


Form-A
FORM OF ORDER SHEET

Court of _____

Implementation Petition No. T32/2023

S.No.	Date of order proceedings	Order or other proceedings with signature of judge
1	2	3
1	05.10.2023	<p>The implementation petition of Mr. Muhammad Shahid submitted today by Syed Asif Shah Advocate. It is fixed for implementation report before touring Single Bench at A.Abad on _____. Original file be requisitioned. AAG has noted the next date.</p> <p>By the order of Chairman  REGISTRAR</p>

BEFORE THE SERVICE TRIBUNAL
K.P.K PESHAWAR

Implementation petition No ___ of 2023

IN
 Service appeal No 1508 of 2022

Muhammad Shahid **Petitioner**

VERSUS


Govt of KPK etc..... **respondents**

IMPLEMENTATION/EXECUTION PETITION

INDEX

S#	Description of documents	Annexure	Page#
1.	Memo of implementation/execution petition	-	1-3
2.	Affidavit	-	4
3.	Attested copy Appeal and judgment dated 01.06.2023.	A	
4.	Wakalt Nama	-	

Dated 02.10.2023


Muhammad Shahid
 (Petitioner)

Through:-


SYED ASIF SHAH
 Advocate High Court

BEFORE THE SERVICE TRIBUNAL
K.P.K PESHAWAR

Implementation petition No ___ of 2023

IN
Service appeal No 1508 of 2022

Muhammad Shahid son of Sultan
Muhammad, resident of Baffa Pakhal, Tehsil
Baffa Pakhal, District Mansehra Senior
Research Officer BPS-18.

.....**Petitioner**

VERSUS

- 1) Government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa through
Secretary Agriculture, Livestock, fisheries and
cooperative Department Peshawar.
- 2) Director General agriculture research
Department Peshawar.
- 3) Director Agriculture Research Station Tehsil
Baffa Pakhal, Mansehra.
- 4) Tehseen Zeb son of Aurangzeb, senior
Research Officer BPS-18, Agricultural
research station Chitral.

.....**Respondents**

IMPLEMENTATION/EXECUTION OF

THE JUDGMENT/ORDER OF THIS

HONORABLE TRIBUNAL DATED
01.06.2023 PASSED IN THE
SERVICE APPEAL No. 1508/2022.

PRAYER:-

On acceptance of the instant implementation petition/ execution petition, respondents be directed to implement the judgment dated 01.06.2023, passed in the aforesaid service appeal by this Honorable tribunal in its true letter and spirits.

Respectfully Sheweth:-

1. That, petitioner/appellant filed the aforesaid service appeal before this Honorable tribunal which was allowed by this tribunal vide judgment dated 01.06.2023.

(Attested copy Appeal and judgment dated 01.06.2023 is annexed as Annexure "A")

2. That, after passing of the judgment dated 01.06.2023 appellant/petitioner approached concerned Department/ respondents No. 01 to 03 for the

implementation of the aforesaid Judgment but in vain.

3. That, judgment dated 01.06.2023 is liable to be implemented in its true but respondents refused to implement therefore the petitioner approached this Hon'ble tribunal for the implementation of said judgment.

PRAYER:-

On acceptance of the instant petition, respondents be directed to implement the judgment dated 01.06.2023, passed by this Hon'ble tribunal in its true letter and spirits.

Dated 02.10.2023



Muhammad Shahid
(Petitioner)

Through:-



SYED ASIF SHAH
Advocate High Court

Verification

It is certified that the contents of foregoing application are true and correct to the best of my knowledge and nothing has been concealed.



Muhammad Shahid

BEFORE THE SERVICE TRIBUNAL
K.P.K PESHAWAR

Muhammad Shahid **Petitioner**

VERSUS

Govt of KPK etc..... **respondents**

IMPLEMENTATION/EXECUTION PETITION

AFFIDAVIT

I, Muhammad Shahid son of Sultan Muhammad, resident of Baffa Pakhal, Tehsil Baffa Pakhal, District Mansehra Senior Research Officer BPS-18., DO HERBY SOLEMNLY AFFIRM AND DECLARE ON OATH THAT THE NO SUCH SUBJECT MATTER EXECUTION PETITION IN THE AFORESAID SERVICE APPEAL HAS EVER BEEN FILED BEFORE THIS HONORABLE COURT NOR PENDING NOR DECIDED. THAT THE CONTENTS OF FORE-GOING AFFIDAVIT ARE TRUE AND CORRECT TO THE BEST OF MY KNOWLEDGE AND BELIEF AND NOTHING HAS BEEN CONCEALED OR SUPPRESSED FROM THIS HONOURABLE TRIBUNAL.

