Form-A FORM OF ORDER SHEET

Court of		
Restoration Application No.		109/2023

	Court of	
		Restoration Application No. 109/2023
S.No.	Date of order Proceedings	Order or other proceedings with signature of judge
1	2	3
1	27.02.2023	The application for restoration of Execution
		Petition sec. No.360/2021 submitted today by Mr.
		Khaled Khan Mohmand Advocate. It is fixed for hearing
-	,	before Single Bench at Peshawar on
		Original file be requisitioned. Notices be issued to
		applicant and his Counsel for the date fixed.
		By the order of Chairman
		REGISTRAR
	-	
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	-	
! !		
		·
 !		
	<u>t</u>	

BEFORE THE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICE TRIBUNAL, PESHAWAR

Rustoration Appli: No. 109/2023
SCANNED
KPST Civil Miscello
Panhawar

Civil Miscellaneous No.____/ 2023 IN Implementation Petition No.360/2021 IN Appeal No.15182/2020

Mr. Zahoor Khan.....VERSUS...... Inspector General of Police & 2 others

Service Pald and was

APPLICATION FOR RESTORATION OF THE TITLED IMPLEMENTATION PETITION.

Respectfully Sheweth:

 That the fitled above titled implementation petition was pending adjudication before this Hon'ble Tribunal, which the respondents produce order dated: 14.01.2022, in response to the implementation petition of the applicant, (Copy of order dated: 14.01.2022 is attached as Annexure "A")

- 2. That on production of the order, this Hon'ble Tribunal, vide order dated: 17.01.2022 disposed of the said implementation petition and consigned the same. (Copy of order dated: 17.01.2022 is attached as Annexure "B")
- 3. That the implementation order of respondents the appellant/applicant was directed to perform his duty as Constable, while the Respondent does not comply the order passed by this Hon'ble Court dated: 15.09.2021 in favour of applicant, however, the applicant was conditionally reinstated in service.
- 4. That valuable rights of appellant/applicant are involved into the matter and will suffer irreparable loss if the subject relief has not been granted.

It is therefore, most humbly prayed that on acceptance of instant application, the above titled implementation petition may kindly be restored, in the best interest of justice and equity.

Through

Khalid Khan Mohmand

8.

Haider All Khan Advocates, Peshawar.

Dated: 22.02.2023

AFFIDAVIT

I, do hereby solemnly affirm declare on oath that the contents of instant **application** are true and correct to the best of my knowledge, belief and nothing has been kept concealed from this Hon'ble Tribunal.

34/03/83



telfin, dans de brist is forme over melatet. Bristidan, rooms ill primer norm



On acceptance of the pray filed by Ex-Constable Zahoor Khan No. 2640 by Honorable KP Service Tribunal in Service appeal No. 15182/2020 to its order annunced on 15.09.2021, Ex. Head Constable Zahoor Khan No. 2640 is hereby provisionally/conditionally re-instalted in to service from the date of decision to 15.09.2021, subject to the outcome of CPLA filed against the above mentioned orders

MRNA 164 12022.

Copy for information to

1 Depart important General of Police, Mardan Region-1, Marian

2 Superintendent of Poiss a Operations, Maridan

DSP/Legal

4. DSP/Hites

5 Page Offices





BEFORE THE HON'BLE SERVICE TRIBUNAL, KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA PESHAWAR

Misc. Application No

. IN

ner Pakhing

2021 _{Irun}a

Service Appeal No. 15182/2020

Mr. Zahoor Khan

.,....**VERSU\$**......

Inspector General of Police & 2 others

APPLICATION U/S 7(2)(d) OF THE KP SERVICE TRIBUNAL ACT, 1974 (KP ACT NO.I OF 1974), READ WITH ALL ENABLING PROVISIONS OF LAW GOVERNING THE SUBJECT, FOR EXECUTION/IMPLEMENTATION OF JUDGMENT DTAEDS

15.09.2021 IN THE TITLED APPEAL.

Respectfully Sheweth;

1. That Applicant/Appellant approached this Hon'ble Tribunal through Service Appeal Nos.15182/2020, which was allowed, vide Judgment dated: 15.09.2021 (Copy of Judgment dated: 15.09.2021 alongwith Service Appeal No.15182/2020 is attached as Annexure "A").

