Counsel for the appellant and Mr. Usman Ghani, Sr.GP with Muhammad Siddique Admn. Officer for the respondents present. Arguments heard and record perused. Vide our detailed judgment of today in connected appeal No. 665/2014, titled "Farhanullah Versus Govt. of KPK through Secretary, Public Health Engg. Department, Civil Sectt. Peshawar and others.", this appeal is also disposed of as per detailed judgment. Parties are left to bear their own costs. File be consigned to

the record.

A 🖗

30,12.2015

ANNOUNCED 30.12.2015

MEMBER

MEMBER

#### 19.11.2015

Appellant with counsel and Mr. Muhammad Sadique, Admin Officer alongwith Mr. Usman Ghani, Sr.GP for respondents present. Since arguments in some connected appeal have been heard today and fixed for order on 2.12.2015 therefore, this appeal is also adjourned to 2.12.2015 for arguments alongwith connected appeals.

#### MEMBER

2.12.2015

Counsel for the appellant and Sr.GP with Muhammad Siddique Admn. Officer for the respondents present. Since the court time is over, therefore, case is adjourned to  $3 \cdot 12 \cdot 15$  for order.

Member

3.12.2015 -

Counsel for the appellant and Sr.GP with Muhammad Siddique Admn. Officer for the respondents present. There are three other similar appeals of Abdullah Noor etc. fixed for to-day in which the appellants have not yet submitted their rejoinder and requested for adjournment. Hence, we prefer to keep these appeals pending till appeals of Abdullah Noor etc. are ripe. Therefore, case is adjourned to  $24 \cdot 12 \cdot 15$  for order.

Member

mber

24,12.2015

Since 2412.2015 has been declared as public holiday therefore, the case is adjourned to for the same 30.12.2015.

31.08.2015

Clerk of counsel for the appellant and Muhammad Yaseen, Supdt alongwith Mr. Ziaullah, GP for the respondents present. Clerk of counsel for the appellant requested for adjournment due to General strike of the Bar. To come up for arguments on 21-09-2015

Member

21:09.2015

Counsel for the appellant and Mr. Muhammad Sadique, Admin Officer alongwith Mr. Usman Ghani, Sr.GP for respondents present. Arguments heard. To come up for order

Member

4

on\_21-10-15

hber

21.10.2015

Counsel for the appellant and Mr. Muhammad Sadique, Admin Officer alongwith Mr. Usman Ghani, Sr. GP for respondents present. Since connected appeals have been fixed for arguments therefore, this case is also adjourned to 19 - 11 - 15 for order.



MBER





Hon'ble Bench is on tour therefore, case is adjourned to 26.12.2014

Reader

26.12.2014

Appellant with counsel and Mr. Muhammad Adeel Butt, AAG for the respondents present. The Tribunal is incomplete. To come up for written reply/comments on 15.04.2015.

Reader

15:04.2015 Agent of counsel for the appellant and Mr. Muhammad Yasin, Supdt. alongwith Addl: A.G for respondents present. Written reply submitted. The appeal is assigned to D.B for rejoinder and final hearing for 21:5.2015 to be heard alongwith connected appeals including appeal No. 723/2014.

21.05.2015 Counsel for the appellant and Addl: A.G for respondents present. Rejoinder submitted, copy whereof supplied to the learned Addl: A.G. To come up for arguments on 12.8.2015.

Member

12.08.2015 Counsel for the appellant and Muhammad Yaseen, Supdt along with with Mr. Ziaullah, GP for the respondents present. Arguments could not be heard due to Learned Member is on leave, therefore the case is adjourned to 31 8 - 2015 for arguments.



08.08.2014

Appeal No. 790/2014 Mr. Wagne A D.

Appellant with counsel present and heard. The learned counsel for the appellant, inter alia, contended that having been validly appointed and having served the respondent department for 5 years and after successful completion of probation period, and being confirmed in service, the appellant alongwith other 33 civil servants was served with a joint show cause notice containing the charge of his irregular appointment owing to non-compliance with the provisions of rules applicable to appointment in Government service; and was eventually terminated from service vide order dated 14.02.2014 by the Chief Engineer (South), Public Health Engineering Department, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Peshawar. The learned counsel argued that not only the order of termination was illegal as it was not specified therein as to under what provision of law/rules the authority could resort to the penalty of 'termination' as there is no provision of 'termination' in the disciplinary laws, neither the appellant could be made to suffer for fault/irregularity, if any, on the part of the respondent-department. The learned counsel maintained that in most of the cases the competent authority was Chief Engineer (North), therefore, the Chief Engineer (South) had no authority to impose the impugned penalty on the appellant. The learned counsel concluded that the so-called authority himself made a statement before the august Supreme Court of Pakistan and then made order of the Supreme Court basis for the impugned action against the appellant while incorrectly interpreting and applying the general order with regard to illegal appointments in the respondentdepartment as a basis for the impugned order. The learned counsel for the appellant pointed out that a similar nature appeal titled Mr. Farhan Ullah vs Government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa (Service Appeal No.665/2014) has already been admitted for regular hearing and fixed before the Final Bench-I for further proceedings on 13.10.2014. The points raised at the Bar need consideration. Admit. Subject to all legal objections. The appellant is directed to deposit the security amount and process fee within 10 days. Thereafter, notices be issued to the respondents for submission of written reply/comments before the Final Bench-I alongwith connected appeals on 13.10.2014.

Appellant Deposited ess Fee Bank Receipt is Attached with File.



# Form- A

# FORM OF ORDER SHEET

Court of\_

(C

	Case No	790/2014		
S.No.	Date of order Proceedings	Order or other proceedings with signature of judge or Magistrate		
1	2	3		
1	04/06/2014	The appeal of Mr. Waqas Ali resubmitted today by Mr. Muhammad Asif Yousafzai Advocate, may be entered in the		
		Institution register and put up to the Worthy Chairman for		
		preliminary hearing.		
		REGISTRAR		
2	6-6-20/4	This case is entrusted to Primary Bench for preliminary		
·		hearing to be put up there on $8 - 8 - 20/6$		
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	CHAIRMAN		
	· -	· · · · ·		
	, .			
-				
-				
	· ·			
		The second second second		
	· .			
	ادي. روينه			

This is an appeal filed by Mr. Waqas Ali today on 23/05/2014 against the order dated 14.02.2014 against which he preferred a departmental appeal on 27.02.2014 which is premature as laid down in an authority reported as 2005-SCMR-890.

As such the instant appeal is returned in original to the appellant/counsel. The appellant would be at liberty to resubmit fresh appeal after maturity of cause of action and also removing the following deficiencies.

- 1- Copy of judgment of High Court mentioned in para-7 of the memo of appeal is not attached with the appeal which may be placed on it.
- 2- Annexures of the appeal may be attested.
- 3- Five more copies/sets of the appeal along with annexures i.e complete in all respect may also be submitted with the appeal.

<u>88</u>/st, 2/0//2014

SERV CE TRIBUNAL KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA PESHAWAR.

Mr. M.Asif Yousafzai Adv. Pesh.

Resubmitted

Complain Ce after

### BEFORE THE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICE TRIBUNAL, PESHAWAR.

Appeal No. 790 /2014

Waqas Ali

V/S PHE Department, KPK.

INDEX

.....

S.No.	Documents	Annexure	Page No.
1.	Memo of Appeal		01-05
2.	Copy of Appointment Order	- A -	06
3.	Copy of Medical Fitness	- B -	07
	Certificate.		
4.	Copy of Arrival Report.	- C -	08
5.	Copy of Service Book	<u>- D -</u>	09-14
6.	Copy of Judgment	- E -	15-16
7.	Copy of Show Cause Notice	- F -	17-18
8.	Copy of Reply	-G-	19-20
9.	Copy of Termination order	Н	21
10.	Copy of Appeal	Ι	22 '
11.	Copy of High Court	J	23-26
12.	Copy of Supreme Court	K	27
^	Judgment		
(3.	Vakalat Nome		28

APPELLANT Waqas Ali

THROUGH:

( M. ASIF YOUSAFZAI ) ADVOCATE, PESHAWAR.

(Taimul Ali Khan) Àdvocate, Peshawar.

# BEFORE THE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICE TRIBUNAL, PESHAWAR.

Appeal No. 790 /2014

Mr. Waqas Ali, Ex-Sub-Engineer, Public Health Engineering, Haripur Division, Haripur.

av P Servi

APPELLANT

#### VERSUS

- 1. The Secretary, Public Health Engineering Department, Government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Civil Secretariat, Peshawar.
- 2. The Chief Engineer (South), Public Health Engineering, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar.
- 3. The Deputy District Officer, Water Supply and Sanitation, Haripur.

#### **RESPONDENTS**

APPEAL UNDER SECTION-4 OF THE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA, SERVICE TRIBUNAL ACT, 1974 AGAINST THE ORDER DATED 14.2.2014 WHEREBY THE APPELLANT WAS TERMINATED FOR NO GOOD GROUND AND AGAINST NOT TAKING ANY ACTION ON THE DEPARTMENTAL APPELLANT OF THE APPELLANT WITHIN THE STATUTORY PERIOD OF 90 DAYS.

**PRAYER:** 

P. HU.

ac-submitted to-day

THAT ON ACCEPTANCE OF THIS APPEAL, THE ORDER DATED 14.2.2014 MAY BE SET ASIDE AND THE APPELLANT MAY BE REINSTATED WITH ALL BACK AND CONSEQUENTIAL BENEFITS. ANY OTHER REMEDY, WHICH THIS TRIBUNAL DEEMS FIT AND APPROPRIATE THAT MAY ALSO BE AWARDED IN FAVOUR OF APPELLANT.

#### **RESPECTFULLY SHEWETH:**

- 1. That the appellant was appointed as Sub Engineer on the recommendation of Departmental Selection Committee by the competent authority vide order dated 13.1.2010. The appellant got his medical fitness certificate and reported his arrival on 14.1.2010. Copy of Appointment Order, Medical Fitness Certificate and Arrival Report are attached as Annexure-A, B and C.
- 2. That it is also worth to mention here that the proper service book of the appellant was also maintained by the respondent department in which all relevant entries are record. Copy of Service Bok is attached as Annexure-D.
- 3. That in other cases of a different nature, the Supreme Court passed an order on 15.1.2014, wherein the Chief Engineer Mr. Sikandar Khan gave statement that although many other illegal appointees in the department have been removed from service but against many other such action is in progress at various stages and they are still in service. Therefore, the Honorable Supreme Court directed the Chief Engineer to complete the process within one month against the illegal pending cases against the illegal appointees. Copy of Judgment is attached as Annexure-E.
- 4. That the Chief Engineer to save his skin issued an Omni bus show-cause notice and adopted a slipshod manner for removing the appellant from service. Copy of the Show cause notice is attached as Annexure-F.
- 5. That the appellant submitted reply to the show cause notice in which the appellant has explained with details and rebutted the objections/allegation leveled against him with full reasons and justification which were not taken in consideration at all. Copy of Reply and Show Cause Notice are attached as Annexure-G.

- That on 14.2.2014 the appellant was terminated from service without following proper procedure and codal formalities. The appellant also filed an appeal against the termination order on 27.2.2014 and waited for statutory period but no reply has been received. Copy of Order and Appeal are attached as Annexure-H and I.
- 7. That the appellant and other colleagues also went in a Writ Petition before the Peshawar High Court Peshawar in Writ Petition No.615-P/2014 which was decided on 26.2.2014 and the Writ Petition of the petitioners was dismissed for having no jurisdiction as they were civil servant. Then the petitioners went in appeal before the august Supreme Court of Pakistan which was heard on 28.4.2014 and while dismissing the appeal of the petitioners, the Honorable Supreme Court observed that the Service Tribunal shall decide the appeal as mandated in law. Copy of High Court and Supreme Court Judgment are attached as Annexure-J and K.
- 8. That as the statutory period on the departmental appeal of the appellant has also completed in the meanwhile, therefore, the appellant comes to this august Honorable Tribunal on the following amongst the others:

#### **GROUNDS:**

- A) That the impugned termination order dated 14.2.2014 and not taking any action on the departmental appeal of the appellant is against the law, facts, norms of justice and material on record and hence not tenable.
- B) That the appellant has been condemned unheard and not treated according to law and rules because being a civil servant of the province, the appellant has not been dealt with E&D Rules 2011 and removed from service in a slipshod manner.

6.

- C) That neither the appellant was served with charge sheet and statement of allegation nor regular enquiry was conducted in the matter so much so the respondents also violated the rules-5 (1) (a) of E&D Rules 2011. Whereby it was mandatory under the law to pass the speaking order for dispensing with the enquiry. Thus , the lacking such procedure the impugned order is liable to be set aside.
- D) That no chance of personal hearing was provided to the appellant which is the violation of declare law and principle of AUDI ALTERAM PARTEM as reported in the case of Aneesa Rehman.
- E) That even the termination order is not in existence because there is no word "Termination" is provided in the relevant law and rules.
- F) That according to the Government Notification dated 8.4.2006 all post from BPS-1 to BPS-15 in PHE department were declared as Distt: Cadre post which was not within purview Public Service Commission that is why the allegations of being non recommendee of the PSC is not a good grounds.
  - G) That the appellant possesses the prescribed qualification and got his appointment as per law and rules.
  - H) That as far as the NOC from the PSC is concerned that is also not correct keeping in view the Department Notification dated 30.4.2008 wherein the Chief Engineers were authorized for making appointment form BPS-1 to BPS-15 through Departmental Selection Committee.
- I)

That the appellant can not be held responsible for the lapse / irregularities committed by the department and in such cases the Hon'ble Supreme Court of Pakistan has held the department responsible and reinstated the poor employees.

J)

That the appellant seeks permission to advance others grounds and proofs at the time of hearing.

It is, therefore, most humbly prayed that the appeal of the appellant maybe accepted as prayed for.

APPELLANT Waqas Ali

THROUGH:

( M. ASIF YOUSAFZAI ) ADVOCATE, PESHAWAR.

And .

(Taimur Ali Khan) Àdvocate, Peshawar.

**OFFICE OF THE CHIEF ENGINEER** PUBEIC/HEA) THENGG: DEPARTMENT - NWF?, PESHAWAR.

No. 17 7 E = 4 /P HE

Dated Pesh: the 13 / 01 /2010

#### OFFICE ORDER.

On the recommendation of the Department Selection Committee as per its meeting held on 02/12/2009, the competent authority is pleased to off r.a post of Sub Engineer (BPS-11) to Mr. Waqas Ali S/O Farzand Ali R/O Village Aman Kot Tehsil & District Nowshera on the following terms and conditions :-

- He will get pay at the minimum of BPS-11 (2s.4115 275 12365) including usual allowances as admissible under the rule He will do be entitled to annual increment as per cristing policy. Ð
- He shall be governed by the NWFP Civil Servants Act 1973 and all the laws applicable to the 2) Civil Scrvants and Rules made there under
- He shall, for all intents and purposes be Civil Bervant except for purpose of pension or gratuity. In lieu of pension and gratuity if e shall be entitled to receive such a nount contributed by him towards Contributory Provident Funds (C.P.F) alongwith the contributions made by Government to his account in the said furd, in the prescribed manner. 3)
- His employment in the PHE Department is purely temporary and his services are liable to be terminated without assigning any reason at fourtec. (14) days notice or on the payment of 14 4) days salary in lieu of the notice In case he wishes to resign at any time. I-I days notice will be necessary or in lieu thereof 14. days, pay, vill be forf ited.

He shall, initially, be on probation for a period of two years extendable up to 3 years. 5)

- He shall produce a medical certificate of fitness from Medical Superintendent. Haripur before 6) reporting himself for duty to the O/O Deputy D strict Officer Water Supply & Sanitation Haripur, as required under the rules 1.43
- He has to join duty at his own expenses? 7)
- If he accepts the post of these conditions, he should report for duty to the Deputy District 8) Officer Water Supply & Sanitation Haripur within 14 days of the receipt of this offer and produce original certificates in connection with his chalifications, domicile and age.

CHIEF ENGINEER

Copy to the :- ----

Deputy District Officer WS&S Haripurs 1)

- District Accounts Officer, Haripur, 2}
- Mr. Waqas Ali S/O Farzand Ali R/O Vil age Aman ( of Tehsil & District Nowshera . 3)

ng the state

CHIVI CINEER.

# OFFICE OF THE MEDICAL SUPERINTENDENT BHQ HOSPITA.



B

Name of Official: Magas AC	d Ali
Name of Official: Father Name Farzan Cast of Race <u>Garmaryani</u> Father Name <u>Farzan</u>	112ay the Pestion
Cast of Race <u>Garmaryani</u> Father Waller Pather Waller Residence <u>Hypera bad</u> E-6 Street # 13,17.	igh $5.2^{\circ}$ Pe
Birth was Born _ 22 - 10 - 1989 NWTT, Takistan Ender t	Signal
	Signatu 1 do
Head of Department	
certify that I have examined Mr/Mfs/Miss agos reg	Heath and Can't d
Head of Department certify that I have examined Mr/Mfs/Miss_1/1/2 q1as_AL; Employment in the Office of the Work & sources (Publy)	<u></u>
that he had any disease communicable or other constitutional.	
Affection or bodily infirmity except	of the WR33
not consider this as disqualification for employment in the Ornee	01 the
Public Itealth thanipus).	vear
His age according to his own statement	
appearance about $(21)$ years.	
LEFT HAND THUMB AND FINGER IMPRESSIONS:	
LEFT HAND THOME AND THE V	
	GAL SUPERINTENI
DHQ	HOSEITAL HARI
Dated: $\underline{iij} / \underline{ric} / 2010.$	•
× ~	
AWTESTED	
š in it is it is the second se	

enifies


2000 AGA

.)

VALEZIED Herivad River an 19/1/2010 (174 Sti (24)) Lunes Beedienty tedu 14. La June march march march And to submer my wing we we find to lit ·0107-1-E1:41 241/h-3/21.0N Hand Engrand Dependence Miriel for hears affice Jo complex wine with Church Enguerer / which - profin (V HERINAR KEPORI (n 2) Hundred & Sundalland

SICE 80 0F Mr. 6/45 als Ali Sp Slo\_Fargand Ali Designation Sub Engineer Department <u>PHED</u> **A**\*\*\* Price : Rs. 30/-PRINTED BY MANAGER, STATIONERY & PRINTING DEPARTMENT, GOVERNMENT OF N.W.F.P., PESHAWAR.

10 Note:\_\_\_The entries in this page should be renewed or re-attested at least every five years and the signature to lines Name: <u>lilagas Ali sjo Farzand Ala</u> 1. 2. Race:-Residence: Villege Aman Kot Techen & Dist: Nowshere. 3. back 4. Father's name and residence: FARZAND ALL NILLAGE ANNAN KOT TEH: & DISTT: NOWSHERA 5. Date of birth by Christian era as nearly as can be ascertained: 2-10-1929 Exact height by measurement: 6. Date Personal marks for identification: 7. ~//L\_\_\_\_ Left hand thumb and finger impression 8. of (Non-Gazetted) officer: Little Finger **Ring Finger** antion **Middle Finger** Fore Finger Thumb Signature of Government Servant: 10. Signature and designation of the Head of the Office, or other Attesting DEPUTY DIST Officer. Water Suboly (W&S Deptt.)



