Mst. Sheeba Versu Peshawa <u>JUDGMENT</u> 23.08.2016 <u>MUHAMMAI</u> Appellant with Addl. AG for resp	Appeal No. 873/2015 S Commandant FRP, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa ar and 2 others. D AZIM KHAN AFRIDI, CHAIRMAN:-
Mst. Sheeba Versu Peshawa JUDGMENT 23.08.2016 MUHAMMAI Appellant with Addl. AG for resp	Appeal No. 873/2015 s Commandant FRP, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa ar and 2 others.
Mst. Sheeba Versu Peshawa <u>JUDGMENT</u> 23.08.2016 <u>MUHAMMAI</u> Appellant with Addl. AG for resp	Appeal No. 873/2015 s Commandant FRP, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa ar and 2 others.
Peshawa JUDGMENT 23.08.2016 MUHAMMAI Appellant with Addl. AG for resp	s Commandant FRP, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa ir and 2 others.
Peshawa JUDGMENT 23.08.2016 MUHAMMAI Appellant with Addl. AG for resp	r and 2 others.
23.08.2016 MUHAMMAI Appellant with Addl. AG for resp	
Appellant with Addl. AG for resp	O AZIM KHAN AFRIDI, CHAIRMAN:-
Addl. AG for resp	
	th counsel and Mr. Muhammad Adeel Butt,
	pondents present.
2. Mst. Sheeba	daughter of Waris Khan hereinaster referred
to as the appellant h	nas preferred the instant service appeal under
Section 4 of the R	Chyber Pakhtunkhwa Service Tribunal Act,
1974 against imp	ugned order dated 24.4.2015 vide which
reinstatement orde	r dated 11.06.2014 passed in favour the
Z / 26	dent No. 3 (Deputy Commandant, FRP) was
withdrawn by respo	ondent No. 1 (Commandant FRP).
3. Brief facts gi	ving rise to the present appeal are that the
appellant was serv	ing as constable when her resignation was
accepted vide ord	er dated 13.12.2013 and where-after she
	on for reinstatement in service which was
	lant reinstated in service on 11.6.2014 and
allotted constabular withdrawn by resp	

where-against departmental appeal dated 04.05.2015 was not

answered and hence the instant service appeal on 04.08.2015.

- 4. Learned counsel for the appellant has argued that the appellant was reinstated by respondent No. 2 on 16.6.2014 as she had submitted no application for resignation. That the said order could not be annulled by respondent No. 1 unilaterally and without affording opportunity of hearing to the appellant. Reliance was placed on case law reported as 2005-SCMR-678.
- 5. Learned Government Pleader has argued that the impugned order is in accordance with law. That when a civil servant tenders application for resignation and when the same is acted upon then the same cannot not be subsequently withdrawn. He referred to page-106 of Esta-Code wherein it has been observed at S.No. 2 that when a resignation tendered by government servant is accepted then it become final and such resignation could not be subsequently withdrawn.
- 6. We have heard arguments of learned counsel for the appellant and perused the record.
- 7. According to the stance of the appellant she has tendered no application for resignation and in such circumstances the application on the basis of which the resignation of the appellant was accepted, could not be attributed to the appellant. The order impugned before us dated 24.4.2015 was passed by respondent No. 1 without affording any opportunity to the appellant and the appellant was therefore condemned unheard.

3000

8. In view of the afore-stated discussion we are constrained to accept the instant service appeal, set aside the impugned order dated 24.4.2015. The appellant therefore stood reinstated in service as order vide office order dated 11.06.2016. The respondents are placed at liberty to probe into the matter to ascertain as to whether the application for resignation acted upon by the department was submitted by the appellant or otherwise and there-after pass orders deemed appropriate by the competent authority within a period of two months from the date of receipt of this judgment. Needless to add that the appellant be afforded opportunity of participation in the enquiry in the manners prescribed by law. Parties are left to bear their own costs. File be consigned to the record.

Muhammad Azim Khan Afridi)

Muhammad Aamir Nazir) Member

·

<u>ANNOUNCED</u> 23.08.2016 06.04.2016

Counsel for the appellant and Mr. Muhammad Jan, GP for respondents present. Rejoinder not submitted. Requested for further time for submission of rejoinder. To come up for rejoinder and arguments on 1-7-1/.

