# Form- A FORM OF ORDER SHEET

Court of	1			
0001001	·	 	 	 

## Implementation Petition No. 748/2023

S.No.	Date of order proceedings	Order or other proceedings with signature of judge
1.	2	3.
1	11.10.2023	The implementation petition of Mr. Arif Saeed
·	•	submitted today by Syed Roman Shah Advocate. It is
	•	fixed for implementation report before Single Bench at
		Peshawar on Original file be
		requisitioned. AAG has noted the next date. Parcha peshi
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		is given to the counsel for the petitioner.
		By the order of Chairman
	•	4
		↓ REGISTRAR
,	;	
,		
	•	
7 .	·	
,	,	
	,	

### BEFORE THE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICE TRIBUNAL PESHAWAR

Execution Petition No. 7/12 /2023

### **Arif Saeed** VERSUS Govt of KPK & others

### INDEX

S.No	Description of Documents	Annex	Pages
1.	Execution Petition		1-4
2.	Application		5-6
3.	Copy of Appeal and Judgment	A	7-11
4.	Wakalat Nama		√ 12

**SYED ROMAN SHAH** Advocate, High Court Peshawar



# BEFORE THE SERVICE TRIBUNAL KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWAPESHAWAR

Execution Petition No. 748/2023, In Service appeal no. 604 of 2023

Arif S	Saeed S/o Banda Badshah R/o Gardhi Banda, P/o Ahma	d Abad,
Khuj	aki Kala, Tehsil Takht-e-Nasrati, District Karak	.Decree
Holde	er/Petitioner Service	r <b>Pak</b> htakh Roc Tribanal
	Digry*	<u> 8738</u>
-	Versus	11/10/29
1.	Government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa through Chief Se	cretary,
	Peshawar	
2.	Government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, through, Secretar	y Home
	and Tribal Affairs, Peshawar	
3.	Deputy Commissioner, Karak/ commandant Levies Force K	arak
	Judgment	
	Debtor/Respondent	

PETITION FOR DIRECTING THE RESPONDENT TO IMPLEMENT THE JUDGMENT AND ORDER DATED 24/08/2023 OF THIS HONORABLE TRIBUNAL, IN THE ABOVE NOTED APPEAL.

Respectfully Sheweth;

Applicant humbly submits as under

- 1. That the above noted appeal has been decided on 24/08/2023, by this honorable tribunal in favor of the petitioner.
- 2. That the appeal of the petitioner has been disposed of in the following manner, the Judgment is re-produced below;

"In some of the appeals learned counsel for the appellants are present while some appellants are in person present. Mr. Muhammad Jan, District Attorney along with M/s Liaqat Ali DSP, Hakim Zada Superintendent, Muhammad Asim Khan Assistant, Parvez Khan Assistant and Sharif Ullah Assistant for respondents present.

It is noted with serious concern that nobody from the Home Department put appearance. Copy of this order be thus sent to the Worthy Chief Secretary Khyber Pakhtunkhwa and Worthy Secretary, Home and Tribal Affairs Department, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa for information.

The matter was heard on more than one dates and could not be decided because of pendency of a CP No.818/2023 before the august Supreme Court of Pakistan. During the course of arguments on some previous dates, Dr. Adnan Khan learned counsel for some of the appellants had informed the Tribunal that the petitioners, who had approached the august Supreme Court of Pakistan, against the judgment of Hon'ble Peshawar High Court, in Writ Petition No.363-M of 2021 dated 29.11.2022, had submitted application for withdrawal of the CP from the august Supreme Court of Pakistan. The august Supreme Court was pleased to dismiss the CP as withdrawn on 07.06.2023. Today, Mr. Taimur Haider, Advocate/counsel for the appellant in Service Appeal No. 162/2023, produced copy of an Act of the Provincial Assembly named "The Provincially Administered Tribal Areas Levies Force (Amendment) Act, 2021" in to which a new section, Section-11 was added, which is reproduced as under: All levies

"11. Reinstatement of the levies personnel--- All levies personnel, who have been retired from the Force, with effect from 22.03.2021, till the

commencement of the Provincially Administered Tribal Areas Levies Force (Amendment) Act, 2021 shall be reinstated in the Force as regular employees, with effect from their respective dates of retirement and they shall be deemed as never retired from the Force."

