Form- A

FORM OF ORDER SHEET

| 000110 | ····· | | |
|--------|-------|-------|--|
| • | | • | |
| | 1 | | |
| | | • | |
| - 81- | 0119 | /2021 | |

| | Case No | <u> </u> |
|-------|---------------------------|---|
| s.No. | Date of order proceedings | Order or other proceedings with signature of judge |
| - | 2 | |
| 1 | . 2 | 3 |
| | | The appeal presented today by Syed Noman Ali Bukhari |
| 1- | 15/06/2021 | Advocate may be entered in the Institution Register and put to the Worthy |
| | | |
| | | Chairman for proper order please. |
| | | Veru |
| • | • | REGISTRAR * |
| 2- | | This case is entrusted to S. Bench for preliminary hearing to be pu |
| | · | up there on 18.06.2021. [Care with appeal no.12889/2020,] |
| • | | |
| | | |
| | | CHAIRMAN |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | · . | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | · | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| - | | |
| | *. | |
| | | e. |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | , | |
| | | |

BEFORE THE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICE TRIBUNAL PESHAWAR

| APPE | AL NO | /2021 |
|-----------------|-------|-------------------|
| | : | |
| | • | |
| Ihsan al-wadood | VS | Education Deptt:. |

INDEX.

| S.NO | DOCUMENTS | ANNEXURE | PAGE |
|----------------|------------------------------|----------|--------|
| 1. | Memo of appeal | | 1 – 4 |
| 2. | Copy of notification dated | A | 05 |
| . . | 20.12.2012 | | |
| 3. | Copy of pay slip of vacation | В | 06 |
| 4. | Copy of pay slip working | C | 07 |
| 5. | Copy of departmental appeal | D | 08 |
| 6. | Copy of High Court Judgment | Е | 09-15- |
| 7. | Copy of tribunal judgment | F | 16-17 |
| 8. | Vakalatnama | | 18 |

APPELLANT

THROUGH

(SYED NOMAN AI BUKHARI) ADVOCATE HIGH COURT

BEFORE THE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICE TRIBUNAL <u>PESH</u>AWAR

GHS Shabqadar, Charsadda

VERSUS

- 1. The Government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa through Chief Secretary, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar.
- 2. The Secretary (E&SE) Department, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar.
- 3. The Secretary Finance Department, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar.
- 4. The Accountant General, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar.
- 5. The Director of (E&SE) Department, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar.
- 6. District Education Officer (M) Charsadda (E&SE) Department, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa.
- 7. District account officer Charsadda, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa.

.....RESPONDENTS

SECTION-4 <u>UNDER</u> PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICE TRIBUNAL ACT, 1974 AGAINST THE IMPUGNED ACTION OF THE RESPONDENTS iledto-day ILLEGALLY AND UNLAWFULLY **DEDUCTING** CONVEYANCE **ALLOWANCE** OF THE APPELLANT DURING WINTER & SUMMER VACATIONS AND AGAINST NO ACTION TAKEN ON THE DEPARTMENTAL APPEAL OF THE APPELLANT WITHIN THE STATUTORY PERIOD OF NINETY DAYS.

PRAYER:

ON **ACCEPTANCE** APPEAL OF THIS RESPONDENTS MAY KINDLY BE DIRECTED NOT TO **DEDUCTION** CONVEYANCE **OF ALLOWANCE VACATIONS DURING** PERIOD (SUMER VACATIONS) AND MAKE THE **PAYMENT OUTSTANDING AMOUNT OF CONVEYANCE ALLOWANCE** WHICH HAVE BEEN DEDUCTED PREVIOUSLY WITH ALL BACK BENEFITS BIENG SIMILAR PLACED EMPLOYEE.

ANY OTHER REMEDY WHICH THIS AUGUST TRIBUNAL DEEMS FIT THAT MAY ALSO BE AWARDED IN FAVOR OF THE APPELLANT.

R/SHEWETH:

13:4:1

假罚

1:32

115

. .

į, į

į į

ON FACTS:

1. That the appellant is serving in the Elementary & Secondary Education Department quite efficiently and up to the entire satisfaction of their superiors.