2. That Judgment dated: 15.09.2021 supra was announced by this Hon'ble Tribunal in open Court, in presence of the representatives of the Respondent Department, however, the same has not been implemented so far, although applicant/appellant has also communicated the Judgment ibid alongwith application dated: 11,10,2021, but to no avail so far, hence the instant application.

(Copy of application dated: 11.10.2021 is attached as Annexure "B").

- 3. That more than 50 days time has been elapsed, however, Respondent Department is reluctant to implement Judgment dated: 15.09.2021 of this Hon'ble Tribunal in letter and spirit, which has caused grave miscarriage of justice, moreover, this Hon'ble Tribunal has got ample jurisdiction to implement the Judgment ibid, by issuing appropriate directions to the delinquents for the desired relief.
- 4. That any other ground with the permission of this Hon'ble Tribunal will be taken at the time of arguments.

It is, therefore, most humbly prayed that on acceptance of instant application, Judgment dated: 15.09.2021 of this Hon'ble Tribunal may be ordered to be implemented in letter and spirit, so as to avoid untoward situation and further complications.

AFFIDAVIT

Applicant / Appellant

Through

Stated on oath that contents of instant Application are true and correct to the best of knowledge and belief and nothing has been concealed from this Hon'ble Tribunal.

Muhammad Kareem Afridi

Depónent

Haider Ali

Khalid Khan

Advocates, Peshawar

Dated: 01.12.2021

Khyber Fashtunkhw

Service Tribunal Peshawes

Z. P. No. 360/2021 Zahoor Khan 15 Gut

04.01.2022.

Petitioner in person present.

Respondent department is directed to submitimplementation order, if the department has filed CPLA before the august Supreme Court of Pakistan then they are directed to furnish conditional order or suspension order from august Supreme Court of Pakistan.

Notice of the instant execution petition alongwith copy of this order be issued to the respondents for submission of implementation report. To come up for implementation report on 17.01.2022 before S.B

(Atiq-Ur-Rehman Wazir Member (E)

17.01.2022

Petitioner alongwith counsel and Mr. Muhammad Adeel Buitt, Addl. AG alongwith Noor Daraz Khan, S.I (Legal) for the respondents present.

Representative of the respondents has produced copy of order dated 14.01.2022, whereby judgment under execution has been conditionally implemented till final decision on CPLA by the August Supreme Court of Pakistan. Copy of order is placed on file.

- In view of the above, the execution petition in hands is consigned to the record room.

BEFORE THE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICE TRIBUNAL PESHAWAR

Service Appeal No. 15782- 12020

Appellant

Mr. Zahoor

Ex-HC,

District Police, Mardan

VERSUS

The Inspector General of Police Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar

The Regional Police Officer, Mardan Region, Mardan.

The District Police Officer,

District Mardan

..... Respondents

APPEAL SERVICE UNDER SECTION OF THE PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICE TRIBUNALS ACT, 1974 AGAINST THE **IMPUGNED** ORDER DATED 17.09.2020 WHEREBY **MAJOR** PUNISHMENT OF DISMISSAL FROM SERVICE WAS IMPOSED UPON APPELLANT AGAINST WHICH HE PREFERRED DEPARTMENTAL APPEAL TO RESPONDENT NO.2 ON 22.09.2020 BUT THE SAME WAS UNLAWFULLY REJECTED VIDE IMPUGNED APPELLATE ORDER DATED 12.10.2020.

edto-day

3.

On acceptance of the instant appeal, the impugned order dated 17.09.2020 passed by Respondent No.3 and impugned appellate order dated 12.10.2020 passed by Respondent No.2 may graciously be set aside/modified and appellant may be re-instated into service w.e.f. 17.09.2020 with all back benefits.

Respectfully Sheweth,

Facts giving rise to the present appeal are as under:-

That the appellant was employed in the Police Force as Constable way back in the year 2009 and has rendered meritorious service for the Department.



BEFORE THE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICE TRIBUNAL PESHAWAR

Service Appeal No.15182/2020

Date of Institution

09:11.2020

Date of Decision

15.09.2021

Mr. Zahoor Ex-H.C District Police, Mardan.

(Appellant)

Irunq\²

VERSUS

The Inspector General of Police, Khyber Pakhtunhwa Peshawar and two others.

(Respondents)

Muhammad Amin Ayub,

Advocate

For Appellant.