Member .

/ember

01.07.2016

Junior to counsel for the appellant and Assistant AG for respondents present. Rejoinder not submitted. Junior to counsel for the appellant requested for time to file rejoinder. Request accepted. To come up for rejoinder and arguments on 23-8-16.

Member

Mamkar

3

Appellant Deposited
Security & Process Fee

Counsel for the appellant present. Learned counsel for the appellant argued that the appellant was serving as Constable in FRP when on an application dated 13.12.2013 she was relieved from service on the allegations of submission of resignation application which order was questioned by the appellant in departmental representation dated 2.6.2014 which was accepted and appellant reinstated in service vide order dated 11.6.2014 where-after appellant resumed her duty but vide impugned order dated 24.4.2015 passed by the Commandant FRP she was again relieved from service and where-after another representation dated 5.5.2015 was preferred which was not responded and hence the instant service appeal on 4.8.2015.

That the appellant has neither submitted any application for resignation nor the said order is factually or legally correct.

Points urged need consideration. Admit. Subject to deposit of security and process fee within 10 days, notices be issued to the respondents for written reply/comments for 13.10.2015 before S.B.

indices of the state of the sta

13.10.2015 Goung

Gounsel for the appellant and Mr. Ihsanullah, ASI (legal) alongwith Addl: A.G for respondents present. Requested for adjournment. To come up for written reply/comments on 23.12.2015 abefore S.B.

Charman

23.12.2015

Counsel for the appellant and M1. Ihsanullah, Head Constable alongwith Addl: AG for respondents present. Comments submitted. The appeal is assigned to DB for rejoinder and final hearing on 06.04.2016.

Form- A FORM OF ORDER SHEET

Court of :		
Case No	 873 /2015	

	Case No	8 t > /2015
S.No.	Date of order Proceedings	Order or other proceedings with signature of judge or Magistrate
1	2	3
1	04.08.2015	The appeal of Mst. Sheeba Bibi presented today by Mi Saadullah Khan Marwat Advocate may be entered in th
		Institution register and put up to the Worthy Chairman fo
÷		proper order.
		REGISTRAR ,
2	10-8-1	This case is entrusted to S. Bench for preliminar
		hearing to be put up thereon $\frac{1/-6P-15}{}$.
		1
	•	CHAIRMAN
		CHAIRMAN
-		
	•	
		. /
	. •	
	,	
	₽	

BEFORE THE KPK SERVICE TRIBUNAL PESHAWAR

S.A. No.873 /2015

Sheeba

Versus -

Commandant & others

INDEX

S.No	Documents	Annex	P. No.
1.	Memo of Appeal		1-3
2.	Enlistment Order, 20.09.2013	"A"	4
3.	Application for Resignation,	"B"	5
4.	Order of Acceptance, 13.12.2013	"C"	6
5.	Application for Reinstatement, 02.06.2014	"D"	7
6.	Reinstatement Order, 11.06.2014	"E"	8
7.	Fresh Constabulary No, 16.06.2014	"F"	9
8.	Withdrawal Order, 24.04.2015	"G"	10
9.	Representation, 04.05.2015	"H"	11-13

Through

Dated.04.08.2015

Appellant

Saad Ullah Khan Marwat Advocate.

21-A Nasir Mension, Shoba Bazar, Peshawar.

Ph: 0300-5872676

BEFORE KPK, SERVICE TRIBUNAL PESHAWAR

S.A No. 873 /2015

Versus

- 1. Commandant FRP, KP, Peshawar.
- Provincial Police Officer, KP,
 Peshawar.
- 3. Deputy Commandant, FRP, KP, Peshawar. Respondents

APPEAL U/S 4 OF THE SERVICE TRIBUNAL ACT, AGAINST OFFICE ORDER NO. 3560-63/EC, DATED 24.04.2015 OF R. NO. 1, WHEREBY ORDER OF REINSTATEMENT DATED 11.06.2014 REINSTATING APPELLANT IN SERVICE WAS WITHDRAWN FOR NO LEGAL REASON.