Dated: 03.10.2023

 **DEPONENT**

Muhammad Shahid

1

(S)

BEFORE THE SERVICE TRIBUNAL
KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA PESHAWAR



Service appeal No 1508 of 2022


Muhammad Shahid son of Sultan Muhammad, resident of Baffa Pakhal, Tehsil Baffa Pakhal, District Mansehra, Senior Research Officer BPS-18.....**Appellant**

VERSUS

- 1) Government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa through Secretary Agriculture, livestock, fisheries and cooperative Department Peshawar.
 - 2) Director General Agriculture research Department Peshawar.
 - 3) Director Agriculture Research Station Tehsil Baffa Pakhal, Manshera.
 - 4) Tehseen Zeb Son of Aurangzeb, Seniro Research Officer BPS-18, Agricultural research Station Chitral.
-**Respondents**

APPEAL UNDER SECTION 4 OF KPK SERVICE
TRIBUNAL, ACT, 1974 AGAINST THE
IMPUGNED ORDER NO SOE(AD)3(3)POSTING
TRANSFER/RW/22:/950 DATED 20.07.2022
PASSED BY THE RESPONDENT NO. 01
WHEREBY THE APPELLANT WAS
TRANSFERRED FROM BAFFA MANSEHRA

ATTESTED



EXAMINER
Khyber Pakhtunkhwa
Service Tribunal
Peshawar

TO CHITRAL WITHOUT COMPILATION OF
TENURE/IMMATURE TRANSFER, BEING
AGGRIEVED PREFERRED DEPARTMENTAL
REPRESENTATION/ APPEAL TO THE
RESPONDENTS AGAINST THE IMPUGNED
ORDER WHICH WAS STILL NOT RESPONDED,
BOTH THE ABOVE MENTIONED IMPUGNED
ORDERS ARE WRONG, DISCRIMINATION
MALAFIDE, POLITICAL MOTIVATED/
INFLUENCE, ILLEGAL, UNLAWFUL, WITHOUT
LAWFUL AUTHORITY, HENCE LIABLE TO BE
SET ASIDE.

PRAYER:


On acceptance of the instant service appeal the impugned order bearing dated 20.07.2022 issued by respondent No. 01 and if any other order on the departmental Representation issued by respondents may kindly be set-aside declaring them illegal, malafide, discrimination, political motive/influence, premature, void and against the law on the subject, position/posting of the appellant in his present station may kindly be restore or any other relief as This Honourable Tribunal deems fit and appropriate in the circumstances of the case may also be issued/passed.

ATTESTED


EXAMINER
Khyber Pakhtunkhwa
Service Tribunal
Peshawar

Respectfully shewith!

- 1). That, appellant initially appointed as Research Officer BPS-17 on dated 15.09.2009 posted at Hazara Search Station Abbottabad later on the appellant was promoted in BPS-18 as a Senior Research Officer.
(copy of appointment order annexed as annexure "A").
- 2). That, appellant is bona fide residence of Baffa Pakhal, Tehsil Baffa Pakhal, District Mansehra KPK.
(Copy of CNIC and Domicile Certificate is annexed as annexure B&C respectively).
- 3). That, on dated 18.11.2009 the appellant transfer Hazara Agriculture Research Station Abbottabad to Agriculture Research Station Baffa.
(copy of transfer order dated 18.11.2009 annexed as annexure "D").
- 4). That, on dated 28.12.2018 the appellant transfer from Baffa to Agriculture Research Station Chitral.
(copy of transfer order dated 28.12.2018 annexed as annexure "E").
- 5). That, on dated 08.02.2022 the appellant once again transfer from Chitral to Baffa.
(copy of transfer order dated 08.02.2022 annexed as annexure "F").

ATTESTED

EXAMINER
Khyber Pakhtunkhwa
Service Tribunal
Peshawar

8

- 6). That, on dated 28.02.2022 without any reason the transfer order dated 08.02.2022 was astonishingly cancelled/withdraw.

(copy of order dated 28.02.2022 annexed as annexure "G").

- 7). That, appellant again transferred from Chitral to Baffa on dated 25.05.2022.

(copy of order dated 25.05.2022 annexed as annexure "H").