When confronted with the provisions of the newly added Section-11 of the Act of 2021, whereby, all Levies personnel, who had retired from the Force w.e.f 22.03.2021 till the commencement of the Act i.e. 30.11.2021, were reinstated as regular employees w.e.f respective dates of retirement and were deemed to have never retired from the Force, the learned counsel was very fair to say that there was nothing more to be resolved by this Tribunal in these appeals, so is the agreement of other learned counsel as well as appellants present before the Tribunal, because by promulgation of the above Act especially insertion of new Section-11, where after, both the impugned Notifications no more remained effective. They, however, contend that even the provisions of the Act were not be complied with/implemented by the respondents. They say they would approach the proper forum for giving effect to/implementation of the provisions of Section-11 of the Act of 2021 and in case their grievances are not addressed in accordance with the terms of the Act, they would recourse to further legal remedies available to them. Disposed of in the above terms. (Copies of this order be placed in all connected appeals).

Consign.

Pronounced in open Court at Peshawar and given under our hands and seal of the Tribunal on this 24th day 2023." (Judgment is Attached As Annexure-A)

- 3. That the petitioner had approached time and again to the respondent to implement the judgment of this hon'ble tribunal but the respondent deaf eared to the petitioner.
- 4. That now the petitioner has no other remedy but to approach this Hon'ble tribunal for the implementation of this tribunal Judgment/Order dated 24/08/2023.
- 5. That there is no bar in filing of this petition, and this petition is well within time.

It is therefore most humbly prayed that upon acceptance of this petition, the respondents may please be directed to implement the Judgment and order of this Hon, ble tribunal Dated 24/08/2023, and to punish the respondents for defiance of this Hon'ble tribunal Judgment and order.

Yeul Petitioner

Through

Syed Roman Shah

Advocate High Court

#### **AFFIDIVIT**

I. Arif Saeed S/o Banda Badshah R/o Gardhi Banda, P/o Ahmad Abad, Khujaki Kala, Tehsil Takht-e-Nasrati, District Karak, do hereby solemnly affirm and declare on oath that the contents of the above petition are true and correct to the best of my knowledge and belief and nothing has been concealed from this Honorable tribunal.



DEPONENT



# BEFORE THE SERVICE TRIBUNAL KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWAPESHAWAR

CM in Execution Petition No.	/2023,	
	·.	
Arif Saeed	•••••	Decree Holder/Petitioner
	Versus ,	

Government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa through Chief Secretary, Peshawar

APPLICATION FOR MAINTAINING STATUS QUO
TO THE EXTEND OF PETITIONER BY NOT
RETIRING THE PETITIONER TILL THE FINAL
DISPOSAL OF EXECUTION PETITION

Respectfully sheweth.

Petitioner humbly submits as under,

- 1. That the petitioner has submitted the instant execution petition for implementation of the judgment Dated 24-08-2023, the contents of which may please be read as part and parcel of this application.
- 2. That the petitioners are the serving employees of Levies Force Karak, and have obtained the Judgment in their favor.
- 3. That now the respondents are going to retire the petitioner from the service in violation of judgment dated 24/08/2023.
- 4. That, if the status Quo is not maintained, the execution of petitioner would become infructuous, and the petitioner will suffer irreparable loss.

It is therefore most humbly prayed that upon acceptance of this application status quo to the extent of retiring the petitioner may please be maintained till the consignment of execution petition.

through Syed Roman Shah.

#### **AFFIDIVIT**

I, Arif Saeed S/o Banda Badshah R/o Gardhi Banda, P/o Ahmad Abad, Khujaki Kala, Tehsil Takht-e-Nasrati, District Karak, do hereby solemnly affirm and declare on oath that the contents of the above application are true and correct to the best of my knowledge and belief and nothing has been concealed from this Honorable tribunal.