12 9 10 11 12 13 14 P 15 Leave Reason of Signature and Designation Allocation of period of termination of the head of the office Date of Nature leave on average pay upto 2.5 such as Signature of the Reference to any Signature of or other attesting officer four months for which leave ρł and Signature of termination or promotion, head of the office recorded punish Gövernment Servant. nte ent in attestation of duration the head of the appointment. or other attesting salary is debitable to transfer, ment or censure columns 1 to 8 of office or other officer. another Government dismissal, or praise of the leave attesting officer etc.) Government taken. Government to Period Servant. which debitable C / Apprinted as out Engineer vicle CE CHE Pristanar de Ho. 17/1. 274 14 PHE arrivel cp. 14-1-2010 (F. DEPUTY DEPUTY DIST: OFFICER Water Supply & Sanitation <del>(W&S</del> <del>₩R./</del> Ċ ptu egnici, forvices Fe From the stan Vorillad From The Sifice Copies يد ر سر ر . '0 \* Ampile C 12Dent Younte 1. Execution Com Exocutive Engineer Public Ha 7 Public H 2011en 18:11 ARY HARIPUR 30-AFTESTED 2011 Pag Fixed ORS. 7060/- D.M is Revised 2017 w. r. g. 1-7-2011 v,de Basic Pry sectes 2011 VN: FD Finance DEDIT: Ac it 1-1/2011 11--2 (1). estive Engineer Public Hestie Engenervision

Ĵ

•

								,	
13	** 1		<b>6</b> .						
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	<b></b>
Name of post	Whether substantive or officiating and whether permanent or temporary	If officiating, state	Pay in substantive post	Additional Pay for officiating	Other emolument falling under the term "P"	Date of appointment	Signature of Government Servant.	Signature and Designation of the head of the office or other attesting officer in attestation of columns 1 to 8	D: termi appo
546 Engris 6600-460	reed BPS-	11			ſ	, 12		••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	
6600-460	- 20400		7520/			1-12-	3		30-
۶ 				-					
			79861	P-nn		12	-	÷ .	
		•	100/	101		2012			30
			<u>-</u>		-				
·	· ·						-		
								······································	
		· · ·	•						
		-		· · · · ·				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
					·		P?		
					ŗ				

7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 Leave Reason of Allocation of period of Signature and Designation termination Reference to any Nature leave on average pay upto Signature of the Signature of recorded punish-Cate of the head of the office Date of such as and four months for which leave 青 Signature of head of the office the head of the ment or censure of or other attesting officer termination or promotion, Government Servant. duration salary is debitable to or other attesting office or other ointment or praise of the in attestation of appointment. transfer, of another Government officer. attesting officer Government columns 1 to 8 dismissal, leave Servant. Government to etc.) taken. Period which debitable Services for the peri : from /-/2.200 to 30-// 200 Verified form the Offic copies of the pay bills 12 Annual Increment Granted <u>30\_11</u> 2011 201 Executive Engineer Executive Engineer alth crise: Division Public Health angg: Division A Haripur Harpur · 12 30- Annual Increment 2012 granted. Services Ven Ja el From 1-R-2011 PD 30-11-2012 From How of C & Ry Mills. 012 Executivo Eliginaor Public Hester Farly Statelon HARIPUR\_ Public Los - Sheefer AFTESTED

7

14

.

FROM : CHIEF OFF

Case Ho.

2.10

`ozlunı

CIE(R.H.E. (3)) ().

FAX ND. :0915210228

22 Jan. 2014 1 (1PM

P1

15

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF PAKISTAN (APPELLATE JURISDICTION)

PRESENT: MR. JUSTICE ANWAR ZAHEER JAMALI MR. JUSTICE EJAZ AFZAL KHAN.

C. Ps. No. 2026 and 2029 of 2013. (On appeal against the judgment dt. 2.10.2013 passed by the Peshawar High Court, Peshawar in W. Ps. No. 271 - P and 663-P of 2013).

Mushtag Ahmed and another: Muhammad Nasir Ali and others. (in CP. 2026/13) (in CP. 2029/13) ...Petilloners

Government of KPK through Chief Secretary, Peshawar and others.

(in both cases) ....Respondents For the petitioners: Mr. Ghulam Nabi Khan, ASC. Syed Safdar Hussain, AOR. For the respondents:

Sikandar Khan, Chief Engineer, PHEK, KPK. (on court notice) Date of hearing:

15.01.2014.

ORDER

ANWAR ZAHEER JAMALI; J. - After hearing the arguments of the learned ASC for the petitioners and careful perusal of the case record particularly the reasons assigned in the impugned judgment. we are satisfied that no case for grant of leave to appeal is made out, including the plea of discrimination raised by the petitioners, as one wrong or any number of wrongs, cannot be made basis to justify an illegal action under the garb of Article 25 of the Constitution. Both these petitions are, therefore, dismissed. Leave is refused.

2. So for as some other illegalities in the appointments brought to our notice is concerned, in response to our earlier order C.E.P.H.E. Do (South) deted 09.01.2014, Mr. Sikandar Khan, Chief Engineer, Public Health Diary No. 480 Engineering, Department, IKPK is present in Court, he states that Dalo: 20-01-014

ATTESTED Synfarintendent

Supremy Court of Pakisian /Islamabad

FAX NO. . . :0919218 2014 1:41FM C.P. 2026/13 x 2029/13 2 although many other illegal appointees. In his department have been removed from service, but against many others such action is in process at various stages and they are still in service. 3. In view of the above statement, he is directed to finalize the action against such illegal appointees within one month from today and submit his report through Registrar of this Court. In case, he - 4 faces any difficulty in this regard, those difficulties may also be brought to our notice so that appropriate orders may be passed.

Sd/- Anwar Zaheer Jamali, J. Sd/--Ejaz Afzal Khan,J

s P

.

Nacoivad ty:--

Sur Intendent

Certified to be True C

40 Civil/Griminal GR No: Date of Presentation: .. No. of Words: No. of Folliest-60 Requisition Fee Rs: -2 Copy Fee in: -Court Fec stamps:\_ Date of Completion of Copy Date of delivery of Gory: Compared by/Propared by:

Supreple Lourt of Pakistan Islamabad

 $\square \leqslant$ 



#### OFFICE OF THE CHIEF ENGINEER (SOUTH) PUBLIC HEALTH ENGG: DEPARTMENT KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA, PESHAWAR

## No. 32 / E - 4 /PHE

·	Dated Peshawar, the $\partial f$ /01/2014
1. Mr. Tariq Nawaz	Sub Engineer,
2. Mr. Sajjad Khan	Sub Engineer,
3. Mr. S. Muhammad Ihsan Shal	a Sub Engineer,
4. Mr. S. Muhammad Ali Sajjad	Sub Engineer,
5. Mr. Abdul Samad	Sub Engineer,
6. Mr. Shaukat Ali	Sub Engineer,
7 Mr. M. Ali Noor	Sub Engineer,
8. Mr. Irshad Elahi	Sub Engineer, 199
9. Mr. Hussain Zaman	Sub Engineer,
10. Mr. Salim Nawaz	Sub Engineer,
11. Mr. S.Ashfaq Ahmad	Sub Engineer
12. Mr. Murtaza Ali	Sub Engineer,
13. Mr. Sahar Gul	Sub Engineer.
14. Mr. Ishfaq	Sub Engineer,
15. Mr. Abdul Shahid	Sub Engineer,
16. Mr. Kashif Raza	Sub Engineer, A
, 17. Mr. Waqas Ali	, Sub Engineer,
18. Mr. Muslim Shah	Sub Engineer,
19. Mr. Ishtiaq Ahmad	Sub Engineer,
20. Mr. Zuhib Khan	Sub Engineer,
21. Mr. S. Hassan Ali	Sub Engineer,
22. Mr. Mohsin Ali	Sub Engineer,
23. Mr. Muqtada Qureshi	Sub Engineer.
24. Mr. Ishfaq Ahmad	Sub Engineer,
25. Mr. M. Qaiser Khan	Sub Engineer,
26. Mr. Nomanullah	Senior Scale Stenographer,
27. Mr. M. Imran	Steno Typist, 11
28. Mr. M. Jamil	Steno Typist,
29. Mr. lítikhar	Steno Typist,
	Steno Typist,
31 Mr. Aziz Ullah	Steno Typist
32. Mr. Farhan Ullah	Steno Typist.
33. Mr. Farman Alij	Data E/Operator,
34. Mr. Murtuza Qureshi	Data E/Operator,
biect: SHOW CAUSE NOTICE	

Subject:

2.

In compliance of Supreme Court of Pakistan decision dated 15.1.201action against all illegal appointee's are being taken immediately. As such you are hereb served with this show cause notice regarding your appointment as under:

1. In light of S&GD letter, No.SOR-I(S&GAD)/1-117/91(C) dated 12.10.1993 th appointment of Sub Engineer, Steno Typist/Stenographer and Data E/Operate continued to be made through recommendation of Public Service Commission Whereas you have been appointed without the recommendation of Public Service Commission which is contrary to the prevailing rules. Therefore you are directed to provide recommendation of Public Service Commission, if any.

Your appointment orders have been made in contravention of Govt led down police vide circulated notification No. SOR-VI/EXAD/1-10/2005/Vol-VI dated 15.01.2007

Τo



#### Page -2

3. The content of your appointment orders reveal that you have been appointed without recommendation of the Public Service Commission, of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa. No NOC obtained from the Public Service Commission for recruitment, no requisition submitted to Secretary Works & Services Department, no sanction/approval was obtained from Administrative Secretary, no Departmental Promotion Selection Committee constituted by the Secretary Works & Services Department, not advertised and nor the appointment are modified in terms of para-13 and 14 of N.W.F.P Civil servant (appointment, promotion and transfer rules 1989). Codal formalities have not been fulfilled in your appointments.

4. Necessary sanction to condonation of the violation of codal formalities have not been accorded by the competent Authority.

Keeping in view the above, you are directed to furnish reply to the show cause notice within 15-days positively; otherwise it will be presumed that you have nothing in your defense. As such ex-party action will be taken against you under the E&D rules which will entail your termination from service.

Copy forwarded to:

- 1. The Secretary to Govt of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Public Health Engg: Department Peshawar.
- 2. The Chief Engineer (North) Public Health Engg: Department Peshawar.

AND GARAGE

the Edition and

er de sance para

and dilys f:

5、近年1月11日,美国市市长市市。

search an campanan

construction and and

and the of seen difference

Charles the Andreas management

Madada et el

V (E)

the state of the s

3. All Superintending Engineers/Executive Engineers in South/North Public Health Engg: Department. They are directed to serve the show cause noticed to the above named officials working in your office.

Ġ.

Chief Engineer (South)

Chief Engineer (South)

--To,

Subject: -

#### The Chief Engineer (South), Public Health Engineering Department, Peshawar.

SHOW CAUSE NOTICE.

Ref: - Your No.32/E-4/PHE dated 21.01.2014 received by me on #102/2014 which *Kearnel on 4-2-3-14* shows that the same has un-lawfully and malafidely been issued by you in the back date.

It is submitted that I am working in PHE Division Haripoor as Sub Engineer and is only under the controlling authority of the worthy Chief Engineer (North) PHED as competent authority. Therefore, the Show Casuse Notice issued to me under your signature on that reason too as un-authorized and un-lawful. However, Para wise explanation is submitted as under: -

- 1. In this connection your attention is invited to E.AD letter No.SOS-Pool(E&AD)/1-10/2002 dated 08/04/2006 declaring the posts in B-1 to B-15 in W&S Department (i.e C&W and PHE) as District Cadre posts and outside the purview of P.S.C. Therefore W&S Department as directed neither to place any such requisition before the P.S.C nor the P.S.C was required to advertise such posts (Annexure-I). The E&A Department, vide letter No.SOR-V(E&AD)/1-368/2005/(SE) dated 02/05/2007 addressed to P.S.C and copy there of endorsed to Secretary W&S Department, further stated that the requisition made by the W&S Department, for the filling in the vacant posts may be considered as withdrawn (Annexure-II). In the circumstances, the recommendation of P.S.C for appointment against such posts, were uncalled for.
- 2. My appointment against the post was made by the Competent Authority as I having the prescribed qualifications for the same. Hence there involve no contravention to Govt: Policy.
- As explained in the above Para's, it was not be purview of P.S.C to make recommendation against their Posts, therefore there was no need of N.O.C etc: from them. From the above letters it reveals that requisition for the vacant posts was made, but the same was withdrawn by the E&A Department. Therefore, the Secretary W&S Department vide his Notification No.E&A/W&S/11-23/2001 cated 30.04.2008 assigned assigned all the Establishment matters of officials from BPS-1 to BPS-15 to the respective Chief Engineer of the C&W and PHE Wings of W&S Department (Annexure-III). Therefore, his approval/sanction for appointment against such posts was not required. Moreover my appointment was made by the competent authority through DSC.
   As a candidate and junior employee of the Department. I do no know about any violation of
  - As a candidate and junior employee of the Department, I do no know about any violation of codal formalities in the process of appointment. However, if there is some lapse in procedure, that is supposed to be tackled by the concerned hands with the competent forum for rectification/regularization, rather to proceed against me without any fault of mine at this belated stage/time where I have spent the useful part of my life of about years and have since crossed/near to cross the upper age limit of 30 year and have been overaged.
    - It is added that I am not party in the case of Mashtaq Ahmad & Other C.P No.2026/13 & Muhammad Nisar Ali & other CP No.2029/13, therefore the decision of the Honourable Supreme Court of Pakistan dated15.01.2014 is not applicable upon me.
  - In view of above explanation, it is very humbly prayed that the charges may be dropped. The Govt: of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Finance Department (Regulation wing) vide notification No.SOSR-III/FD/12-1/2005 dated 27/02/2013 that the under said act all civil servant appointed to a service are post on or after 1<sup>st</sup> july 2001 shall be deemed to have been appointed on regular basis and will be eligible for pension (Copy attached).

Thanking you.

11

Yours Obedier Ily,

WAQAS ALI Sub Engineer PHE Division Haripoor

Dated

102/2014.

5.

Copy to the: -

- 1. Registrar, Supreme Court of Pakistan Islamabad with reference to C.Ps No.2026 & 2029 of 2013.
- Registrar Peshawar High Court Peshawar w/r to W.Ps No.271-P & 663-P of 2013 w/r to above. They are requested to direct the Chief Engineer (South) PHE Peshawar to avied from taking such drastic & one side action i.e without proper equiry & approtunity of hearing etc: as required under the law/ natural justice.

STED

et rekis, ar, shallan at soit

and the Construction for Wirk West opens

1 : La n Li aj stia -

可于 医动物神经感激的

s and a set and a set of the set

3. PS to Secretary PHE Department Peshawar.

Dated 1 8 /02/2014.

WAQAS ALI Sub Engineer **PHE Division Haripoor** 

#### OFFICE OF THE CHIEF ENGINEER (SOUTH) PUBLIC HEALTH ENGG: DEPARTMENT KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA, PESHAWAR

No.  $\frac{\partial_{1,0}}{\partial_{2,0}}$  / E-4 /PHE, **21** Dated Peshawar, the  $|I_{4}|$  /02/2014

Mr. Waqas Ali s/o Farzand Ali Sub Engineer P.H.Engg Division Haripur

Subject:

#### **TERMINATION FROM SERVICE**

Your recruitment in PHED made vide this office letter No.17/E-4 /PHE dated 13.01.2010 was illegal and unlawful due to non-fulfillment of codal formalities.

Your appointment as a Sub Engineer has been reviewed on the direction of Supreme Court of Pakistan Order dated 15.01.2014 in the civil petition No.2026 and 2029 of 2013, Mushtaq Ahmad and Muhammad Nasir Ali and others. The Supreme Court of Pakistan directed the undersigned to finalize action against all illegal appointees within one month. In this regard direction of Establishment & Administration Department vide his No.SOR-V(E&AD)/15-3/2009 dated 30.1.2013 received through Secretary PHE Department Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Peshawar No.SO(Esu)/PHED/1-90/2012-13 dated 3.2.2014 record of the recruitment of Sub Engineer and other staff has been checked and found the following irregularities committed by the appointing authority in your appointment.

1. Vacancies/posts of Sub Engineers were not advertized through news paper.

2. Initial recruitment of Sub Engineers will continue to be made through recommendation of the Public Service Commission in light of S&GAD letter No.SOR-I (S&GAD)1-117 /91(c) dated 12.10.1993. in this case NOC was not obtained from Public Service Commission before issuance of your appointment order. A requisition for filling up these posts were not placed with Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Public Service Commission and you have not qualified test and interview conducted by the Public Service Commission during this period. As such your appointment without recommendation of the Public Service Commission is invalid and unlawful.

- 3. Approval from Administrative Secretary was not obtained by the appointing authority before making your appointment.
- 4. Departmental selection committee was not constituted by the Administrative Secretary.
- 5. You have also failed to reply to the show cause notice issued vide this office No. 32/E-4 /PHE dated 21.01.2014 in your defense with in stipulated period.
- 6. The above mentioned irregularities committed by the appointing authority in your appointment process prove that you were illegally appointed and there is no AMESTED justification to retain you in the service of PHED. You are therefore terminated from the Post of Sub Engineer with immediate effect.

Chief Engineer (South)

- Copy forwarded to:
- 1. The Secretary to Govt of Khyber Pakhtur, khwa Public Health Engg: Department Peshawar.
- 2. PS to Minister for Public Health Engg: Department Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Peshawar.
- 3. The Accountant General Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Peshawar.
- 4. The Chief Engineer (North) Public Health Engg: Department Peshawar.

出来的 把握着某人的

- 5. The Chief Engineer (FATA) Works & Services Department Peshawar.
- 6. All Superintending Engineers/Executive Engineers in South/North P.H.Engg: Department.
- 7. All District Accounts Officer in Khyber Pakhtunkhwa.

, ÷.,

and any concern

Chief Engineer (South)

1. Appli order V 2. charge paport \$3 Service certificate -4. Show cause Notice. V 5. Reply to show cause. 6. Termination Appeal. 8. H. C. Judgment 9. S.C. Judgment 10. valalat Nama.

<b>治疗</b> 41000	To,		22 I
1994 - S.		The Secretary, Govt: of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa,	
្មើ៖	-	PHE Department Peshawar.	, 
	Subject: -	APPEAL AGAINST THE TERMINATION ORDER NO.20/E-	4/PHE DATED
		<u>14/02/2014.</u>	
	R/Sir,	Most respectfully I beg to say that the Chief Engineer (S	outh) has issued
YE 2 <sup>1</sup>	termination or	rder vide letter under subject for which my submission are as	
: 穆 <i>尔</i> ~ (1) [1] [1] [1] [1] [1] [1] [1] [1] [1] [1]	1	I was appointed by the Chief Engineer vide office order No. 13/01/2010 with the condition No.1 to 8 my appointment of recommendation of DPC dated 02/12/2009 as mentioned in the s per Para-5 of the said office order I successfully completed upto period my appoint made on regular basis and not Adhoc nor Con status not equal to that case for which APPEX Court given decisi	was made on the said office order. As 5-3 years probation htract, therefore my
	.2.	Before issuing any Govt: letter/order the competent authority sa this order/letter will be prove in the Court of Law, hence this wa	atisfied himself that as the responsibility
- <b>5</b>	ж. н.	of the competent authority to before issuing of any order he issuing my unlawful order not me	is responsible, for
: (0,	3. 4. 5.	I already have given reply of my show cause notice within stipula Obtaining of N.O.C from P.S.C is the responsibility of PHE Depa It is further pointed out that the Govt: of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa F (Regulation wing) No.SOR-III/FD/12-1/2005 dated 27/02/20	rtment not by me. Inance Department
	6.	Assembly passed the act, all Civil Servants appointed to a se after 1 <sup>st</sup> July 2001 shall be deemed to have been appointed of will be eligible for pension/deduction of G.P.Fund. Furthermore the Chief Engineer (South) has given full statemen not base on fact.	rvice or post on or n regular basis and
		In light of above it is requested that my appeal my please b	e admitted and the
	Chief Engine	eer (South) be directed to withdrawn my termination order otherwi	se I will be knocking
ten e	the Door of L		
÷ .		Yo	ur Obediently
1.1. şu -	· ·	Su Su	AQAS ALI b Engineer
	Copy to the: -		nt Division Harip <b>o</b> r.
	Copy to the.	1. Chief Engineer (South) PHE Department Peshawar.	
- A.B.+		<ol> <li>Chief Engineer (North) PHE Department Peshawar.</li> <li>Registrar Supreme Court Peshawar.</li> <li>Registrar Peshawar High Court Peshawar.</li> <li>Registrar Service Tribunal Peshawar.</li> </ol>	ATTESTED
	hepand in	St. PHE Departme	
		$\mathbf{a}_{1} = \mathbf{b}_{1} + \mathbf{c}_{2} \mathbf{a}_{2}$ , $\mathbf{b}_{1} = \mathbf{b}_{2} \mathbf{a}_{2} \mathbf{a}_{2} \mathbf{a}_{2}$ , $\mathbf{b}_{2} = \mathbf{b}_{1} \mathbf{a}_{2} \mathbf{a}_{2}$ , $\mathbf{b}_{2} = \mathbf{b}_{1} \mathbf{a}_{2} \mathbf{a}_{2}$	· · · ·

• • •

# PESHAWAR HIGH COURT, PESHAWAR FORM "A" OF HIGR FORM OF ORDER SHEET

210

co

1

1

PUT

an a	Court o Case No	
Serial No of order or	Date of Order or Proceeding	Order or other proceedings with G
proceeding	2	C MAN H
	26.02.2014	<u>W.P No.615-P/2014.</u>
		Present:- Mr. Khushdil Khan, Advocate for petitioners.
		MALIK MANZOOR HUSSAIN, J:- Through insta
		petition, the petitioners are invoking Constitution
		jurisdiction of this Court and prays as follows:-
		1. Declare the act of respondent
		No.3 against the fundamental
		rights as guaranteed under
		chapter 1 of part II of the
5		Constitution, 1973. 2. Direct the respondent No.3 to
		act in accordance with law
		and rules on subject and also
		treat the petitioners in
	AFFEST	ED accordance with law and
	, ··	rules and their appointments be treated as legal and valid
	~	for all purposes.
TEN		3. Set aside the impugned order
NEF		of termination issued on
High High		14.02.2014 being malafide,
		unlawful, unjustified and violative of principle of

21

# natural justice.

2

2.

