contrary contained in any other law or rules for the time being in force, the provisions of this Act shall have overriding effect and the provisions of any other law or rules to the extent of inconsistency to this Act, shall cease to have effect.
- 10. Power to make rules.—Government may make rules for carrying out the purposes of this Act.

Nervice Appeal No. 691/2019 un.d. "Muhammad Miskeen-vs-Government of Khyber Pakhunkhwa through Secretary Elementary & Secondary Education Peshawar and others", Service appeal No. 577/2019 titled "Afreen Khun-vx- Government of Khyber Pakhunkhwa through Secretary Elementary & Secondary Education Peshawar and others", Service appeal No. 578/2019 titled "Ghulam Yousaf-vx- Government of Khyber Pakhunkhwa through Secretary Elementary & Secondary Education Peshawar and others" and Service Appeal No. 579/2019 titled "Abdul Aziz-vx- Government of Khyber Pakhunkhwa through Secretary Elementary & Secondary Education Peshawar and others" decided on 21.07, 2022 by Division Bench comprising Kulim Arshad Khan, Chairman, and Salah Ud. Din, Member Judicial, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Service Vrilimad, Camp Court Abhottabud.

KHYBER PAKHTUNKIIWA SERVICE TRIBUNAL, PESHAWAR.

BEFORE:

KALIM ARSHAD KHAN ... CHAIRMAN SALAH UD DIN

... MEMBER (JUDICIAL)

(Appellant)

Service Appeal No.691/2019

| • | Mohammad Miskeen S/O Abdul Latif Khan, presently SGPS, Thaya District Battagram. | |
|-----|--|---|
| | <u>Versus</u> | |
| | Velsus | |
| 1. | Government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa through Secretary Secondary Education Peshawar. | Elementary & |
| 2. | Director (Estab) Elementary & Secondary Educa Pakhtunkhwa. | tion, Khyber |
| 3. | District Education Officer (Elementary & Secondary Ed Primary Battagaram. | ucation) Male |
| 4. | District Account Officer, Battagaram. | Respondents) |
| • | | |
| | Present: | |
| | Abdul Aziz Khan Tanoli, AdvocateFor | appellant. |
| - | Mr. Kabiruallah Khattak, Additional Advocate General, | . . |
| | Mr. Noor Zaman Khattak, District AttorneyFor | respondents |
| // | | |
| /." | Date of Institution | • |
| | Dates of Hearing | |
| | Service Appeal No.577/2019 | *************************************** |

Afreen Khan S/O Tor Khan R/O presently serving as Junior Clerk

GGHS, Gul Muhammad Abad, District Battagram.

(10)

Service Appeal No. 691/2019 titled "Muhammad Miskeen-vs-Government of Khyber Pakhumkhwa through Secretary Elementary & Secondary Education Peshawar and others", Service appeal No. 577/2019 titled "Afreen Khun-vs-Government of Khyber Pakhumkhwa through Secretary Elementary & Secondary Education Peshawar and others". Service appeal No. 578/2019 titled "Ghulam Yousaf-vs- Government of Khyber Pakhumkhwa through Secretary Elementary & Secondary Education Peshawar and others" and Service Appeal No. 579/2019 titled "Abdul Aziz-vs- Government of Khyber Pakhumkhwa through Secretary Elementary & Secondary Education Peshawar and others" decided on 21.07.2022 by Division Bench comprising Kulim Arshad Khiin, Charman, and Salah Ud. Dm. Member Judicial Khyber Pakhumkhwa Service Tribunal. Camp Court Abbottobad

<u>Versus</u>

5. Government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa through Secretary Elementary & Secondary Education Peshawar.

6. Director (Estab) Elementary & Secondary Education, Khyber

Pakhtunkhwa.

7. District Education Officer (Elementary & Secondary Education) Male Primary Battagaram.

8. District Account Officer, Battagaram.

..(Respondents)

Present:

Abdul Aziz Khan Tanoli, Advocate......For appellant.