Asif Masood Ali Shah,

Deputy District Attorney

For Respondents.

AHMAD SULTAN TAREEN

ROZINA REHMAN

CHAIRMAN

MEMBER (J)

JUDGMENT

ROZINA REHMAN, MEMBER (3): Brief facts of the case are that appellant was inducted in the Police Force as Constable. While performing duties at Special Squad Police Lines Mardan, he was suspended from service on account of departmental proceedings. He was charge sheeted and an inquiry was conducted into the matter, where-after, major penalty of dismissal from service was imposed upon appellant. He filed departmental appeal which was rejected, hence, the present service appeal.

2. We have heard Muhammad Amin Ayub Advocate appearing on behalf of appellant and Asif Masood Ali Shah learned Deputy District





Attorney for the respondents and have gone through the record and the proceedings of the case in minute particulars.

3. Learned counsel for appellant contended that the appellant was not treated in accordance with law, rules and policy and that the respondents acted in violation of Article-4 & 25 of the Constitution of Islamic Republic of Pakistan, 1973. He contended that the appellant neither misused his official authority nor entered into the house of Khaista Rehman which is evident from the record and that complainant of case admitted the presence of appellant outside his house. That a false and concocted F.I.R was registered against the appellant and his wife. That mandatory requirement of law in shape of issuance of show cause notice was violated as no show cause notice was ever issued to the appellant and that in utter violation of law and principles of natural justice, after the first inquiry report, the second inquiry was clandestinely conducted at the back of the appellant and he was recommended for major punishment. That no notice was served upon the appellant nor reasons were shown as to how the first inquiry report was rejected and second inquiry was conducted and as to who was the Inquiry Officer as copy of the Inquiry report was not provided to the appellant. He submitted that neither regular inquiry was conducted nor any evidence was recorded in presence of appellant and that proper opportunity of defense was not given to the appellant. Lastly, he submitted that he was proceeded against departmentally on the allegations that he was involved in case F.I.R No.589 dated 12.07.2020 and that was the only stigma but the appellant was acquitted by competent court of Law, therefore, the impugned orders may kindly be set aside. STED

- 4. Conversely learned Deputy District Attorney submitted that appellant while posted at Special Squad, Police Lines Mardan, was placed under suspension on account of involvement in case F.I.R No.589 dated 1207.2020 at Police Station Saddar, Mardan. On account of the aforementioned allegations, he was issued charge sheet with statement of allegations and inquiry was entrusted to D.S.P Headquarter, Mardan. He contended that Inquiry Officer during the course of inquiry, provided all lawful opportunities to the appellant to produce evidence in his defense but fiasco and that after fulfillment of all codal formalities, report was submitted and appellant was rightly dismissed from service.
- 5. From the record, it is evident that appellant Zahoor khan Ex-Constable of Mardan - Police was proceeded departmentally on the allegations that he while posted at Special Squad, Police Lines, Mardan was involved in F.I.R No.589 dated 12.07.2020 U/S 452, 354, 506/34 P.P.C Police Station Saddar, Mardan. The impugned order of District Police Officer Mardan is available on file which clearly shows that appellant was proceeded against departmentally through Mr. Gulshad Khan D.S.P Headquarter, Mardan and accordingly he was awarded major punishment of dismissal from service vide O.B No.1599 dated 17.09.2020. The inquiry report submitted by D.S.P Headquarter, Mardan is available on file as "Annexure-C" and this inquiry was conducted vide office No.318/PA dated 13.07.2020. The Inquiry Officer recommended temporary reinstatement of appellant till the Court decision. The entire record is silent as to why this inquiry report was not taken into consideration and as to how another order was passed for second

RINGS ED

inquiry. The statement of allegations available on file bearing No.318/PA dated 13.07.2020 shows that one Shakeel Ahmad D.S.P. Headquarter was also nominated as Inquiry Officer. The respondents miserably failed to prove the service of charge sheet and statement of allegations upon the appellant and his association in the inquiry proceedings conducted by Shakeel Ahmad D.S.P.