- 8). That, after short period of time the appellant once again transfer from Baffa to Chitral vide impugned order No. SOE(AD)3(3)/posting Transfer/ RW/ 22/ 950 dated 20th July 2022.


(Copy of impugned order dated 20.07.2022 annexed as annexure "I").

- 9). That, being an aggrieved from the above mentioned impugned order dated 20.07.2022 appellant preferred the departmental representation before the respondent No. 01 on dated 21.07.2022 which is still not responded by respondents.

(Copy of departmental appeal dated 21.07.2022 annexed as annexure "J").

- 10). That, the appellant being an aggrieved from the impugned orders passed by the respondents run from pillar to post for

ATTESTED



EXAMINER
Khyber Pakhtunkhwa
Service Tribunal
Peshawar

redressal of his grievances but in vain, hence being aggrieved, the appellant seeks the gracious indulgence of this Honourable Tribunal by way of instant appeal, inter alia, on the following grounds:


GROUND:

- A). That, the impugned transfer order is result of political motivated/pressure which smacked malafide and also in the result of discrimination, arbitrary, perverse, capricious and fanciful manner, without lawful authority, against the cannon of justice, against the fundamental right of the appellant, hence being unconstitutional liable to be struck down.
- B). That, both the impugned orders are based on violation of services law/ policy hence liable to be struck down.
- C). That, impugned transfer order was based on Malafide and violation of settled law for extraneous considerations to accommodate some blue eye person.

ATTESTED


EXAMINER
Khyber Pakhtunkhwa
Service Tribunal
Peshawar

- D). That, the respondents brushed aside all the relevant services rules and regulations.
- E). That, appellant is an honest, dedicated office and there is no complaint against the appellant in the whole period of his service.
- F). That, the entire period of his service/carrier spend in heard/fore long area/station.
- G). That, the appellant preferred the Departmental representation/appeal to the respondents but respondents still no response/reply to the appellant.
- H). That, indiscriminate, frequent, abrupt and premature transfer orders were found to the malafide, discrimination, arbitrary, perverse, political motivated hence liable to be cancelled.
- I). That, appellant transfer from Agriculture research Station Chitral to Agriculture Research Station Baffa after 03 years and 05 months and after short period of time about 55 days once again the appellant transfer from Baffa to Chitral which is clear cut violation of the service

ATTESTED

EXAMINER
Khyber Pakhtunkhwa
Service Tribunal
Peshawar


laws, concerned transfer and posting policy hence the impugned transfer order is liable to be cancelled/struck down.

J). That, mother of appellant is suffering from brain tumor, high Blood pressure and Sugar patient need intensive care. The appellant is only male member of his family, that's why the appellants is also eligible to restrained from his current station/position.

K). That, the powers or jurisdiction are vested in an authority to exercise it justly, fairly, honestly, judiciously and in accordance the mandate of law, rules and regulations but the respondents have transgressed upon their powers/ jurisdiction while dealing with the matter in hand.

L). That, it is an inalienable right of the appellant to enjoy the protection of law, rules and regulations but such right of the appellant has been infringed by the respondents in a sheer malafide manner.

M). That, the instant appeal is well within time.

ATTESTED

EXAMINER
Khyber Pakhtunkhwa
Service Tribunal
Peshawar

N). That, any other ground would be agitated at the time of arguments with the permission of tribunal.

: PRAYER

On acceptance of the instant service appeal the impugned order bearing dated 20.07.2022 issued by respondent No. 01 and if any other order on the departmental Representation issued by respondents may kindly be set-aside declaring them illegal, malafide, discrimination, political motive/influence, premature, void and against the law on the subject, position/posting of the appellant in his present station may kindly be restore or any other relief as This Honourable Tribunal deems fit and appropriate in the circumstances of the case may also be issued/passed.

Dated 20.08.2022

(Signature)

MUHAMMAD SHAHID
(Appellant)

Through:-

(Signature)

SYED ASIF SHAH
ADVOCATE HIGH COURT
At Mansehra

CERTIFICATE:


I, Muhammad Shahid son of Sultan Muhammad, resident of Baffa Pakhal, Tehsil Baffa Pakhal, District Mansehra, Senior Research Officer BPS-18, do hereby solemnly affirm and declare that the contents of foregoing Appeal are true and correct to the best of my knowledge and belief and nothing has been concealed or suppressed form this Honorable Tribunal.