TRATED

1 2014

Briefly, the facts as per contents of instant petition are that the petitioners were appointed as sub-Engineers (BPS-11) in Public Health Engineer Department, Government of Khyber Pukhtunkhwa, Peshawar. While hearing Civil Petitions No.2016/2013 and No.2029/2013, the August Supreme Court of Pakistan take notice of illegal appointments in the petitioners Department, directed the Chief Engineer of the Department to finalize the action against illegal appointees. For convenience, it would be appropriate to reproduce the relevant para of Judgment dated 15.01.2014 of August Apex Court, which is as under:-

> "So far some other as illegalities in the appointments brought to our notice is concerned, in response to our earlier order dated 09.01.2014, Mr. Sikandar Khan, chief Engineer, Public Health engineering, Department, KPK is present in Court, he states that although many other illegal appointees in his department have been removed from service, but against many others such action is in process at various stages and they are still in service.

In view of the above statement, he is directed to finalize the action against such Illegal appointees within one month from today and submit his report through Registrar of this Court. In case, he faces any difficulty in this regard, those difficulties may also be brought to our notice so that appropriate orders may be passed".

In pursuance thereof show cause notices were issued and ultimately through impugned order dated 18.02.2014 the services of petitioners were terminated.

3

3. At the very outset the learned counsel for the petitioners was confronted with the legal position with respect to the fact that the petitioners, who claims themselves to be civil servants under Civil Servant Act 1973, whether their termination orders does not come within ambit of *terms and condition of service*, and whether the petition is maintainable under barring Provision of Article 212 of the Constitution, 1973? There was no plausible explanation in this regard. The Provision of Article 199 of the Constitution through which the remedies are sought by the petitioners are subject to the Provision of Article 212(3) of the Constitution. It is

ATTESTED

well settled by now that even illegal orders, or order without jurisdiction, regarding Civil Servant, can only be challenged in the proper forum established under the law.

4. Admittedly termination orders of the petitioners related to terms and condition of their services, therefore, Constitutional petition under Article 199 is not maintainable by virtue of article 212 of the Constitution and Section 4 of Service Tribunal Act 1973.

In view of what has been observed above, this petitioner is dismissed being not entertainable, however petitioners are at liberty to seek their remedies before proper forum if so advised.

Announced. 26.02.2014

lujq

23Mgood Husson

CERTIFIED TO BE TRUE COPY AY 2011

NO\_\_\_\_ Date of Presentation of No of Papes \_\_\_\_\_ Copying inst. Urgent [] Total\_\_\_\_ Date of Proper life Le 3, Date Given For Dely ery. -15 the fear the liver that bape

Enclosed Bys-

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF PAKISTAN (APPELLATE JURISDICTION)

MR. JUSTICE TASSADUQ HUSSAIN JILLANI, HCJ MR. JUSTICE SH. AZMAT SAEED

#### CTVIL PETITION NO. 551 OF 2014 On appeal from the sugment dated 26.2.2214 passed by the Peshawar light Court, Peshawar in WP Ne. 615-P/2014)

Tarin Nawaa Ilhan and ethers .... Petitioners .... Petitioners .... Petitioners .... Petitioners .... Respondents .... Respondents .... Respondents

For the Respondents: N.R.

Date of Hearing:

28ª of April,

#### ORDER

25.04.2014

TASSADUO HUSSAIN JILLANI, CJ.- Petitioners are civil servants and they challenged the order terminating their services in a Constitution petition which stands dismussed vide the impugned order mainly on the ground that the said petition was not maintainable in view of Article 212 of the Constitution read with Section 4 of the Service Jiriounal Act, 1973. The only ground being taken by the learned High Court to invoke Article 199 of the Constitution is that the competent authority in the department had passed the order of termination of petitioners' services pursuant to a judgment of this Court and the learned Service Tribunal may be diffident to decide the case independently and in accordance with law.

21. We are afreid, the apprehension of the petitioners is misconceived. In the event of filing the appeal, the Service Tribunal shall decide the appeal as mundated in law. Disposed of in terms noted above. If M Style These many transform Tithesmi, Cot The Service Theorem Second, Cot

FD

Certified to be-True Corry

Supreme South of Pakistan

VAKALAT NA	MA C
NO	_/20
THE COURT OF Service Tribunal	beshawar.
None as Ali	(Appellant) (Petitioner) (Plaintiff)
VERSUS	
lite Depie,	(Respondent) (Defendant)

Do hereby appoint and constitute *M.Asif Yousafzai, Advocate, Peshawar, ordy* to appear, plead, act, compromise, withdraw or refer to arbitration for me/us as my/our Counsel/Advocate in the above noted matter, without any liability for his default and with the authority to engage/appoint any other Advocate/ Counsel on my/our costs.

I/we authorize the said Advocate to deposit, withdraw and receive on my/our behalf all sums and amounts payable or deposited on my/our account in the above noted matter. The Advocate/Counsel is also at liberty to leave my/our case at any stage of the proceedings, if his any fee left unpaid or is outstanding against me/us.

20

Dated \_\_\_\_

wagas Al. CLIENT

ACCEPTED

M. ASIF YOUSAFZAI Advocate

TAIMUR ALI KHAN Aduvate

M. ASIF YOUSAFZAI

Advocate High Court, Peshawar

#### **OFFICE:**

Room No.1, Upper Floor, Islamia Club Building, Khyber Bazar Peshawar. Ph.091-2211391-0333-9103240

#### **BEFORE THE KPK SERVICE TRIBUNAL PESHAWAR.**

APPEAL NO. <u>790</u>/2014. Side for the opposite MR. Waqas Ali VS PHE Deptt: APPLICATION FOR RESTRAINING THE RESPONDENTS FROM FILLING THE POST OF APPELLANT TILL THE DISPOSAL OF MAIN APPEAL.

#### **<u>R.SHEWETH.</u>**

č.

- 1- That the above noted appeal is pending before this august Tribunal against the termination order dated. 14.2.2014 ,whereby the appellant was terminated from the post of Sub engineer.
- 2- That the appeal has been admitted to full hearing on 8.8.2014 and now is fixed for reply of the respondents on 26.12.2014.
- 3- That in mean time the respondents have requested the KPK Public Service Commission to fill that post and for that purpose the post has been advertised on 15.09.2014. Copy of the advertisement is attached as Annexure – A-1.
- 4- That if the said post is filled in then at the end of decision in favour of appellant, for which the appellant is hopeful, the complication of availability of post will arise and there is likelihood that the appellant will be suffering from undue hardships due to nonavailability of post.

5- That to meet the ends of justice it would be appropriate to restrain the respondents from filling the post from which the appellant has been terminated to avoid legal complications.

It is therefore most humbly prayed that the respondents may be restrained from making appointments on the post from which the appellant has been terminated till the decision on main appeal. Any other remedy deems appropriate at this stage may also be awarded in favour of appellant.

#### APPELLANT/PETITIONER.

WAQAS ALI


THROUGH;

M.ASIF YOUSAFZAI

ADVOCATE.

#### AFFIDAVIT.

It is affirmed that the contents of this application are true and correct.



DEPONENT.

## KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION <u>2- Fort Road Peshawar Cantt:</u> <u>Website: www.kppsc.gov.pk</u> <u>Tele: Nos. 091-9214131, 9213563, 9213750, 9212897</u>

Dated: 15.09.2014

## Advertisement No. 05 / 2014.

Applications, on prescribed form, are invited for the following posts from Pakistani citizens having domicile of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa / F.A.T.A by **14.10.2014** (candidates applying from abroad by **28.10.2014**). Incomplete applications and applications without supporting documents required to prove the claim of the candidates shall be rejected.

## AGRICULTURE LIVESTOCK & COOPERATIVE DEPTT:

### 1. TWO (02) POSTS OF RESEARCH OFFICER (FODDER & FORAGES) IN AGRICULTURE LIVESTOCK & FISHERIES DEPARTMENT.

**QUALIFICATION:** M.Sc. Agriculture preferably in Agronomy or equivalent qualification from a recognized University.

AGE LIMIT: 25 to 32 years. PAY SCALE: BPS-17 ELIGIBILITY: Both sexes. ALLOCATION: One each to Zone-3 & Zone-4.

# 2. ONE (01) POST OF AGRICULTURE OFFICER IN AGRICULTURE LIVESTOCK & FISHERIES DEPARTMENT.

**QUALIFICATION:** M.Sc. Agriculture preferably in Agronomy or equivalent qualification from a recognized University.

AGE LIMIT: 25 to 32 years. PAY SCALE: BPS-17 ELIGIBILITY: Both sexes. ALLOCATION: Merit.

# 3. FOUR (04) POSTS OF COMPUTER OPERATOR IN LIVESTOCK & COOPERATIVE (FISHERIES) DEPARTMENT.

**QUALIFICATION:** Second Class Graduation with one year Diploma in IT from Board of Technical Education or its Equivalent.

AGE: LIMIT: 18 to 30 years. PAY SCALE: BPS-12 ELIGIBILITY: Both sexes. ALLOCATION: One each to Zone-1, Zone-2, Zone-3 and Zone-4.

# 4. FOUR (04) POSTS OF FISHERIES SUPERVISOR IN LIVESTOCK & COOPERATIVE (FISHERIES) DEPARTMENT.

**QUALIFICATION:** F.Sc Pre Medical in 2<sup>nd</sup> Division from a recognized Board.

AGE LIMIT: 18 to 30 years. PAY SCALE: BPS-11 ELIGIBILITY: Both sexes.

## MINES AND MINERAL DEVE: DEPARTMENT

# ONE (01) LEFTOVER POST OF SENIOR MINERAL PROCESSING ENGINEER.

**QUALIFICATION:** a) Bachelor's Degree in Metallurgical or Mining Engineering from a recognized university; and b) At least seven years experience of research and development work for mineral processing up-gradation, concentration or extraction conducted in any reputable research and development organization or mineral processing laboratory or mineral based industry.

AGE LIMIT:28 to 40 years.PAY SCALE:BPS-18 ELIGIBILITY:MateALLOCATION:Merit.

## THREE (03) LEFTOVER POSTS OF CARTOGRAPHER (BPS-11).

**QUALIFICATION:** Intermediate with Certificate or Diploma in Cartography from a recognized Board, with two years experience in Auto CAD / Geographical information System Software.

AGE LIMIT:21 to 32 years.PAY SCALE:BPS-11 ELIGIBILITY:MaleALLOCATION:One each to Zones-1. Zone-2 and Zone-3.

### **POPULATION WELFARE DEPARTMENT**

#### ONE (01) LEFTOVER POST OF JUNIOR SCALE STENOGRAPHER IN DIRECTORATE OF POPULATION WELFARE FATA SECRETARIAT.

**QUALIFICATION:** Intermediate with 50/40 words per minute in shorthand and Typing and having at least six months Diploma / Certificate in computers / Information Technology from the Board of Technical Education or an institute recognized from it.

AGE LIMIT: 18 to 30 years. PAY SCALE: BPS-14 ELIGIBILITY: Male. ALLOCATION: Zone-1.

### - PUBLIC HEALTH ENGINEERING DEPARTMENT THIRTY THREE (33) POSTS OF SUB ENGINEER CIVIL IN PUBLIC HEALTH ENGINEERING DEPARTMENT

**QUALIFICATION:** Three (03) years Diploma of Associate Engineering (Civil) from a recognized Technical Board.

PAY SCALE: BPS-11. AGE LIMIT: 18-30 Years. ELIGIBILITY: Both Sexes

ALLOCATION: Seven each to Zone-1 & Zone-2. Eight to Zone-3. Six to Zone-4 and Five to Zone-5.

# ONE (01) POST OF SUB ENGINEER ELECTRICAL IN PUBLIC HEALTH ENGINEERING DEPARTMENT.

OIIAI IFICATION. Three (03) years Diploma of Associate Engineering

Experience wherever prescribed shall be counted after the minimum qualifications for the post(s), if not specifically provided otherwise against the advertised post(s). The candidates applying for posts requiring experience are advised to fill in the requisite proforma and provide the experience certificate available on the Commission's website and submit it alongwith the application form. The application form without this proforma and certificate shall not be accepted/ processed.

In case the number of applications of candidates is disproportionately higher than the number of posts, short listing will be made in anyone of the following manner: - (a) Written Test in the Subject.

- (b) General Knowledge or Psychological General Ability Test.
- (c) Academic and/or Professional record as the Commission may decide.

### SPECIFIED BRANCHES OF THE NATIONAL BANK OF PAKISTAN.

- (1) <u>Main Branches of:</u> Parachinar, Mardan, Swabi, Malakand, Shangla, Chitral, Timargara, Daggar, D.I.Khan, Bannu, Karak, Kohat, Hangu, Lakki Marwat, Abbottabad, Haripur, and Mansehra
- (2) Saddar Road Branch, Tehkal Payan Branch, G.T Road (Nishtar Abad) Branch and University Campus Branch Peshawar.
- (3) Tehsil Bazar Branch Charsadda, Nowshera Cantt: Branch, Bank Square Branch Mingora and City Branch Tank
- Note: -The candidate who apply for the post(s) are advised to make sure that they are eligible for the post in all respects because eligibility of the candidate will be determined strictly according to the rules after conduct of all essential tests.

(HAYAT HUSSAIN) Accounts Officer (for Secretary) Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Public Service Commission Peshawar

## BEFORE THE HON.BLE SERVICE TRIBUNAL <u>KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA PESHAWAR.</u>

<u>e</u>r ~

Writt petition No.790/2014

Mr. Waqas Ali Ex-Sub Engineer PHE: Divn: Haripur

.... (Appellant)

#### <u>Versus</u>

- 1. Secretary to Govt: of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Public Health Engg: Department Peshawar.
- 2. Chief Engineer (South) Public Health Engg: Department Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Peshawar.
- 3. Executive Engineer Public Health Engg: Division Haripur.

WRITTEN REPLY ON BEHALF OF RESPONDENTS NO 1 TO 3

## **Respectfully stated**

Para-wise comments of the Respondent 1 to 3 are as under:-

### PRELIMINARY OBJECTIONS.

- 1). That the appellant has got no cause of action.
- 2). That the appellant is estopped by his own conduct to bring the instant appeal.
- 3). That the present appeal is not maintainable in its present form and shap.
- 4). That the appellant has got no locus standi.
- 5). That the appellant has not come to the Tribunal with clean hands.
- 6). That the appeal is bad for non-joinder and misjoinder of necessary parties.
- 7). That the appeal is barred by Law & limitation
- 8). That this Honourable Service Tribunal has no jurisdiction to entertain the present

appeal.

#### <u>BRIEF HISTORY</u>

A writ petition bearing No W.P 271-P/2013 was filed by Mr. Mushtaq Ahmad, etc, for extending benefits of regularization, before the Peshawar High Court order, Peshawar and the same was declined by the Peshawar High Court, (Copy of the judgment dated 2.10.2013 is annexed as Annexure-A). The said petitioners then moved a Civil Petition No 2026 and 2029 of 2013before the August Supreme Court of Pakistan. Though the August Supreme Court of Pakistan dismissed the same and directed the department to finalize the action against the illegal appointees within one month. Upon completion of the legal formalities i.e issuance of Show Cause Notice etc, the action was taken against the appellant.

#### <u>ON THE FACTS.</u>

5).

1-4). Denied as drafted as one wrong or any number of wrongs cannot be made bases to justify an illegal action. The post of Sub Engineer BPS-11 comes in the purview of Public Service Commission according to the Public Service Commission Ordinance and ESTA Code, (Copy of the Public Service Commission Ordinance and the concerned rules of the ESTA Code and advice of Establishment Department dated 17/03/2014 is attached as annexure B, C & D), therefore, the then Chief Engineer was not competent to appoint the Appellant. This was the reason that the name of the appellant was never included in the Seniority list of Sub Engineers and the same was never challanged by the appellant. (Copy of the Seniority list are annexed as Annexure-E

Upon the direction of the August Supreme Court and on completion of legal formalities, the appellant was removed from serivce. It is pertinent to mention that the department had already initiated proceedings against the then Chief Engineer and other DSC members (Copy of letters in this respect are attached as Annexure-F).

Incorrect. The appellant failed to produce recommendation letter issue by Public Service Commission regarding his selection for the post of Sub Engineer and also failed to produce sanction accorded by the competent authority regarding condonation of violation of codal formilities in his appointment. Therefore his reply was not considered.

- 6) Incorrect. The Apex court directed for finalizing action against all such illegal appointees on 15.1.2014 (Annexure-G). As the appellant was illegally appointed therefore he was terminated from service. There was no weightage in his appeal.
- 7). Pertains to court record, hence needs no comments.
- 8). The appeallant has got not cause of action to file instant appeal and his appeal is liable to be dismissed.

### <u>GROUNDS</u>

- A). Incorrect. The appellant was illegally appointed without fullfillment of requisite codal formilities. He was terminated because he was illegally appointed. There was no weightage in his representation.
- B). Incorrect. Sufficient time was given in show cause notice to the appellant but he failed to produce any document in his defence. As he was not appointed on the recommendation of Public Service Commission. Therefore his name was not included in the seniority list of Sub Engineers and does not fall in the category of civil servant. Therefore E&D rules are not applicable in this case.
- C). Incorrect. The case of illegal appointment of Sub Engineers and others was forwarded to Establishment Department for advice. Establishment Department extended advice and declared their appointment illegal. The action against illegal appointees had to

finalize with in one month on the direction of Apex Court. E&D rules are not appliable in present case.

- D). Incorrect. Sufficient time was given in the show cause notice to the appellant to produce documentry proof regarding advertisement of the vacancies, written test interview and selection criteria. The appellant failed to produce any valid document to justify his appointment.
- E). Incorrect. The appellant was appointed without fulfillment of requisite codal formilities and without recommendation of Public Service Commission. Therefore the appellant was terminated in order to appoint nominee of Public Service Commission in his place.
- F). Incorrect. The posts in BPS-1 to 15 were declared as district cadre posts, the then Chief Engineer, the provincial head of Public Health Engg: Department had wrongly exercised his powers to make recruitment of appellant against District cadre posts. Recruitment of District cadre posts fall in the purview of District Coordination Officer. According to ESTA Code and Public Service Commission Ordinance the post of Sub Engineer shall be filled on the recommendation of Public Service Commission.
- G). Incorrect. Nomination of Public Service Commission is prerequisite for appointment as Sub Engineer in Public Health Engg: Department. The appellant was appointed without recommendation of Public Service Commission which is against standing recruitment policy of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa.
- H). Incorrect. The Notification issued by Secretary Works & Service Department dated 30.4.2008 as referred by the appellant is related

only for posting/transferee. of officials from BPS-1 to 16 and not for recruitment (Annexure-H)

Incorrect. The appellant is responsible for not appearing in test and interview conducted by Public Service Commission for the post of Sub Engineers in 2011 and 2012, advertised on 7.4.2011 (Annexure-I). Therefore judgement of Apex Court pertained to petty employees like Chowkidar, Naib Qasid and Junior Clerk. This judgement is not applicable on the posts to be filled through the recommendation of Public Service Commission.

The termination Order of the appellant is consistent with the Judgement of Supreme Court of Pakistan dated 17.3.2014 in constitution petition No 6 of 2011 CMA 5216 of 2012 Syed Mubashir Raza Jaffari verses EOBI (Annexure-J).

The respondent seek leave of this Honourable Tribunal to raise additional grounds and proof at the time of arguments.

In this case article 25 of the constitution has been violated by not giving equal right of apportunity to the citizen of the Khyber Pakhtunkhwa and FATA having the requisite Qualification zonal allocation formula has been violated. Appointment of the appallent is without lawful authority and of no legal effect. It is therefore humbly prayed that in view of the above written reply, the appeal of the appellants may kindly be dismissed with cost.

9)XMMMMXX SECRETARY

TO GOVT: OF KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA PUBLIC HEALTH ENGG: DEPARTMENT (Respondent No 1)

I).

j),

CHÍÉF ENGINEER (SOUTH) PUBLIC HEALTH ENGG: DEPARTMENT KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA (Respondent No 2)

## <u>BEFORE THE SERVICE TRIBUNAL, KHYBER</u> <u>PAKHTUNKHWA PESHAWAR.</u>

1). Service Apeal No

790/2014

2). Mr. Waqas Ali Ex-Sub Engineer PHE: Division Haripur

Appellant.

Respondent.

### Versus.

- 1). Secretary to Govt: of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Public Health Engg: Department Peshawar.
- 2). Chief Engineer (South) Public Health Engg: Department Peshawar.
- 3). The Executive Engineer PHE: Division Haripur.