⇔<=>⇔<=>⇔<=>⇔

Respectfully Sheweth;

- 1. That appellant has at her credit the educational qualification of FA, passed with distinction.
- 2. That on 20.09.2013, appellant was enlisted as Constable by Deputy Commandant, FRP, Peshawar after observing the due codal formalities. (Copy as annex "A")

She is highly educated and never thumb impressed any

3. That someone has submitted application to the authority for tendering resignation from service on behalf of appellant. The said application was thumb impressed by someone else and not by her. Conspiracy was made to oust her from service by unknown person(s)/official(s) for ulterior motive.

document/application but used to make signature. (Copy as annex "B")

- 4. That on 13.12.2013, Deputy Commandant, FRP, Peshawar accepted her resignation from service. (Copy as annex "C")
- 5. That on 02.06.2014, appellant submitted representation before R. No. 1 for withdrawal of order dated 13.12.2013 which was accepted on 11.06.2014. (Copies as annex "D" & "E")
- 6. That on 16.06.2014, appellant was allotted fresh Constabulary No. 1352 by Deputy Commandant, FRP, Peshawar. (Copy as annex "F")
- 7. That monthly salary bills were submitted by the department to Accountant General Office which were returned unpassed and thereafter the matter was reviewed and order of re-instatement dated 11.06.2014 was withdrawn by R. No. 1 vide order dated 24.04.2015 without any notice to appellant. (Copy as annex "G")
- 8. That on 04.05.2015, appellant submitted representation before R. No. 2 for reinstatement in service but without any response till date. (Copy as annex "H")

Hence this appeal, inter alia, on the following grounds:-

GROUNDS:

- a. That as stated earlier in the body of appeal, appellant is highly qualified and never thumb impressed any document, application, letter, etc.
- b. That appellant became victim of conspiracy of some of the official(s)/person(s) to oust her from service having nefarious designs in mind and they succeeded in their plan.
- c. That in order dated 11.06.2014 and 24.04.2015, it has been categorically stated that somebody else has tendered her resignation from service and not by the appellant herself.

d. That order dated 11.06.2014 and 24.04.2015 are very much clear regarding the subject matter. The same requires worth perusal as she never tendered resignation on her behalf.

e. That when request of appellant in reinstatement in service was accepted by Commandant, FRP, Peshawar, reinstating her in service, then the same was not open to review/revision.

f. That order dated 24.04.2015 is illegal as neither appellant was served with any notice nor any enquiry was conducted in the matter, being mandatory.

g. That as per law and verdict of the apex Court, the authority is not vested with unbridled and unfettered powers to remove, shunt away, terminate, dismiss employee from service at her own whims and wishes without re-coursing to law.

h. That order dated 11.06.2014 be treated as ground for reinstatement of appellant in service.

That the impugned order dated 24.04.2015 is not per the mandate of law, so is not only illegal, ab-initio void but is also based on malafide.

It is, therefore, most humbly prayed that on acceptance of the appeal, order dated 24.04.2015 of R. No. 1 be set aside and order dated 11.06.2014 be restored with all back benefits, with such other relief as may be deemed proper and just in circumstances of the case.

Through

Dated.4.08.2015

Appellant

7 11 6 151

Saad Ullah Khan Marwat

Arbab Salful Kamal

Miss Rubina Naz Advocates.

20-9-13

M. Sheeber 510 Usalis ICle
no sholgona chorsadola.
os charadda; District Chradder is hereby
Enlisted as Constable in BPS (05) with effect from $20 - 69 - 613$
And allotted Constabulary No
Height $S-3$ Chest 1512 . Education 1074 D/C/Birth $19-02-92$
Age on enrolment Year Months & Days.
His service is purely on temporary basis and liable for Termination at any
Time without any notice.
Byr Commandan, Frontier Reserve Police, Khyber Pakhtunkwa, Peshawar
O.B NO. 799
Dated. 20-09-0/3

ومأثفي

الكادحانه عزارات معلم سائل المراسية من بلور اللي المحال LES of My James and Millian High my. his Te aldris as cicas court until any with تى قبد رون كو در نظو إلى السائل كى الشفع مطور مرد كا حكى (En Bison ما درفره می celel. 9(3)/// 13/4/1 13/4/1 (3/4/6) MI M ATTESTED 20-9.2013

ORDER.

13-12-13

Lady Constable Sheba No. 1314 of FRP HQrs: Peshawar has tendered resignation from service, therefore her resignation is hereby accepted.