Mr. Kabiruallah Khattak, Additional Advocate General,

 Date of Institution
 .03.05.2019

 Dates of Hearing
 .21.07.2022

 Date of Decision
 .21.07.2022

Service Appeal No.578/2019

Ghulam Yousaf S/O Molvi Khan Wali R/O Presently Serving SPST GPS, Dood Pati, District Battagram.

(Appellant)

Versus

- 9. Government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa through Secretary Elementary & Secondary Education Peshawar.
- 10 Director (Estab) Elementary & Secondary Education, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa.
- 11 District Education Officer (Elementary & Secondary Education) Male Primary Battagaram.
- 12. District Account Officer, Battagaram.

Service Appeal No. 691/2019 tilled "Muhammad Miskeen-vs-Government of Khyber Pakhtinkhwa through Secretary Elementary & Secondary Education Peshawar and others", Service appeal No. 577/2019 tilled "Afreen Khan-vs-Government of Khyber Pakhtinkhwa through Secretary Elementary & Secondary Education Peshawar and others". Service appeal No. 578/2019 tilled "Ghulam Yousaf-vs- Government of Khyber Pakhtinikhwa through Secretary Elementary & Secondary Education Peshawar and others" and Service Appeal No. 579/2019 tilled "Abdul Aziz-vs- Government of Khyber Pakhtinkhwa through Secretary Elementary & Secondary Educatam Peshawar and others" decided on 21.07.2022 by Division Bench comprising Kalim Arshad Khan, Chaleman, and Salah Ud. Din, Member Judicial, Khyber Pakhtinkhwa Service Tribunal, Camp Conet Abbottabud.

..(Respondents) Present: Abdul Aziz Khan Tanoli,For appellant. Advocate..... Mr. Kabiruallah Khattak, Additional Advocate General, Mr. Noor Zaman Khattak, District Attorney......For respondents Dates of Hearing......21.07.2022 Service Appeal No.579/2019 Abdul Aziz S/O Haji Abdullah R/o presently serving PST GPS, Gulibagh, District Battagram. (Appellant) Versus 13. Government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa through Secretary Elementary & Secondary Education Peshawar. 14 Director (Estab) Elementary & Secondary Education, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa. 15. District Education Officer (Elementary & Secondary Education) Male Primary Battagaram. 16. District Account Officer, Battagaram.(Respondents) Present: Abdul Aziz Khan Tanoli, Advocate.....

a h

Mr. Kabiruallah Khattak, . Additional Advocate General,

Mr. Noor Zaman Khattak,

Service Appeal No. 691/2019 titled "kinhammad Miskeen-vs-Government of Khyber Pakhunkhwa through Secretary Elementary & Secondary Education Peshawar and others", Service appeal No. 577/2019 titled "African Khan-ws-Government of Khyber Pakhunkhwa through Secretary Elementary & Secondary Education Peshawar and others", Service appeal No. 578/7019 titled "Ghulam Yousaf-vs-Government of Khyber Pakhunkhwa through Secretary Elementary & Secondary Education Peshawar and others" and Service Appeal No. 579/7019 titled "Abdul Act:-vs- Government of Khyber Pakhunkhwa through Secretary Elementary & Secondary Education Peshawar and others" decided on 21.07.2022 by Division Bench comprising Kalun Arshad Khun, Chairman, and Salah Ud. Din, Member Judicial, Khyber Pakhunkhwa Service Tribunal, Cump Court Albuttabud.

| District Attorney | For respondents |
|---------------------|-----------------|
| *** | 1 |
| Date of Institution | 03.05.20[9 |
| Dates of Hearing | 21.07.2022 |
| Date of Decision | 21.07.2022 |