ť,

- 2. That the Conveyance allowance is admissible to all the Civil Servants and to this effect a Notification No.FD (PRC)1-1/2011 dated 14.7.2011 was issued. That later on vide revised notification dated 20.12.2012 whereby the conveyance allowance for employees working in BPS 1 to 15 were enhance/revised while employees from BPS-16 to 19 have been treated under the precious notification by not enhancing their conveyance allowance. Copy of the notification dated 20.12.2012 are attached as annexure A.
- 3. That appellant was receiving the conveyance allowances as admissible under the law and rules but the respondents without any valid and justifiable reasons stopped/deducted the payment of conveyance allowance under the wrong and illegal pretext that the same is not allowed for the leave period. Copies of the salary slips of working/serving month and vacations (deduction period) are sattached as annexure B & C.
- 4. That appellant feeling aggrieved from the action of the respondents regarding deduction of conveyance allowance in vacations period/months filed departmental appeal on 15.04.2020 but no reply has been received so far. Copies of the departmental appeal is attached as annexure-D.
- 5. That it is pertinent to mentioned here that feeling aggrieved some colleagues of appellant filed writ petition no.3162-P/2019 before the Peshawar High Court, Peshawar which was disposed of vide judgment dated 1.10.2019 with the direction to approach the proper forum i.e. service tribunal. Copies of the judgment is attached as annexure E.
- 6. That some of colleagues of the appellant approached to this august tribunal in different services appeal which was allowed by this august tribunal vide its judgment dated 11.11.2019. Copy of the judgment is attached as annexure F.
- 7. where after the appellant waited for the statutory period of ninety days but no reply has been received from the respondents. That appellant

15-5

1

feeling aggrieved and having no other remedy filed the instant service appeal on the following grounds amongst the others.

GROUNDS

بالبسل

- A. That the action and inaction of the respondents regarding deduction of conveyance allowance for vacations period/months is illegal, against the law, facts, norms of natural justice.
- B. That the appellant have not been treated by the respondent department in accordance with law and rules on the subject noted above and as such the respondents violated article 4 and 25 of the constitution of Islamic Republic of Pakistan 1973.
- C. That the action of the respondents is without any legal authority, discriminatory and in clear violation of fundamental rights duly conferred by the constitution and is liable to be declared as null and void.
- D. That there is clear difference between leave and vacation as leave is applied by the Civil Servant in light Government Servant Revised Leave Rules, 1981 while the vacations are always announced by the Government, therefore under the law and Rules the appellant fully entitled for the grant of conveyance allowance during vacations period.
 - E. That the Government Servant Revised Leave Rules, 1981 clearly explain that the civil servants who avail the vacations are allowed only one leave in a month whereas, the other civil servants may avail 04 days leave in a calendar months and the same aer credited to this account and in this way he may avail 48 days earned leave with full pay, whereas the Government servants to avail vacation such as appellant is allowed one day leave in a month and twelve (12) days in a year and earned leave for twelve days in a year are credited to his account and there is no question of deduction of conveyance allowance for vacation period, the respondents while making the deduction of conveyance allowance lost sight of this legal aspects and illegally and without any authority started the recovery and deduction of conveyance allowance from appellant.
 - F. That as the act of the respondents is illegal, unconstitutional, without any legal authority and not only discriminatory but is also the result of malafide on the part of respondents.
 - G. That appellant has the vested right of equal treatment before law and the act of the respondents to deprive the petitioners from the

this Mith

}.

conveyance/allowance is unconstitutional and clear violation of fundamental rights.

- H. That according to Government Servants Revised leave rules, 1981 vacations are holidays and not leave of any kind, therefore, the deduction of conveyance allowance in vacations is against the law and rules.
- I. That according to Article 38 (e) of the constitution of Islamic Republic of Pakistan, 1973 the state is bound to reduce disparity in the income and earning of individuals including persons in the services of the federation, therefore in light of the said article the appellant fully entitle for the grant of conveyance allowance during vacations.
- J. That the petitioners seeks permission of this Honourable Court to raise any other grounds available at the time of arguments.

It is therefore, most humbly prayed that the appeal of the appellant may be accepted as prayed for.

IHEM Wadeod
APPELLANT

THROUGH

(SYED NOMAN AI BUKHARI) ADVOCATE HIGH COURT

GOVERNMENT OF KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA FINANCE DEPARTMENT (REGUALTION WING)

NO.FD/SO(SR-II)/52/2012 Dated Peshawar the: 20.12.2012

From

The Secretary to Govt: of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa. Finance Department, Peshawar.

