Form- A FORM OF ORDER SHEET

Court of	
Execution Petition No.	103/2023

	Exe	cution Petition No. 103/2023		
S.No.	Date of order proceedings	Order or other proceedings with signature of judge		
1	2	3		
1	24.02.2023	The execution petition of Mr. Muhammad		
		Raheem submitted today by Mr. Nasruminallah		
		Advocate. It is fixed for implementation report before		
		Single Bench at Peshawar on Original		
		file be requisitioned. AAG has noted the next date. The		
		respondents be issued notices to submit		
		compliance/implementation report on the date fixed.		
	By the proer of Chairman			
		REGISTRAR C.		
,				
		;		
•				

BEFORE THE SERVICE TRIBUNAL KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA, PESHAWAR.

Execution Petition No. 103 /2023

lΠ

Service Appeal No:- 7142/2021

Muhammad Rahim......APPLICANT/ PETITIONER

Versus

Ameer Sultan Tareen, Secretary Health & others......RESPONDENTS

INDEX

S.No	Description of Documents	Annex	Pages
1.	Contents of Execution Petition with Affidavit		1-3
2.	Copy of the order/ judgment of this Honorable Tribunal dated:08.11.2022		4-58
3.	Wakalatnama		9()

Through,

Applicant/ Petitioner

NASRUMINALLAH

HUZAIFA TAHIR

Advocates, High Court.

Dated:24.02.2023

BEFORE THE SERVICE TRIBUNAL KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA, PESHAWAR.

Khyber Palahtskinwa Service Telounai

Execution Petition No. 103 /2023

ln

Service Appeal No:- <u>7142/2021</u>

Riary No. 3803

Dated 24-7-2023

Muhammad Rahim s/o Fazal Raheem R/o Shagyali Bala, House No.1, PO Box, Shaggali Payan, District Peshawar.

.....PETITIONER/APPELLANT

Versus

- Ameer Sultan Tareen, Secretary Health Department, Government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, at Civil Secretariat, Peshawar.
- 2. Mr. Feroz Shah, District Health Officer, Town-II, Peshawar.
- Director General AGPR Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar Division, Peshawar.
- Chairman NADRA, Islamabad.
- 5. Director General, NADRA, Islamabad.
- Regional Director NADRA, Head Office, Hayatabad, Peshawar.

......RESPONDENTS

EXECUTION PETITION FOR IMPLEMENTATION OF THE ORDER/JUDGMENT DATED 08.11.2022 \mathbf{OF} THIS **HONOURABLE** TRIBUNAL, ACCORDING TO WHICH REGARDLESS WITH THE DISMISSAL OF THE APPEAL \mathbf{OF} APPELLANT, THE THE CERTAIN RELIEF TO THE EXTENT OF ENTITY OF THE APPELLANT IS EXTENDED, HENCE ITS EXECUTION.

spectfully Sheweth:

- 1. That the above titled Service Appeal being filed on behalf of the applicant/ appellant before this Honorable Court, which has been disposed-off as dismissed vide order/ judgment dated: 08.11.2022. (COPY OF THE JUDGMENT OF THIS HONORABLE TRIBUNAL IS APPENDED HEREWITH)
- 2. That in the above judgment of this Honorable Tribunal, the certain relief is extended to the applicant/ appellant, which core citation of the judgment is reproduced "herein" for the deeper consideration of this Honorable Tribunal;

"Having considered the matter from all angles in the light of material available on file, we do not find any merit in the instant appeal which is hereby dismissed. However, the respondents are directed not to pass any order in respect of recovery because he served the department and was paid accordingly, the pension process be expedited and the same be paid to the appellant according to the law"

3. That the respondent department is yet reluctant to proceed with the matter of pension allowances and not ready to pay to the applicant/ appellant, in consideration of the throughout unlimited services provided on the part of the applicant/ appellant to his parent department. Moreover the consciousness and reservations is yet attracted, in lieu of recovery of any compensation, on the part

Solv Konk Person ENH ZEIEG

DELONENL

correct to the best of my big wiedge and belief.

declare on oath that the contents of this accompanying Execution Petition are true and I, Muhammad Rahim S/0 Fazal Rahim (appellant), do hereby solemnly affirm and

AFFIDAVIT

Peshawar, Advocate, High court

НАЈЈАМИЯ**КАИ**

Applicant/Appellant

_:µcondp:-

Dated:- 23-Feb-2320

appellant/ petitioner.

and of painting ad the brind to the solution of the solution o Any other remedy which deem fit to this

and not to recover any thing as per order. of payment of the pension of applicant/ 08.11.2022, specially to the extent of legal rights execute the order of this Honorable Court dated: respondents may very kindly please be ordered to on acceptance of this Execution Petition, It is, therefore, most humbly prayed that

entitled for.

Honorable Court to which applicant/ appellant is sint yd babnatxa naad ythyn si tallaqqa of order that the certain relief to the applicant/ It is settled as per contents of the relevant portion

'иоццэд

letter and spirit, hence this distinguish execution execute the order of this Honorable Tribunal in appellant but rather also would amounts to not not only effect the legal rights of the applicant/ of the respondent department, which otherwise



BIEF ORE THE SERVICE TRIBUNAL KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA, PESHAWAR.

Service No:- 7/47/2021

Muhammad Raheem S/o Fazal Raheem R/o Shagyali Bala, House No 1, PO

Box, Shaggali Payan, District Peshawar.

