### Form<sub>7</sub>,A

## FORM OF ORDER SHEET

Court o	f	 	 	 	 	
•	•			<i>t</i> ,		٠

## Implementation Petition No. 783/2023

S.No.	Date of order proceedings	Order or other proceedings with signature of judge
1	2	3
1	18.10.2023	The implementation petition of Mr. Rashid Gul
		submitted today by Syed Roman Shah Advocate. It is
		fixed for implementation report before Single Bench at
	. ,	Peshawar on Original file be
		requisitioned. AAG has noted the next date. Parcha peshi
	,	is given to the counsel for the petitioner.
		By the order of Chairman
		REGISTRAR
-	, .	
	,	,

## BEFORE THE SERVICE TRIBUNAL KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWAPESHAWAR

Execution Petition No. 733 /2023, In Service appeal No. 636 of 2023

Rashid Gul S/o Matali Khan R/o Shawa Hindu kash PO Sabir Abad, Tehsil and District Karak,

.....Decree Holder/Petitioner

#### Versus

Government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa through Chief Secretary, and others

Judgment Debtor/Respondents

#### Index

S. No	Description of Documents	Annex	Pages		
1.	Execution Petition		1-4		
2.	Copy of Attested Judgments dated 24.08.2023	"A" .	5-89		
3.	Copy of other documents	-	10		
4.	Wakalatnama		11		

Petitioner

Through

Syed Roman Shah

Advocate High Court

# BEFORE THE SERVICE TRIBUNAL KHYBER Rhyber Pakhtukhwa Service Tribunal PAKHTUNKHWAPESHAWAR

Dated 18-10-23

Execution Petition No. 783/2023, In Service appeal No. 636 of 2023

Rashid Gul S/o Matali Khan R/o Shawa Hindu kash PO Sabir Abad, Tehsil and District Karak,

.....Decree Holder/Petitioner

#### Versus

- 1. Government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa through Chief Secretary, Peshawar
- 2. Government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, through, Secretary Home and Tribal Affairs, Peshawar
- 3. Deputy Commissioner, Karak/ commandant Levies Force Karak
  .....Judgment Debtor/Respondents

PETITION FOR DIRECTING THE RESPONDENT TO IMPLEMENT THE JUDGMENT AND ORDER DATED 24/08/2023 OF THIS HONORABLE TRIBUNAL, IN THE ABOVE NOTED APPEAL.

Respectfully Sheweth;

Applicants humbly submits as under

- 1. That the above noted appeal has been decided on 24/08/2023, by this honorable tribunal in favor of the petitioner.
- 2. That the appeal of the petitioner has been disposed of in the following manner, the Judgment is re-produced below;

"In some of the appeals learned counsel for the appellants are present while some appellants are in person present. Mr. Muhammad Jan, District Attorney along with M/s Liaqat Ali DSP, Hakim Zada Superintendent, Muhammad Asim Khan Assistant, Parvez Khan Assistant and Sharif Ullah Assistant for respondents present.

It is noted with serious concern that nobody from the Home Department put appearance. Copy of this order be sent to the Worthy Chief Secretary Khyber Pakhtunkhwa and Worthy Secretary, Home and Tribal Affairs Department, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa for information. The matter was heard on more than one dates and could not be decided because of pendency of a CP No.818/2023 before the august Supreme Court of Pakistan. During the course of arguments on some previous dates, Dr. Adnan Khan learned counsel for some of the appellants had informed the Tribunal that the petitioners, who had approached the august Supreme Court of Pakistan, against the judgment of Hon'ble Peshawar High Court, in Writ Petition No.363-M of 2021 dated 29.11.2022, submitted application for withdrawal of the CP from the august Supreme Court of Pakistan. The august Supreme Court was pleased to dismiss the CP as withdrawn on 07.06.2023. Today, Mr. Taimur Haider, Advocate/counsel for the appellant in Service Appeal No. 162/2023, produced copy of an Act of the Provincial Assembly named "The Provincially Administered Tribal Areas Levies Force (Amendment) Act, 2021" in to which a new section, Section-11 was added, which is reproduced as under: All levies

"11. Reinstatement of the levies personnel--- All levies personnel, who have been retired from the Force, with effect from 22.03.2021, till the commencement of the Provincially Administered

Tribal Areas Levies Force (Amendment) Act, 2021 shall be reinstated in the Force as regular employees, with effect from their respective dates of retirement and they shall be deemed as never retired from the Force."