To:

- All administrative Secretaries to Govt: of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa
- 2. The Senior Member, Board of Revenue, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa.
- 3: The Secretary to Governor, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa.
 - 4. The Secretary to Chief Minister, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa
 - 5. The Secretary, Provincial Assembly, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa.
 - 6. All Heads of attached Departments in Khyber Pakhtunkhwa.
 - :7. All District Coordination Officers of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa.
 - 8. All Political Agents/District & Session Judge in Khyber Pakhtunkhwa.
 - 9. The Registrar Peshawar High Court, Peshawar.
 - 10. The Chairman Public Service Commission, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa.
 - 11. The Chairman, Service Tribunal, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa:

Subject: REVISION IN THE RATE OF CONVEYANCE ALLOWANCE FOR THE CIVIL EMPLOYEES OF THE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA, PROVINCIAL **GOVERNMENT BPS-1-19**

Dear Sir.

 $i^{\dagger}i^{\prime}$

The Government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa has been pleased to enhance/revise the rate of Conveyance Allowance admissible to all the Provincial Civil Servants Govt: of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa (working in BPS-1 to BPS-15) w.e.f from 1st September, 2012 at the following rates. However, the conveyance allowance for employees in BPS-16 to BPS-19 will remain un-

| S.No. BPS | Existing Rate (PM) | Revised Rate (PM) |
|-------------|--------------------|-------------------|
| Al. 🛱 1-4 | Rs. 1,500/- | Rs. 1,700/- |
| 2. 3 5-10 | Rs. 1,500/- | Rs. 1,840/- |
| 3. Ne 11-15 | Rs. 2,000/- | Rs. 2.720/- |
| 4. 🔩 16-19 | Rs. 5,000/- | Rs. 5,000/- |

Conveyance Allowance at the above rates per month shall be admissible to those BPS-17, 18 and 19 officers who have not been sanctioned official vehicle.

Your Faithfully

(Sahibzada Saeed Ahr

Secretary Finance

Endst No. FD/SO(SR-II)8-52/2012 Dated Peshawar the 20th December, 2012





GOVERNMENT OF KHYBER PAXHTUNKHWA FINANCE DEPARTMENT (REGULATION WING)

NO. FE/SC(SR-II)/3-52/2012 Dated Peshawar the: 20-12-2012

From.

The Secretary to Govi. of Knyber Pashtuckhwa. Finance Department.

Penhawar.

To:

All Administrative Services to Gove of Kingber Pakhturishwa.

The Service Nember, Board of Revenue, Yorker Pakhturishwa.

The Secretary to Governor Kingber Pakhturishwa.

The Secretary to Chief Minwer Khyber Pakhturishwa.

The Secretary Franknow Assembly Khyber Pakhturishwa.

All Heads of Attached Departments in Knyber Pakhturishwa.

All Digities Coordination Officereda Anyber Pakhturishwa.

As Political Agents / District S. Semions Judges in Knyber Pakhturishwa.

The Registry Peshawar High Coort Peshawar.

The Charman Public Service Commession, Shyber Pokhtunishwa.

The Charman Services Theenel Knyber Pakhturishwa.

REVISION IN THE RATE OF CONVEYANCE ALLOWANCE FOR THE CIVIL EMPLOYEES OF THE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA, PROVINCIAL GOVERNMENT BPS 1-19

고666 Sir.

The Government of Knytler Pokhturothyro has been pleased to enhance of version the rate of Conveyance Allowance admissible to all the Provinces Civil Servants Governd of Knyber Pakhturokhwa (Working in BPS-11 to BPS-15) will from 1° September, 2012 at the following rates. However, the conveyance allowance for employees in BPS-15 to BPS-19 will remain.

| S.NO BP5 | EXISTING RATE (PM) | REVISED RATE (PM) |
|-----------|--------------------|-------------------|
| 1 1-1 | RS.1,500/- | Rs.1,700/- |
| 7.14 5-10 | Ps.1,500/- | Rs.1,840/- |
| | PS.2,000 | Rs.2,720/- |
| 4 15-19 | R5,5,000/- | R\$.5,000/- |

Conveyance Allowance at the prove rates per month shall be admissible to those SPS-1/1 18 and 19 officers who have not been sanctioned allocal vehicles.

Yours Fashfully,

Secretary Finance

Ender: NO. PDSO(SR-11)/8-52/2012

Dated Personant the 20th Trecember, 20th

A Copy is forwarded for information to the:-

Azozumani Genesii Kingaer Pakhtaritima, Pesinewei

3. ಕ್ಷೇರ್ಕ್ ಚರ್ಚಿಕ 10 Government of Punjab, ಡೀವಗಿ ಕೆ ಕೊಂಡುವುದಾಗಿ ಗೌಗವಾರಕ ಡಿಸ್ಕಾರ್ಡಿ

3 All Augustanous / ভিত্তা Autonous Scotes a Kingos Pakittankhina

(INTTĀZ AYUB)

Additional Societary (Result

Joan Gills Sharb quader charsendler.