07

Deputy Consultationt
Frontier Reserve Police

2013

No. 9049-51

/EC dated Peshawar the,

Copy of above is sent for information and necessary potion to the:-

- 1. Accountant FRP HQrs: Peshawar.
- 2. SRC/OSI, FRP HQrs, Peshawar.

ATTESTED

OP OF JOHN SON FRE HOUR END GISTS DEPA ide of postiline compositions ما وال دول دول دول ما ما دول ما ما موادم دول مي استون درياع - اورس المرف كسي المدراناول الوالع عالم ي الله المل الله المرام الول المدرانا لول لا - 3-2/2 19 649. 20 Who who will be he we will be المالية المالية المالية والمعالية المراقي على المراس المالية المراكبة المراسل المالية المراسل 12.26-381. prisallani. 1. 4 - 4 del - Eleg (2) (2) Elli cip l Topo (France) Elips is the الميد المراكة ورجات المراع على أن عالى وروع عال المراحات ومداط لاثر westing Eld Led Com GIC PAP Hose 21 PR/Hon 1314 12 US WER PORT

11

ORDER.

8 11-6-14

This order shall dispose off the case of Ex-Lady Constable Sheba No. 1314 of FRP HQrs: Peshawar who stated that somebody has tendered her resignation from service in her place.

In this connection Police Rule 14.11 is reproduce below.

- Resignation of police officers may only be accepted by the officer empowered to appoint them.
- 2. An enrolled police officer who intends to resign from the police service shall give notice to the effect in writing and ordinary shall not be permitted to withdraw himself from the duty until two months have elapsed from the date on which his resignation was tendered. Provided that the superintendent may at his discretion, allow a head constable or constable to credit to government two months pay in lieu of notice.
- 3. Ordinarily a head constable or constable who has agreed to serve of three shall not be permitted to resign within that period
- 4. Probationary inspector, sergeants, sub-inspector, assistant sub-inspector of police, whose appointments involve training at the police training school, philliaur, shall not be permitted to resign within three years of the date of their appointment.

According to Establishment Code NVVFP 2000 Page No. 142 Para 4 is re-produced below:-

Where an appellate authority finds that the resignation was not tendered voluntarily or that it is otherwise null and void, the appellate authority may reminstate the Govt: servant concerned. On re-instatement the Govt: servant shall be regarded as having continued in service throughout.

As such she denied from the said application i.e. (for resignation), therefore, Ex Lady constable Sheba No. 1314 of FRP HQrs: Peshawar is hereby reinstated in service with immediate effect.

ATTESTED

. מר

No. 4752-54 /EC dated Peshawar the

Addl: IGP/ Corpinandant Frontier Reserve Police

Rhyber Pakhtunkhwa Peshawar

1/06/2014.

Copy of above is forwarded for information and necessary action to the

1. Accountant FRP HQrs: Peshawar.

9

N/ 16-6-14

ORDER

Ex Lady Constabe Sheba No.1354 of FRP HQrs: Peshawar is hereby Re-Instate in service vide Order No.4752-54/EC Dated 11.06.2014 and allotted Constabulary No.1352 with immediate effect.

Dy: Commandant, Frontier Reserve Police, Khyber Pakhtunkwa, Peshawar.

No: 4937-38/OSI, dated Peshawar the

1/ 106 /2014.

Copy of above is forwarded for information and necessary action to the:-

1. Acett/SRC of FRP HQrs: Peshawar.

ATTESTED

ORDER.

Ex- Lady Constable Sheeba No. 1358 enlisted on 20.09.2013 tendered resignation and her resignation was accepted vide this office Endst; No.9049-51/EC, dated 13.02.2013. the above named Ex-official was reinstated in service vide this office Endst; No.4752-54/EC, dated 11.06.2014 and her salary bill was submitted to the office of Accountant General of KPK Peshawar for pre -audit which was returned with the observations that according to Esta Code page NO.106 at S.No 2 when a resignation tendered by Govt; Servant which is accepted is become final there can be no question of allowing him/ her to withdraw the resignation.

Keeping in view the above grounds her reinstatement order is hereby with drawn with retrospective effect

Frontier Reserve Police Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Peshawar

No. 3560 - 63 /EC, dated Peshawar the, 24 /

/2015.