- As discussed earlier that the only allegation against the appellant was his involvement in the criminal case but the appellant was acquitted in the criminal case registered against him vide F.I.R No.589 by the competent court of Law on 06.04.2021.
- 7. It has been held by the superior fora that all the acquittals are certainly honorable. There can be no acquittal which may be said to be dishonorable. Involvement of the appellant in the criminal case was the only ground on which he had been dismissed from service and the said ground had subsequently disappeared, therefore, hisacquittal, made him re-emerge as fit and proper person entitled himto continue with his service.
- 8. For what has been discussed above, we allow this appeal as prayed for. Parties are left to bear their own costs. File be consigned to the record room.

<u>ANNOUNCED:</u> 15.09.2021

(Ahmað

Chairman

Member (J)

	22/2/23
of Presentation of Applicat	inn
Copying Fee	
Urgent	
Name :-	22/2/23
Date of Delivery of Copy	

ŧ

•

مقدمه مندرجه بالاعنوان ميں اپنی طرف سے داسطے بیروی دجوابد ہی بمقا

خال خال مهمند ایموکیٹ ہائی کورٹ، بیثاور کو بدین شرط وکیل مقرر کیا ہے کہ میں ہر پیثی پرخودیا بذریعہ مخار خاص ر وبر دعد الت حاضر ہوتا رہونگا۔ اور بوقت یکارے جانے مقدمہ وکیل صاحب موصوف کواطلاع دیکر حاضر عدالت کرونگا اگر بیشی برمن مظہر حاضر نہ ہوااور مقدمہ میری غیر حاضری کی وجہ سے کسی طور میرے برخلاف ہو گیا تو صاحب موصوف اس کے کسی طرح ذمہ دارنہ ہوں گے۔ نیز وکیل صاحب موصوف صدر مقام کچہری کے سی اور جگہ یا کچہری کے مقررہ اوقات سے پہلے یا پیچھے بابز ورتغطیل پیروی کرنے کے ذمہ دارنہ ہوں گے۔اگر مقدمہ علاوہ صدر مقام کچبری کے سی اور جگہ ہاعت ہونے یابروز تعطیل یا کچہری کے اوقات کے آگے پیچھے پیش ہونے برمن مظہر کوکوئی نقصان مہنچ تو اس کے ذمہ داریا اس کے واسطے کسی معاوضہ کے اداکرنے یامختار نامہ واپس کرنے کے بھی صاحب موصوف ذمہ دار نہ ہوں گے۔ جھے کوکل ساختہ پر داختہ صاحب موصوف مثل کرده ذات خو دمنظور قبول ہوگا۔اور صاحب موصوف کوعرضی دعوی وجواب دعوی اور درخواست اجرائے ڈگری ونظر ٹانی اپیل وگرانی ہرمتم کی درخواست پر دستخط وتصدیق کرنے کا بھی اختیار ہوگا۔ اور سی تھم یاڈگری کے اجرا کرانے اور ہرقتم کا روید وصول کرنے اور رسید دینے اور داخل کرنے اور ہرتتم کے بیان دینے اور سپر و ثالثی وراضی نامہ کو فیصلہ برخلاف کرنے، ا قبال دعوی دینے کا بھی اختیار ہوگا۔اور بصورت اپیل و برآ مدگی مقدمہ یا منسوخی ڈگری پیطرفہ درخواست تھم امتناعی یا قرقی یا گرفتاری قبل از اجراء ڈگری بھی موصوف کو بشرط ادائیگی علیجدہ مخنتار نامہ پیروی کا اختیار ہوگا۔اوربصورت ضرورت صاحب موصوف کوبھی اختیار ہوگا یا مقدمہ مذکورہ یا اس کے کسی جزوکی کاروائی کے واسطے یا بصورت اپیل ، اپیل کے واسطے کسی دوسر ہے وکیل یا بیرسٹر کو بچائے اپنے ہا اپنے ہمراہ مقرر کریں۔اورایسے مثیر قانون کو ہڑامرییں وہی اور ویسے ہی اختیارات حاصل ہون گے۔ جیسے کرصاحب موصوف کو حاصل ہیں اور دوران مقدمہ میں جو پچھ ہرجاندالتواء پڑے گا۔ وہ صاحب موصوف کاحق ہوگا۔ اگر وکیل صاحب موصوف کو پوری فیس تاریخ پیثی ہے پہلے ادا نہ کروں گا تو صاحب موصوف کو پورا اختیار ہوگا کہ مقدمہ کی پیروی نہ کریں اورایسی صورت میں میرا کوئی مطالبہ کسی تتم کا صاحب موصوف کے برخلاف نہیں ہوگا۔لہذا بیرفتار نامہ لکھودیا کہ مضمون مختار نامه ن ليا ہے ادراجھی طرح سمجھ ليا ہے اور منظور ہے۔