## <u>AFFIDAVIT.</u>

I, Sikandar Khan, Chief Engineer (South) Public Health Engg: Department Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Peshawar do hereby solemnly affirm that the contents of the accompanying written statements are true and correct to the best of my knowledge and nothing has been concealed from this honourable this tribunal.

DEPONENT.

#### BEFORE THE HON.BLE SERVICE TRIBUNAL KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA PESHAWAR

Writ Petition No. 790/2014 Waqas Ali

VERSUS

Govt of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa

#### Subject: Parawise comments to the application of the appellant.

#### **RESPECTFULLY SHAWETH**

- 1. Correct
- 2. Correct
- 3. Correct
- 4. The appellant was illegally appointed without advertisement, interview/test and recommendation of Public Service Commission. On direction of Apex court Supreme Court of Pakistan on 15.1.2014 to finalize action against the illegally appointees. Accordingly the appellant was terminated after fulfillment of all codal formalities. There is no weightage in his appeal as the appellant was illegally appointed by violating all codal formalities. If this Hon,able Tribunal restrained the respondent from filling the post the public at huge will suffer inoperable loss.
- 5. The appellant terminated upon the direction of Supreme Court of Pakistan as he was appointed by unlawful authority without advertisement, test, interview and recommendation of Public Service Commission which is pre-requisite for filling of post from BPS-11 and above. The appellant also failed to qualify test interview conducted in 2011-12 by the Public Service commission for filling of post of Sub Engineers. The department was facing great hard ships due to shortage of sub Engineers, falling vacant in the department and submitted requisition of 39-Nos Sub Engineer to Public Service Commission for filling these posts in the interest of Govt. There is no bar on the appellant to appear in the test/interview for the post of Sub Engineers in Public Service Commission. Written comments in the main appeal may be read as integral part of the reply.

It is therefore requested that the application of the appellant may kindly be dismissed with cost.

Secre

to Govt of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Public Health Engg: Department Peshawar (Respondent No.1)

Chief Engineer (South) Public Health Engg: Department . Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Peshawar (Respondent No.2)



#### E THE PESHAWAR HIGH COURT, PESHA

We for a non-Ne  $\mathbb{R}^{+}$  ,  $\mathbb{R}^{+}$  ,

Reading Assault and C. Lot New St

er budt, som ef Braz Elson

et de la Male La guerra, in Public Health Engineering department. Maria : Falchundo va. Peshawar Division, Feshawar

	11.11.
- : - 1,	<b>.</b>

- A state of Chyber Pakhtunknass
  - stronger doubter clary, Civil Secretaries ) schampe
- to de la cale de Regierening, Searcar,
  - en en en en en en de Margel en Biglet (Carlothy) en en en de server de Schatterre en en en en en en en en en en
  - the statement of the bar the second of
  - (i) a set of solution of a particle in the other of the solution of the Participation

    - e da de la constructión y factor de propio ferencia de la constructión y la constructión y la constructión y l La constructión y factor de propio de la constructión y la constructión y la constructión y la constructión y l La constructión y la c
- ATTESTEC EXAMINE Peshawar 2 OFER 2014

### JUDGMENT SHEET

## IN THE PESHAWAK HIGH COURT, PESHAWAR. JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT

## W.P. 271-P of 2013 with interim relief (N).

#### <u>JUDGMENT</u>

Date of hearing: 2.10.2013.

1

is fall

Petitioner/Mushtaq Ahmad etc. by Mr.Shah Nawaz Khan, advocate.

Respondent/Section March Constanting Schap March

MUSARRAT HILALL, J., This judgment shall also decide W.P. 663-P of 2013 entitled <u>"Muhammad Nisar Khan Vo.</u> <u>Govt."</u> as common question of law and fact is involved in both these petitions.

According to the petitioners, they obtained Diploma 2 of Associate Engineer in the year, 1995 in different technologis and since then are working in various Government Organizations/Projects, However, on 2.1.2011 Sub-Engineers the Ξn appointed 8.8 were they respondent/department on ad-hoc basis after due process. They were still in service when the respondents re-appointed them unde office order dated 8.1 2012. Prior to the appointment of petriouers, the Provincial Government promulgated Act No.2001 of 2009 vide which services of all

 $\simeq S$ 

adhoc/constact employees of the Province were the regularized, however, the same benefit was not extended to some SIX services сţ petitioners. Moreover, the Sub-Engineers have been regularized after appointment of preferred ลก petitioners hε petitioners. the\_ application/appeal to the competent authority as well as to the Human Rights Cell of this court. in this respect, a letter dated 21.12/2013 was addressed to Human Rights Cell by the respondents, wherein, it was stated that Sub-Engineers, namely. Sher Hayat and Musetae Atmad, who were 38 and 39 years old, respectively and were debarred from future employment in any institution of the Provincial/Federal their control. beyond for the reasons Government Nevertheless, handsome share of posts of Sub-Engineers were lying in the department and as a gesture of good will, the petitioners can be accommodated on regular basis. According to the petitioners, they waited for some time but did not receive any fruitfat result from the respondents whereas their senure is going to expire, hence necessitated the filing of instant constitutional peritions.

3. Learned counsel for petitioners contends that the respondents have acted malaridely by regularizing the services of other employees similarly placed, who were appended after the petitioners but the same benefit has been

2014

3 1

other similarly placed employees. be directed to regularize the services of the petitioners like violation of Article 25 (2) of the Constitution, therefore, they ni si zhobnoqeen to berguquri oth tespondents in the petitioners can be accommodated. According to the contended that there are vacant posts available against which oslA terminit rient liege lliw bestinger ten it bus lottice. Also Provincial/Federat Government, which fact is beyond their overage tor appointment in any institution of the eases. Further contended that the petitioners have become violation of judgments of superior courts rendered in various regularized. He maintained that the act of respondents is in in long line of jobless people and would face hardship, if not of the view settimet that and the petitioners and the view will stand them is discriminatory, which is not tenable in law. He was of the petitioners, thus the treatment on beineb

A. Learned AAG controverted the arguments from other side and straight askey referred to the advertisement is based 23° kpril 2010 against ribble to petitioners were appointed as 3ub-Engineers, whereas it , was clearly mentioned the distraction of appointent would be on adhoc hears for one year of all the arrivel of the recommendees of the Public Service Commission of whichever is earlier. He distraction one year of all the arrivel of the recommendees of the Public Service Commission of whichever is earlier. He distraction with the option of an adapted. 3. Admittedly, the respondents in order to fill up the vacant posts of Sub-Engineers fronted advertisement in Vacant posts of Sub-Engineers fronted advertisement in Unitedity Mashriq Fechawar in its lease dated 23<sup>rd</sup> April. 2010. Undefended applications were asked from desiring conditates for appointment against the saked from adhocod from the saked from adhocod from the saked from any order in the saked from adhocod from the saked from the saked from adhocod from the saked from adhocod from the saked from the saked from adhocod from the saked from the saked

승필.

We have heard learned counsel for petitioners and learned AAG for respondents and gone through the record

spunoig avoas

which is followed by re-appointment of the petitioners for thirther one year and the recommendees of the Public Service have come and the recommendees of the Public Service have come and the recommendees of the services of the petitioners were rightly not regularized. He maintained that in a similarly placed persons have been regularized rather discrimination does not arise. He maintained that presently there is no vacant post available in the concerned department against which the petitioners can be adjusted/regularized. Listly, the concentee that in the concerned department maintainable keeping in view the facts and circumstances of maintainable keeping in view the facts and circumstances of maintainable keeping in view the facts and circumstances of maintainable keeping in view the facts and circumstances of maintainable keeping in view the facts and circumstances of maintainable keeping in view the facts and circumstances of maintainable keeping in view the facts and circumstances of maintainable keeping in view the facts and circumstances of maintainable keeping in view the facts and circumstances of maintainable to brace of the view the facts and circumstances of the case. Jence present of the same on the Seeping in view the above discussion. We are of the above failed to make an of ballered for the peritioners have failed to make of this court, hence this perition being without any legal of this court, hence this perition being without any legal substance is holeby riscinsed. Balling the perition of the providence of the court, hence this perition being without any legal substance is holeby riscinsed. Balling the perition of the providence of the providence of the perition of the providence of the providence of the perition of the providence of the providence of the perition of the perition of the period of the

CERTIFIE

121 - 20- proj

1400 3033

Sub-Engineers as a stop-gap arrangement. 6. The petitioners are taking the shelter behind before Vo XVI of 2009 and can be pressed into service in case of those adhoc employees, who were holding the posts on  $\mathcal{H}^{s}$  December, 2008 or till the commercement of the Act, which is not the case in hand, therefore, the plea taken is of no help to them. Moreover, the partned Act produced  $\mathcal{H}^{osc}$  is copy of the letter dated therefore, the plea taken is  $\mathcal{H}^{osc}$  is copy of the letter dated to 2013 showing that  $\mathcal{H}^{osc}$  is copy of the letter dated by the  $\mathcal{H}^{osc}$  is copy of the letter dated by the  $\mathcal{H}^{osc}$  is copy of the letter dated by the  $\mathcal{H}^{osc}$  is copy of the letter dated also been terminated after the  $\mathcal{H}^{osc}$  is copy of endiates from Fublic Service Commission.

whichever is earlier The politioners amongst others also participated in the test/merview. However, after duc process, they were appointed on 3<sup>m</sup> lanuary, 2010. On 32, 2012, the petitioners were re-appointed as

ç

RECEIPTION OF STATES

ANNEXURE

GAZETTE



#### **LNEWNHEAD**

## North-West Frontise Frovince

### VitoriuA vd berzildug

## TOOK ISADAY HLG AVAINA TYMVHSEL

COARRENTERT OF THE LORITH WEST HEOMIERE FROM INCE.

#### NOLLVOILLON

SUD AUEDSL, 2002.

#### DEDINVICE 2005 BEEFIC SEBAICE CONTRESSION (VMENDAHAL) LHE MONLIFMERT LEONLIFE LEONLIFE LEONLIFE

N-MEL ORDINANCE NO XXAII OL 2005

OFDERMINGE

further to anyond the North-West Frontier Province Fulling Services Commission Ordinance, 2002.


1978), for the partness hereafter appearing. Province Public Service Commission Ordinance, 1976 (N.-W.F.P. Ord. No XI of WHEREAS in the partness hereafter appearing.

si sourror is rearly les W-fitro N self to taxis of let LAFREHW CINA stailsemmi sulst of Tressoon if tsbast fours terres sourcisancomic fact belledes.

Salucian una careare cares areas at the Procisination of Easterney of th salucians

pott for the second state of the source of the Proclamatican of Euclideancy of the Provision of the Provisio

KC 👘



10-12-20

35 N.-W.P.P. GOVERNMENT GAZETTE, EXTRAORDINAY, 9th AUGUST, 2002.

1. <u>Short title and commencement</u>. (1) This Ordinance may be called the North-West Frontier Province, Public Service Commission (Amendment) Ordinance, 2002.

(2) It shall come into force at once.

2. <u>Amendment of section 3 of N.-W.F.P. Ord. No. XI of 1978</u>,—In the North-West Frontier Province Public Service Commission Ordinance, 1978, hereinafter referred to as the said Ordinance, in section 3,—

(a) in sub-section (3), the full stop appearing at the end shall be replaced by a colon and thereafter the following proviso shall be inserted, namely:

"Provided that a serving member of the Commission may be appointed as Chairman for a term not exceeding the un-expired portion of his term as such member.";

(b) in sub-section (4), the words and Squre "and has been refired in hasie pay scale 20 or above" shall be added after the word "years" appearing at the end.

3. <u>Amendment of section 4 of N.-W.F.P. Ord. Ng. XI of 1978</u>. In the said Ordinance, in section 4, for sub-section (1), the following shall be substituted, namely:

(1) A member of the Commission shall hold office for a term of five years from the date on which he enters upon office and shall not be eligible for re-appointment:

Provided that a person holding; office as Chairman or a member immediately before the commencement of the North-West Frontier Province Public Service Commission (Amendment) Ordinance,2002, shall cease to hold office on such date as the Governor may direct."

4. Insertion of new section 4/1 to N.-W.F.P. Ord. XI of 1978.—In the said Ordinance, after section 4, as so amended, the following new section 4A shall be inserted, namely:

"4A. <u>Oath of office.</u> Before entering upon office, the Chairman and a member shall take oath in the form set out in the Schedule to this Ordinance, before the Governor in the case of Chairman, and before the Chairman in case of a member.".

5. Insertion of new section 5 to N.-W.F.P. Ord. X 1 of 1978. In the said Ordinance, for section 5 omitted by N.-W.F.P. Act. No. XX of 1987, following shall be inserted, namely:

"5. <u>Incligibility for further employment</u>.—On ceasing to hold office, a member shall not be eligible for further employment in the Service of Pakistan.".

11

(and) and seny addree musiker which the Covernor way refer to the

TRANS 211 CVP PARTICIPAN

leriini zusam Dasariturii. 2000. 2000 - 10

anti de verserar q anti abiature ad llada atacq guarandled add et turaratureade (X)

- Construction

all Pallarian.

werenth streams was sold on the (i)

(di)) youris its he the filled on selliore hears for a period of six months or dess; provided that believe filling the post, prior approval shall be ablumed from the Communication;

iadh babirong racdho boniion eu yangolymo-on yel bold od at etwag ((iiii)) yriheeces tor bairaq bodiceces u rad shean ei tasanyalymo-on saft od diridw ni feaq och mealt radyni tan teaq a mi arear awr "fasandiancer ordech säzad ralayon no berolquae exw aneroq "fasandiancer ordech säzad ralayon no berolquae exw aneroq

T. Wreterizon of Service 16A of Continuence XI of 1975. In the said Ordinance such a fine following mew sectivin shell be interted, memory.

"IAA. Power of the Commission to make resultion.—Subject to the provisions of this Ordinanance and the rules made therearder, the Commission may mease of this for carrying out the purposes of this Ordinange....

## [Section 4V]

I direction in the final severe thread the solution of the North beer tree faith and the North-West allegiance to Taking a Chain and the North-West allegiance to Taking a Chain and the North-West and threather to Taking and the North-West and threather throwince throwing the threather to the best of any sublice any during and the later predicting and the later to the later best of any sublice of the later and the later to the later best of any sublice of the later to the later best of any sublice of the later predicting and the later best of the later and the later and the later and the later to the later best of the sublice of the later and later

N.-W.F.P. GOVERNMENT GAZETTE, EXTRAORDINAY, 9th AUGUST, 2002.

That I will not allow any personal interest to influence my official conduct or my official decisions and that in the performance of my functions, whether in the selection of persons for recruitment of appointment or in any other way, I will act without fear or favour, affection or ill-will.".

> Printed by the Controller, Pig. & Staty. Deptt., NWFP, Pesh.

Peshawar, Dated the 6th August, 2002.

Ľ


Lt. Gen. (Rtd.) IFTIKHAR HUSSAIN SHAH, Governor of the North-West Frontier Province.

38

. (

のないで

SALIM KHAN, Secretary to Government of North-West Frontier Province, Law Department.



J-222 MULY

### ESTA CODE [Establishment Code Khyber Pakhtunkhwa]

(viii) Shirani Area.

26

- Merged Areas of Hazara and Mardan Division and Upper Tanawal. (ix).
- Swat District. (x) (xi) Upper Dir District
- 580 (xii) Lower Dir District
- (xiii) Chitral District
- Buner District. (xiÿ)

(a)

- Kala Dhaka Area: (xv)
- Kohistan District. (xvi)
- (xvii) Shanqla District
- Gadoon Area in Swabi District (xviii)
- Backward areas of Mansehra; and District Batagram: (xix)
- (XX)<sup>.</sup> Backward, areas, of Haripur, District, i.e., Kalanjar, Filed, Kanungo, Circle, of Tehsil Haripur and Amazai Field Kanungo, Circle of Tehsil Ghazi

### RECRUITMENT INCLUDING AGE RELAXATION POLICY Subject:- RECRUITMENT POLICY FOR THE PROVINCIAL SERVICES AGE RELAXATION FOR SPECIAL POSTS

I am directed to refer to this Department circular letter of even number dated 1s February 1993 on the subject cited above, and to say that new recruitment policy has been reviewed by the Provincial Government. It has been decided to revise the existing policy as under:-

> Recruitment to posts in BPS-16 and above as well as the posts of Assistant Sub-Inspectors of Police Naib Tehsildars, Zilladars and Sub-Engineers will continue to be made through the NWFP Public Service Commission However, the Commission may make efforts to finalize the recruitment within six months of the receipt of the requisition duly completed from the Administrative Department

Recruitment to posts in the various Government Departments as indicated (b) below. will also henceforth be made by the NWFP Public Service Commission

## (i) All Departments including Board of Revenue, NWFP-

- (1) Senior Scale Stenographer(B-15)
- r (•2•) = Data Processing Supervisor(B-14) (3)
  - Junior Scale Stenographer(B-12)
- (4). Assistant (B-11)
- (5) Draftsman(B-11)

. : ' Board of Revenue-

(1)

.(2<u>)</u>

- (ii)

Sub-Registrar(B-14)

Excise and Taxation Inspector(B-11)

mnere - D



GOVERNMENT OF KHYBER PAKHTUNKTUR ESTABLISHMENT & ADMN: DEPARTMENT (REGULATION WING)

No.SOR-V(E&AD)/15-3/2009 Dated 17<sup>th</sup> March, 2014

Ťο

/ The Secretary to Govt. of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, PHE Department.

Subject: ADVICE REGARDING STATUS OF APPOINTMENT.

I am directed to refer to your letter No.SO(Estt)/PHED/1-90/2012-13/ 321 dated 04-3-2014 on the subject noted above and to state the post of Sub Engineer is Provincial Cadre post and fall under the purview of Public Service Commission. The Department is not empowered to fill the post without the recommendation of Public Service Commission. Therefore the person so appointed on the post of Sub Engineer BPS-11, his appointment is irregular, illegal. However the Department should initiate disciplinary action against the officer/officers who was/were involved in appointment of such illegal appointment of Sub Engineers and brought him/them to the justice.