OF. THE KHYBER UNDER SECTION APPEAL PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICE TRIBUNAL ACT, 1974 AGAINST RESPONDENTS THE WORKING IN APPELLANT IS DEPARTMENT AND HIS SERVICES WERE TERMINATED UNDER-THE THEREAFTER 28.07.1997 PAKHTUNKHWA ACT XVII 2012 AND IN THE LIGHT OF **ABBOTTABAD** PESHAWAR HIGH COURT **JUDGMENT** APPELLANT 17.01.2013 THE BENCH DATED APPOINTED AS PST IN BPS-12, VIDE ORDER DATED 15.02.2013, THE APPELLANT IS ENTITLED FOR BACK TERMINATION I.E BENEFITS FROM THE DATE OF 28.07.1997 TO THE DATE OF ORDER DATED 15.02.2013 BUT RESPONDENTS DID NOT GRANTED CONSEQUENTIAL BACK BENEFITS FROM THE DATE OF TERMINATION TO THE ORDER DATED 15.02.2013 WHICH IS AGAINST THE PROVISIONS OF SAID ACT, AS WELL AS DISCRIMINATION AS LOTHER DEPARTMENTS ALLOWED THE BACK BENEFITS TO THE OTHER EMPLOYEES AS WELL. THE CONDUCT OF THE RESPONDENTS TOWARDS THE APPELLANT IS ILLEGAL, MALAFIDE, UNLAWFUL, WITHOUT LAWFUL **AUTHORITY**, JURISDICTION, ARBITRARY, PERVERSE, HARSH, VOID AB-INITIO AND AGAINST THE PRINCIPLE OF NATURAL JUSTICE INEFFECTIVE UPON RIGHT OF THE APPELLANT.

CONSOLIDATED JUDGMENT

KALIM ARSHAD KHAN CHAIRMAN: This judgment shall dispose of the instant service appeal as well as connected service appeals No.577/2019 titled "Afreen Khan -vs- Government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa through Secretary Elementary & Secondary Education Peshawar and others", appeal No. 578/2019 titled "Ghulam Yousaf-vs- Government of Khyber

Service Appeal No. 691/2019 titled "Muhammad Miskeen-vs-Government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa through Secretary Elementary & Secondary Education Peshawar and others", Service appeal No. 577/2019 titled "Afreen Khan-vs- Government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa through Secretary Elementary & Secondary Education Peshawar and others", Service appeal No. 578/2019 titled "Ghulam Yousaf-vs- Government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa through Secretary Elementary & Secondary Education Peshawar and others" and Service Appeal No. 579/2019 titled "Abdul Aziz-vs- Government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa through Secretary Elementary & Secondary Education Peshawar and others" and Service Appeal No. 579/2019 titled "Abdul Aziz-vs- Government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa through Secretary Elementary & Secondary Education Peshawar and others" decided on 21.07.2022 by Division Banch comprising Kalim Arshad Khan. Chairman, and Salah Ud. Din. Member Judicial, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Service Tribunal, Camp Court Abbutubad.

Pakhtunkhwa through Secretary Elementary & Secondary Education Peshawar and others" and appeal No. 579/2019 "titled Abdul Aziz-vs-Government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa through Secretary Elementary & Secondary Education Peshawar and others" as similar questions are involved in all these appeals.

The facts surrounding the appeals are that the appellants of this appeal and of the connected appeals were appointed as PST (BS-07) and Junior Clerk by the respondent-department; that they were terminated/dismissed vide impugned order; that again they were was appointed as PST (BS-12) and Junior Clerk (BS-07) vide order dated 15.02.2013 on the basis of the Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Sacked Employees (Appointment) Act, 2012 as well as judgment of the Hon'ble Peshawar High Court, Abbottabad Bench dated 17.01.2013, but without back benefits; that some conditions were mentioned in the appointment orders of the appellants by the respondent-department that the appellant will not claim back benefits but later on the said terms and conditions were withdrawn by the respondent-department; that the appellants were terminated without any reason, therefore, they were entitled for back benefits for the period they remained out of service; that the appellant filed departmental appeals which were not responded within the statutory period of ninety days, compelling them to file these appeals.

