Form- A FORM OF ORDER SHEET

Courtor			
			ν .
		* •	
	-		

Implementation Petition No. 788/2023

S.No.	Date of order proceedings	Order or other proceedings with signature of judge		
1	2	3 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 -		
1	18.10.2023	The implementation petition of Mst. Kalsoom.		
		submitted today by Mr. Muhammad Asif Yousafzai		
		Advocate. It is fixed for implementation report before		
		Single Bench at Peshawar on Original		
		file be requisitioned. AAG has noted the next date.		
		Parcha peshi is given to the counsel for the petitioner.		
		By the order of Chairman		
		REGISTRAR		
-				

BEFORE THE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICE TRIBUNAL, PESHAWAR.

Exec	ution Petition No	788	/2023
	In		
,	Service Appeal N	o.1080/20	15

Kalsoom

· V/S

Govt: of KP etc.

INDEX

S.No.	Documents	Annexure	Page No.
1.	Memo of Execution Petition		01-02
2.	Copy of Judgment dated 16.06.2023	- A-	03-07
3.	Copy of application dt: 5.7.2023	A1	08
4.	Vakalat Nama		. 09

APPLICANT/PETITIONER

Kalsoom

AST OUSARDI

THROUGH:

(M. ASIF YOUSAFZAI)

Advocate Supreme Court

Of Pakistan.

(SYED NOMAN ALI BUKHARI)

Advocate, High Court

Peshawar.

(HILAL ZUBAIR)

Advocate Peshawar.

Room No.FR-08, 4th Floor, Bilour Plaza Peshawar Cantt: Cell # 0312-9103240

BEFORE THE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICE TRIBUNAL, PESHAWAR.

Execution Petition No. /88 /2023 In Service Appeal No.1080/2015 Khyber Pakhtukhwa Service Tribunai

Diam No. 8 406

Kalsoom, SPST, GGPS, Risalpur, Cantt Nowshera.

19-10-2023

PETITIONER

VERSUS

- 1. The Secretary to Govt: of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Education (E&SE) Department Civil Secretariat, Peshawar.
- 2. The Director Education (E&SE), Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar.
- 3. The District Education (Female), Nowshera.
- 4. The Appellate Committee, through District Education Officer (Female) Nowshera.

RESPONDENTS

EXECUTION PETITION FOR DIRECTING THE RESPONDENTS TO IMPLEMENT THE JUDGMENT DATED: 16.06.2023 OF THIS HONOURABLE TRIBUNAL IN LETTER AND SPIRIT.

RESPECTFULLY SHEWETH:

- 1. That the applicant/petitioner filed Service Appeal No.1080/2015 in this august Tribunal against the order dated 26.08.2015 whereby for consideration of promotion to the post of PSHT of the petitioner.
- 2. That the said appeal was finally heard by the Honorable Tribunal on 16.06.2023 and the Honorable Tribunal was kind enough to allow the appeal with the direction that; "The representative of the respondents present before this Court dated stated that the respondents would reconsider the case of the appellant if it is remitted to the respondents and in case her was otherwise fit, she would be granted relief in accordance with law and rules in view of the above development, let this matter be sent to the respondents for reconsideration of the prayer of appellant in accordance with law". (Copy of judgment is attached as Annexure-A).

- That the appellant filed application dated 05.07.2023 to the respondent department for consideration of Judgment of Honorable Tribunal dated 16.06.2023 but despite fact that the respondents were totally failed in taking any action regarded the Hon'able Tribunals Judgment dated 16.06.2023 despite consented in the Tribunal. (Copy of application is attached as Annex-A1)
- 4. That in-action and not fulfilling formal requirements by the respondents, after passing the judgment of this august Tribunal, is totally illegal amount to disobedience and Contempt of Court.
- 5. That the judgment is still in the field and has not been suspended or set aside by the Supreme Court of Pakistan, therefore, the respondents are legally bound to pass formal appropriate order.
- 6. That the petitioner has having no other remedy to file this Execution Petition.

It is, therefore, most humbly prayed that the respondents may be directed to comply with the directions given in the judgment dated 16.06.2023 in letter and spirit. Any other remedy, which this august Tribunal deems fit and appropriate that, may also be awarded in favor of applicant/petitioner.

APPLICANT/PETITIONER

Kalsoom

THROUGH:

Asy Horseiter

(M. ASIF YOUSAFZAI)

Advocate Supreme Court

Of Pakistan.

(SYED NOMAN ALI BUKHARI)

Advocate, High Court

Peshawar.

&

(HILAL ZUBAIR)
Advocate Peshawar.

AFFIDAVIT:

ATTESTED

It is affirmed and declared that the contents of the above Execution Petition are true and correct to the best of my knowledge and belief and nothing has been concealed from the Hon'able Tribunal.