When confronted with the provisions of the newly added Section-11 of the Act of 2021, whereby, all Levies personnel, who had retired from the Force w.e.f 22.03.2021 till the commencement of the Act i.e. 30.11.2021, were reinstated as regular employees w.e.f respective dates of retirement and were deemed to have never retired from the Force, the learned counsel was very fair to say that there was nothing more to be resolved by this Tribunal in these appeals, so is the agreement of other learned counsel as well as appellants present before the Tribunal, because by promulgation of the above Act especially insertion of new Section-11, where after, both the impugned Notifications no more remained effective. They, however, contend that even the provisions of the Act were not be complied with/implemented by the respondents. They say they would approach the proper forum for giving effect to/implementation of the provisions of Section-11 of the Act of 2021 and in case their grievances are not addressed in accordance with the terms of the Act, they would recourse to further legal remedies available to them. Disposed of in the above terms. (Copies of this order be placed in all connected appeals). Consign.

Pronounced in open Court at Peshawar and given under our hands and seal of the Tribunal on this 24th day 2023." (Judgment is Attached As Annexure-A)

3. That the petitioner has approached time and again to the respondents to implement the judgment of this hon'ble tribunal but the respondent deaf eared to the petitioner.

- 4. That now the petitioner has no other remedy but to approach this. Hon'ble tribunal for the implementation of this tribunal Judgment/Order dated 24/08/2023.
- 5. That there is no bar in filing of this petition, and this petition is well within time.

It is therefore most humbly prayed that upon acceptance of this petition, the respondents may please be directed to implement the Judgment and order of this Hon'ble tribunal Dated 24/08/2023, and to punish the respondents for defiance of this Hon'ble tribunal Judgment and order.

Petitioner

Through

Syed Roman Shah

**Advocate High Court** 

### **AFFIDIVIT**

I, Rashid Gul S/o Matali Khan R/o Shawa Hindu kash PO Sabir Abad, Tehsil and District Karak, do hereby solemnly affirm and declare that the contents of the above petition are true and correct to the best of my knowledge and belief and nothing has been concealed from this Honorable tribunal.

DEPONENT

Annex BEFORE THE SERVICE TRIBUNAL KHYBER PARHTUNKHWA PESHAWAR

Service Appent No. 636 /2022,

Rashid Gut 8/o Shawa Hindu Kash P/o Sabir! Abad, Tcheff ...... Appellänt (

Versús

- Government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa through Secretary, Home and 1. Tribal Affairs Civil Secretariat, Peshawar.
- Provincial Police Officer /Inspector General of Police. 2 Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar
- Regional Police Officer Kohat region Khyber Pakhtunkhwa 3.
- 4. Deputy commissioner, Karak/commandant levies force Karak .....Respondents

APPEAL UNDER SECTION 4, OF SERVICE TRIBUNAL ACT, 1974 AGAINST THE ORDER DATED 08/04/2021 PASSED BY RESPONDENT NO. 5 BY WHICH THE APPELLANT HAS BEEN RETIRED AS PER NOTIFICATION DATED 22/03/2021, AND AGINST THE NOTIFICATION NO. SO(POLICE-II)/HD/1-3/2020/MKD/LEVIES DATED 21-10-2021 WHERBY THE RETIRING AGE FOR THE APPELLANT HAS BEEN REDUCED TO 25 YEARS OF SERVICE OR 45 YEARS OF AGE WHICHEVERE IS EARLIER FROM THE AGE OF SUPERNUATION.

PRAYER

On accepting this service appeal, the impugned retirement order dated 08/04/2021 and notification dated 22/03/2021 along with the amended notification dated 21/10/2021 may graciously be set 社会社会一起那些例 aside by declaring it illegal, unlawful, without authority, based on Anala fide, void abinatio and thus not sustainable in the eyes of law and appellant is entitled for reinstatement in service with all back - benefits of pay and service from the date of impugned retirement

Sul Shah.

Scanned with CamScanner

Service Appeal No.1916/2022 titled "Muhammad Salim Vs. The Government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa through Chief Secretary, Civil Secretariat at Peshawar and others"