Yours faithfully,

(SHABBIR AHMAD) SECTION OFFICER (REG-V)

ل. م. بر

Annex-E

### Seniority List of Sub Engineer (BPS-11) as stood on 31.12.2010

		·····			<b>u u u u u u u u u u</b>			· · · · ·
S.No	Name	Father Name	Home	Qulification	Date of Birth	Date of Commencement of	Date of Appointment to	Remarks
<b>U</b>			District	2		Serivce	Present Post	
- 1	Sareerullah	Inayat Ullah	Peshawar	DAE (M)	14.03.1952	02.04.1978	01.04.1978	•
2	Hamid ur Rehman	Abdul Ali	Charsadda	DAE (M)	08.03.1953	06.05.1978	06.05.1978	
3	Saleem Khan	Sohrab Khan	Lakki	DAE	11.12.1959	13.09.1980	08.09.1980	•
4	Fazle Mabood	Habib ur Rehman	Malakand	DAE (C)	10.04.1961	26.07.1981	06.05.1982	
5	Sajjad Ali	Rahim Dad	Swabi	DAE	01.03.1962	08.09.1981	06.05.1982	
6	Iqbal Hussain	Dilawar Khan	Peshawar	DAE (C)	05.09,1958	04.10.1981	06.05.1982	,
7	Mushtaq Ahmad	Khushal Khan	Mansehra	DAE (C)	20.10.1956	17.10.1981	06.05.1982	
8	Nasir ud Din Chick!	Dar Malook	Bannu	DAE (C)	01.10.1960	31.12.1981	06.05.1982	
9	Alam Zeb	Sar Biland Khan	FR Bannu	DAE (C)	24.08.1960	16.03.1982	06.05.1982	
10	Allah Nawaz	Shah Nawaz	Bannu	DAE (C)	05.12.1959	27.03.1982	06.05.1982	•
11	Khurshid Anwar	Haji Fateh ullah	D.I.Khan	DAE (C)	04.03.1960	04.04.1982	06.05.1982	
12	Muhammad Ilyas	Fazal Razaq	Dir Upper	DAE (C)	08.09.1958	15.04.1982	06.05.1982	
13	Karamat Ullah	Khan Azam	Bannu	DAE (C)	15.03.1961	18.05.1982	18.05.1982	
14	Laiq Zaman	Gul Shaib Khan	Bannu	DAE (C)	27.01.1959	03.07.1982	23.06.1982	
15	Abdul Ghaffar (Dick)	Haji Muhammad Akbar	Lakki	DAE (C)	10.06.1961	21.10.1982	09.10.1982	
16	Asif Farooq	Karim Nawaz	Karak	DAĖ (C)	02.01.1960	22.11.1982	20.11.1982	
17	Aziz ur Rehman	Muhammad Azim	· Karak	DAE (C)	02.06.1962	14.04.1983	26.03.1983	
18	Inamul Haq	Lal Ghaffar	Karak	DAE (C)	18.03.1963	06.04.1983	06.04.1983	
19	Khurshid Iqbal	H.Abdul Rashid	Haripur	DAE (C)	03.01.1959	01.12.1984	20.11.1984	
20	Umer Hayat	H.Gulam Nabi	Bannu	DAE (C)	25.03.1962	24.11.1984	20.11.1984	
21	Hassan Khan	Sar Biland Khan	Malakand	DAE (C)	08.02.1963	22.11.1984	20.11.1984	
22	Arif Saeed	Amal Rehman	Karak	DAE (C)	03.04.1963	01.12.1984	20.11.1984	
23	Lajbar Khan	Zabata Khan	Mardan	DAE (C)	18.04.1963	01.12.1984	20.11.1984	
24	Falak Naz	Shah Nam Raza	Swat	DAE (C)	03.01.1957	31.12.1984	23.12.1984	
25	Muhammad Yousaf	Muhammad Ibrahim	D.I.Khan	DAE (M)	20.05.1964	25.04.1985	08.04.1985	
26	Muhammad Pervez	Faqir Muhammad	D.I.Khan	DAE (C)	01.10.1960	06.07.1985	03.07.1985	
27	Sahib Zarin	Mohayoud Din	Dir Lower	DAE (C)	22.07.1958	18.12.1985	18.12.1985	·
28	Samiullah Jan	Danish Khan	Peshawar	DAE (C)	16.06.1959	18.12.1985	18.12.1985	
29	Muhammad Ali	Muhammad Zaman	Swat	DAE (C)	21.04.1964	26.12.1985	18.12.1985	
30	Muhammad Riaz	Muhammad Khan	Malakand	DAE (C)	01.01.1965	30.12.1985	18.12.1985	
31	Allaud Din	Muhammad Ajun Khan	Mansehra	DAE (C)	21.06.1961	10.07.1986	09.07.1986	
32	Anwar Khan	Ghulam Khan	Mansehra	DAE (C)	06.04.1963	19.07.1986	09.07.1986	AL R?
	· · · ·							A G

				ist of bub.		<b>DF3-11</b> ) as si	000 0ff 51.12.	2010	
	S.No	Name	Father Name	Home District	Qulification	Date of Birth	Date of Commencement of Serivce	Date of Appointment to Present Post	Remarks
	33	S.Abid Hussain Shah	Syed Pir Zaman Shah	Abbottabad	DAE	13.03.1964	17.07.1986	09.07.1986	
	34	Bashir Ahmad	Wazir Zada	Dir Lower	DAE (C)	08.12.1964	23.10.1986	23.10.1986	
	35	Shahid Saeed	Saeed ur Rehman	Mansehra	DAE (C)	26.08.1965	23.12.1985	18.12.1985	
	36	Aziz ur Rehman	Mehmood Khan	Bannu	DAE (C)	15.12.1960	28.10.1981	18.04.1987	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	37	Zoor Ali	Said Muhammad	FR Bannu	DAE (C)	05.03.1960	11.01.1982	18.04.1987	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	38	Mekail Khan	Muhammad Shebli	Bannu	DAE (M)	09.10.1961	27.12.1982	18.04.1987	+
		Najeeb ur Rehman	Abdur Rehman	Karak	DAE (C)	02.02.1963	09.02.1983	18.04.1987	
	40	Khubz ur Rehman	Mir Abas	FR Bannu	DAE (C)	10.03.1964	18.05.1987	18.05.1987	
	41	Abbas Khan	Ali Akbar Khan	Abbottabad	DAE (C)	01.02.1966	18.05.1987	18.05.1987	
	42	Rashid Ahmad	H.Muhammad Saddique	Lakki	DAE (C)	14.03.1959	09.06.1987	09.06.1987	
. [	43	Said Faisal	Syed Wahid Shah	Swabi	DAE (C)	03.01.1959	23.08.1987	23.08.1987	
X	44	Muhammad Sharif Shah		SWA	DAE (C)	01.05.1963	20.10.1987	20.10.1987	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
$\sim$	45	Abdur Rehman	Mehar Dil Khan	Tank	DAE (C)	06.09.1963	11.10.1987	08.10.1987	
Γ	46	Nisar Ali	Haji Gujar Khan	Swat	DAE (C)	01.04.1964	10.10.1987	10.10.1987	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	47	Aslat Khan	Muhammad Azim	Mardan	DAE (C)	06.02.1965	14.10.1987	14.10.1987	
	<b>`4</b> 8	Muhammad Yaseen	Faqir Shah	Swabi	DAE (C)	04.03.1964	14.10.1987	14.10.1987	
	49	Muhammad Ashraf	Amir Zada	Peshawar	DAE (C)	02.11.1967	18.10.1987	18.10.1987	
	50	Islam Gul	M.Sahib Gul	Karak	DAE (C)	10.09.1963	26.10.1987	21.10.1987	
	51	Muhammad Younis	Rehan ud Din	Sawbi	DAE (C)	02.01.1959	29.08.1989	29.08.1989	
	52	Khalid Wahab	Dost Muhammat	Karak	DAE (C)	15.07.1961	29.08.1989	29.08.1989	
	53	Karim Nawaz	Gul Daraz	D.I.Khan	DAE (C)	01.03.1962	09.06.1985	29.08.1989	
	54	Irshad Ahmad	Malik Elahi Bakhsh	D.I.Khan	DAE (C)	28.03.1962	03.03.1986	29.08.1989	
	55	Bahre Karam	Rahmat Shah	Malakand	DAE (C)	15.04.1958	15.04.1986	29.08.1989	
	56	Khalid Afzal	Mir Sahib Jan	NWA	DAE	20.02.1960	29.08.1989	29.08.1989	
	57	Hazrat Muhammad	Shamsi Bahadar	Dir Lower	DAE (C)	01.05.1965	05.10.1986	29.08.1989	
	58	Muhammad Yousaf Jan	Abdullah jan	D.I.Khan	DAE (C)	01.04.1961	18.10.1987	29.08.1989	
	59  I	Muhammad Hamayun	Dure Marjan	Karak	DAE (C)	10.09.1963	29.08.1989	29.08.1989	
		Muhammad Kamal	Hazrat Jamal	Mardan	DAE (C)	01.04.1962	13.01.1988	29.08.1989	
-	61	Sikandar Azam	Amir Daraz Khan	NWA	DAE (C)	15.11.1961	12.12.1989	06.12.1989	
		Muhammad Iqbal	Abdul Rahim	Bajaur	DAE (C)	01.08.1964	11.12.1989	06.12.1989	المحفية المركزة منتقد مند منتعم منتبر المنتقد والمركز المركز ال
	63 I	Muheet Khan	Rias Khan	Karak	DAE (C)	08.06.1965	10.12.1989	06.12.1989	
	64 8	Syed Zia ur Rehman	S.Hidayat ur Rehman	Mardan	DAE (C)	01.04.1965	09.12.1989	06.12.1989	VINX
		Riaz Ahmad	Ghulam Muhammad	Dir Lower	DAE (C)	01.03.1966	10.12.1989	06.12.1989	
	66-1	Aurangzeb	Jehanzeb	Mohmand	B-Tech(Hön=C)		13.12.1989	-06.12.1989	NO CAL STORMAN
					1_				

## Seniority List of Sub Engineer (BPS-11) as stood on 31.12.2010

13.12.89 K.

6

r		Seniority 1	List of Sub	Engineer (	BPS-11) as st	ood on 31.12.	2010	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
S.No		Father Name	Home District	Qulification	Date of Birth	Date of Commencement of Serivce	Date of Appointment to Present Post	Remarks
67	Hazrat Hussain	Muhammad Hanif	Swat	DAE (C)	15.03.1960	22.08.1987	06.12.1989	
68	Sardar Ijaz Anwar	Muhammad Yaqoob	Abbottabad	DAE (C)	01.04.1966	09.12.1989	06.12.1989	
69	Iftikhar Ahmad	Dr. M.Zakir Khan	Mansehra	DAE (C)	02.05.1965	09.12.1989	06.12.1989	
	Akhtar Hussain	Amir Amanullah Khan	Swat	DAE (C)	05.01.1968	14.12.1989	06.12.1989	
71	Muhammad Yaqoob	Amir Sahib Rehman	Bannu	DAE (C)	10:04-1963			R. Lick
72	Walayat Said		Dir Lower	DAE (C)	15.09.1967	05.04.1990	05.04.1990	Bitter)
73	Syed Haleem Shah	S.Imdad Hussain Shah	Mansehra	DAE (C)	11.04.1965	01.04.1990	26.03.1990	
74	Misal Khan		D.I.Khan	DAE (C)	15.07.1966	06.05.1990	06.05.1990	
75	Imdad Hussain Shah	Syed M.Afzal shah	Mansehra	DAE (C)	25.04.1971	14.11.1992	12.11.1992	
76	Muhammad Amjad		Валли	DAE (C)	18.04.1969	16.09.1993	16.09.1993	
77	Saqi Muhammad	Amir Muhammad	Swabi	DAE (C)	19.04.1969	25.09.1993		
78	Irshad Mahmood	Sultan Muhammad	Dir Upper	DAE (C)	20.12.1965	23.09.1993	16.09.1993	
79	Nasir Nawaz Khan	M.Nawaz Khan	Mansehra	DAE (C)	01.02.1973	06.03.1996	16.09.1993	
-80	S.Zahid-Hussain Kazmi			B=Fech-HonsQ		25:03-1996	26.02.1996	
81	Mehboob ur Rehman	Habib ur Rehman	Haripur	DAE	10.04.1971		26.02.1996	Bleech
`82	Jehanzeb	Shadi Gul	NWA	DAEOBE(E)	01.04.1971	11.04.1996	26.02.1996	
83	Amir Zada	Bahadar Khan	Mardan	DAE (C)	14.05.1965	27.02.1996	26.02.1996	
-84	Zahid Hussain Shah	Syed-Muzaffar Shah	Mansehra	-B-Tee-(C)		04.03.1996	26.02.1996	
85	Ishfaq Ahmad	Zarbat Shah	FR Kohat	DAE (C)				Belech
86	Muhammad Khan	Sher Ahmad Khan	Haripur	DAE (C)	01.05.1970	26.02.1996	26.02.1996	
87	Amanullah		Peshawar	DAE (C)	23.01.1954	27.11.1988		joined PHE in 2008
88	Malik Muhammad-Irfan		D.LKhan		02.03.1955	22.11.1988		joined PHE in 2008
	Abdul Hameed	Abdul Latif	Kohat					joined PHE in 2008-
90	Intizar Muhammad		Swabi	D.A.E	18.09.1959	24.11.1988		joined PHE in 2008
91	Dilawar Khan	Muhammad Rehman	SWA	DAE (C)	20.12.1960	22.11.1988		joined PHE in 2008
	Muhammad Ilyas	Khanza Gul	NWA	DAE (C)	23.02.1962	22.11.1988		joined PHE in 2008
	Aziz ur Rehman			DAE (C)	25.03.1962	28.11.1988		joined PHE in 2008
	Muhammad Rais	Hazrat Khan	Khyber	DAE (C)	08.10.1962	22.11.1988	19.05.2008	joined PHE in 2008
	Fariq Khan		SWA	DAE (C)	20.04.1963	26.11.1988		joined PHE in 2008
	Muhammad Nazif	Muhammad Hussain	Swat	DAE (C)	01.04.1964	22.11.1988		joined PHE in 2008
	Abdali Shah	Haji Sufaid Shah	Nowshera	DAE (C)	05.01.1964	30.11.1988	28.03.2008	joined PHE in 2008
	Arif Qayum Khan	Abdul Qayum	Malakand	DAE (C)	01.02.1966	26.11.1988	26.03.2008	oined PHE in 2008
	AminGul		Bannu	DAE (C)	12.10.1966	23.11.1988	29.03.2008	ioined PHE in 2008
	Asghar Hussain	Gul Akbar	Malakand	DAE (C)	15.10.1966	22.11.1988		oined PHE in 2008 oined PHE in 2008
- <u>-</u>			Khyber	DAE (C)	14.11.1968	22.11.1988	31.03.2008	oined PHE in 2008

S.No	Name	Father Name	Home District	Qulification	Date of Birth	Date of Commencement of Serivce	Date of Appointment to Present Post	Remarks
101	Mislah-ud-Din	Sharif Ullah	Dir Lower	DAE (C)	20.02.1965	24.11.1988	26.03.2008	joined PHE in 2008
	Atta Muhammad	Muhammad Younas	Mansehra	DAE (C)	10.04.1971	08.09.1997	01.07.2008	·
	Raheel Shahzad	Muhammad Farid	Mansehra	DAE (C)	16.09.1976	30.08.1997	01.07.2008	
	Sheikh Islam ud Din	Sheikh Nizam-ud-Din	D.I.Khan	DAE (C)	08.03.1961	29.08.1987	11.02.2009	
	Farid Ullah	Sherin Dad	Bannu	DAE (C)	10.01.1962	01.09.1987	11.02.2009	·
	Mumtaz Khan	Zardad Khan	Bannu	DAE (C)	01.03.1963	27.12.1987	11.02.2009	
	Imtiaz Muhammad	Hazrat Wali	Swabi	DAE (C)	09.01.1971	20.12.1994	11.02.2009	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	Shujaul Mulk	Shahi Mulk	Swat	DAE (C)	01.04.1970	03.10.1995	11.02.2009	
	Shahid Ayaz	Mir Shad Ali	Karak	DAE (M)	03.03.1963	07.02.1990	11.02.2009	
	Zahid Ullah	Abdullah Jan	Dir	DAE (C)	11.08.1972	28.06.1995	11.02.2009	
	Farman Ullah	Farid Gul	Bannu	DAE (C)	04.04.1971	26.09.1995	11.02.2009	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	Behzad Khan		Peshawar	DAE (C)	25.11.1958	16.07.1981	·	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	Abdul Salam	Mir Khalim Khan	D.I.Khan	DAE (C)	25.08.1968	02.07.1995	30.07.2009	

## Seniority List of Sub Engineer (BPS-11) as stood on 31.12.2010

Chief Engineer (South) Public Health Engg: Department Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Peshawar 30 /04/2011

Endstt: No. 22 12-10/142

Dated Peshawar the

Copy of the Seniority List is forwarded to the: -

1 - Chief Engineer (North) Public Health Engg: Department Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Peshawar.

2 - All Superintending Engineers in Public Health Engg: Department Khyber Pakhtunkhwa.

3 - All Executive Engineers in Public Health Engg: Department Khyber Pakhtunkhwa.

4 - Section Officer (Estt) Public Health Engg: Department Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Peshawar

Chief Engineer (South) Public Health Engg: Department Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Peshawar

S.No	Name	Father Name	Home District	Qulification	Date of Birth	Date of Commencement of Serivce	Date of Appointment to Present Post	Remarks
1	Saleem Khan	Sohrab Khan	Lakki	DAE	11.12.1959	13.09.1980	08.09.1980	
2	Fazle Mabood	Habib ur Rehman	Malakand	DAE (C)	10.04.1961	26.07.1981	06.05.1982	
3	Sajjad Ali	Rahim Dad	Swabi	DAE	01.03.1962	08.09.1981	06.05.1982	
4	Mushtaq Ahmad	Khushal Khan	Mansehra	DAE (C)	20.10.1956	17.10.1981	06.05.1982	
5	Alam Zeb	Sar Biland Khan	FR Bannu	DAE (C)	24.08.1960	16.03.1982	06.05.1982	
6	Allah Nawaz	Shah Nawaz	Bannu	DAE (C)	05.12.1959	27.03.1982	06.05.1982	
7	Khurshid Anwar	Haji Fateh ullah	D.I.Khan	DAE (C)	04.03.1960	04.04.1982	: 06.05.1982	
8	Muhammad Ilyas	Fazal Razaq	Dir Upper	DAE (C)	08.09.1958	15.04.1982	06.05.1982	
9	Karamat Ullah	Khan Azam	Bannu	DAE (C)	15.03.1961	18.05.1982	18.05.1982	
10	Laiq Zaman	Gul Shaib Khan	Bannu	DAE (C)	27.01.1959	03.07.1982	23.06.1982	
11	Asif Farooq	Karim Nawaz	Karak	DAE (C)	02.01.1960	22.11.1982	20.11.1982	
12	Inamul Haq	Lal Ghaffar	Karak	DAE (C)	18.03.1963	06.04.1983	06.04.1983	
. 13	Khurshid Iqbal	H.Abdul Rashid	Haripur	DAE (C)	03.01.1959	01.12.1984	20.11.1984	
14	Umer Hayat	H.Gulam Nabi	Bannu	DAE (C)	25.03.1962	24.11.1984	20.11.1984	
15	Hassan Khan	Sar Biland Khan	Malakand	DAE (C)	08.02.1963	22.11.1984	20.11.1984	
16	Arif Saeed	Amal Rehman	Karak	DAE (C)	03.04.1963	01.12.1984	20.11.1984	
17	Lajbar Khan	Zabata Khan	Mardan	DAE (C)	18.04.1963	01.12.1984	20.11.1984	
18	Falak Naz	Shah Nam Raza	Swat	DAE (C)	03.01.1957	31.12.1984	23.12.1984	
19	Muhammad Yousaf	Muhammad Ibrahim	D.I.Khan	DAE (M)	20.05.1964	25.04.1985	08.04.1985	
20	Muhammad Pervez	Faqir Muhammad	D.I.Khan	DAE (C) 🏶	01.10.1960	06.07.1985	03.07.1985	
21	Sahib Zarin	Mohayoud Din	Dir Lower	DAE (C)	22.07.1958	18.12.1985	18.12.1985	
22	Samiullah Jan	Danish Khan	Peshawar	DAE (C)	16.06.1959	18.12.1985	18.12.1985	

and the second second

Final Seniority List of Sub Engineer (BPS-11) as stood on 31.08.2013

f Ciers

20

S.No	Name	Father Name	Home District		Date of Birth	Date of Commencement of Serivce	Date of Appointment to Present Post	Remarks
23	Muhammad Ali	Muhammad Zaman	Swat	DAE (C)	21.04.1964	26.12.1985	18.12.1985	
24	Muhammad Riaz	Muhammad Khan	Malakand	DAE (C)	01.01.1965	30.12.1985	18.12.1985	
25	Shahid Saeed	Saeed ur Rehman	Mansehra	DAE (C)	26.08.1965	23.12.1985	18.12.1985	
26	Allaud Din	Muhammad Ajun Khan	Mansehra	DAE (C)	21.06.1961	10.07.1986	09.07.1986	
27	Anwar Khan	Ghulam Khan	Mansehra	DAE (C)	06.04.1963	19.07.1986	09.07.1986	
28	S.Abid Hussain Shah	Syed Pir Zaman Shah	Abbottabad	DAE	13.03.1964	17.07.1986	09.07.1986	
29	Bashir Ahmad	Wazir Zada	Dir Lower	DAE (C)	08.12.1964	23.10.1986	23.10.1986	
30	Aziz ur Rehman	Mehmood Khan	Bannu	DAE (C)	15.12.1960	28.10.1981	18.04.1987	
31	Zoor Ali	Said Muhammad	FR Bannu	DAE (C)	05.03.1960	11.01.1982	18.04.1987	
32	Mekail Khan	Muhammad Shebli	Bannu	DAE (C)	09.10.1961	27.12.1982	18.04.1987	
33	Najeeb ur Rehman	Abdur Rehman	Karak	DAE (C)	02.02.1963	09.02.1983	18.04.1987	
34	Khubz ur Rehman	Mir Abas	FR Bannu	DAE (C)	10.03.1964	18.05.1987	18.05.1987	
35	Abbas Khan	Ali Akbar Khan	Abbottabad	DAE (C)	01.02.1966	18.05.1987	18.05.1987	
36	Rashid Ahmad	H.Muhammad Saddique	Lakki	DAE (C)	14.03.1959	09.06.1987	09.06.1987	
37	Said Faisal	Syed Wahid Shah	Swabi	DAE (C)	03.01.1959	29.05.1979	29.05.1979	
38	Muhammad Shafiq Shah	Abdul Hamid Shah	SWA	DAE (C)	01.05.1963	20.10.1987	20.10.1987	
39	Abdur Rehman	Mehar Dil Khan	Tank	DAE (C)	06.09.1963	11.10.1987	08.10.1987	
40	Nisar Ali	Haji Gujar Khan	Swat	DAE (C)	01.04.1964	10.10.1987	10.10.1987	
41	Aslat Khan	Muhammad Azim	Mardan	DAE (C)	06.02.1965	14.10.1987	14.10.1987	
42	Muhammad Yaseen	Faqir Shah	Swabi	DAE (C)	04.03.1964	14.10.1987	14.10.1987	
43	Muhammad Ashraf	Amir Zada	Peshawar	DAE (C)	02.11.1967	18.10.1987	18.10.1987	
44	Islam Gul	M.Sahib Gul	Karak	DAE (C)	10.09.1963	26.10.1987	21.10.1987	
45	Muhammad Younis	Rehan ud Din	Sawbi	DAE (C)	02.01.1959	29.08.1989	29.08.1989	
46	Khalid Wahab	Dost Muhammat	Karak	DAE (C)	15.07.1961	29.08.1989	29.08.1989	
47	Karim Nawaz	Gul Daraz	D.I.Khan	DAE (C)	01.03.1962	09.06.1985	29.08.1989	
48	Irshad Ahmad	Malik Elahi Bakhsh	D.I.Khan	DAE (C)	28.03.1962	03.03.1986	29.08.1989	
49	Bahre Karam	Rahmat Shah	Malakand	DAE (C)	15.04.1958	15.04.1986	29.08.1989	