Dist. Govt. NWFP-Provincial District Accounts Office Charmoida Mouthly Salary Statement (June-2019)



reseal information of Mr IHSAN 4's. WADDOD d/w/o of GHASAM ISMAIL

Personnel Number 00147850

CNIC 17100000026999

NTN 1274274-H

Date of Bath CO Ot 1971

Entry Insection Service: 21 fil 1996

Length of Service, 24 Years 05 Months 011 Days

Employment Category: Vocational Personnal

Designation: SENIOR CERTUINIO TEACHER

BODIUSA-DISTRICT COVERNMENT KHYRE

ADD Code. CAROLO FOR THORIEST CONTRACTOR ACTIONAL ACTION ACTIONAL ACTION ACTION

Payroll Section (01)

Pay and Allowances:

OPF Section, OUI

Cash Center

(RLFCORD)

OPF AC No EDUCADONNO Interest Applied Yes

Vendor Number: 3048MB) - HISAN UL WADCKOLD Payacale BUS For - 2017

Pay Scale Type Civil 1975 16

GPF Halances

Pay Stace 14

| Wage type | ↑ mount | Wage type | Ammen |
|---------------------------------|----------------|---------------------------------|-----------|
| 0001 Bass Pay | 40,190 00 | 1000 House Rent Allowance | 2,727 (4) |
| 1947 Medical Allem 15/8 (16-22) | 1,50103 | 2148 15% Adhus Relief AW 2011 | Ormical |
| 2199 Adher Kellef Allon 4-104 | 607 (8) | 2211 Ailbix Relief All 2016 (0% | 3.124 (a) |
| Adho: Relief All 2017 10% | 4 (0) 9 (0) | 2247 Adhs, Reliet All 2018 10 4 | 4019 (9) |
| 5011 Adj Curreyance Allemance | 2,500 00 | | 0.00 |

Deductions - General

| West Obe | | | |
|---------------------------------|--------------|--|-------------|
| | - Innum | Ware type | Amsunt |
| 3016 GPF Subscripting - R v3340 | 1,140 (10) | 1801 Beressbent band | - A(II) (a) |
| 3609 Incume Tax | ·40 (N) | 1990 Fing Edo Fund KPK | |
| 4003 R. Benefits & Death Cump | -1 (1) 9 (1) | The state of the s | 150 00 |
| | -1 TIM (N) | | 1 010 1 |

Deduction - Lasts and Advances

| Less Description | | · | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · |
|-----------------------------------|------------------|-------------|---------------------------------------|
| CALL PROPERTY. | Principal amount | Deduction | Balance |
| 16504 GPP Lian Principal Instal | अंग भाग क्या | -S CHIE CHE | 130 (40 (5) |

Deductions - Income Tax

Payable.

1,000,00

Recovered off JUN-2019

(KLILIA)

bsempted 400 per

Recoverable

11 00

Gross Pay (Rail:

59,5%,00

Deductions: (Rs.):

-10,429,00

Net Paya (Rock

49,157,00

Paper Name: DISAN 17L WADOOD

Account Number 4151-6

Bank Details NATIONAL BANK OF PARISTAN, 231433 SHABQADAR SHABQADAR.

Louves

Opening Balance

Availed

Carnot

Balsine

Permanent Address: CITAR

CIG CHARSADDA

Temp. Aikiress

Dinnicile: NW - Kligber Pakhtunkbing

Housing Status, No Official

Cay

fintall themulwaked [166 gatall com-

Dist. Gost. KP-Provincial District Accounts Office Charantala Monthly Salary Statement (March-2021)



id information of the Hinan 14. Wallstid dual of the hard hall in

Printing Number 00147890

CAMEDIOUS TRANS

NTN ATOTAL

TVE III CO Amed In sent

Later than Close Samuel 21 01 1996

Length of Service 25 Years 103 Months H12 Day

Empleyment Category: Vocathmat (Vencanen)

Designation VINDOR CURTIFIED TOACHOR

137 At Sa 12112 Annian Immed Applied Yes

ROUMBAA-DISTRICT GOVERNMENT KINTE

DIOCCOR CAROSS PRINCIPAL GOVERNMENT INTHER SECTINDA SCHOOL SHAHQADAR FORT CHARSAEDA

Parentl Section 1931

CIPI Section (31)