Copy of above is forwarded for information and necessary action to the:-

- 1. Deputy Commandant FRP KPK Peshawar.
- 2. SRC/OASI/Accountant FRP HQrs: Peshawar.

Dated - 05-05-15

To,

Provincial Police Officer, KP, Peshawar.

SUBJECT:- APPEAL AGAINST OFFICE ORDER NO. 3560-63/EC,

DATED 24.04.2015 WHEREBY ORDER OF

REINSTATEMENT DATED 11.06.2014 WAS

WITHDRAWN FOR NO LEGAL REASON.

Respected Sir,

- 1. That appellant has at her credit the educational qualification of FA_passed with distinction.
- 2. That on 20.09.2013, appellant was enlisted as Constable by Deputy Commandant, FRP, Peshawar after observing the due codal formalities.
- 3. That someone has submitted application to the authority for tendering resignation from service. The said application was thumb impressed by someone else and not by the appellant. Conspiracy was made to oust her from service by unknown .person(s)/official(s). She is highly educated and never thumb impressed any document/application but used to make sign.
- 4. That on 13.12.2013, Deputy Commandant, FRP, Peshawar accepted her resignation from service.
- 5. That on 02.06.2014, appellant submitted representation before Commandant, FRP, Peshawar for withdrawal of order dated 13.12.2013 which was accepted on 11.06.2014.
- 6. That on 16.06.2014, appellant was allotted fresh C. No. 1352 by Deputy Commandant, FRP, Peshawar.

7. That monthly salary bills were submitted by the department to Accountant General Office which were returned unpassed and thereafter the matter was reviewed and order of re-instatement dated 11.06.2014 was withdrawn by Commandant; FRP, Peshawar vide order dated 24.04.2015 without any notice to appellant.

Hence this appeal, inter alia, on the following grounds:-

GROUNDS:

- a. That as stated earlier in the body of appeal, appellant is highly qualified and never thumb impressed on any document, application, letter, etc.
- b. That appellant became victim of conspiracy of some of the official(s)/person(s) to oust her from service and they succeeded in nefarious designs.
- c. That in order dated 11.06.2014 and 24.04.2015, it has been categorically stated that somebody else has tendered her resignation from service and not by the appellant herself.
- d. That order dated 11.06.2014 and 24.04.2015 are very much clear regarding the subject matter. The same requires worth perusal as she never tendered resignation.
- e. That when request of appellant in reinstatement in service was accepted by Commandant, FRP, Peshawar, reinstating her in service, then the same was not open to review/revision.
- f. That order dated 24.04.2015 is illegal as neither appellant was served with any notice nor any enquiry was conducted in the matter, being mandatory.
- g. That as per law and verdict of the apex Court, the authority is not vested with unbridled and unfettered powers to remove, shunt away, terminate, dismiss employee from



service at her own whims and wishes without adhering to legal course.

- h. That order dated 11:06:2014 be treated as ground for reinstatement of appellant in Service.
- That the impugned order dated 24.04.2015 is not per the mandate of law, so is not only illegal, ab-initio void but is also based on malafide.

It is, therefore, most humbly prayed that on acceptance of appeal, order dated 24.04.2015 of Commandant, FRP, Peshawar be set aside and order dated 11.06.2014 be restored with all back benefits, with such other relief as may be deemed proper and just in circumstances of the case.

Applicant

Sharba

Sheeba D/o Waris Khan, R/o Andarai Kooruna, Tarnab Road Charsadda, Ex-C No. 1352, FRP, Headquarter, Peshawar.