Concerning the

Final Seniority List of Sub Engineer (BPS-11) as stood on 31.08.2013

S.No	Name	Father Name	Home District	Qulification	Date of Birth	Date of Commencement of Serivce	Date of Appointment to Present Post	Remarks
50	Khalid Afzal	Mir Sahib Jan	NWA	DAE	20.02.1960	29.08.1989	29.08.1989	
51	Muhammad Yousaf Jan	Abdullah jan	D.I.Khan	DAE (C)	01.04.1961	18.10.1987	29.08.1989	
52	Muhammad Hamayun	Dure Marjan	Karak	DAE (C)	10.09.1963	29.08.1989	29.08.1989	
53	Muhammad Kamal	Hazrat Jamal	Mardan	DAE (C)	01.04.1962	13.01.1988	29.08.1989	
54	Sikandar Azam	Amir Daraz Khan	NWA	DAE (C)	15.11.1961	12.12.1989	06.12.1989	
55	Muhammad Iqbal	Abdul Rahim	Bajaur	DAE (C)	01.08.1964	11.12.1989	06.12.1989	
56	Muheet Khan	Rias Khan	Karak	DAE (C)	08.06.1965	10.12.1989	06.12.1989	
57	Syed Zia ur Rehman	S.Hidayat ur Rehman	Mardan	DAE (C)	01.04.1965	09.12.1989	06.12.1989	
58	Hazrat Hussain	Muhammad Hanif	Swat	DAE (C)	15.03.1960	22.08.1987	• 06.12.1989	
59	Sardar Ijaz Anwar	Muhammad Yaqoob	Abbottabad	DAE (C)	01.04.1966	09.12.1989	06.12.1989	
60	Iftikhar Ahmad	Dr. M.Zakir Khan	Mansehra	DAE (C)	02.05.1965	09.12.1989	06.12.1989	
61	Akhtar Hussain	Amir Amanullah Khan	Swat	DAE (C)	05.01.1968	14.12.1989	06.12.1989	
62	Muhammad Tahir	Aibat Khan	Kohat	DAE (C) Bs.C	16.03.1965	31.03.1990	26.03.1990	
63	Walayat Said		Dir Lower	DAE (C)	15.09.1967	05.04.1990	05.04.1990	
64	Syed Haleem Shah	S.Imdad Hussain Shah	Mansehra	DAE (C)	11.04.1965	01.04.1990	26.03.1990	
65	Misal Khan		D.I.Khan	DAE (C)	15.07.1966	06.05.1990	06.05.1990	
66	Imdad Hussain Shah	Syed M.Afzal shah	Mansehra	DAE (C)	25.04.1971	14.11.1992	12.11.1992	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
67	Muhammad Amjad	•	Bannu	DAE (C)	18.04.1969	16.09.1993	16.09.1993	
68	Saqi Muhammad	Amir Muhammad	Swabi	DAE (C)	19.04.1969	25.09.1993	16.09.1993	
69	Nasir Nawaz Khan	M.Nawaz Khan	Mansehra	DAE (C)	01.02.1973	06.03.1996	26.02.1996	
70	Mehboob ur Rehman	Habib ur Rehman	Haripur	DAE	10.04.1971	11.04.1996	26.02.1996	

Final Seniority List of Sub Engineer (BPS-11) as stood on 31.08.2013

C.E.(S) Gr ports,

Final Seniority List of Sub Engineer (BPS-11) as stood on 31.08.2013

S.No	Name	Father Name	Home District	Qulification	Date of Birth	Date of Commencement of Serivce	Date of Appointment to Present Post	Remarks
71	Jehanzeb	Shadi Gul	NWA	DAE©BE(E)	01.04.1971	27.02.1996	26.02.1996	
72	Amir Zada	Bahadar Khan	Mardan	DAE (C)	14.05.1965	04.03.1996	26.02.1996	
73	Ishfaq Ahmad	Zarbat Shah	FR Kohat	DAE (C)	01.05.1970	26.02.1996	26.02.1996	
	Muhammad Khan	Sher Ahmad Khan	Haripur	DAE (C)	23.01.1954	27.11.1988	19.05.2008	absorbed in PHED on 13.3.2008
75	Amanullah		Peshawar	DAE (C)	02.03.1955	22.11.1988	19.05.2008	absorbed in PHED on 13.3.2008
76	Abdul Hameed	Abdul Latif	Kohat	D.A.E	18.09.1959	24.11.1988	19.05.2008	absorbed in PHED on 13.3.2008
77	Intizar Muhammad		Swabi	DAE (C)	20.12.1960	22.11.1988	19.05.2008	absorbed in PHED on 13.3.2008
78	Dilawar Khan	Muhammad Rehman	SWA	DAE (C)	23.02.1962	22.11.1988	29.03.2008	absorbed in PHED on 13.3.2008
79	Muhammad Ilyas	Khanza Gul	NWA	DAE (C)	25.03.1962	28.11.1988	22.05.2008	absorbed in PHED on 13.3.2008
80	Aziz ur Rehman	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Khyber	DAE (C)	08.10.1962	22.11.1988	19.05.2008	absorbed in PHED on 13.3.2008
81	Muhammad Rais	Hazrat Khan	SWA	DAE (C)	20.04.1963	26.11.1988	31.05.2008	absorbed in PHED on 13.3.2008
82	Tariq Khan		Swat	DAE (C)	01.04.1964	22.11.1988	19.05.2008	absorbed in PHED on 13.3.2008
83	Muhammad Nazif	Muhammad Hussain	Nowshera	DAE (C)	05.01.1964	30.11.1988	28.03.2008	absorbed in PHED on 13.3.2008
84	Abdali Shah	Haji Sufaid Shah	Malakand	DAE (C)	01.02.1966	26.11.1988	26.03.2008	absorbed in PHED on 13.3.2008
85	Arif Qayum Khan	Abdul Qayum	Bannu	DAE (C)	12.10.1966	23.11.1988	29.03.2008	absorbed in PHED on 13.3.2008
86	AminGul		Malakand	DAE (C)	15.10.1966	22.11.1988	19.05.2008	absorbed in PHED on 13.3.2008
87	Asghar Hussain	Gul Akbar	Khyber	DAE (C)	14.11.1968	22.11.1988	31.03.2008	absorbed in PHED on 13.3.2008
88	Mislah-ud-Din	Sharif Ullah	Dir Lower	DAE (C)	20.02.1965	24.11.1988 :	26.03.2008	absorbed in PHED on 13.3.2008
89	Atta Muhammad	Muhammad Younas	Mansehra	DAE (C)	10.04.1971	08.09.1997	01.07.2008	
90	Raheel Shahzad	Muhammad Farid	Mansehra	DAE (C)	16.09.1976	30.08.1997	01.07.2008	
91	Sheikh Islam ud Din	Sheikh Nizam-ud-Din	D.I.Khan	DAE (C)	08.03.1961	29.08.1987	11.02.2009	
92	Farid Ullah	Sherin Dad	Bannu	DAE (C)	10.01.1962	01.09.1987	11.02.2009	

cie.<sup>(1)</sup>,

2008-25 R.A.

S.No	Name	Father Name	Home District	Qulification	Date of Birth	Date of Commencement of Serivce	Date of Appointment to Present Post	Remarks
93	Mumtaz Khan	Zardad Khan	Bannu	DAE (C)	01.03.1963	27.12.1987	11.02.2009	
94	Imtiaz Muhammad	Hazrat Wali	Swabi	DAE 🗱	09.01.1971	20.12.1994	11.02.2009	
95	Shujaul Mulk	Shahi Mulk	Swat	DAE (C)	01.04.1970	03.10.1995	11.02.2009	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
96	Shahid Ayaz	Mir Shad Ali	Karak	DAE (M)	03.03.1963	07.02.1990	11.02.2009	
97	Zahid Ullah		Dir	DAE (C)	11.08.1972	28.06.1995	11.02.2009	
98	Farman Ullah	Farid Gul	Bannu	DAE (C)	04.04.1971	26.09.1995	11.02.2009	
99	Abdul Salam	Mir Khalim Khan	D.I.Khan	DAE (C)	25.08.1968	02.07.1995	: 30.07.2009	

Final Seniority List of Sub Engineer (BPS-11) as stood on 31.08.2013

Chief Engineer (South) Public Health Engg: Department Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Peshawar

28 1/0/2013

Endstt: No. 05/E -16/PHE Dated Peshawar the

Copy of the Seniority List is forwarded to the: -

1 - Chief Engineer (North) Public Health Engg: Department Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Peshawar.

2 - All Superintending Engineers in Public Health Engg: Department Khyber Pakhtunkhwa.

3 - All Executive Engineers in Public Health Engg: Department Khyber Pakhtunkhwa.

4 - Section Officer (Estt) Public Health Engg: Department Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Peshawar

iddr (South)

Public Health Engg: Department Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Peshawar

### OFFICE OF THE CHIEF ENGINEER (SOUTH) PUBLIC HEALTH ENGG: DEPARTMENT KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA, PESHAWAR

No. 21 /E-13/South, PHE.

Dated Peshawar, the 04 /04/2014

The Secretary to Govt of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Public Health Engineering Department, Peshawar

Subject:

Reference:

To,

### ENQUIRY INTO ILLEGAL APPOINTMENT

Your letter No.SO(E)/PHE/8-27/2013/242-2, dated 24-2-2014 This office letter letter No. 04/E-13(S)/PHE dated 27.2.2014

In this regard it is once again submitted that illegal appointments in PHE Department have been made in various times by various officers as under please:

> A. The Departmental Selection Committee comprising of the following have recommended approved illegal selection of the appointments of Sub Engineers/Steno typists/Stenographer and Data Entry Operators which were in purview of Public Service Commission by violating all rules.

- 5. Mr. Allaudin Khan (Retired) Chief Engineer Chairman 6. Mr. Syed Bakar Shah S.O (E=II) W&SD Member. 7. Mr. Abdul Bashir ADO (SE HQ) Member. Secretary.
- 8. Mr. Afsar Ali Qureshi Admn: officer

B. Five No. Sub Engineers were illegally appointed by Mr. Ghulam Mujtaba the then Chief Engineer PHED directly without involvement of A.O or any staff Member. Similarly one Junior Clerk Mr. Farhanullah has also been promoted to the post of Sub Engineer by Mr. Ghulam Mujtaba the then Chief Engineer singed fake promotion letter with fake dispatch number without involvement of any staff member.

Keeping in view the above it is requested that departmental proceedings against the above officer may kindly be initiated in the interest of Govt please.

lief Engineer (South)



OFFICE OF THE CHIEF ENGINEER (SOUTH) PUBLIC HEALTH ENGG: DEPARTMENT KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA, PESHAWAR

# NOTE FOR MINISTER PHE

Subject:

Rs.600000/-

# NOTE FOR PERUSAL OF MINISTER PHED REGARDING ACTION POSITION OF PENDING ENQUIRY

The honourable Minister for PHED has desired to high light and submit position of irregularities/violation of rules committed by officer/official and action taken/required in these cases. The details of such cases are narrated as under:

	Sl: No	Description of Irregularities	Action Required against	<u>Remarks</u>
	1-	Appointments of 32-Nos Illegal Appointment on the Post of Public Service Commission Preview	Mr. Alla-ud-Din CE (Rd) Mr. Abdul Bashir SE (HQ) Mr. Baqir Shah SO (Rd) Mr. Afsar Ali Qureshi B&AO	Annex-I
	:	<b>*</b>		
	2-	Un-lawful appointment of 5-Nos Sub Engineers	Mr. Ghulam Mujtaba SE Kohat	Annex-II
• .				
	. 3-	Un-lawful promotion of Farhanullah Junior Clerk to the post of Sub Engineer	Mr. Ghulam Mujtaba SE Kohat	Annex-III
	4-	Uhlawful promotion of Abdur Rahim Work Superintendent to the Post of Sub Engineer	Mr. Ghulam Mujtaba SE Kohat	Annex-IV
	5-	Uhlawful promotion of Zahid Ullah Junior Clerk and Zaid Ullah Draftsman to the post of Sub Engineer.	Mr. Alla-ud-Din Mr. Afsar Ali Qureshi B&AO	Annex-V
	6-	U <b>4</b> lawful abolishing the post of Work Superintendant and conversion/adjustment Malik	Mr. Afsar Ali Qureshi B&AO	Annex-VI
;		Ayaz as Accounts Clerk on wrong cadre post.		
	7-	Un-lawful appointment of Mist Beena Rani as J/Clerk and giving salary without performing duty resulting loss to Govt of about	Mr. Alla-ud-Din Mr. Afsar Ali Qureshi B&AO	Annex-VII

### MINUTES OF THE MEETING OF D.S.C (PHED) HELD ON 3/08/2008 MINUTES OF THE MEETING OF D.S.C (PHED) HELD ON 3/08/2008 MELD ON A.M. REGARDING SELECTION OF SUB ENGINEER / STENOTYPISTS & DEO UNDER THE CHARIRMANSHIP OF CHIEF ENGINEER PHE DEPARTMENT NWFP.

A meeting of the DSC was convened on 13.08.2008 at 10.00 A.M in this office for selection of the candidates for the posts of Sub Engineer / Stenotypists & DEO. The following attended the meeting:-

1) Mr. Allauddin Khan Gandapur Chief Engineer PHE

 Syed Baqir Shah Section Officer (E-II) W&S Department.

50)

3)

4)

Mr. Bashir Ahmad ADO PHE Peshawar Member

Chairman

Member

ANNEW I

Δ-

Mr. Afsar Ali Qureshi Administrative Officer PHE Secretary

The Chairman of the Committee after welcoming the participants highlighted •the objective of the meeting and stated that the Department is having a number of vacant posts of Sub Engineer / Steno typist & DEO etc; where, as per procedure these posts are to be filled in through PSC. But due to implementation of Devolution Plan, the Commission is not clear as to whether appointments against such posts come under the domain of District or Provincial Governments. The posts therefore, are running unfilled since long for want of clarification.

The Honourable Chief Minister NWFP, taking notice of the situation has provided a list of applicants through his Political Secretary (Annex-I) for their appointments against these posts by the Departmental Authority which is placed before the Committee for consideration & selection of the applicants containing in the said list.

The Chairman further reiterated that the matter was also discussed by him with worthy Secretary Works & Services Department who giving the reference of a Notification issued by his office bearing No.E&A/W&S/11-23/2001, dated 30.04.2008 (Annex-IJ) where-under all the appointments, transfer & postings of employees from BPS-01 to BPS-16 have been assigned to the Chief Engineer PHE, therefore the orders of Honourable Chief Minister NWFP, may be complied with by the Chief Engineer at his level.

The Committee examined the applications / documents made available by the Chief Minister's House in detail & found the same other wise eligible, hence unanimously recommended the applicants for their appointments against the relevant existing and future vacacies as under:-

### <u>S.No.</u>

### Name of Applicant

Name of Posts

Mr. Tariq Nawaz Khan S/O Amir Nawaz Khan District Bannu.

2. Mr. Muhammad Sajjad S/O Banut Khan District D.I.Khan.

3. Mr. S.M. Ihsan Shah S/O S.M.Hassan Shah District D.I.Khan

Sub Engineer -do--do-

### Name of Applicant.

# Name of Posts

(SYED BAQIR SHAH) Section Officer (E-II) W&S Deptt: (Member)

(AFSAR ALI (URESHI) Administrative Officer PHE

2

(Secretary)

	8			
	: 	Mr. S.M Ali Sajjad S/O S.Abid Hussain Shah District D.I.Khan.	-do-	
12.02.2		Mr. Abdul Samad S/O Abdul Mueed District Malakand.	-do-	· · · ·
1227		Mr. Shaukat Ali S/O Ghulam Qadir District Karak.	-do-	•
1996		Mr. Muhammad Ali Noor S/O Noor Muhammad District D.I.Kha	1 -do-	
211.122		Mr. Irshad Elahi S/O Shah Nawaz District D.I.Khan	-do-	
		Mr. Hussain Zaman S/O Syed Zaman District Malakand.	-do-	
122		Mr. Saleem Nawaz S/O Karim Nawaz District D.I.Khan.	-do-	
	1 • -	Mr. S.Ashfaq Ahmad S/O S.Jamil ud Din District Malakand.	-do-	· ·
STATE:	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Mr. Murtaz Ali S/O Abdul Haq District Malakand.	-do-	•
	-	Mr. Sahar Gul S/O Abdul Jalil District Lakki Marwat.	-do-	
Sec.	· · ·	Mr. Samiullah S/O Khuda Baksh District D.I.Khan.	-do-	•
10210-000	·	Mr. Abdul Shahid Sadiqui S/O Abdul Azim District Dir Upper.	-do	
CARTERIA, AM	:	Mr. Asfaq Ahmad S/O Muhammad Shuiab District Malakand.	-do-	
a destantes de la		Mr. Kashif Raza S/o S.Abid Hussain District D.I.Kl.han.	Sub Engineer	· A-
fr		Mr. Waqas Ali S/O Farznad Ali District Nowshera.	-do-	$(\mathbf{r})^{*}$
-	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Mr. Muslim Shah S/O Mehmood Shah District Mardan.	-do-	
ł	-	Mr. Ishtiaq Ahmad S/O Tahmeed Ullah District Chursadda.	-do-	
•		Mr. Zohaib Khan S/O Jehanzeb Khan District Mardan.	-do-	
	. 32.	Mr. S. Hassan Ali S/O S.Ajmal Shah District Charsadda.	-do-	
	<u> </u>	Mr. Mohsin Ali S/O Muhammad Pervez District D.I.Khan.	-do-	
	• 24.	Mr. Muqtada S/O Afsar Ali District Peshawar.	-do-	
	25.	Mr. Iftikhar S/O Chainar Gul District Mardan.	Stenotypiest	•
	`	Mr. Noor Muhammad /O Jamroz Khan District Peshawar.	-do-	
	27.	Mr. Aziz Ullah SD/O Abid Ullah District Bannu.	-do-	
	23.	Mr. Farhan Ullah S/o Aziz Ullah District Bannu.	-do-	
	20	Mr. Murtaza S/O Afsar Ali District Peshawar.	D.E.O	·· ·
		int manaza or o moar mi protriot i conavvat.	· · · · · · ·	

(ALLAUDDIN KHAN GANDAPUR) Chief Engineer PHE (Chairman)

(ABBUL BASHIR) ADO PHE (Momber / Representative)

NEER / STENOTYPISTS DEO BUS FO STROF THADAY EHT TRNIADA STNEMTNIG

-npeigng

these posts, is the prerogative of the respective District or Provincial Governments. Develution Plan, the Commission is not clear as to whether appointments against to notistnemelomi of eucline other other other other hand due to implementation of PHE, on more than one occasions, it was told that the posts of BPS-10 and above vinite discussing the matter of appointments against these posts with Chiet Engineer -moer of posts of Sub Engineer / Stenotypists & DEO are lying vacant in PHE. It has come in to the notice of Honourble Chief Minister, WWFP, that a

-: Aleteredes formalities if any will be accorded by the compatent authority at due course of time PHE Department. Necessary sanction to the condonation of the requisite codal gap of the staff & to ensure smooth working of the newly separated / established appointments against the vacant posts by the Departmental Authonity to bridge the pleased to direct to consider the application of the following personnels for their In view of above, the Honourble Chief Minister NWFP, has been