10, 2023

DEPONENT

hymica legged to from 1984 both the telephone in the Community Lorentees to mention to restore the desiration of the desiration of the telephone the telephone that the telephone the telephone that the telephone the telephone that the telephone the teleph

<u> CHYBER PAKUTUNKIIWA SERVICE TRIBUNAL, PESIJAWAR</u>

BEFORE:

KALIM ARSHAD KHAN RASHIDA BANO ... CHAIRMAN
... MEMBER (Judicial)

(3

Service Appeal No.1080/2015

Date of presentation of Appeal	29.09.2015
Date of Hearing	16.06.2023
Date of Decision	16.06.2023

Versus

1. The Secretary to Government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Education Department, Civil Secretariat, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa.

2. The Director Elementary & Secondary Education, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Peshawar.

3. The District Education Officer (Female), Nowshera.

Present:

Mr. Muhammad Asif Yousafzai, Advocate......For appellant Mr. Fazal Shah Mohmand, Additional Advocate GeneralFor respondents

Khyber **SECTION-4** THE OF UNDER APPEAL ACI, TRIBUNAL SERVICE PAKHTUNKHWA 26.08.2015 DATED ORDER_ AGAINST THE COMMUNICATED TO THE APPELLALNT ON 15.09.2015 WHEREBY THE APPEAL OF THE APPELLANT FOR CONSIDERATION OF PROMOTION TO THE POST OF PSHT HAS BEEN REJECTED FOR NO GOOD GROUNDS.

JUDGMENT

KALIM ARSHAD KHAN CHAIRMAN: Brief facts of the case, as

detailed in the memo and grounds of appeal, are that the appellant was

appointed as PTC on 05.02.1987 and was performing her duty. That AVEESTED

Notification dated 13th November 2012 was issued whereby the 2

method of recruitment, qualification and other conditions were

Pasel

Ascence Appeal Na 1080-2013 (title) "Mist, Kuistoud (45) (ii) Secretary Elementary & Secondary Education Appartment Khyler Pakhumkhova, and others", declated to 16 th, 2023 by Furrana Bench congregating of the Kalon Arabad Eluin, Chairman, and Mest Bashida Bana, Membre Andieial, Khyler PakhumWite, Service Tribunal Peshiwar.

framed. According to the notification, Primary School Teachers in BPS-12 were to be promoted to BPS-14 as Senior Primary School Teacher on the basis of seniority cum fitness from amongst the PTC teachers with at least five years service as such and similarly the post of Primary School Head Teacher (PSHT BPS-15) was to be filed in by promotion on the basis of seniority cum fitness with at least 10 years service and having qualification for Primary School Teacher. The appellant was promoted as Senior Primary School Teacher (BPS-14) vide order dated 23.05.2015. On the same day, junior colleagues of the appellant were granted promotion as PSHT (BPS-15) and the appellant was ignored. Feeling aggrieved, she filed departmental appeal, which was rejected vide order dated 26.08.2015, hence, the instant service appeal.

2. On receipt of the appeal and its admission to full hearing, the respondents were summoned, they put appearance and contested the appeal by filing written reply raising therein numerous legal and factual objections. The defense setup was a total denial of the claim of the appellant.

- 3. We have heard learned counsel for the appellant and learned Additional Advocate General for the respondents.
- 4. Learned counsel for the appellant argued that the impugned order, dated 26.08.2015, was against the law, facts, norms of justice and material on record, hence, liable to be set aside as the appellant had been deprived from right of promotion as Primary School Head Teacher in an arbitrary manner, which was not permissible in law. He

ATTESTED to be true Cop



may Appeal to 1000 2013 takel Alst. Kidner in Irshad Plant Charman, and Mr. Barbake thron Mersbye Andread Elister Publication Service Sectional

submitted that there was no condition of probation in the Notification of 2012, wherein, the PSHTs were categorized into BPS-12, BPS-14 and BPS-15. Further submitted that even from 23.05.2015, junior colleagues of the appellant were promoted to BPS-15 which was evident from the promotion order. He concluded that the appellant had not been treated in accordance with law, therefore, requested for acceptance of the instant service appeal.

As against that learned Additional Advocate General argued that at the time of appointment of the appellant, the requisite qualification was Matriculation with PTC but after issuance of Notification dated 13.12.2012, the requisite qualification for the post of PTC was Intermediate with PTC Certificate and before issuance of promotion Notification dated 23.05.2015, other notifications were also issued vide which PSTs (BP-12) SPSTs (BPS-14) and PSHTs (BPS-15) but the appellant was superseded as she was not eligible being matriculate and the requisite qualification for promotion to SPST (BPS-14) was Intermediate. Further submitted that due to lack of qualification at the time of promotions in the year 2013, she was deferred and became junior to her colleagues at that time and later on in the year 2015, the appellant by promoting her qualification by passing Intermediate Examination had become eligible and accordingly, had promoted to SPST-14 vide order dated 23.05.2015. He concluded that appellant was promoted to SPST (BPS-14) vide order dated 23.05.2015, therefore, being on probation for one year, Knythards

AWESTED

could not be promoted on the same very day.