Dated:- 04.05.2015



العالت جاب ورو طربيون موريد مد الشاور منیان اسلات منام روس رعتره مقامد من رجيخوان بالامين اپني طرف سيج واسط بيروي وجواب دسي وكل كاروا كي متعلقه ان مقام لېشاور كيسك سعد الله عان مودت اليوكيط بائي كورط كووكيل مقررك إقرار كياجا استه كرصاحب تموضى و مقدرى كل كاروائى كاكامل النتايار سيركا نييز وكميل صاحب كوكسن راصى المروكة رأالت وفيصله برطف فينة جواروسي اوراقال دعوى اوربفري والركاري كرني اجراء أوروهولي جيك وروبيدا ورعوضي دعوى اور ورخواسيت روسمی تصدیق اوراس بروسخط کرانے کا اختیار ترکی این رابسور عدم پیروی یا داگری بکیطرفیریا ایل کی برکورگی اور نسخ نیز دار کرینے اپنی نگرانی و نیزوئی کرینے کا اختیار ہوگا اور بھورت فنرورت مقدم مذکور كيُّل يا جُزُوِي كاروائي شي واسط إور وسيل يا مختار قانوني كو اپنے تمراه يا اپنی بجائے تقرر كا اختيار موگا، ا ورصاعب مقرر شده کوچھی وہی جملہ مذکورہ بالا اختیارات حامل ہوں کیے اور اس کا ساختہ بروا ختہ منظور قبول بوگاه دوران مقدم ب حو خرجه و رکه جاندالتوا ، مقدمه کے سبب سے سوکا اس کمستحق و کمیل صاحب مُوصُوفُ مَهِ أَن سِيرَ بِفَايا وِخرصِبِ في وصُولي مُرنه كابھي اختيار مُوكا أكر كوئي تاريخ بيشي مقام روره یر ہے یا مدسے باہر ہو تو وکیل صاحب یا بند نہ ہوں گے کہ بیروی مذکور کرئی۔ لهٰذا وكالت نامه دکھ ویا که سند سے۔ الفيد المعاملات (11 Ju 2 th Klu سقد الشرفان موت ارماست رسال SHEEby وسير مں روسہ مار

BEFORE THE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICE TRIBUNAL BESHAWAR

Service Appeal No. 873/2015.

Ex- Lady Constable Sheba No. 1352/FRP/HQrs D/o Waris Khan R/o Andarai Koruna Tarnab Road Charsadda(Appellant)

VERSUS

- 1. Commandant
 Frontier Reserve Police
 Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar.
- 2. Provincial Police Officer KPK/Peshawar.
- 3. Deputy Commandant FRP/KPK.....Respondents.

WRITTEN REPLY ON BEHALF OF RESPONDENTS IS AS

UNDER:-

RESPECTIVELY SHEWITH:-

PRELIMINARY OBJECTIONS:

- 1. That the appeal is badly time-barred.
- 2. That the appellant has not approached the Hon'ble Court with clean hands.
- 3. That the appeal is bad for mis-joinder and non-joinder of necessary parties.
- 4. That the appellant has no cause of action.
- 5. That the appellant is estopped by his own conduct to file the instant appeal.
- 6. That the appellant has concealed the material facts from this Hon'ble Tribunal

ON FACTS.

- 1. Pertains to the appellant record needs no comments.
- 2. Para No. 2 correct needs no comments
- 3. Incorrect, that the appellant willfully submitted an application for tendering resignation from service by her self with her thumb impression. After fulfillment the due codal formalities, her resignation was accepted. The plea of high qualification/signature is after thought story.
- 4. Correct to the extent that after fulfillment of all codal formulates, her resignation was accepted.
- 5. Correct no comments.
- 6. Correct.

- 7. Correct to the extent that her salary bills were submitted to the office of Accountant General for pre audit which were retuned un-passed with the observation that according to ESTA Code page No. 142 at Serial No. 02, that when a resignation tendered by Govt: Servant has been accepted it become final there can be no question of allowing him/her to withdraw the resignation. Therefore in the light of a foreside law, her re-instatement order was withdrawn. (Copy of ESTA Cod Page No. 142 is attached as annexure "A")
- 8. That departmental appeal submitted by the appellant before the respondent no. 02 which is still under consideration.

GROUNDS.

- a. Correct to the extent, that it is also a matter of fact that the appellant has affixed her thumb impression on resignation application.
- b. Incorrect, the allegations are false and baseless as the appellant submitted resignation application voluntarily/deliberately and in this regard she was not compelled by some one official / officer and if any, so it is for the appellant to prove.
- c. Incorrect, the para has already been explained in the preceding Para (B).
- d. Incorrect that the appellant submitted departmental appeal which was accepted by the competent authority. After re-instatement in service, her Salary Bills were submitted to the office of Accountant General for pre-audit, which were retuned with the observation that according to Esta Code page No. 142 at Serial No. 02 that when a resignation tendered by a Govt: Servant has been accepted, it become final, there can be no question of allowing him/her to withdraw the resignation. Keeping in view the above facts her re-instatement order was withdrawn.
- e. Incorrect, that the appellant was reinstated in service in view of leniency but subsequently her salary bills were retuned by the office of Accountant General of KPK Peshawar, with the observation discussed above. Her case was reconsidered and found that according to law she was not entitled for reinstatement in service, therefore, the same order was withdrawn.