Mr. Murtaz S/O Afast Ali District Peshawar	581
Mr. Farhan Ullah S/o Aziz Ullah District Bannu. Mr. Murtaz S/o Aziz Ullah District Bannu.	
Mr. Aziz Ullah Slo Aziz Lund District Bannudo- Mr. Farhan Ullah Slo Aziz Lund District Bannu.	.82
Mr. Aziz I Illah Shan District Pesnawar	127
Mr. Noor Muhammad /O Jamroz Khan District Peshawar. Stenotypiest Mr. Aziz Ullah SD/O Abid Ullah District Beshawardo-	.26.
INIC ITTIKAT S/O Charper Original Sanawar	192 52
Mr. Muqtada S/O Afsar Ali District Peshawar. Mr. Iftikhar S/O Chainar Gui District Peshawar.	
Mr. Mohain Ali S/O Muhaimad Pervez District Charaddado- -dododododododo-	54
Mr. S. Hassan Ali S/O S.Ajmal Shah District Charadan. -do- -do- -do- -do- -do- -do- -do-	53
Mr. Zehaib Khan S/O S Aimal Shoh District Mardando- Mr. S. Hassan Ali S/O S Aimal Shoh District Mardando-	122
Mr. Ishtiaq Ahmad S/O Tahmeed Ullah District Charasda. Mr. Zohaib Khan S/O Jehanzeh Khan District Charasdda. -do-	511
Mr. Ishtiaq Ahmad S/O Tahmeed Juleh Dritici Mardan.	10Z
Mr. Nuslim Shah S/O Mehmood Shah District Nowshera. Mr. Ishtiaq Ahmad S/O Mehmood Shah District Mardan.	- 161 - L
Mr. Wagas Ali S/O Mahmood Ali District Nowshera. Mr. Musilim Shah S/O Mahmood Ali District Nowshera.	-
Mr. Kashif Raza S/o S.Abid Hussain District D.I.KLhando- Mr. Waqas Ali S/O Farznad Ali District D.I.KLhando-	181
hundred and Shund Shund Shundred Malakand	
Mr. Abdul Shahid Sadiqui S/O Abdul Azim District D.I.Khan. Mr. Asfaq Ahmad S/O Abdul Azim District Dir Upper. -do- Mr. Kashif Raza S/o S.Abid Hussain District Malakand. -do-	
Mr. Abdul Shahid Sadari Brance D.I.Khan.	<u></u>
-do-	ц. 
Mr. Sahar Gul S/O Khuda Rafet Malakand. Mr. Sahar Gul S/O Abdul Jalil District Lakki Marwat. -do-	191
Mr. Murtaz Ali S/O Abdul Hag District Malakanddo- Mr. Sahar Gul S/O Abdul Hag District Malakanddo-	
Mr. S. Ashfaq Ahmad S/O S. Jamil ud Din District D.I. Khando- Mr. Murtaz Ali S/O Abdul Hag District Malakanddo-	21
Mr. S. Ashfad Ahnad S/O Kamin Nawaz District D.I.Khan.	· · ·
Mr. Hussain Zaman S/O Syed Zaman District D.I.Khan -do- Mr. Saleem Nawaz S/O Karim Nawaz District D.I.Khando- -do-	101
-ob- nsny na pomer bays O/S nsmsz nisszuti 1M	6
Mr. Irshad Elahi S/O Shah Nawaz District D.I.Khan -do- Mr. Hussain S/O Shah Nawaz District D.I.Khan -do-	
Mr. Muhammad Ali Noor S/O Noor Muhammad District D.I.Khan -do- Mr. Irshad Elahi S/O Shah Nawaz District D.I.Khan -do-	.8
M. Multamped Milam Qadir District Karak	12
Mr. Shaukat Ali Syo Ghulam Qadir District Karakdo- Mr. Muhammad Ali Syo Ghulam Qadir District Karakdo-	·9 .
Mr. Shaukat Ali S/O Shullam Dodie District Malakanddo- Mr. Shaukat Ali S/O Shullam Oodie District Malakand.	` <u>9</u>
Mr. S.M. Ali Sajjad S/O S. Abid Hussain Shah District D.I.Khan -do- Mr. Abdul Samad S/O Abidi Mussain Shah District D.I.Khan -do-	. 12
-OD- URINING DOMAGE UP OF WE SO/S YEYS UPSUL MISS JAM	`S
Mr. Muhammad Sajjad S/O Banut Khan District D.I.Khan. Sub Engineer Mr. S.M. Ihsan Shah S/O Banut Khan District D.I.Khando-	
100 LIO OUTET	5
	1
	rs

「「「「「「「「「「「」」」」」」

SHWR TersiniM Tah() D) YABJETOBE ISONIIOP

D'E'O

# MINUTES OF THE MEETING OF D.S.C (PHED) HELD ON 02/12/2009 AT 10.00 A.M. FOR SELECTION OF SUB ENGINEER & STENOTYPISTS UNDER THE CHARIRMANSHIP OF CHIEF ENGINEER PHE DEPARTMENT NWFP.

A meeting of the DSC was held on 2.12.2009 at 10.00 A.M in the office of the Chief Engineer PHE for selection of the applicants against posts of Sub Engineer & Stenotypists. The following participated in the meeting:-

- Mr. Allauddin Khan Gandapur Chief Engineer PHE
- Syed Baqir Shah
   Section Officer (E-II)
   W&S Department.

< (50)

3) Mr. Bashir Ahmad ADO PHE Peshawar Chairman

Member

Member

4) Mr. Afsar Ali Qureshi Administrative Officer PHE Secretary

The Chairman highlighting the background of the case stated that appointments of following applicants have since been made, out of the list provided by the Chief Minister's House (Annex-I) duly recommended by the D.S.C in its meeting held on 13.08.2008 (Annex-II):-

	Name	Name of Post
S#	Mr. Tariq Nawaz Khan S/O Amir Nawaz Khan District Bannu	Sub Engineer
1		-do-
2	Mr. Muhammad Sajjad S/O Banut Khan District D.I.Khan	-do-
3	Mr. S.M.Ihsan Shah S/O S.M. Hassan Shah District D.I.Khan	-do-
4	Mr. S.M. Ali Sajjad S/O S. Abid Hussain Shah District D.I.Khan	
5	Mr. Abdul Samad S/O Abdul Mueed District Malakand	-do-
6	Mr. Shaukat Ali S/O Ghulam Qadir District Karak	-do-
	Mr. Muhammad Ali Noor S/O Noor Muhammad District D.I.Khan	-do-
	Mr. Irshad Elahi S/O Shah Nawaz District D.I.Khan	-do-
8		-do-
9	Mr. Hussain Zaman S/O Syed Zaman District Malakand	D.E.O
10	Mr. Murtaza S/O Afsar Ali District Peshawar	

The Chairman further elaborated that due to creation of new PHE Offices at Lakki Marwat, Tank, Bannu, Hangu & Nowshera, the new posts are required to be filled-in by this office, as the PSC is still un-cleared about the issue of appointments. Therefore, the rest of the applicants already recommended by the DSC have been placed before the Committee again to consider & recommend the same for appointments against the vacant posts, afresh, to comply with the orders of Honourble Chief Minister NWFP, in toto.

The Committee, therefore, once again examined the case and recommended the applicants for their appointments on the posts noted against each, as under:-

	Name	Name of Post
	Mr. Saleem Nawaz S/O Karim Nawaz District D.I.Khan	Sub Engineer
	Mr. S.Ashfaq Ahmad S/O S. Jamil ud Din District Malakand	-do-
-	Wr. Murtaza Ali S/O Abdul Haq District Malakand	-do-
÷	Min Sahar Gul S/O Abdul Jalil District Lakki Marwat	-do-
5	Mr. Samiullah S/O Khuda Baksh District D.I.Khan	-do-
6	Mr. Abdul Shahid Sadiqui S/O Abdul Azim District Dir Upper	-do-
/	Mr. Ashfaq Ahmad S/O Mahammad Shuiab District Malakand	-do-
÷.	Mr. Kashif Raza S/O S. Abid Hussain District D.L.Khan	-do-
9	Mr. Waqus Ali S/O Farzand Ali District Novshera	-do-
10	Mr. Muslim Shah S/O Mehmood Shah District Mardan	-do-
!!	Mr. Ishiiaq Ahmad T/O Tahmeed Ullah District Charsadda	-do-
-	Mr. Zohaib Khan S/O Jehanzeb Khan District Mardan	-do-
	Mr. S. Hassan Ali S/O S.Ajmal Shah District Charsadda	-do-
	Mir. Moshin Ali S/O Muhammad Pervez District D.I.Khan	-do-
	Mir. Wuqtada S/O Afsar Ali District Peshawar	-do-'
	: Mr. Muhammad Qaisar Khan S/O Babu Jan District Mardan	-do-
	Mr. Muhammad Iftikhar S/O Chainar Gul District Mardan	Stenotypist
	Mr. Noor Muhammad S/O Jamroz Khan District Peshawar	-do-
	Mr. Shah Khalid S/O Waffadar Khan District Swabi	-do-
	Mr. Aziz Ullah S/O Abid Ullah Khan District Bannu	-do-
<u> </u>	Mr. Farhanullah S/O Aziz Ullah District Bannu.	-do-

(SYED BJQIR SHAH) Section Officer (E-II) W&S Dept. (Member)

(APSYR ALL (URESHI) Acministrativy Officer PHE (Secretary)

(ALLAUDDIN KNAN GANDAPUR) Chief Engineer PHE (Chairman)

(ÅBEUL BASTIR) ADO PHE (Member / Representative)

Annex-E

2014.

Ph: 9082235 Fax:9220406

# REGISTERED

Nos. C.P. 2026 & 2029 of 2013 - SCJ SUPREME COURT OF PAKISTAN.

Islamabad, dated 161

The Registrar, Supreme Court of Pakistan, Islamabad.

### The Registrar, Peshawar High Court, Peshawar.

Subject

----

t:	CIVIL	PETITION	NOs.	2026	85	2029 OF 2013	
	Mushtag	Ahmed & and	other			in C.P. 2026/2013 in C.P. 2029/2013	
	Muhamr	nad Nasir Ali 8	& others			in C.P. 2029/2010	
	VE	RSUS				and Chief Secretary	
	Governn	ient of Khyber	• Pakhtu	nkhwa	thr	rough Chief Secretary, in both cases	
	Peshawa	r & others				III DOIII Cases	

On appeal from the Judgment/Order of the dated Peshawar Peshawar High Court, 02.10.2013 in W.P. 271-P & 663-P/2013

Dear Sir,

I am directed to enclose herewith a certified copy of the Order of this Court dated 15.01.2014 dismissing the above cited civil petitions with directions for information and further necessary action.

i am also to invite your attention to the directions of this Court contained in the enclosed Order.

Please acknowledge receipt of this letter along with its enclosure immediately.

Encl: Order

Yours faithfully,

(NAZAR ABBAS) ASSISTANT REGISTRAR (IMP) FOR REGISTRAR

Copy with a certified copy of the Order of this Court dated 15.01.2014 is forwarded to Mr. Sikandar Khan, Chief Engineer, Public Health Engineering Department, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar for immediate necessary action and report compliance.

Encl: Order

ASSISTANT REGISTRAR (IMP) FOR REGISTRAR

### IN THE SUPREME COURT OF PAKISTAN

(APPELLATE JURISDICTION))

### <u>PRESENT</u>:

MR. JUSTICE ANWAR ZAHEER JAMALIL MR. JUSTICE EJAZ AFZAL KHANL

### C. Ps. No. 2026 and 2029 of 2013.

(On appeal against the judgment dt. 2.10.2013 passed by the Peshawar High Court, Peshawar in W. Ps. No: 271-P and 663-P of 2013).

Mushtaq Ahmed and another. Muhammad Nasir Ali and others. (in CP. 2026/13)) (in CP. 2029/13)) .....Petitioners

(im both cases) .....Respondents 

## <u>Vers⊎s</u>;

Government of KPK through Chief Secretary, Peshawar and others.

For the petitioners:

Mr. Ghulam Nabi Kham, ASC. Syed Safdar Hussain, AOR.

Sikandar Khan, Chief Engineer, PHEK, KPK.

For the respondents: (on court notice)

.

Date of hearing:

15.01.20114

ANWAR ZAHEER JAMANI, J., - After hearing the arguments of the learned ASC for the petitioners and careful perusal of the case record particularly the reasons assigned in the impugried judgment, we are satisfied that no case for grant of leave to appeal is made out, including the plea of discrimination raised by the petitioners, as ane wrong or any number of wrongs, cannot be made basis to justify an illegal action under the garb of Anticle 25 of the Constitution. Both these petitions are, therefore, dismissed. Leave is refused.

2. So far as some other illegalities in the appointments brought to our notice is concerned, in response to our earlier order dated 09:01:2014, Mr. Sikandar Khan, Chief Engineer, Public Health Engineering, Department, KPK is present in Court, he states that

ATTESTED Superintendent

Supremie Court of Pakisten

# CP. 2026/13 & 2029/13

although many other illegal appointees in his department have been removed from service, but against many others such action is in process at various stages and they are still in service.

3. In view of the above statement, he is directed to finalize the action: against such illegal appointees within one month from today and submit his report through Registrar of this Court. In case, he faces any difficulty in this regard, those difficulties may also be brought to our notice so that appropriate orders may be passed.

Sof Anwar Zaheer Jawali" h Sof Efin Apral Khan I



Certified to be True Copy Superintendent Supreme Court of Pakistan Islamabad

### GOVT: OF KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA PUBLIC HEALTH ENGG: DEPARTMENT

No.SO(Estt)/PHED/1-55/2010 Dated Peshawar, the October 05, 2011

Annet-H

The Chief Engineer (South), PHE Department Peshawar

The Chief Engineer (North), PHE Department Peshawar

Subject:

1.

2

То

### **DISTRIBUTION OF WORKS/DUTIES.**

I am directed to refer to the subject noted above and to state that the competent authority has been pleased to approve the distribution of works/duties amongst the Chief Engineers of PHE Department as under:

### **Posting/Transfer:**

The Chief Engineer (South) and Chief Engineer (North) PHED shall make postings/transfers of the employees from BPS-1 to BPS-15 including Sub Engineers in BPS-16, within their respective jurisdictions whenever so required in the best public interest. In case of Postings/Transfers of **Inter-Territorial** jurisdiction i.e. from South to North vice versa, the Chief Engineer (South) PHED shall make such **\***postings/transfers in consultation with the Chief Engineer (North) PHED. In case of any disagreement amongst both the Chief Engineers, the issue will be brought into the notice of Secretary PHED in writing for his prior approval. Substitute will be provided in case of transfer of any official from the jurisdiction of Chief Engineer (North) PHED & vice versa. However, copies of all posting/transfer orders will invariably be endorsed to the other Chief Engineer for his office record. The service record/personal files of all officials shall be maintained by the Chief Engineer (South) PHED.

The above orders shall be implemented with immediate effect in the best public interest.

## (SHABBIR AHMAD AWAN) SECTION OFFICER (ESTT)

### Endst: No & Date as above:

Copy of the above is forwarded for information to the:

1. Special Assistant to Chief Minister Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Peshawar.

2. P.S. to Secretary PHE Department Peshawar.

# Aryber Pakhtunkhwa Public Service Commission2- Fort Road Peshawar Cantt:<br/>Website: www.nwfppsc.gov.pkWebsite: www.nwfppsc.gov.pkTele: Nos. 091-9214131, 9213563, 9213750, 9212897

Dated: 07.04.2011

15

ANNEXIRE-22 J

# Advertisement No. 02/2011.

Applications, on prescribed form, are invited for the following posts from Pakistani citizens having domicile of **Khyber Pakhtunkhwa** / **F.A.T.A** by **07.05.2011** (candidates applying from abroad by **21.05.2011**). Incomplete applications and applications without supporting documents required to prove the claim of the candidates shall be rejected without intimation to the candidates.

# AGRICULTURE, LIVESTOCK & CO-OPERATIVE DEPTT: FIVE (05) POSTS OF FEMALE LIVESTOCK PRODUCTION OFFICER (HEALTH) IN L&DD DEPTT:

QUALIFICATION: (i) B.Sc (Hons) Animal Husbandry from a recognized University; OR (ii) Doctor of Veterinary Medicine (DVM) or equivalent qualification in veterinary sciences from a recognized university and registered with Pakistan Veterinary Medical Council.

AGE LIMIT: 22 to 35 years. PAY SCALE: BPS-17 ELIGIBILITY: Female. ALLOCATION: Two to Zone-1 and One each to Zone-2, 3 and 5.

# THREE (03) POSTS OF SOIL CONSERVATION ASSISTANT

<u>QUALIFICATION:</u> (a) M.Sc Agriculture (Soil Science) from a recognized University, OR (b) B.Sc (Hons) Agriculture with Soil Science as major subject obtained after four years of academic instructions after F.Sc from a recognized university; OR (c) B.sc Agriculture Engineering from a recognized university.

AGE LIMIT: 21 to 35 years. PAY SCALE: BPS-17 ELIGIBILITY: Both Sexes. ALLOCATION: One each to Merit, Zone-1 and 5.

# 3. ONE (01) POST OF BIO-CHEMIST

QUALIFICATION: Doctor of Veterinary Medicine (DVM) or equivalent qualification in veterinary sciences with M.Sc in Biochemistry or M.Sc (Hons) in Animal Nutrition recognized by Pakistan Veterinary Medical Council.

AGE LIMIT: 25 to 32 years. PAY SCALE: BPS-17 ELIGIBILITY: Both Sexes. ALLOCATION: Merit.

4

÷.,

# C & W DEPARTMENT THIRTEEN (13) POSTS OF JUNIOR SCALE STENOGRAPHER.

QUALIFICATION: (i) Intermediate or equivalent qualification from recognized a Board. (ii) A speed of 60 words per minute in Shorthand in English and 35 words per minute in typewriting in English and knowledge of Computer in using MS Word and MS Excel. <u>AGE LIMIT:</u> 18 to 30 years. <u>PAY SCALE:</u> BPS-12 <u>ELIGIBILITY:</u> Both Sexes' ALLOCATION: Three to Zone-1. Five each to Zone-3 and 5.

	69. -	EIGHT (08) POSTS OF MALE SERVEYOR IN MINES AND MINERALS DEPTT:
•		<u>QUALIFICATION:</u> F.SC Pre Engineering or equivalent qualification from recognized Board of Intermediate and Secondary Education with (a) Mine Surveyor Competency Certificate under Mines Act 1923 and (b) Certificate in Auto cad from a recognized institute
_		AGE LIMIT: 18 to 30 years. PAY SCALE: BPS-11 ELIGIBILITY: Male ALLOCATION: Two each to Zone-1,2,3 and One each to Zone-4 & 5.
	70.	THREE (03) POSTS OF COMPUTER OPERATOR IN DIRECTORATE GENERAL OF TECHNICAL EDUCATION AND MANPOWER TRAINING
		QUALIFICATION: (a) Bachelor Degree from a recognized University and (b) Diploma of one year duration in Information Technology from a recognized Institute.
-		AGE LIMIT: 20 to 32 years. PAY SCALE: BPS-11 ELIGIBILITY: Male ALLOCATION: One each to Zone-1, 2 and 3.
-		PUBLIC HEALTH ENGINEERING DEPTT:
	71.	TWO (02) POSTS OF RESEARCH OFFICER/ HYDRO-GEOLOGIST.
•	-	QUALIFICATION: Second Division MSc (Hydro-Geology) OR B.Sc (Civil/ Agriculture Engineering) with two years relevant experience Or Second Division M.Sc (Water Resources/ Civil Engineering) from a recognized University.
		AGE LIMIT: 21 to 32 years. <u>PAY SCALE:</u> BPS-17 <u>ELIGIBILITY:</u> Both Sexes <u>ALLOCATION:</u> One each to Merit and Zone-1.
-	72.	EIGHTEEN (18) POSTS OF ASSISTANT SOCIAL ORGANIZER.
		QUALIFICATION: Second Class Master Degree in Social Sciences from a recognized University.
		AGE LIMIT: 21 to 30 years. PAY SCALE: BPS-16 ELIGIBILITY: Both Sexes ALLOCATION: Five to Merit, Three each to Zone-1, 2, 3 and Two each to Zone-4&5.
	73.	TWO (02) POSTS OF ASSISTANT SOCIAL ORGANIZER (WOMEN QUOTA).
		QUALIFICATION: Second Class Master Degree in Social Sciences from a recognized University.
		AGE LIMIT: 21 to 30 years. PAY SCALE: BPS-16 ELIGIBILITY: Female ALLOCATION: Merit.
	74.	SEVEN (07) POSTS OF ASSISTANT RESEARCH OFFICER (WATER QUALITY).
		QUALIFICATION: Second Division B.Sc (Microbiology or Chemistry) from a recognized University.
		AGE LIMIT: 21 to 30 years. PAY SCALE: BPS-16 ELIGIBILITY: Both Sexes. ALLOCATION: One each to Merit, Zone-2, 3, 4, 5 and Two to Zone-1

A. S.	17
75-5-	EIGHT (08) POSTS OF JUNIOR SCALE STENOGRAPHER.
•	QUALIFICATION: (i) 2 <sup>ND</sup> Class Intermediate/ D.com or equivalent qualification from recognized a Board; and (ii) A speed of 50 words per minute in English Shorthand and 35 words per minute in English Typing.
:	AGE LIMIT: 18 to 30 years. PAY SCALE: BPS-12 ELIGIBILITY: Both Sexes ALLOCATION: Two each to Zone-1, 2 & 3 and One each to Zone-4 and 5.
76.	EIGHTEEN (18) POSTS OF SUB ENGINEER CIVIL.
•	QUALIFICATION: Three years Diploma of Associate Engineering Civil from a recognized Institute.
- - 1	AGE LIMIT: 18 to 30 years. PAY SCALE: BPS-11 ELIGIBILITY: Male ALLOCATION: Four each to Zone-1, 2, 3 and Three each to Zone-4 and 5.
77.	TWO (02) POSTS OF SUB ENGINEER CIVIL (WOMEN QUOTA).
	QUALIFICATION: Three years Diploma of Associate Engineering Civil from a recognized Institute
	AGE LIMIT: 18 to 30 years. PAY SCALE: BPS-11 ELIGIBILITY: Female ALLOCATION: Merit.
78.	FOUR (04) POSTS OF DRAFTSMAN.
	QUALIFICATION: (i) Second Division Secondary School Certificate from a recognized Board and (ii) Two years duration Certificate Course in Civil Draftsmanship from a recognized Board of Technical Education.
	AGE LIMIT: <sup>*</sup> 18 to 30 years. <u>PAY SCALE:</u> BPS-11 <u>ELIGIBILITY:</u> Both Sexes ALLOCATION: One each to Zone-1, 2, 3 and 4. PROVINCIAL PUBLIC SAFETY AND POLICE COMPLAINT
	COMMISSION
79.	ONE (01) POST OF FEMALE JUNIOR SCALE STENOGRAPHER CUM COMPUTER OPERATOR
	QUALIFICATION: (i) FA/ F.SC in second division from recognized Board (ii) One year diploma in Computer Science from an institute recognized by the Board of Technical Education. (iii) A speed of 60 words per minute in English Shorthand and 35 words per minute in English Typewriting.
	AGE LIMIT: 18 to 30 years. PAY SCALE: BPS-12 ELIGIBILITY: Female ALLOCATION: Merit
: :	SPORTS, TOURISM, CULTURE, ARCHAELOGY & MUSEUMS
80.	DEPARTMENT ONE (01) POST OF ADMINISTRATOR
	QUALIFICATION: Bachelor Degree from a recognized university with at least five years experience in management / administration.
	AGE LIMIT: 21 to 35 years. PAY SCALE: BPS-17 ELIGIBILITY: Male ALLOCATION: Zone-1
• •	14

Detail Marks Certificates for all the examinations shall necessarily be required and these should be attached with the application forms.