Cash Center C.PF Balancet

903, 777 tax

Vandor Number AMPRIMO - UISAN UL WAINING Pay und Alluminoces:

Payacabe BPS for 2017 Pay Scale Type Civil BPS: 16

Par Super 16

| Wage to pe | | Amend | Wage type | Amenint |
|------------|-----------------------------|------------|------------------------------|----------------|
| (13)1 | Harly Play | 13.230 (N) | ICAN) House Rept Afterwater | 2 777 (0) |
| 1210 | Corner All morney 2014 | Contract | 1947 Medical Athre 15% (16 | 22) (7/60) (4) |
| 7149 | 19 - Adia o Hyllet All-2014 | wat on | 2144 Adher Relief Allies 421 | NS (47) (81) |
| EH! | Adha Relief All 2016 10: | 3,124 (0) | 2224 Adhoc Relief All 2017 I | 04 4 (21 (g) |
| 7747 | Aithe Reflet All 2018 109 | 4 323 (8) | 2264 Adhin Relief All 2019 1 | |

Beductions - Ceneral

| Ways type | Amment | Viago typo | Amani |
|------------------------------|---------------------|------------------------|---------|
| 2016 UPF Subscription | - <u>1_</u> 140 (n) | 3501 Bergystem Funa | 1,43)10 |
| there facenes Tax | 792.00 | 1990 Ling Ehr Frad KPK | -150.00 |
| 484 K. Benefits & Death Comp | -65(),(J) | | (7 (6) |

Deductions - Luans and Advances

| (,Mar) | Dyscription | Principal annual | Deduction | Balance |
|--------------|---------------------------|------------------|------------|------------|
| 6401 | HBA Luca Principal Instal | 250,500 00 | -2.084 (X) | 290.255.00 |
| 64114 | GPP Loop Principal broad | NO CONTRACTO | 4 (michan) | EL MAIN |

Deductions - Inteme Tax

Papable

11 440 15

Recovered till MAR-2021

MINIMA

Complete 2134 N

Recusesable:

2211 14

Certain Pay (Rout)

7(1,457,(1)

Brebsetioner (Rais

-13,476,00

Net Payr (Rust)

SASHIJA

Fixed Name, IIINAN, UL WADOOD

MANUFACTURE PROPERTY

Account Souther, 4151-6

Bank Details NATBINAL BANK OF PAKISTAN, 21143 SHABQADAR SHABQADAR.

Opening Balance

Aralat

Earney

Bulance

Principle of Likhola CTLAR

USIS CHARMADRIA

Diemicile: NW Khyber Pukhtunkhwa

Housing Status, Northbrial

Temp Athrew

Pity

Email thearmly abid HO grant com-

The Secretary (E & SE) Department, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar,

Subject:

DEPARTMENTAL APPEAL AGAINST THE IMPUGNED ACTION OF THE CONCERNED AUTHORITY BY ILLEGALLY AND UNLAWFULLY DEDUCTING THE CONVEYANCE ALLOWANCE DURING WINTER AND SUMMER VACATIONS.

Respected sir,

With due respect it is stated that I am the employee of your good self department and work with full zeal and zest and up to the entire satisfaction of the superiors. it is stated for kind information that conveyance allowance is admissible to all the civil servants and to this effect a notification No. FD (PRC) 1-1/2011 dated 14-7-2011 was issued . latter on vide revised notification dated 20-12-2012 whereby the conveyance allowance for employees working in BPS 1 to 15 were enhance while employees from BPS -16 to 19 have been treated under the previous notification by not enhancing their conveyance allowance. Respected sir, I was receiving the conveyance allowance as admissible under the law and rules but the concerned authority without any valid and justifiable reasons stopped the payment of conveyance allowance under the wrong and illegal pretext that the same is not allowed for the leave period. One of the employee of education department in Islamabad filed service appeal No. 1888 (R) CS/2016 before the federal service tribunal, Islamabad regarding conveyance allowance which was accepted by the honorable service tribunal vide its judgment dated 3.12.18 and also allowed by the Peshawar High court Peshawar in writ petition. That I also the similar employee of education department and under the principle of consistency I am also entitled for the same treatment meted out in the above mentioned service appeal but the concerned authority is not willing to issue the same conveyance allowance which is granting to others employees. Copy attached. I am feeling aggrieved from the action of concerned authority regarding deduction of conveyance allowance in vacations period preferred this department appeal before your good self.

It is therefore, most humbly prayed that on acceptance of this departmental appeal the concerned authority may very kindly be directed the conveyance allowance may not be deducted from my monthly salary during the winter & summer vacations.