MUHAMMAD SHAHID
(DEPONENT)

(Signature)

ATTESTED

(Signature)
EXAMINER
Khyber Pakhtunkhwa
Service Tribunal
Peshawar




Service Appeal No.1508/2022 filed "Muhammad Shahid versus The Government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa through Secretary Agriculture, Livestock, Fisheries and Cooperative Department Peshawar and others" dated 01.06.2023 by Division Bench comprising of Mr. Kalim Arshad Khan, Chairman, and Mr. Salah Ud Din, Justice, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Service Tribunal, Peshawar.

**KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICE TRIBUNAL,
PESHAWAR.**

**BEFORE: KALIM ARSHAD KHAN ... CHAIRMAN
SALAH UD DIN ... MEMBER (Judicial)**

Service Appeal No.1508/2022

Date of presentation of Appeal.....20.10.2022
Date of Hearing.....01.06.2023
Date of Decision.....01.06.2023



Muhammad Shahid son of Sultan Muhammad, resident of Baffa Pakhal, Tehsil Baffa Pakhal, District Mansehra, Senior Research Officer (BPS-18).

.....*Appellant*

Versus

1. The Government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa through Secretary Agriculture, Livestock, Fisheries and Cooperative Department, Peshawar.
2. Director General Agriculture research Department Peshawar.
3. Director Agriculture Research Station Tehsil Baffa Pakhal, Manshera.
4. Tehseen Zeb son of Aurangzeb, Senior Research Officer (BPS-18), Agriculture Research Station Chitral.

.....*(Respondents)*

Present:

Syed Asif Shah, Advocate.....For the appellant

Mr. Muhammad Jan, District AttorneyFor official respondents.

.....
APPEAL UNDER SECTION 4 OF THE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICE TRIBUNAL ACT, 1974 AGAINST THE IMPUGNED ORDER NO. SOE (AD)3(3)POSTING TRANSFER/RW/22:/950 DATED 20.07.2022 PASSED BY THE RESPONDENT NO.1 WHEREBY THE APPELLANT WAS TRANSFERRED FROM BAFFA MANSEHRA TO CHITRAL WITHOUT COMPILATION OF TENURE/IMMATURE TRANSFER, BEING AGGRIEVED PREFERRED DEPARTMENTAL REPRESENTATION/APPEAL TO THE RESPONDENTS AGAINST THE IMPUGNED ORDER WHICH WAS STILL NOT RESPONDED, BOTH THE ABOVE

ATTESTED


**EXAMINER
Khyber Pakhtunkhwa
Service Tribunal
Peshawar**


MENTIONED IMPUGNED ORDERS ARE WRONG, DISCRIMINATION MALAFIDE, POLITICAL MOTIVATED/INFLUENCE, ILLEGAL, UNLAWFUL, WITHOUT LAWFUL AUTHORITY, HENCE LIABLE TO BE SET ASIDE.

JUDGMENT

KALIM ARSHAD KHAN CHAIRMAN: Brief facts of the case are that the appellant was appointed as Research Officer (BPS-17) on 15.09.2009 and posted at Hazara Search Station, Abbottabad; that the appellant was later on promoted to the post of Senior Research Officer (BPS-18); that on 18.11.2009, the appellant was transferred from Hazara Agriculture Research Station, Abbottabad to Agriculture Research Station, Baffa, Mansehra; that on 28.12.2018, the appellant was transferred from Baffa, Mansehra to Agriculture Research Station, Chitral; that on 08.02.2022, the appellant was once again transferred from Chitral to Baffa, Mansehra; that on 28.02.2022 the transfer order dated 08.02.2022 of the appellant was withdrawn; that the appellant was again transferred from Chitral to Baffa on 25.05.2022; that after a short span of time, the appellant was once again transferred from Baffa to Chitral vide impugned order dated 20.07.2022; that feeling aggrieved, the appellant preferred departmental appeal on 21.07.2022, which was not responded within the statutory period of ninety days, hence, the present service appeal.

2. On receipt of the appeal and its admission to full hearing, the respondents were summoned. Respondents put appearance and contested the




ATTESTED

EXAMINER
Khyber Pakhtunkhwa
Service Tribunal
Peshawar


MENTIONED IMPUGNED ORDERS ARE WRONG, DISCRIMINATION MALAFIDE, POLITICAL MOTIVATED/INFLUENCE, ILLEGAL, UNLAWFUL, WITHOUT LAWFUL AUTHORITY, HENCE LIABLE TO BE SET ASIDE.