veibuns

ORDER 24<sup>th</sup> Aug. 2023

Kalim Arshad Khan, Chairman: Through this single order this appeal and all the following connected appeals are being decided as all are Notifications No.SO(Policeagainst the same impugned II)HD/MKD/Levies/Misc./2020 dated 22.03.2021 and No.SO(Police-II)HD/1-3/FEDERAL LEVIES 2021 dated 20. 10.2021. Appeal 1919/2022, ...1920/2022, 1918/2022, 1917/2022, Nos:1916/2022, 1921/2022, 1922/2022, 1923/2022, 1924/2022, 1925/2022, 1926/2022, 1927/2022, 1928/2022, 1929/2022, 1930/2022, 1931/2022, 1932/2022, 1933/2022, 1934/2022, 1935/2022, 1936/2022, 1937/2022, 1938/2022, 1939/2022, 1940/2022, 1941/2022, 1942/2022, 1943/2022, 1944/2022, 1945/2022, 1946/2022, 1947/2022, 1948/2022, 1949/2022, 1950/2022, 1951/2022, 1952/2022, 1953/2022, 1954/2022, 1955/2022, 1956/2022, 1957/2022, 1958/2022, 1959/2022, 1960/2022, 1961/2022, 1962/2022, 1963/2022, 1964/2022, 1965/2022 1966/2022, 1967/2022, 1968/2022, 1969/2022, 1970/2022, 1971/2022, 1972/2022, 1973/2022, 1974/2022, 1975/2022, 1976/2022, 1977/2022, 1978/2022, 1979/2022, 1980/2022, 1981/2022, 1982/2022, 1983/2022, 1984/2022, 1985/2022, 1986/2022, 1987/2022, 1988/2022, 1989/2022, 1990/2022, 1991/2022, 1992/2022, 1993/2022, 1994/2022, 1995/2022, 1996/2022, 1997/2022, 1998/2022, 1999/2022, 2000/2022, 2001/2022, 2002/2022, 2003/2022, 2006/2022, 34/2023, 35/2023, 36/2023, 37/2023, 38/2023, 39/2023, 40/2023, 41/2023, 42/2023, 43/2023, 44/2023, 45/2023, 46/2023, 47/2023,

2) Sul Black.

Jage L



48/2023, 49/2023, 50/2023, 51/2023, 52/2023, 53/2023, 54/2023, 55/2023, 56/2023, 57/2023, 58/2023, 59/2023, 60/2023, 61/2023, 62/2023, 63/2023, 64/2023, 65/2023, 66/2023, 67/2023, 68/2028, 69/2023, 70/2023, 71/2023, 72/2023, 73/2023, 162/2023, 168/2023, 169/2023, 170/2023, 171/2023, 172/2023, 173/2023, 175/2023. 176/2023. 177/2023, 178/2023, 179/2023. 180/2023. 181/2023, 182/2023, 183/2023, 187/2023, 189/2023, 188/2023, 190/2023, 193/2023, 194/2023. 195/2023, 196/2023, 197/2023, 198/2023, 199/2023, 200/2023, 201/2023; 202/2023 203/2023, 204/2023, 205/2023, 206/2023, 207/2023, 208/2023, 209/2023, 210/2023, 211/2023, 212/2023, 213/2023, 257/2023, 257/2023, 258/2023. 259/2023, 315/2023, 322/2023, 408/2023, 409/2023, 411/2023, 412/2023, 413/2023, 414/2023, 415/2023, 418/2023, 419/2023, 601/2023, 602/2023, 603/2023, 604/2023, 605/2023, 625/2023, 626/2023, 629/2023, 630/2023; 631/2023. 633/2023, 634/2023, 635/2023, 636/2023, 637/2023, 632/2023, 638/2023 640/2023, 641/2023, 642/2023, 643/2023, 639/2023, 644/2023, 645/2023, 646/2023, 659/2023, 660/2023, 661/2023, 682/2023, 793/2023, 870/2023, 1175/2023, 1258/2023, 1259/2023, 1288/2023, 1289/2023, 1300/2023, 1372/2023 and Service Appeal No. 1538/2023,

2. In some of the appeals learned counsel for the appellants are present while some appellants are in person present. Mr. Muhammad Jan. District Attorney alongwith M/S Liaqat Ali DSP, Hakim Zada

Swishow Jalyos Vis



Superintendent, Muhammad Asim Khan Assistant, Parvez Khan Assistant and Sharif Ullah Assistant for respondents present.

