in accordance with the rules.

8. In view of the above situation, instant service appeal is remitted back to the respondents to decide the issue/grievance of seniority position of the appellant in the seniority list, in accordance with rules of within a period of 60 days of receipt of this order. The present service appeal is decided in the above terms. Consign.

9. Pronounced in the open Court at D.I.Khan under our hands and seal of the Tribunal on this 18th day of October, 2023.

(Salah-Ud-Din) Member (J)

(Kalim Arshad Khan) Chairman Camp Court, D.I.Khan

1.*

Mutazem Shah

appeal on 22.11.2017, which was not responded within statutory period of 90 days.

3. On receipt of the appeal and its admission to full hearing, the respondents were summoned, they put appearance and contested the appeal by filing written reply raising therein numerous legal and factual objections. The defense setup was a total denial of the claim of the appellant.

4. We have heard learned counsel for the appellants and learned District Attorney for the respondents.

5. The Learned counsel for the appellant reiterated the facts and grounds detailed in the memo and grounds of the appeal while the learned District Attorney assisted by learned counsel for private respondent No.6, controverted the same by supporting the impugned order(s).

6. During the course of arguments, it was brought into the notice of the Tribunal that in response to the departmental appeal dated 22.11.2017 filed by the appellant, the appellant has been granted promotion from PSHT (BPS-15) to the post of SST (General) vide order dated 17.12.2020. Now the only remaining relief is placement of the appellant of her alleged proper place.

7. As the issue of promotion has been resolved by giving her promotion to the post of SST (General) vide order dated 07.12.2020 and so far as the second stance of the appellant i.e. position in the seniority list, is concerned, it is deemed appropriate to remit back the matter to the official respondents to decide the issue of seniority

2

Sr. No	Date of order/ proceedings	Order or other proceedings with signature of Judge or Magistrate
1	2	3
		BEFORE THE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICE TRIBUNAL AT CAMP COURT, D.I.KHAN Service Appeal No. 385/2018 Date of Institution 16.03.2018 Date of Decision 18.07.2023
		Rukhsana Bibi Daughter of Haji Muhammad Ramzan, Primary School Head Teacher Abdul Wahab, FR D.I.KhanAppellant
		Versus
		 Government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, through Addl. Chie Secretary FATA, FATA Secretariat, Warsak Road, Peshawar. Additional Chief Secretary FATa, FATA Secretariat, Warsa Road, Peshawar. Secretary FATA, FATA Secretariat Warsak Road, Peshawar Director Education FATA, FATA Secretariat Peshawar Agency Education Officer, FR D.I.Khan. Shahnaz Bibi, Primary School Head TeacherRespondents
	18 th Oct.2023	ORDER KALIM ARSHAD KHAN, CHAIRMAN: Learned counsel for th
		appellant present. Mr. Farhaj Sikandar, District Attorney for th
		official respondents and learned counsel for private respondent No.
		present.
		2. The appellant has filed the present service appeal against th
	P	order dated 23.07.2017, whereby, private respondent No.6, Ms Shahnaz Bibi was promoted and the appellant was not grante promotion to the post of SST (General) due to which the appellant
		remained junior to the private respondent. The appellant, feelin
		aggrieved from the impugned order, had also filed departments

. .

---... --...