JUDGMENT

KALIM ARSHAD KHAN CHAIRMAN: Brief facts of the case are that the appellant was appointed as Research Officer (BPS-17) on 15.09.2009 and posted at Hazara Search Station, Abbottabad; that the appellant was later on promoted to the post of Senior Research Officer (BPS-18); that on 18.11.2009, the appellant was transferred from Hazara Agriculture Research Station, Abbottabad to Agriculture Research Station, Baffa, Mansehra; that on 28.12.2018, the appellant was transferred from Baffa, Mansehra to Agriculture Research Station, Chitral; that on 08.02.2022, the appellant was once again transferred from Chitral to Baffa, Mansehra; that on 28.02.2022 the transfer order dated 08.02.2022 of the appellant was withdrawn; that the appellant was again transferred from Chitral to Baffa on 25.05.2022; that after a short span of time, the appellant was once again transferred from Baffa to Chitral vide impugned order dated 20.07.2022; that feeling aggrieved, the appellant preferred departmental appeal on 21.07.2022, which was not responded within the statutory period of ninety days, hence, the present service appeal.

2. On receipt of the appeal and its admission to full hearing, the respondents were summoned. Respondents put appearance and contested the



ATTESTED

EXAMINER
Khyber Pakhtunkhwa
Service Tribunal
Peshawar

appeal by filing written reply, raising therein numerous legal and factual objections. The defense setup was a total denial of the claim of the appellant.


3. We have heard learned counsel for the appellants and learned District Attorney for the respondents.

4. Learned counsel for appellant contended that the impugned transfer order was the result of political motivation/pressure which smacked malafide and also the result of discrimination, arbitrary, perverse and without lawful authority; He further argued that the frequent transfer posting orders of the appellant was against the Transfer/Posting Policy of the Provincial Government which was liable to be set aside. At the end he requested that the instant appeal might be accepted.

5. As against that learned District Attorney argued that the transfer order 20.07.2022 was issued in public interest, therefore, the appellant had been treated in accordance with law. Furthermore, posting/transfer is a part of service and the appellant was required under Section-10 of the Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Civil Servant Act, 1973 to serve anywhere in the province.

6. The contention of the appellant is that he was successively being transferred within short spans of times. He stated that on 28.12.2018, he was transferred to the Agriculture Research Centre, Chitral, after spending more than three years at Chitral, the appellant was transferred to Baffa, District Mansehra on 08.02.2022. The order dated 08.02.2022 was withdrawn vide

ATTESTED



EXAMINER
Khyber Pakhtunkhwa
Service Tribunal
Peshawar

order dated 28.02.2022 but on 25.05.2022 the appellant was again transferred as Senior Research Officer (Plant Pathology BS-18) Agriculture Research Center, Baffa, District Mansehra. Just within two months he was again transferred to Chitral vide impugned notification dated 20.07.2022. The contention of pre-mature transfer was controverted by the respondents in the manner that it was the government administration and jurisdiction to order transfer posting order of the Officer wherever their services were required in the best public interest. It was contended that the appellant had spent most of his service period in Baffa i.e. his home town.

7. The contention of the respondents that it was the prerogative of the government to utilize the services of its servants may be considered but when once the government has notified a posting transfer policy for the civil servants wherein a specific tenure has been fixed then in such an eventuality the government or the authority competent to transfer a civil servant had to have the regard of the term of policy notified by itself. There is no denial of the fact that the appellant after spending more than three years at Chitral, was transferred to Baffa on 08.02.2022, which order was withdrawn on 28.02.2022 and again the appellant was transferred on 25.05.2022. After 25.05.2022, it was just and appropriate that the appellant, who had spent more than three years at Chitral before his posting to Baffa, should have been allowed to complete at least two years at Baffa in view of the two years' tenure provided in the posting/transfer policy. As against that the appellant was transferred just within two months i.e. on 20.07.2022 to Chitral without having regard to the tenure provided in the policy. Therefore,

ATTESTED

EXAMINER
Khyber Pakhtunkhwa
Service Tribunal
Peshawar



Service Appeal No.1508-2022 titled "Muhammad Shahid versus The Government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa through Secretary Agriculture, Livestock, Fisheries and Cooperative Department Peshawar and others", decided on 01.06.2023 by Division Bench comprising of Mr. Kalim Arshad Khan, Chairman, and Mr. Salah Ud Din, Member Judicial, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Service Tribunal, Peshawar

we will not maintain the impugned order and on allowing this appeal, we set aside the impugned transfer order dated 20.07.2022 thereby directing the respondents to allow the appellant to complete his normal tenure at Agriculture Research Center, Baffa district Mansehra. We direct that the cost of this appeal shall abide by the result. Consign.