ATTESTED & ACCEPTED:

Khalid Khan Mohmans

Advocate High Court Peshawar

B.C. No. 18-1115

CNIC No. 16101-8191351-1

Mobile No. 0342-9101124



BEFORE THE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICE TRIBUNAL, PESHAWAR R. A. NO. 109/2023

Civil Miscellaneous No.___/ 2023 IN Implementation Petition No.360/2021 IN Appeal No.15182/2020

Mr. Zahoor Khan......VERSUS...... Inspector General of Police & 2 others

APPLICATION FOR RESTORATION OF THE TITLED IMPLEMENTATION PETITION.

Respectfully Sheweth:

1. That the titled above titled implementation petition was pending adjudication before this Hon ble Tribunal, which the respondents produce order dated: 14.01.2022, in response to the implementation petition of the applicant.

(Copy of order dated: 14.01.2022 is attached as Annexure "A")

- 2. That on production of the order, this Hon'ble Tribunal, vide order dated: 17.01.2022 disposed of the said implementation petition and consigned the same. (Copy of order dated: 17.01.2022 is attached as Annexure "B")
- 3. That the implementation order of respondents the appellant/applicant was directed to perform his duty as Constable, while the Respondent does not comply the order passed by this Hon'ble Court dated: 15.09.2021 in favour of applicant, however, the applicant was conditionally reinstated in service.
- 4. That valuable rights of appellant/applicant are involved into the matter and will suffer irreparable loss if the subject relief has not been granted.

It is therefore, most humbly prayed that on acceptance of instant application, the above titled implementation petition may kindly be restored, in the best interest of justice and equity.

Khalid Khan Mohmana

&

Through

Haider Ali Khaja Advocatés, Peshawar.

Dated: 22.02.2023

AFFIDAVIT

I, do hereby solemnly affirm declare on oath that the contents of instant **application** are true and correct to the best of my knowledge, belief and nothing has been kept concealed from this Hon'ble Tribunal.

34/08/93



FICE OF THE district police officer, MARDAN

lojska, irolikozionika di Penero, ippaz baleguet क्षेत्रक रे रोज्या । **नवस्थित के व्रिक्**रिक स्थान



ORDER

On acceptance, of the pray filed by Sa-Constable Labour When No. 2549 by Hammalle EP Service Tribunal on Service appeal No. 1516272028 in its order appropriate on 15.09,2021, he Head Constable Zaboor Khan No. 2060 is bereby provisionally/confluently ro-incasted in. to direction freeze the date of decision to 15.09.2021, subject to the enterine of CPLA filed against the above mentioned orders.

Danes 14 121 12012

South-11 At Book 14 1

Copy for into manerica.

- Dispery lespectur General of Police, Marden Regional, Mission
- Superintendent of Paince Operations, Marchan
- USP/Legal
- ·DSP/HQcs
- Pay Officer
- 051
- PA.

BEFORE THE HON'BLE SERVICE TRIBUNAL, KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA PESHAWAR Misc. Application No. IN Service Appeal No. 15182/2020 Mr. Zahoor Khan Inspector General of Police & 2 others APPLICATION U/S 7(2)(d) OF THE KP SERVICE TRIBUNAL ACT, 1974 (KP ACT) NO.1 OF 1974), READ WITH ALL ENABLING PROVISIONS OF LAW GOVERNING THE SUBJECT, FOR EXECUTION/IMPLEMENTATION OF JUDGMENT DIAFDO 15.09.2021 IN THE TITLED APPEAL Respectfully Sheweth: 1. That Applicant/Appellant approached this Hon'ble Tribunal through Service Nos.15182/2020, which was allowed, vide Judgment dated: 15.09.2021 (Copy of Judgment dated: 15.09.2021 alongwith Service Appeal No.15182/2020 is attached as Annexure "A"). 2. That Judgment dated: 15.09.2021 supra was announced by this Hon'ble Tribunal in open Court, in presence of the representatives of the Respondent Department, however, the same has not been implemented so far, atthough applicant/appellant has also communicated the Judgment ibid alongwith application dated: 11.10.2021, but to no avail so far, hence the instant application. (Copy of application dated: 11.10.2021 is attached as Annexure "B").