# BEFORE THE SERVICE TRIBUNAL KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA PESHAWAR

Service Appeal No. 604 /2022

#### Versus

- 1. Government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa through Secretary, Home and Tribal Affairs Civil Secretariat, Peshawar.
- 2. Provincial Police officer /Inspector General of Police, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar
- 3. Regional Police Officer Kohat region Khyber Pakhtunkhwa

APPEAL UNDER SECTION 4 OF SERVICE TRIBUNAL ACT, 1974
AGAINST THE NOTIFICATION DATED 22/03/2021,

AND AGINST THE AMENDED NOTIFICATION NO.SO(POLICE-II)/HD/1-3/2020/MKD/LEVIES DATED 21-10-2021 WHERBY THE RETIRING AGE FOR THE APPELLANT HAS BEEN REDUCED TO 25 YEARS OF SERVICE OR 42 YEARS AND FURTHER 45 YEARS OF AGE WHICHEVERE IS EARLIER FROM THE AGE OF SUPERNUATION 60 YEARS.

### PRAYER.

On accepting this service appeal, the impugned notification Dated 22/03/2021 and the amended notification dated 21/10/2021 may graciously be set aside by declaring it illegal, unlawful, without authority, based on mala fide, void abinatio and thus not sustainable in the eyes of law.

Respectfully Sheweth;

 That the petitioner was appointed on 22/04/2010 as levy Sepoy BPS-5 in District Karak. (Copy of appointment letter is attach as Annexufe "A")

> examples Chief Pathicker

Service Appeal No.1916/2022 titled "Muhammad Salim Vs. The Government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa through Chief Secretary, Civil Secretariat at Peshawar an others"

ORDER 24<sup>th</sup> Aug. 2023

Kalim Arshad Khan, Chairman: Through this single order this appear and all the following connected appeals are being decided as all are against impugned same Notifications No.SO(Police-II)HD/MKD/Levies/Misc./2020 dated 22.03.2021 and No.SO(Police-II)HD/1-3/FEDERAL LEVIES 2021 dated 20. 10.2021. Nos:1916/2022, 1917/2022, 1918/2022, 1919/2022, 1920/2022. 1921/2022, 1922/2022, 1923/2022, 1924/2022, 1925/2022, 1926/2022, 1927/2022, 1928/2022, 1929/2022, 1930/2022, 1931/2022, 1932/2022, 1933/2022, 1934/2022, 1935/2022, 1936/2022, 1937/2022, 1938/2022, 1939/2022, 1940/2022, 1941/2022, 1942/2022, 1943/2022, 1944/2022, 1945/2022, 1946/2022, 1947/2022, 1948/2022, 1949/2022, 1950/2022, 1951/2022, 1952/2022, 1953/2022, 1954/2022, 1955/2022, 1956/2022, 1957/2022, 1958/2022, 1959/2022, 1960/2022, 1961/2022, 1962/2022, 1963/2022, 1964/2022, 1965/2022 1966/2022, 1967/2022, 1968/2022, 1969/2022, 1970/2022, 1971/2022, 1972/2022, 1973/2022, 1974/2022, 1975/2022, 1976/2022, 1977/2022, 1978/2022, 1979/2022, 1980/2022, 1981/2022, 1982/2022, 1983/2022, 1984/2022, 1985/2022, 1986/2022, 1987/2022, 1988/2022, 1989/2022, 1990/2022, 1991/2022, 1992/2022, 1993/2022, 1994/2022, 1995/2022, 1996/2022, 1997/2022, 1998/2022, 1999/2022, 2000/2022, 2001/2022, 2002/2022, 2003/2022, 2006/2022, 34/2023, 35/2023, 36/2023, 37/2023, 38/2023, 39/2023, 40/2023, 41/2023, 42/2023, 43/2023, 44/2023, 45/2023, 46/2023, 47/2023,