Dated 16 -3-21

्राह्मीः ज्ञानिक अस्ति होत्रुट स्टाह्मिक IHSan Wadood
Your Obediently

ATTACTED

Judgment Sheet

IN THE PESHAWAR HIGH COURT, PESHAWAR,
JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

Writ Petition No. 3162-P/2019 Akhtar Hussain and 69 % others..vs..Govt of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa

JUDGMENT

Date of hearing......01.10.2019.....

Petitioner(s) by Mr. Noor Mohammad Khattak, Advocate.

Mr. Mujahid Ali Khan, AAG, for respondents.

pudgment we, proposed to decided the instant as well as the connected writ petitions as all having involved common question of law and facts, the particulars of which are given below.

WP No. 3162-P/2019 titled Akhtar Hussain etc..vs..Government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa through Chief Secretary, Peshawar and 4 others.

- i. WP No. 3064-P/2019 titled Habeeb Ullah etc...Vs...
 Government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa through Chief
 Secretary, Peshawar and 7 others.
- iii. WP No. 3084-P/2019 titled Sikandar Khan etc...Vs... Government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa through Chief Secretary, Peshawar and 4 others.
 - v. WP No. 3178-P/2019 titled Abdur Rehman etc...Vs...
 Government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa through Chief
 Secretary, Peshawar and 4 others.





- WP No. 3233-P/2019 titled Amjid Ali etc...Vs...
 Government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa through Chief
 Secretary, Peshawar and 4 others.
- vi. WP No. 3283-P/2019 titled Gul Saeed etc...Vs...
 Government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa through Chief
 Secretary, Peshawar and 4 others.
- WP No. 3287-P/2019 titled Syed Israr Shah etc...Vs...
 Government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa through Chief
 Secretary, Peshawar and 7 others.
- viii. WP No. 3288-P/2019 titled Firdous Khanetc...Vs...
 Government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa through Chief
 Secretary, Peshawar and 4 others.
- ix. WP No. 3353-P/2019 titled Hafiz Inam Ur Rehman etc...Vs... Government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa through Chief Secretary, Peshawar and 6 others.
 - WP No. 3366-P/2019 titled Jehanzeb Khan etc. .Vs.. Government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa through Chief Secretary, Peshawar and 4 others.
 - xi. WP No. 3390-P/2019 titled Haji Rehman etc...Vs...

 Government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa through Chief
 Secretary, Peshawar and 2 others.
 - xii. WP No. 3520-P/2019 titled Mohammad Khalid etc...Vs.. Government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa through Chief Secretary, Peshawar and 4 others.

AMESTED

EXAMINER Peshawar High Court WP No. 3567-P/2019 titled Husnur Rehman etc...Vs...
Government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa through Chief
Secretary, Peshawar and 3 others.

WP No. 3667-P/2019 titled Maqsad Hayat etc...Vs...
Government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa through Chief
Secretary, Peshawar and 4 others.

xv. WP No. 3939-P/2019 titled Syed Khurshid Shah etc...Vs.. Government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa through Chief Secretary, Peshawar and 5 others.

WP No. 4072-P/2019 titled Subhan Ullah etc...Vs...
Government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa through Chief
Secretary, Peshawar and 6 others.

xvii. WP No. 4758-P/2019 titled Sohrab Hayat etc...Vs...
Government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa through Chief
Secretary, Peshawar and 4 others.

2. As per averments of the writ petition, the petitioners are serving in the Elementary & Secondary Education Department on their respective posts. On 14.7.2011 the Government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa enhanced the conveyance allowance to all the Civil Servants i.e. from BPS-1 to 15, including the petitioners, which was subsequently revised vide another notification dated 20.12.2012 and was further enhanced. But the respondents without any valid and justiffable reasons stopped / deducted the payment of conveyance

AMINER

AWEDED

allowance under the wrong and illegal pretext that the same is not allowed for the leave period.

- 3. In essence, the grievance the petitioners is that they were receiving the conveyance allowance under the notifications mentioned above, which was stopped without any justifiable reason.
- 4. Since the matter pertain to grant of conveyance allowance which is part and parcel of pay. Similar controversy came before this Court in Writ Petition No. 3509-P/2014 titled (Hafiz Mohammad Ilyas etc..vs..Government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa), wherein the pay and salary were defined in the following manner.
 - "7. To resolve the controversy as to whether payment of allowances to a civil servant falls in chapter-2 of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Civil Servants Act, 1973 i.e. terms and conditions of service, it is necessary to reproduce the definition of "pay" provided in section 2(e) of the Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Civil Servants Act, 1973 which reads as under:
 - "2. (e)—"Pay" means the amount drawn' monthly by a civil servant as pay, and includes special pay, personal pay and any other emoluments declared by the prescribed authority to be paid." (emphasis provided).