21.7.22

ESTE

(14)

Service Appeal No. 691/2019 titled "Muhammad Miskeen-vs-Government of Khyber Pakhinikhwa through Secretary Elementary & Secondary Education Peshavar and others", Service appeal No. 577/2019 titled "Afreen Khan-vs- Government of Khyber Pakhinikhwa through Secretary Elementary & Secondary Education Peshavar and others", Service appeal No. 578/2019 titled "Ghulam Yousaf-vs- Government of Khyber Pakhtankhwa through Secretary Elementary & Secondary Education Peshavar and others" and Service Appeal No. 579/2019 titled "Abdul Att-vs- Government of Khyber Pakhtankhwa through Secretary Elementary & Secondary Education, Peshawar and others" decided on 21.07.2022 by Division Bench comprising Kulim Arshad Khan, Chairman, and Salah Ud. Din, Member Judicial, Khyber Pakhtankhwa Service Tribinal, Camp

- 3. On receipt of the appeals and their admission to full hearing, the respondents were summoned, who, on putting appearance, contested the appeal by filing written reply raising therein numerous legal and factual objections. The defence setup was a total denial of the claim of the appellants.
- 4. We have heard learned counsel for the appellants and District Attorney as well as learned Additional Advocate General for the respondents.
- The learned counsel for the appellants reiterated the facts and grounds detailed in the memo and grounds of the appeals while the learned AAG controverted the same by supporting the impugned order.
- 11 is not disputed that initially the appellants were appointed as PSTs and Junior Clerk. Later on when it came to the notice of the respondents that their appointments were made in violation of rules, they were terminated vide the impugned orders. In the meanwhile the Provincial Government promulgated the Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Sacked Employees Appointment Act 2012. When grievances of the appellants were not redressed at the departmental level he resorted to litigation by filing writ petition in the Hon'ble Peshawar High Court, Abbottabad Bench. Finally in pursuance of the directions of the Hon'ble Peshawar high Court, Abbottabad Bench they were appointed as PSTs and Junior Clerk with immediate effect vide order dated 15.02.2013. The

N. T.

15

Service, Appent No. 691/2019 inted "Muhammud Miskven-vs-Government of Khyber Rakhtinkhwa through Secretary: Elementary & Secondary Education Peshawar and others", Service appents No. 577/2019 inted "Afreen Khan-vs- Government of Khyber Pakhtinkhwa through Secretary Elementary & Secondary Education Peshawar and others". Service appent No. 578/2019 inted "Ghulam Yousaf-vs- Government of Khyber Pakhtinkhwa through Secretary Elementary & Secondary Education Peshawar and others" and Service Appent No. 579/2019 titled "Abdul Aziz-vs- Government of Khyber Pakhtinkhwa through Secretary Elementary & Secondary Education Peshawar and others" decided on 21.07.2022 by Division Bench comprising Kalim Arshad Khan, Chairman, and Salab Ud. Din, Member Judicial, Khyber Pakhtinkhwa Service Tribunul, Camp Court Abbuttabad

appellants are asking for reappointment from the date of their termination from service. But Section-5 of the aforementioned Act, lays down that the sacked employee should not be entitled to claim seniority and other back benefits. The relevant provision is reproduced below for ready reference:-

"A sacked employee appointed under Section-3, shall not be entitled to any claim of seniority, promotion or other back benefits and his appointment shall be considered as fresh appointment"

- 7. When the learned counsel for the appellants was confronted with the above provision of law, he did not defend the situation and rightly so because he does not defend which is indefensible.
- O8. As a resultant consequence, this and the connected appeal being groundless are dismissed. Costs shall follow the event. Copy of this judgment be placed in the connected files. Consign.

9. Pronounced in open Court at Abbottabad and given under our hands and the seal of the Tribunal on this 21st day of July, 2022.



KALIM ARSHAD KHAN

Chairman

Camp court Abbottabad

SALAH UD DIN

Member (Judicial)

Camp court Abbottabad

Comment of the second of the s