Appellant

Diary No. 7241

Versus

Dated 13/7/2021

- 1. Secretary Health Department, Government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Civil Secretariat, Peshawar.
- 2. District Health Officer, Town-II, Peshawar.
- 3. Director General AGPR Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar Division, Peshawar
- 4. Chairman NADRA, Islamabad.
- 5. Director General, MADRA Islamabad.

6. Regional Director NADRA, Head Office, Hayat Abad, Peshawar.
Respondent

APPEAL UNDER SECTION 4 OF THE SERVICE TRIBUNAL ACT, 1974 TO THE EFFECT THAT THE ACTUAL DATE OF BIRTH OF THE APPELLANT/EMPLOYEE i.e. 01/01/1964 AND THE RESPONDENT DEPARTMENT HAS WRONGLY INCORPORATED THE DATE OF BIRTH OF THE APPELLANT/EMPLOYEE, WHICH NEEDS CORRECTION AND INCORPORATION OF THE ACTUAL DATE OF BIRTH i.e. 01/01/1964 IN SERVICE RECORD INSTEAD OF 01/07/1958.

Respectfully Sheweth:-

1. That the appellant/employee joined the department in the capacity of Chowkidar on 01/09/1987. (Copy attached as

Substitute to Appexure A).

ROBISTIAN L

Aci Villerii.

ATTESTED

hyber aldfukhwa Serve Uribuna Perhampi

BEFORE THE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICE TRIBUNAL PESHAWAR

Service Appeal No.7142/2021

Date of Institution

13.07.2021

Date of Decision

08.11.2022

Muhammad Raheem S/O Fazal Raheem R/o Shagyali Bala, House No.1 P/O Shaggali Payan, District Peshawar.

(Appellant)

VERSUS

Secretary Health Department, Government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Civil Secretariat, Peshawar and five others.

Respondents)

Present:

Nasrumin Allah,

Advocate

For appellant.

Asif Masood Ali Shah,

Deputy District Attorney

For respondents.

Mrs. Rozina Rehman

 \dots MEMBER (J)

Miss. Eareeha Paul

... MEMBER (E)

JUDGMENT

ROZINA REHMAN, MEMBER: The appellant has invoked the jurisdiction of this Tribunal through above titled appeal with the prayer as copied below:

"That on acceptance of this service appeal, the respondent department may please be directed to incorporate the actual date of birth of the appellant as 01.01.1964 in the service record and thereafter the retirement order bearing endorsement No. 19333-38

ATTESTED

WANTER

William Dakbrukhwa

Seprinta Tribunai

dated 09.02.2020 may kindly be cancelled/withdrawn and he may be allowed to complete his service upto the age of superannuation, which is 01.01.2024 with all benefits of salaries and arrears."

- 2. Brief facts of the case are that the appellant joined the respondent department as Chowkidar on 01.09.1987. His date of birth was 01.01.1964. He came to know about the wrong entry in respect of his date of birth, and therefore, submitted representation for its rectification but to no avail. He filed civil suit for declaration but the same was returned to the appellant in original for presentation before the competent forum. Hence the present service appeal.
- 3. Mr. NasruminAllah learned counsel for the appellant submitted that the correct date of birth of the appellant was 01.01.1964 and that being illiterate person he was not aware of wrong entry made by the department in his service record without taking into consideration his CNIC. Learned counsel submitted that his salary was stopped and his order of retirement was issued which was wrong, illegal against law and facts because the entry in respect of his date of birth was wrongly made by the department and not by the appellant. He was not afforded any opportunity of personal hearing and he was condemned unheard. Lastly, he submitted that no regular inquiry was conducted into the matter and that CNIC of the appellant was not considered, he therefore, requested that the appeal may kindly be accepted.
- 4. Conversely, learned Deputy District Attorney submitted that as per service book of the appellant, his date of birth was 01.07.1958







and that his date of birth could not be altered at that stage as per G.F.R.116. He therefore requested for the dismissal of instant service appeal.

5. From the record it is evident that the appellant, Mr. Muhammad Raheem, was appointed as Chowkidar on 24.06.1987. His service book shows his date of birth as 01.07.1958. This entry in the service record was never objected to by the appellant during his service. He filed a civil suit for declaration for correction of his date of birth in his service record and CNIC which plaint was returned to the appellant for presentation before proper forum vide order of learned Sessions Judge dated 15.06.2021. In view of entry in service book regarding his date of birth, the appellant stood retired from government service w.e.f 30.06.2018 vide office order of the District Health Officer dated 09.02.2020 which means that he served the department even after attaining the age of retirement on 30.06.2018. There is nothing on file which could show that any order in respect of . recovery was passed against appellant by the competent authority, however, pension was not paid to the appellant till today. The change of date of birth in service record is allowed within two years of entry into service according to G.F.R-116.

6. Having considered the matter from all angles in the light of material available on file, we do not find any merit in the instant appeal which is hereby dismissed. However, the respondents are directed not to pass any order in respect of recovery because he served the department and was paid accordingly. The pension process be



expedited and the same be paid to the appellant according to law. Parties are left to bear their own costs. File be consigned to the record room.

<u>ANNOUNCED.</u> 08.11.2022

(Farecha Paul) Member (E) Rozina Rehman) Member (J)

erimen he ure copy