3. That more than 50 days time has been elapsed, however, Respondent Department is reluctant to implement Judgment dated; 15.09.2021 of this Hon'ble Tribunal in letter and spirit, which has caused grave miscarriage of justice, moreover, this Hon'ble Tribunal has got ample jurisdiction to implement the Judgment ibid, by issuing appropriate directions to the delinquents for the desired relief.

4.員 That any other ground with the permission of this Hon'ble Tribunal will be taken at the time of argiþments.

It is, therefore, most humbly prayed that on acceptance of instant application, Judgment dated: 15.09.2021 of this Hon'ble Tribunal may be ordered to be implemented in letter and spirit, so as to avoid untoward situation and further complications.

AFFIDAVIT

Through

Stated on oath that contents of Application are true and correct to the best of knowledge and belief and nothing has been coricealed from this Hon'ble Tribunal.

Deponent

nad Kareem Afridi

Dated: 01.12.2021

Haider Ali

Advocates, Peshawar

expusing

Z.P. No. 360/2-2/3 Takoor Khan II Gut

04.01.2022.

Petitioner in person present.

Respondent department is directed to submit implementation order, if the department has filed CPLA before the august Supreme Court of Pakistan then they are directed to furnish conditional order or suspension order from august Supreme Court of Pakistan.

Notice of the instant execution petition alongwith copy of this order be issued to the respondents for submission of implementation report. To come up for implementation report on 17.01:2022 before S.B

> ehman Wazir) Member (E)

17.01.2022

Petitioner alongwith counsel and Mr. Muhammad Adeel Buitt, Addl. AG alongwith Noor Daraz Khan, S.I (Legal) for the respondents present.

Representative of the respondents has produced copy of order dated 14.01.2022, whereby judgment under execution has been conditionally implemented till final decision on CPLA by the August Supreme Court of Pakistan, Copy of order is placed on file.

In view of the above, the execution petition in hands is consigned to the record room.

Copying Fee

Name of Co

Date of Congression

Date of Delivery of Co.

BEFORE THE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICE TRIBUNAL PESHAWAR

Service Appeal No.15782 /2020

Mr. Zahoor

Ex-HC,

District Police, Mardan .

VERSUS

- The Inspector General of Police Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar
- The Regional Police Officer, Mardan Region, Mardan.
 - The District Police Officer,

District Mardan

Respondents

SERVICE APPEAL UNDER SECTION OF THE PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICE TRIBUNALS ACT, 1974. AGAINST THE ORDER **IMPUGNED** DATED 17.09.2020 WHEREBY MAJOR PUNISHMENT OF DISMISSAL FROM SERVICE WAS IMPOSED UPON APPELLANT AGAINST WHICH HE PREFERRED DEPARTMENTAL APPEAL TO RESPONDENT NO.2 ON 22.09.2020 BUT THE SAME WAS UNLAWFULLY REJECTED VIDE IMPUGNED APPELLATE ORDER DATED 12.10.2020.

Todo PRAYER:

On acceptance of the instant appeal, the impugned order dated 17.09.2020 passed by Respondent No.3 and impugned appellate order dated 12.10.2020 passed by Respondent No.2 may graciously be set aside/modified and appellant may be re-instated into service w.e.f. 17.09.2020 with all back benefits.

Respectfully Sheweth.

Facts giving rise to the present appeal are as under:-

That the appellant was employed in the Police Force as Constable way back in the year 2009 and has rendered meritorious service for the Department.



BEFORE THE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICE TRIBUNAL PESHAWAR

Service Appeal No.15182/2020

Date of Institution

09:11.2020

Date of Decision

15.09.2021

Mr. Zahoor Ex-H.C District Police, Mardan.

(Appellant)

VERSUS

The Inspector General of Police, Khyber Pakhtunhwa Peshawar and two others.

(Respondents)

Muhammad Amin Ayub,

Advocate

For Appellant.

Asif Masood Ali Shah, Deputy District Attorney

For Respondents.