Perusal of record reveals that the respondents had issued 6. notification dated 28.02.2013, whereby, 276 Primary School Teachers (BPS-12) were promoted to the post of Senior Primary School Teacher (BPS-14) and the appellant was ignored from promotion but the appellant had not filed any departmental appeal/representation. The very reason of the appellant being mum over depriving from promotion was her lack of qualification as she had not passed Intermediate Examination, which was mandatory. Later on, the respondents issued Notification dated 23.05.2015, vide which the appellant was promoted to the post of SPST (BPS-14) and on the Notification bearing Enst. day, another No.989-95/DEO(F)/Estt:(Pry)Pro:PSHT/Dated Nsr the 23/05/2015 was issued vide which SPSTs were promoted to PSHT but the appellant was not considered for promotion in the notification and the same has been impugned by the appellant in this appeal. The claim of the appellant faces two bars i.e. when she was a PST (BPS-12) and her colleagues were getting promotion in the year 2013, she being matriculate, lost her seniority at that time because the criteria for promotion was Intermediate, while the second hurdle in her promotion to PSHT (BPS-15) on 23.05.2015 was being on probation because she had been promoted to SPST (BPS-14) on the same very day i.e. 23.05.2015. The representative of the respondents present before the Court stated. that the respondents would re-consider the case of the appellant if it is A PRICED

remitted to the respondents and in case her case was otherwise fit, she

would be granted relief in accordance with law and rules. In view of



Server Brand No. 1080/2015 toles. Mot Kolstoon as The Secretary Elementary & Sectoribus Liberation Noval ATS See Pakhanakhwa, and onger, dechard on 16 th 1921 by Director Pengh comprising of the kolan Newton Chaleman, and Mrs. Sashida there Mewber dodn'the Pakhinikhwa seriese luftan d

the above development, let this matter be sent back to the respondents for reconsideration of the prayer of the appellant in accordance with law. Consign.

Pronounced in open Court at Peshawar and given under our 9. hands and the seal of the Tribunal on this 16^{th} day of June, 2023. $\sqrt{}$

> KALIM ARSHAD KHAN Chairman

ckhtunkhwa Service Tribunal

Peshawar

RASHIDA BANO Member (Judicial)

Date of Delivery of Cui

عون المحرف المحرف المحرف المحرف المحرف عون فواه عوال فواه المحرف المحرف و و و و و و و و و و و و و المحرف المحرف و و و و و و و و و المحرف المح

المبرزا اسزما میل که در کسی کا مصل ایر عمل دا در ک حاله مس نواز شن انوکی و (مبلاله ای میه) ، معلی شنار در ۱

SpsT, GGSP, Risapour

SPST, GGSP, Risagour 5-7-2023 - 7/100 Canil Nowshara.

VAKALAT NAMA

	. ,		
NO.		_/2023	
	`. 0	Pashowan)
N THE COURT OF <u>Samice</u> Tr	1 bungy	Tastianen	
14 al como			(Appellant)
Kalsoom			(Petitioner)
			(Plaintiff)
	<u>VERSUS</u>		
1 - 5 10			(Respondent)
<u>C7041: 87 12)</u>	·		(Defendant)
Me, Kalsoom			
Do hereby appoint and constitute M. Asif Syed Noman Ali Bukhari, Advocate Hi act, compromise, withdraw or refer to arbove noted matter, without any liability any other Advocate/Counsel on my/our co	bitration for for his defau	me/us as mv/our Co	unsel/Advocate in the
	osit withdra	w and receive on m	y/our behalf all sums
AND to all acts legally necessar	ave my/our on the me/us. The main the main the me/us. The main t	ase at any stage of	the proceedings, if his
whether herein specified or not, as may be	e proper and	expedient.	
AND I/we hereby agree to ratify or by virtue of this power or of the usual	and confirm practice in su	all lawful acts done ouch matter.	on my/our behalf under
authorized agent shall inform the Advo dismissed in default, if it be proceeded the same. All costs awarded in favour awarded against shall be payable by me/	cate and mai ex-parte the s shall be the	ce mm appear in Co aid counsel shall not	be held responsible for
		ACC	EPTED
		والمحسد	of fouska
		(M. ASI)	F YOÙSAFZAI) E SUPREME COURT,
n a Charlette and the control of the		OF	PAKISTAN.
		(BC	No. 10-7327)
			& Trypon
		(S. NOMAN	ALI BUKHARI)
		ADVOCA	TE HIGH COURT,
OFFICE:			مرمد ملل
Room # FR-8, 4 th Floor,			& Ch243-
Bilour Plaza, Peshawar,		111 /	AL ZURATR

HILAL ZUBAIR

Advocate

Cantt: Peshawar Cell No. 0302-5548451 0333-9103240 0306-5109438 0310-9503909