- f. Incorrect, that the order dated 24.04.2015 is legally justified and in accordance with law as the same was passed in the light of observation added by the office of Accountant General on the Salary Bills of the appellant.
- g. Incorrect, the verdict of the apex court mentioned in the Para is not at par with the case of the appellant. However, after reconsideration, her re-instatement order was withdrawn as per law.
- h. Incorrect, the order dated11.06.2014 was reconsidered and found against the law, thus withdrawn.
- i. Incorrect, that the order dated 24.04.2015 is legally justified and in accordance with law.

PRAYERS:

Keeping in view of the above mentioned facts/submission the instant service appeal may very kindly be dismissed with cost.

Commandant, Frontier Reserve Police Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar.

(Respondent No. 1)

Provincial Police Officer, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar.

(Respondent No. 2)

Deputy Commandant.
Frontier Reserve Police
Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar.

(Respondent No. 3)

Charles (1) Falka (1) Share 1 Ey kwa Pasik Wasalin (1) Masa (4) 1 Nobel (1) Anni (1) Servants Revised Leave Rules, 1981. In the light of Rule 12 ibid, a willful absence of more than five years shall not be converted into leave without pay.

5. It is therefore, requested that the above instructions may be brought to the notice of all concerned for strict compliance in future:

(Authority: S&GAD's letter No.\$ORH(S&GAD)6(37)/89, dated 3rd Oct;,1989).

Determination of seniority of officials who are allowed to withdraw their resignation

A question has arisen whether a Government servant who resigned and has subsequently been allowed to withdraw his resignation should be assigned seniority and given other benefits which would have accrued to him had he not resigned.

- 2. When a resignation tendered by a Government servant thas been accepted and the reacceptance has been communicated to him it becomes final. There can be no question of allowing him to withdraw—the resignation.
 - 3. Where a Government servant who has tendered resignation withdraws it before it is accepted by the competent authority, or where, after the acceptance but before the acceptance is communicated to him, he is allowed to withdraw the resignation, he continues in the post held by him without a break and the question of re-fixation of his seniority, etc. does not arise.
 - Where an appellate authority finds that the resignation was not tendered voluntarily or that it is otherwise null and void, the appellate authority may re-instate the Government servant concerned. On re-instatement the Government servant shall be regarded as having continued in service throughout.
 - 5. If a Government servant, whose resignation has been accepted and communicated to him, is appointed to Government service thereafter, such appointment shall be regarded as a fresh appointment. The seniority, pension, leave, etc, of such a Government servant shall be fixed in accordance with the rules applicable to him as if this appointment was his first appointment to Government service.
 - 6. There may, however, be cases in which it may not be fair to treat such a re-employed Government servant as a new recruit and it is proposed to give him any benefit in relaxation of the rules, the orders of the Government should invariably be obtained.

(Authority S&GAD letter No.SOXII/2-96/59, dated 24.12.59)

Preparation/issuance of seniority list of Government Servants

I am directed to say that sub-section (1) of Section 8 of the NWFP Civil Servants Act, 1973, inter alia provides that for proper administration of service, cadre or post, the appointing authority shall cause to prepare a seniority list of the members for the time being of such service, cadre or post. Similarly, the note below clause(e) of sub-rule(2) of the rule 6 of the NWFP Service Tribunals Rules, 1974, enjoins upon the appointing authority or any other authority which has been delegated the powers to make decision regarding seniority of

KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICE TRIBUNAL PESHAWAR

No. 1414 /ST

Dated 29 / 8 / 2016

То

The Deputy Commandant FRP, Peshawar.

Subject: -

<u>JUDGMEN</u>T

I am directed to forward herewit1h a certified copy of Judgement dated 23.8.2016 passed by this Tribunal on the above subject for strict compliance.

Encl: As above

REGISTRAR
KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA
SERVICE TRIBUNAL
PESHAWAR.