Page 1


9

48/2023, 49/2023, 50/2023, 51/2023, 52/2023, 53/2023, 54/2023, 55/2023, 56/2023, 57/2023, 58/2023, 59/2023, 60/2023, 61/2023, 62/2023, 63/2023, 64/2023, 65/2023, 66/2023, 67/2023, 68/2023, 69/2023, 70/2023, 71/2023, 72/2023, 73/2023, 162/2023, 168/2023, 169/2023, 170/2023, 171/2023, 172/2023, 173/2023, 175/2023, 176/2023, 177/2023, 178/2023, 179/2023, 181/2023, 182/2023, 183/2023, 187/2023. 188/2023, 189/2023, 190/2023, 194/2023, 195/2023, 196/2023, 193/2023, 197/2023. 198/2023, 199/2023, 200/2023, 201/2023, 202/2023, 203/2023, 204/2023 206/2023, 207/2023, 205/2023, 208/2023, 209/2023, 210/2023, 211/2023, 212/2023, 213/2023, 257/2023, 257/2023, 258/2023. 315/2023, 322/2023, 408/2023, 259/2023, 409/2023. 411/2023, 412/2023, 413/2023, 414/2023, 410/2023 415/2023. 418/2023, 419/2023, 601/2023, 602/2023, 603/2023 604/2023. 625/2023, 626/2023, 629/2023, 605/2023 630/2023, 631/2023, 632/2023 634/2023, 635/2023, 636/2023, 637/2023, 633/2023 638/2023, 640/2023, 641/2023, 642/2023, 643/2023, 639/2023 644/2023, 645/2023, 646/2023, 659/2023, 660/2023, 661/2023, 682/2023, 793/2023, 870/2023, 1175/2023, 1258/2023, 1259/2023, 1288/2023, 1289/2023, 1300/2023, 1372/2023 and Service Appeal No. 1538/2023.

2. In some of the appeals learned counsel for the appellants are present while some appellants are in person present. Mr. Muhammad Jan, District Attorney alongwith M/S Liaqat, Ali DSP, Hakim Zada

74 08 WHERE R

Page 7



- 3. It is noted with serious concern that nobody from the Home Department put appearance. Copy of this order be thus sent to the Worthy Chief Secretary Khyber Pakhtunkhwa and Worthy Secretary, Home and Tribal Affairs Department, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa for information.
- The matter was heard on more than one dates and could not be decided because of pendency of a CP No.818/2023 before the august Supreme Court of Pakistan. During the course of arguments on some previous dates, Dr. Adnan Khan learned counsel for some of the appellants had informed the Tribunal that the petitioners, who had approached the august Supreme Court of Pakistan, against the judgment of Hon'ble Peshawar High Court, in Writ Petition No.363-M of 2021 dated 29.11.2022, had submitted application for withdrawal of the CP from the august Supreme Court of Pakistan. The august Supreme Court was pleased to dismiss the CP as withdrawn on 07.06.2023. Today, Mr. Taimur Haider, Advocate/counsel for the appellant in Service Appeal No. 162/2023, produced copy of an Act of the Provincial Assembly named "The Provincially Administered Tribal Areas Levies Force (Amendment) Act, 2021" in to which a new section, Section-11 was added, which is reproduced as under:
  - responsel. --- All levies personnel. --- All levies personnel, who have been retired from the Force, with effect from 22.03.2021, till the commencement of the Provincially Administered Tribal Areas Levies Force (Amendment) Act, 2021 shall be reinstated in the Force as regular employees, with effect from their respective dates of retirement and they shall be deemed as never retired from the Force."

EXAMINER 34,08-333
Knyber Pakarahhwa
Service 37/3-1-2-31

age 2

When confronted with the provisions of the newly added Section-11 of the Act of 2021, whereby, all Levies personnel, who had retired from the Force w.e.f 22.03.2021 till the commencement of the Act i.e. 30.11.2021, were reinstated as regular employees w.e.f respective dates of retirement and were deemed to have never retired from the Force, the learned counsel was very fair to say that there was nothing more to be resolved by this Tribunal in these appeals, so is the agreement of other learned counsel as well as appellants present before the Tribunal, because by promulgation of the above Act especially insertion of new Section-11, whereafter, both the impugned Notifications no more remained effective. They, however, contend that even the provisions of the Act were not be complied with/implemented by the respondents. They say they would approach the proper forum for giving effect to/implementation of the provisions of Section-11 of the Act of 2021 and in case their grievances are not addressed in accordance with the terms of the Act, they would recourse to further legal remedies available to them. Disposed of in the above terms. (Copies of this order be placed in all connected appeals). Consign.

5. Pronounced in open Court at Peshawar and given under our hands and seal of the Tribunal on this 24<sup>th</sup> day of August, 2023.

(Salah-Ud-Din) Member (J)

(Kalim Arshad Khan) Chairman

Certified befure cop

Khyber Felchtunkhw Service Tribunal Service Tribunal

Muuzem Shah\*

	entation of ' pplication	37/08/2023
	. ber of Words	91- 20/-
:	ont	5/-
	.I m of Cepyic	***
	of Complection of Copy	31/08/2023