AHMAD SULTAN TAREEN ROZINA REHMAN

CHAIRMAN

MEMBER (J)

JUDGMENT

ROZINA REHMAN, MEMBER (J): Brief facts of the case are that appellant was inducted in the Police Force as Constable. While performing duties at Special Squad Police Lines Mardan, he was suspended from service on account of departmental proceedings. He was charge sheeted and an inquiry was conducted into the matter, where-after; major penalty of dismissal from service was imposed upon appellant. He filed departmental appeal which was rejected, hence, the present service appeal.

We have heard Muhammad Amin Ayub Advocate appearing on . behalf of appellant and Asif Masood Ali Shah learned Deputy District

6

Attorney for the respondents and have gone through the record and the proceedings of the case in minute particulars.

3. Learned counsel for appellant contended that the appellant was not treated in accordance with law, rules and policy and that the respondents acted in violation of Article-4 & 25 of the Constitution of Islamic Republic of Pakistan, 1973. He contended that the appellant neither misused his official authority nor entered into the house of Khaista Rehman which is evident from the record and that complainant of case admitted the presence of appellant outside his house. That a false and concocted F.I.R was registered against the appellant and his wife. That mandatory requirement of law in shape of issuance of show cause notice was violated as no show cause notice was ever issued to the appellant and that in utter violation of law and principles of natural justice, after the first inquiry report, the second inquiry was clandestinely conducted at the back of the appellant and he was recommended for major punishment. That no notice was served upon the appellant nor reasons were shown as to how the first inquiry report was rejected and second inquiry was conducted and as to who was the Inquiry Officer as copy of the Inquiry report was not provided to the appellant. He submitted that neither regular inquiry was conducted nor any evidence was recorded in presence of appellant and that proper opportunity of defense was not given to the appellant. Lastly, he submitted that he was proceeded against departmentally on the allegations that he was involved in case F.I.R No.589 dated 12.07.2020 and that was the only stigma but the appellant was acquitted by competent court of Law, therefore, the impugned orders may kindly be set aside.

h



4. Conversely learned Deputy District Attorney submitted that appellant while posted at Special Squad, Police Lines Mardan, was placed under suspension on account of involvement in case F.I.R No.589 dated 1207.2020 at Police Station Saddar, Mardan. On account of the aforementioned allegations, he was issued charge sheet with statement of allegations and inquiry was entrusted to D.S.P Headquarter, Mardan. He contended that Inquiry Officer during the course of inquiry, provided all lawful opportunities to the appellant to produce evidence in his defense but fiasco and that after fulfillment of all codal formalities, report was submitted and appellant was rightly dismissed from service.

From the record, it is evident that appellant Zahoor khan Ex-Head Constable Mardan Police was proceeded against departmentally on the allegations that he while posted at Special Squad, Police Lines, Mardan was involved in F.I.R No.589 dated 12.07.2020 U/S 452, 354, 506/34 P.P.C Police Station Saddar, Mardan. The impugned order of District Police Officer Mardan is available on file which clearly shows that appellant was proceeded against departmentally through Mr. Gulshad Khan D.S.P Headquarter, Mardan and accordingly he was awarded major punishment of dismissal from service vide O.B No.1599 dated 17.09,2020. The inquiry report submitted by D.S.P Headquarter, Mardan is available on file as "Annexure-C" and this inquiry was conducted vide office No.318/PA dated 13.07.2020. The Inquiry Officer recommended temporary reinstatement of appellant till the Court decision. The entire record is silent as to why this inquiry report was not taken into consideration and as to how another order was passed for second

STED

8

inquiry. The statement of allegations available on file bearing No.318/PA dated 13.07.2020 shows that one Shakeel Ahmad D.S.P Headquarter was also nominated as Inquiry Officer. The respondents miserably failed to prove the service of charge sheet and statement of allegations upon the appellant and his association in the inquiry proceedings conducted by Shakeel Ahmad D.S.P.

- 6. As discussed earlier that the only allegation against the appellant was his involvement in the criminal case but the appellant was acquitted in the criminal case registered against him vide F.I.R No.589 by the competent court of Law on 06.04.2021.
- 7. It has been held by the superior for that all the acquittals are certainly honorable. There can be no acquittal which may be said to be dishonorable. Involvement of the appellant in the criminal case was the only ground on which he had been dismissed from service and the said ground had subsequently disappeared, therefore, his acquittal, made him re-emerge as fit and proper person entitled him to continue with his service.
- 8. For what has been discussed above, we allow this appeal as prayed for. Parties are left to bear their own costs. File be consigned to the record room.