8. Pronounced in open Court at Peshawar and given under our hands and the seal of the Tribunal on this 1st day of June, 2023.


KALIM ARSHAD KHAN
Chairman


SALAH UD DIN
Member (Judicial)

Adnan Shah, P.A.

Certified to be true copy,


Adnan Shah
Khyber Pakhtunkhwa
Service Tribunal,
Peshawar

Date of Presentation of Application 26/9/23
Number of Words Page 13
Copying Fee 65/-
Urgent 5/-
Total 70/-
Name of Copyist _____
Date of Completion of Copy 26/9/23
Date of Delivery of Copy 26/9/23

وکالت نامہ

بعدالت جناب سروس ٹریڈنگ کمپنی لیمیٹڈ

مخانب
محمد شاہد بنام گورنمنٹ آف پاکستان
دعویٰ یا جرم باعث تقریر آئندہ ناصلاً

مندرجہ بالا عنوان میں اپنی طرف سے پیروی و جواب دہی بنام گورنمنٹ آف پاکستان صرف سہ ماہی کے لئے

بد میں شرط وکیل مقرر کیا ہے میں ہر پیشی پر خود یا بذریعہ مختار خاص رو برو عدالت حاضر ہوتا ہوں

گا اور بوقت پکارے جانے پر وکیل صاحب موصوف کو اطلاع دیکر حاضر کروں گا اگر کسی پیشی پر منظر حاضر نہ ہو اور غیر حاضری کی وجہ سے کسی طور پر مقدمہ میرے خلاف بڑ گیا تو صاحب موصوف اس کے کسی طرح ذمہ دار نہ ہوں گے۔ نیز وکیل صاحب موصوف صدر مقام کچہری کے علاوہ کسی اور جگہ کچہری کے مقررہ اوقات سے پہلے یا بروز تعطیل پیروی کرنے کے مجاز نہ ہوں گے۔ اگر مقدمہ مقام کچہری کے کسی اور جگہ سماعت ہونے پر بروز کچہری کے اوقات کے آگے یا پیچھے ہونے پر منظر کو کوئی نقصان پہنچے تو ذمہ دار یا اس کے واسطے کسی معاوضہ ادا کرنے، مختار نامہ واپس کرنے کے بھی صاحب موصوف ذمہ دار نہ ہوں گے کہ مجھے کئی ساختہ پر داخستہ صاحب مشل کردہ ذات خود منظور قبول ہوگا اور صاحب موصوف کو عرضی دعویٰ اور درخواست اجراء ڈگری و نظر ثانی اپیل نگرانی دائر کرنے نیز روپیہ وصول کرنے اور رسید دینے اور داخل کرنے کا ہر قسم کا بیان دینے اور سپرد ثالثی و راضی نامہ و فیصلہ برخلاف کرنے و اقبال دعویٰ کا اختیار ہوگا اور بطور ت انجیل و برآمدگی مقدمہ یا منسوخی ڈگری یکطرفہ درخواست حکم امتناعی یا ڈگری قبل از فیصلہ اجراء ڈگری بھی صاحب موصوف کو بشرط ادائیگی علیحدہ پیروی مختار نامہ کرنے کا مجاز ہوگا اور بصورت ضرورت اپیل یا اپیل کے واسطے کسی دوسرے وکیل یا ایئر سٹر کو بجائے اپنے ہمراہ مقررہ کریں اور ایسے مشیر قانونی کو بھی اس امر میں وہی اختیارات حاصل ہوں گے جیسے صاحب موصوف کو پوری فیس تاریخ پیشی سے پہلے ادا نہ کروں گا تو صاحب موصوف کو پورا اختیار ہوگا کہ مقدمہ کی پیروی نہ کریں اور ایسی حالت میں میرا مطالبہ صاحب موصوف کے برخلاف نہیں ہوگا۔ لہذا مختار نامہ لکھ دیا ہے کہ یہ سندر ہے۔ مضمون مختار نامہ سن لیا ہے اور اچھی طرح سمجھ لیا ہے اور منظور ہے۔

۲۰۰۰

مورخہ

ATTESTED

محمد شاہد ولد سلطان محمد

وکیل