The word "emolument" used in the above quoted definition clause of the Civil Servants Act, 1973, according to its dictionary meaning, denotes wages and benefits received as compensation for



EXAMINER Peshawar High Court holding an office or having employment. The world emolument is basically derived from the Latin word emolumentum. It originally meant "the sum paid to a miller for grinding a customer wheat". Today, the term exists mostly as a bit of archaic legalese, but it might be within the route of expression i.e. "grinding out a living". From the above it is manifest that emoluments are essentially the benefits that one gets from the working of being the profit Emolument is employed. employment and is compensation in return services, hence the emoluments are part and parcel of pay. Section 17 being part of chapter-2 i.e. terms and conditions of service of a civil servant provides that, a civil servant appointed to a post shall, be entitled, in accordance with rules, to the pay sanctioned for the post. Likewise, Rule 9(21) of (FR/SR) provide, the definition of pay which means the amount drawn monthly by a government servant

(i) the pay, other than special pay or pay granted in view of his personal qualification, which has been sanctioned for the post held by him substantively or in an officiating capacity, or to which he is entitled by reason of his position in a cadre, and (ii) overseas pay, technical pay, special pay and personal pay and

(iii) any other emoluments which may be specially classed as pay by the governor general.

The legislature in its wisdom has wisely used the word "pay" instead of salary in definition clause and section 17 of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Civil Servants Act, 1973. The word 'pay' connotes



EXAMINER Deshawar High Court

payment of wages including emolument in broader spectrum while the salary is used for amount that one receives in return for work and or service provided, which is paid periodically i.e. over a specified interval of time such as weekly or most commonly monthly. The term "salary" has been dealt with at page-553 of Corpus Juris Secundem Vol. 77 as under:-

"Salary". The word "Salary" is defined has meaning fixed compensation regularly paid by the year, quarter, month or week; fixed compensation for regular work, or for continuous services over a period of time; periodical compensation for services; compensation for services rendered; per annum compensation mean in official and in some other situation, or station; legal compensation.

Salary is also defined as meaning stipulated periodical recompense; or consideration paid, or stipulated to be paid to a person on regular interval for services usually a fixed sum to be paid by the year or half year, quarter; reward or consideration paid or agreed to be paid to a person on a regular intervals by the year, month or week for services; reward of fixed or recompense for services rendered or performed; reward or compensation of services rendered or performed.

From the above mentioned definition it is manifest that the "salary" of a civil servant is a fixed amount regularly paid as compensation to the employee, whereas the pay means an amount received by a civil servant including other emoluments i.e. allowances."

ATTED

Pesharer High Count

Besides, certain other petitions filed by the Teachers /employees of the same department serving from other corners of the province which were decided by Abbottabad Bench of this Court, wherein it was held that the conveyance allowance being part of pay fall in terms and conditions of civil servant and it can adequately be claimed through an appeal by adopting the prescribed procedure under the Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Civil Servants Act, 1974.

6. For the reasons given hereinabove, the petitioners are civil servants and their claim falls in terms and conditions of service enumerated in Chapter-2 of the Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Civil Servants Act, 1973, wherein the jurisdiction of this Court is expressly barred by Article 212 of the Constitution of Islamic Republic of Pakistan, 1973. Resultantly, this and the connected write petition mentioned above stand dismissed being not maintainable. However, the petitioners are liberty to approach the proper forum, if so desire.

Announced on;
In of October, 2019

JUDGE JUDGE

(2) Mr. Justice Rooh Ul Amin Khan & Mr. Justice Mohammad Naecin Anv

TEGIED

CERTIFIED TO BE TRUE COPY

Foshewar High Count. Poshgyen Authorized Under Artfold B. 2 A Tim Stendard Charles B. 2 A Tim Stendard Charles B. 2 A DCT 2019

2 1 OCT 2019

PESHAWAR

PESHAWAR

APPEAL NO. 1452 __/2019 .

Mr. Maqsad Hayat, SCT (BPS-16), GHS Masho Gagar, Peshawar..... DDELLANT

VERSUS

1- The Government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa through Chief Secretary, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar.

2- The Secretary (E&SE) Department, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar.