ANNOUNCED. 15.09.2021

(Ahmad Sultan Tareen)

Chairman .

(Røzina Rehman) Member (J)

The copy

The Though

وكالت نامه

مقدمه مندرجه بالاعنوان مين اپن طرف ہے واسطے پیروی وجوابد بی بمقام۔

خالد خال مهمند ایدوکیٹ ہائی کورٹ، پشاور کو بدین شرط وکیل مقرر کیا ہے کہ میں ہر پیشی پرخود یا بذریعہ مختار خاص ر دبر وعدالت حاضر ہونا رہونگا۔ اور بوقت لکا دے جانے مقدمہ وکیل صاحب موصوف کو اطلاع دیکر حاضر عدالت کرونگا اگر بیتی رمن مظهر حاضر نه موااور مقدمه میری غیر حاضری کی وجہ ہے کی طور میرے برخلاف ہوگیا تو صاحب موصوف اس کے کسی طرح ذمہ دارنہ ہوں گے۔ نیز دکیل صاحب موصوف صدر مقام کچہری کے کسی اور جگہ یا کچہری کے مقررہ اوقات سے پہلے یا پیچیے پابز در تعطیل پیروی کرنے کے ذمہ دار نہ ہوں گے۔اگر مقد مہ علاوہ صدر مقام پجبری کے کسی اور جگہ ساعت ہونے پابروز تعطیل یا کچهری کے اوقات کے آگے پیچے پیش ہونے پر من مظہر کوکوئی نقصان پہنچ تو اس کے ذمہ داریا اس کے داسطے کسی معاوضہ کے اداکرنے یا محنتارنا مہوالیں کرنے کے بھی صاحب موصوف ذمہ دار نہ ہوں گے۔ مجھ کوکل ساختہ پر داختہ صاحب موصوف مثل کردہ ذات خودمنظور قبول ہوگا۔ادرصاحب موصوف کوعرضی دعوی وجواب دعوی اور درخواست اجرائے ڈگری ونظر ٹانی اپیل ونگرانی ہرشم کی درخواست پرد تخط وتصدیق کرنے کا بھی اختیار ہوگا۔اور کسی عظم یا ڈگری کے اجرا کرانے اور ہوشم کا روبید وصول کرنے اور رسید دینے اور داخل کرنے اور ہرشم کے بیان دینے اور سپر د ٹالٹی وراضی نام کو فیصلہ برخلاف کرنے، ا قبال دعوی دینے کا بھی اختیار ہوگا۔اور بصورت اپیل و برآ مدگی مقدمہ یا منسوخی ڈگری پیطر فہ درخواست تھم امتناعی یا قرقی یا گرفتاری قبل از اجراء ڈگری بھی موصوف کو بشر ط ادا گیگی علیحدہ محنتار نامبہ پیروی کا اختیار ہوگا ۔اوربصورت ضرورت صاحب موصوف کو بھی اختیار ہوگایا مقدمہ فدکورہ یا اس کے کسی جزوکی کاروائی کے واسطے یا بصورت اپیل ، اپیل کے واسطے کسی دوسرے وکیل یا پیرسٹر کو بجائے اپنے یا اپنے ہمراہ مقرر کریں۔اورالیے مشیر قانون کو ہرامر میں وہی اور ویسے ہی اختیارات حاصل ہوں <u>ے جیسے کہ صاحب موصوف کو حاصل ہیں اور دوران مقدمہ میں جو پچھ ہر جاندالتواء پڑے گا۔ وہ صاحب موصوف کاحق ہوگا۔</u> اگر وکیل صاحب موصوف کو پوری فیس تاریخ بیشی سے پہلے اوا نہ کروں گا تو صاحب موصوف کو پورا اختیار ہوگا کم مقدمہ کی پیروی نه کریں اور الیں صورت میں میرا کوئی مطالبہ سی شم کا صاحب موصوف کے برخلاف نہیں ہوگا۔لہذا میں تنار نامہ کھھ دیا کہ _مضمون مختار نامه ن لیا ہے اور انتھی طرح سمجھ لیا ہے اور منظور ہے۔

ATTESTED & ACCEPTED:

Khalid Khan Mohmana

Advocate High Court

Peshawar

B.C. No. 18-1115 \

ČNÍC No. 16101-8191351-1 Mobile No. 0342-9101124