- 3. It is noted with serious concern that nobody from the Home Department put appearance. Copy of this order be thus sent to the Worthy Chief Secretary Khyber Pakhtunkhwa and Worthy Secretary, Home and Tribal Affairs Department, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa for information.
- 4. The matter was heard on more than one dates and could not be decided because of pendency of a CP No.818/2023 before the august Supreme Court of Pakistan. During the course of arguments on some previous dates, Dr. Adnan Khan learned counsel for some of the appellants had informed the Tribunal that the petitioners, who had approached the august Supreme Court of Pakistan, against the judgment of Hon'ble Peshawar High Court, in Writ Petition No.363-M of 2021 dated 29.11.2022, had submitted application for withdrawal of the CP from the august Supreme Court of Pakistan. The august Supreme Court was pleased to dismiss the CP as withdrawn on 07.06.2023. Today, Mr. Taimur Haider, Advocate/counsel for the appellant in Service Appeal No. 162/2023, produced copy of an Act of the Provincial Assembly named "The Provincially Administered Tribal Areas Levies Force (Amendment) Act, 2021" in to which a new section, Section-11 was added, which is reproduced as under:
  - "11. Reinstatement of the levies personnel. --- All levies personnel, who have been retired from the Force, with effect from 22.03.2021, till the commencement of the Provincially Administered Tribal Areas Levies Force (Amendment) Act, 2021 shall be reinstated in the Force as regular employees, with effect from their respective dates of retirement and they shall be deemed as never retired from the Force."

Page

When confronted with the provisions of the newly added Section-11 of the Act of 2021, whereby, all Levies personnel, who had retired from the Force w.e.f 22.03.2021 till the commencement of the Act i.e. 30.11.2021, were reinstated as regular employees w.e.f respective dates of retirement and were deemed to have never retired from the Force, the learned counsel was very fair to say that there was nothing more to be resolved by this Tribunal in these appeals, so is the agreement of other learned counsel as well as appellants present before the Tribunal, because by promulgation of the above Act especially insertion of new Section-11, whereafter, both the impugned Notifications no more remained effective. They, however, contend that even the provisions of the Act were not be complied with/implemented by the respondents. They say they would approach the proper forum for giving effect to/implementation of the provisions of Section-11 of the Act of 2021 and in case their grievances are not addressed in accordance with the terms of the Act, they would recourse to further legal remedies available to them. Disposed of in the above terms. (Copies of this order be placed in all connected appeals). Consign.

5. Pronounced in open Court at Peshawar and given under our hands and seal of the Tribunal on this 24th day of August, 2023.

> (Salah-Ud-Din Member (J)

(Kalim Arshad Khan)

) Chairman

tazèm Shah\*

أنوزاشنانس كزرؤ بر رسرور كروك مع تزوم اله-03-24/ دران 11-11.06 كو دو عرد 2 6/10,00 و المر المراس ال ربازارد - 4-4 الريمارد / وسائرد / وسائرد / وسائرد / وسائر ای نیز در مدرور مالد دولون کرسیدی ن در افعلی کوی ورای تعافر می ماور سے افر کا س تھا ۔ اورای دوان Tribal area levy Fore 11800 W 2. 10,1212,012,000 100 100 100 10

ر استرا بنام عرف ولاي موزخه مثلامه دعوى باعث تحريرة نكه مفدم من رجع وان بالامين ابي طرف سواسط بيروى وجواب داي وكل كارواكى متعلقة آن مقام مشرور كيلي سيروعان كاه مقرر کرے اقر ارکیا جاتا ہے۔ کہ صاحب موصوف کومقدمہ کی کل کاروائی کا کامل اختیار ہوگا۔ نیز وكيل صاحب كوراضى نامه كرنے وتقرر ثالت و فيصله برحلف ديئے جواب دہى اورا قبال دعوى اور بسورت ذكري كرفي اجراءا ورصولي چيك دروييارعرضي دعوى اور درخواست برسم كي تقديق زرایی پردستخط کرانے کا اختیار ہوگا۔ نیز صورت عدم پیردی یا ڈگری میطرفہ یا بیل کی برا مدگی اورمنسوخی فيز دائر كرنے اپيل محرانی ونظر ثانی و پيروي كرنے كا ختيار موگا۔ از بصورت ضرورت مقدمہ ندكور کے کل یا جزوی کاروائی کے واسطے اور وکیل یا مختار قانونی کوایے ہمراہ یا اپنے بجائے تقرر کا اختیار موگا \_اورصاحب مقرر شده کوجمی و بی جمله ندکوره باا ختیارات حاصل موں مےاوراس کا ساخت برواخة منظور تبول موكاردوران مقدمه مين جوخر چدد مرجان التواع مقدمه كسبب سے وموكار کوئی تاری پیشی مقام دوره پر برویا حدسے باہر بوتو ویل صاحب پابند بوں مے کہ بیروی مروی مروی مروی مروی مروی کا م ند کورکریں۔لہذا وکالت نامہ کھدیا کے سندرہے۔ er z کے لئے منظور ہے۔