3- The Secretary Finance Department, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar.

4- The Accountant General, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar.

5- The Director (E&SE) Department, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar.

APPEAL UDNER SECTION-4 OF THE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICE TRIBUNAL ACT, 1974 AGAINST THE IMPUGNED ACTION OF THE RESPONDENTS BY ILLEGALLY AND UNLAWFULLY DEDUCTING THE CONVEYANCE ALLOWANCE OF THE APPELLANT DURING WINTER & SUMMER VACATIONS AND AGAINST NO ACTION TAKEN ON THE DEPARTMENTAL APPEAL OF APPELLANT WITHIN THE STATUTORY PERIOD OF NINETY DAYS.

PRAYER:

That on acceptance of this appeal the respondents may kindly be directed not to make deduction of conveyance allowance during vacations period (Summer & Winter Vacations) and make the payment of all outstanding amount of Conveyance allowance which have been deducted previously with all back benefits. Any other remedy which this august Tribunal deems fit that may also be awarded in favor of the appellant.

R/SHEWETH:

DES ON FACTS:

- 1- That the appellant is serving in the elementary and secondary education department as Certified Teacher (BPS-15) quite efficiency and up to the entire satisfaction of the superiors.
 - 2- That the Conveyance Allowance is admissible to all the civil servants and to this effect a Notification No. FD (PRC) 1-1/2011 dated 14:07.2011 was issued. That later ion vide revised Notification dated 20.12.2012 whereby the conveyance allowance for employees

Affeal No. 1452/2019 Markad Hayat vs Govt

(7)

11.11.2019

Counsel for the appellant present.

Learned counsel referred to the judgment passed by learned Federal Service Tribunal in Appeal No. 1888(R)CS/2016 which was handed down on 03.12.2018. Through the said judgment the issue of payment of Conveyance Allowance to a civil servant during summer and winter vacations was held to be within his entitlement and the deduction already made from him was to be reimbursed. Similar reference was made to the judgment by Honourable Peshawar High Court passed on 01.10.2019 in the case of appellant.

Learned counsel, when confronted with the proposition that the issue, in essence, was dilated upon by the Federal Service Tribunal and, more particularly, by the Honourable Peshawar High Court in the case of appellant, stated that in case the respondents are required to execute the judgment of Peshawar High Court, the appellant will have no cavil about disposal of instant appeal.

The record suggests that while handing down judgment in the Writ Petition preferred by the appellant, the Honourable High Court not only expounded the definition of "Pay" as well as "Salary" but also entitlement of a civil servant for the Conveyance Allowance during the period of vacations. It is important to note that the respondents were represented before the High Court during the proceedings.

In view of the above noted facts and circumstances and in order to protect the appellant from a fresh round of litigation which may protract over a formidable period, the appeal in hand is disposed of with observation that the judgment of Honourable Peshawar High Court passed in Writ Petitions including W.P. No. 3162-P/2019 shall be honoured and implemented by the respondents within shortest possible time. The appellant shall, however, be at liberty to seek remedy in accordance with law in case his grievance is not redressed by the respondents within a reasonable time.

Chairmán

File be consigned to the record.

ANNOUNCED

11.11.2019

Certified in he ture cop

VAKALATNAMA

| NO | /20 |
|----|-----|
|----|-----|

| | KI OI KI SEKVICE | IRIBUNAL PES | HAWAK |
|----------------------|--------------------------------|--|--------------------------------|
| 1 Et san | Wadoel |) | |
| | VERSUS | The state of the s | Appellant Petitioner Plaintiff |
| | EDUCATION D | EPTTRe | spondent (s) |
| 1/WE 1/13an | Wade | De | efendants (s) |
| - A | ind constitute the SYED | NOMAN ALI BUK | HARI Advocate |
| High Court for | the aforesaid Appella | ant(s), Petitioner(S) | , Plaintiff(s) / |
| Respondent(s), Def | endant(s), Opposite Part | y to commence and | d prosecute / to |
| appear and defend t | his action / appeal / petiti | on / reference on my | / our behalf and |
| al proceedings that | may be taken in respect | of any application co | nnected with the |
| same including pro | eeeding in taxation and | application for revie | ew, to draw and |
| 4 1 4 G | file and take documents, | · · | |
| 11 11 | t council, to represent the | | |
| 31 | ; ndent(s), Defendant(s), (| 1 | \$ \$ |
| acts done by the afo | Ž., | | |

DATE

SYED NOMAN ALI BUKHARI ADVOCATE HIGH COURT