- Ex-armed Forces Personnel must send copy of Discharge Certificate with their applications. Govt. / Semi Govt. / Autonomous / Semi Autonomous Bodies employees may apply direct (iii)but their Departmental Permission Certificates should reach within 30 days of the closing
- Applications should be on the prescribed application form obtainable from the listed below branches of the NATIONAL BANK OF PAKISTAN. Application Fee is Rs 285/- (Rupees Two (iv) Hundred Eighty Five only) for all the candidates. In addition to the application fee, the candidates will have to pay Rs.15/- (rupees fifteen only) on account of Bank Charges. Separate application form will be required for each advertised category of posts. Application forms obtained other than the specified branches of the National Bank will be considered invalid and such applications will not be entertained. The applications on plain paper or Photostat shall not be accepted. Incomplete and late applications shall also be ignored.
- Applications must be submitted within time as no extra time is allowed for postal transit. The applications if submitted on the last date for receipt of applications must reach the (v)Commission's office by the closing hours.
- Applicants married to Foreigners are considered only on production of the Govt: Relaxation (vi)
- No applicant shall be considered in absentia on paper qualifications unless, he/she possesses exceptionally higher qualifications than the minimum prescribed qualification for (vii) a particular post(s).
- Govt. reserves the right not to fill any or fill more or less than the advertised post(s).
- (viii) Candidates who have already availed three chances by physical appearance before the Commission and have failed for the post(s) having one and the same qualifications and (ix)
- scale of pay shall be ineligible. Experience wherever prescribed shall be counted after the minimum qualifications for the post(s), if not specifically provided otherwise against the advertised post(s). (x)
- In case the number of applications of candidates is disproportionately higher than the (xi) number of posts, short listing will be made in anyone of the following manner: -
  - (a) Written Test in the Subject
  - (b) General Knowledge or Psychological General Ability Test.
  - (c) Academic and/or Professional record as the Commission may decide.

# SPECIFIED BRANCHES OF THE NATIONAL BANK OF PAKISTAN.

- Main Branches of: (1)
  - Parachinar, Mardan, Swabi, Malakand, Shangla, Chitral, Timargara, Daggar, D.I.Khan, Bannu, Karak, Kohat, Hangu, Lakki Marwat, Abbottabad, Haripur, and Mansehra
- Saddar Road Branch, Tehkal Payan Branch, and G.T Road (Nishtar Abad) (2)Branch Peshawar.
- Tehsil Bazar Branch Charsadda, Nowshera Cantt: Branch, Bank Square Branch (3)Mingora and City Branch Tank
- Note: -The candidate who apply for the post(s) are advised to make sure that they are eligible for the post in all respects because eligibility of the candidate will be determined strictly according to the rules after conduct of all essential tests.

(ATTA-UR-REHMAN) Secretary Khyber Pukhtoonkhwa Public Service Commission Peshawar

レン

### In the Supreme Court of Pakistan (Appellate Jurisdiction)

### **Present:**

Mr. Justice Anwar Zaheer Jamali Mr. Justice Asif Saeed Khan Khosa Mr. Justice Mushir Alam

### Constitution Petition No.6 of 2011, C.M.A. No.5216 of 2012 and H.R.C. No.49012-P of 2010

Constitution Petition No. 6 of 2011 (Against illegal appointments and corruptions in EOBI)

Versus

Syed Mubashir Raza Jaffri, etc.

## Petitioners

ANNEXURE & J

Employees Old Age Benefits Institutions (EOBI) through its President of Board, Board of Trustees, & others

Respondents

Petitioners No.1 & 2:

In person.

For respondents No. 1 & 3: Mr. Saiful Malook, ASC Mr. M. S. Khattak, AOR

On behalf of Federation:

On Court Notice:

Mr. Abdul Latif Yousafzai, Advocate General, KPK Malik Faisal Rafique, Addl. A.G, Punjab.

Mirza Waqas Rauf, DAG Mr. Pervaiz Khan, D.G., H.R.

Other respondents:

AND

N.R.

### C.M.A. No. 5216 of 2012 in Constitution Petition No. 6 of 2011 (Against appointment of Raja Azeemul Haq as Executive Director of the World Bank)

ليود بخيرت فالمتحال ما

For the Federation:

Mirza Waqas Rauf, DAG Mr. Pervaiz Khan, DG, HR, EOBI.

On behalf of Raja Azeemul Haq:

and the second second

Ch. Afrasiab Khan, ASC Mr. M. S. Khattak, AOR a/w applicant.

### AND

### H. R. C. No. 48012-P of 2010

(Application by Tajamal Hussain against illegal appointments and massive corruption in EOBI)

In person.

For the applicant:

For appointed officials:

For Chairman EOBI:

Sardar M. Aslam, ASC Mr. Saiful Malook, ASC Mr. M.S. Khattak, AOR

Mr. M. S. Khattak, AOR

For Raja Azeemul Haq:

Ch. Afrasiab Khan, ASC Mr. M. S. Khattak, AOR a/w applicant

2

For applicants Mutali Khan, etc: Mr. Athar Minallah, ASC

For applicants/Intervener:

Mr. Abdul Hafeez Pirzada, Sr. ASC Mian Gul Hassan Aurangzeb, ASC

For applicants in CMA 1720/2011: Nemo.

Date of hearing:

11.12.2013

### <u>Judgment</u>

Anwar Zaheer Jamali, J – On 2.2.2011, the petitioners brought Constitution Petition No.6 of 2011, under Article 184(3) of the Constitution of Islamic Republic of Pakistan 1973 ("the Constitution"), for agitating their two fold grievances against the Employees Old Age Benefit Institution ("EOBI"), a body established under the Employees Old Age Benefit Act XIV of 1976 ("the Act 1976"), and its management, arraying EOBI, the Federation of Pakistan through Ministry of Labour and Manpower, M/s Zafar Iqbal Gondal, Chairman, EOBI, Mushtaq Samoo, Director, EOBI/Secretary Board of Trustees, Kanwar Waheed Khursheed, Director General

"i) .

ii)

iv)

(Investment)/Convener of Selection Committee-2, Muhammad Hanif, Officiating Director General/ Convener of Selection Committee-I and Mirza Imtiaz Ahmed, Acting Director General (Finance & Accounts), Convener of Selection Committee-3, as respondents. The averments made in the petition reveal that the first grievance of the petitioners is regarding appointment of more than 213 persons in BPS-16 to BPS-20, as detailed in the petition, in a patently illegal manner on the basis of political influence, nepotism and cronyism, under the chairmanship of respondent No.3 (Zafar Iqbal Gondal), in league with respondents No.4 to 7, while the other grievance is and corruption financial large scale as regards mismanagement of funds of the EOBI, as detailed in the petition. Based on such allegations, reliefs prayed for in the petition read as under:-

3

Declare that all the impugned appointments made in the Respondent No.1/EOBI are unlawful, illegal and void ab initio and in violation of prescribed recruitment procedure/ operating manual:

Declare that EOB Fund cannot be utilized or invested in contravention and violation of EOB Act 1976 and EOB (Investment) Rules, 1979.

iii) Declare that any amount utilized in corrupt practices by the EOBI Management/Respondents and others are without lawful authority and the same may be recovered from the Respondents.

Direct that the respondents that any Fund invested against the sprit of EOB Act 1976 and EOB (Investment) Rules 1979 shall be disinvested.

v) Grant any other relief which as deemed appropriate, just and proper by this Hon'ble Court in very circumstances of the case.".

2. Since thereafter, during the proceedings of this petition, several orders of interim nature have been passed by the Court, primarily, to find out the substance of these allegations against the respondents, whereupon several miscellaneous applications/concise statements/replies and documents have been submitted and brought on record by the respondents in an attempt to justify such appointments and to explain their position as regards mismanagement of funds of the EOBI. In addition to it, in response to our order dated 12.9.2013, directing publication of general notice regarding the present proceedings in some newspapers of wide circulation from Islamabad and Karachi, for information of the appointees of EOBI whose appointments have been challenged or who are likely to be affected with the outcome of these proceedings, many miscellaneous applications for impleadment as party to proceedings have been these received from various groups/individuals, who, according to their claim are such appointees or are likely to be affected from any final outcome of these proceedings, which have been entertained and allowed.

3. Another human rights case on the same subject and relating to similar grievances, bearing No.48012-P of 2010, which is based on the complaint dated 30.9.2010, made by

2

one Tajamul Hussain has also been tagged with this petition, in terms of order dated 20.4.2011, which has thus proceeded along with this petition. In the said human rights case, inter alia, illegal appointments allegedly made in EOBI have been brought to the Court's notice and challenged on the basis of facts detailed in the said complaint.

It seems that while proceedings in these two cases were sub-judice before the Court, with reference to a programme aired through some T.V. channel on 27.6.2013, titled as "corruption scandal of more than forty billion rupees in the EOBI" on 29.6.2013, a detailed note was submitted by the Registrar of the Court before the Honourable Chief Justice of Pakistan, unfolding therein the attributions of the participants of the said programme against EOBI with specific reference to the (i) purchase of plots in DHA, (ii) purchase of Crown Plaza in F-7 Markaz, Islamabad, (iii) purchase of two controversial plots in Sukkur, (iv) development of cricket ground in Islamabad, (v) purchase of several plots from CDA, (vi) purchase of forty kanals sixteen marlas land in Lahore, (vii) purchase of four floors of plaza/hotel in Lahore, (viii) construction of seven star hotel in front of Lahore Airport, (ix) construction of M-9 motorway by EOBI, (x) purchase of twenty acres land near Karachi Airport in billions and (xi) purchase of two 4300 cc parado jeeps for personal use of the Chairman, EOBI. Taking notice of such allegations contained in the note

of the Registrar, per order of the Honourable Chief Justice dated 29.6.2013, it was converted into Constitution Petition No.35 of 2013, which is now separately proceeding to probe into the allegations of financial corruption and misuse of funds allegedly made in the EOBI by its management and other high ranking Government officials involved in the said scam. Since the issue of financial corruption and misuse of funds etc. in EOBI has now been taken up separately in the said Constitution petition of which this Court is seized, and proceedings are pending, we deem it appropriate to proceed further and adjudicate the present petition alongwith HRC No.48012-P of 2010 and CMA No.5216 of 2012, only to the extent of the case of the petitioners/complainant regarding illegal appointments in EOBI, leaving the other aspects relating the financial corruption, misuse to of funds and mismanagement etc. in EOBI to be exclusively dealt with in other Constitution petition No.35 of 2013.

6

5. Reverting to the facts and the grounds stated in Constitution Petition No.6 of 2011 and HRC No.48012-P of 2010 in this context and for their proper understanding, it will be useful to summarize the same as under:

6. The petitioners in Constitutional Petition No.6/2011 have called in question the manner in which more than 213 appointments were made in EOBI (which is said to form almost 40% of the total strength of its Officer cadre) alleging that as such appointments were made in flagrant violation of the prescribed recruitment procedure set out, *inter alia*, in Clause No. 02.05.2 of the EOBI Operating Manual Chapter 2, they are therefore unlawful, illegal and liable to be set aside.

It has been contended that in April 2009, EOBI 7. advertised vacancies inviting applications to fill a large number of posts from grades 16 to 20 against which 23648 applications were received and from which suitable applicants were to be shortlisted. It was alleged that even before commencement of the normal recruitment procedure, appointments against 132 vacancies were already finalized and made on the basis of political pressure, nepotism and while some other persons managed their cronyism, appointments on deputation basis against regular posts for which vacancies had already been advertised. Many of whom were later absorbed as Regular Officers in violation of the quota earmarked for different provinces, they did not belong to. The petitioners have also submitted that some lists of names were sent by the Personal Secretary to the Federal Minster of Labour and Manpower, which were then forwarded to the then Deputy Director General (HRD) Javed Iqbal and these persons were later appointed in Grade 16 and above, as opposed to those individuals who had applied through the advertisement. Moreover, as per the regulations, shortlisted candidates were to be called for a written test on the basis of the requirement of Operating Manual as well as the resolutions passed by the Board of Trustees, but this procedure was completely ignored. The petitioners have been further aggrieved by the purported act of the respondents for allegedly issuing back-dated appointment letters to various handpicked persons in order to avoid being in contempt of an interim order of the Peshawar High Court passed in Writ Petition No. 209/2010 whereby the respondents were restrained from issuing any appointment letters till the disposal of the said petition.

8

8. The petitioners in this case have further raised their finger towards the manner in which appointments were fast-tracked; the committees established to interview applicants concluded their interviews on 1st June 2010 and appointment letters were issued on 2<sup>nd</sup> June 2010, indicating that the committee's recommendations were approved by Respondent No. 3 within one day, after which appointment letters were sent to all within the span of one working day. The petitioners have also been aggrieved by the fact that these unlawful appointees did not provide any documents proving their educational qualifications etc. that had to be attached with each candidate's application, subject to verification by the HEC. Indeed, there were apparently many appointees who claimed to have completed their education in 2010, whereas

the selection process called for all applications that fitted the requisite educational criteria in the year 2009.

In Human Rights Case No. 48012/P-2010, nearly identical allegations regarding irregular appointments have been levelled against the respondents (EOBI). It has been forcefully alleged that the recruitments were made in a malafide manner whereby those individuals who had links with politically influential persons within the then ruling PPP government were appointed. The petitioner reiterated and drew court's attention towards the fact that all posts had been filled without completion of the requirement of written tests which is against the EOBI recruitment procedure. Moreover, the then Chairman EOBI, Mr. Zafar Iqbal Gondal, was also accused of making a large number of appointments from the elected constituencies of his elder brother, Mr. Nazar Muhammad Gondal, former Federal Minister of Food and Agriculture, District Mandi Bahauddin and Mr. Nadeem Afzal Chann, MNA (NA 64 Sargodha) sitting Chairman, PAC, on the basis of nepotism and corruption.

10. In addition to it, another action regarding the purported irregular appointment of Mr. Raja Azeem-ul-Haq Minhas, as Executive Director, World Bank has been challenged through CMA No 5216/2012 in Constitutional Petition No.6/2011. In this regard, notice was taken by the Court after certain news reports highlighting the issues

3>

Ľ

10

surrounding his appointment, promotion, deputation etc. It was contended that he did not have the requisite experience or qualifications for the job and was appointed Executive Director in the World Bank due to political pressure as he was son-inlaw of the then Prime Minster, Raja Pervez Ashraf at the time of his appointment to the World Bank. A look at his service record reveals that Mr. Minhas was serving as Senior Joint Secretary on a grade 21 post because of out of turn promotions received by him due to his personal affiliations with persons who held high political offices, otherwise he was an officer of the income tax group, working in grade 18 when the PPP government came into power. He left this post and was hired by the EOBI in grade 20 on 2.6.2010 and then went on to hold the Acting Charge of the post of Director General on BS 21 from 15.02.2012 till 23.05.2012, after which he assumed the post of Senior Joint Secretary on deputation basis at the Prime Minster Secretariat. To examine these aspects, on 21.2.2013 notices were ordered to be sent to the Establishment Division, Federation of Pakistan to furnish details regarding his appointment to the World Bank. However, during the course of such proceedings on 6.6.2013 he resigned from the post of Executive Director, World Bank. Nevertheless, his appointment in EOBI pursuant to the aforesaid advertisement or otherwise is to be examined like other cases of appointment in order to

see whether there was any illegality or irregularity attached to it or it was made in a transparent manner on merit criteria.

11. It will be pertinent to mention here that in their detailed reply earlier submitted by respondent No.1, though they conceded to certain material illegalities/ irregularities committed in the process of appointments by the management of EOBI, still they attempted to defend and justify these appointments on the pretext that all individuals were appointed on the basis of recruitment procedure laid down in the relevant rules and regulations. However, due to the qualifications of some candidates and urgency in the matter regarding filling up the vacant seats, candidates were only called for interviews, without written test. Such a decision, it was submitted, was not contrary to rule regulation or earlier practice of the EOBI and that it was settled law that a practice followed persistently by a department itself takes the place of a 'rule'. Furthermore, according to the 'Investment Personnel (Contract Appointment) Regulations, 2007 issued by the Board of Trustees u/s 45 of the Act, it allows selection committees to conduct "interviews or tests". Moreover the respondents strongly challenged the maintainability of the petition, protesting that the petitioner had neither pointed towards any fundamental right that was being adversely affected, nor the core requirement of "public interest" was fulfilled as the issue

Pakistan (PLD 2004 S.C. 600). In the 1st case the apex Court dealt with hundreds of petitions of the employees of Sui Southern Company Limited in relation to their service dispute and in that context also considered the question of discrimination on the yardstick of Article 25 of the Constitution and scope of review under Article 188 of the Constitution. As a result the review petitions were allowed and cases were remanded to the Federal Service Tribunal for decision of their dispute afresh. In the 2<sup>nd</sup> case, broad principles for invoking jurisdiction of the Supreme Court under Article 184(3) of the Constitution were discussed. It was held that it provided an expeditious and inexpensive remedy for protection of fundamental rights from legislative and executive interference, particularly, in a situation when there is no other adequate remedy and that question of public importance with reference to enforcement of fundament rights was involved. With these observations, the petition under Article 184(3) of the Constitution, challenging the vires of 7th Wage Board Award was held not maintainable, as the said award was only valid to the extent of working journalists and did not affect the public at large qua fundamental right of speech under Article 19 of the Constitution.

14. In the end Mr. Abdul Hafeez Pirzada reiterated his submission that for the sake of smooth functioning of the institution (EOBI) and to save the families of such appointees

らひ

from starvation, a lenient view of the matter may be taken as regards the purported irregularities in their appointments. However, those responsible for such illegalities may be separately taken to task in accordance with law.

15: We have given due consideration to the submissions of the learned Sr. ASC, relating to exercise of our jurisdiction under Article 184 (3) of the Constitution, but are in disagreement with him for the reason that the controversy involved in the present petition and connected human rights case is clearly within the domain of public interest litigation qua violation of fundamental rights of citizens at large by a public body (EOBI) in the matter of selection and appointments. In such circumstances, it is the respondent No.1 EOBI, whose affairs are being probed and looked into by the Court and not the individual grievance by or against the appointees, who may be the affectees of the ultimate decision of the Court in these proceedings. As a matter of fact, on 12.9.2013 order for publication of general notice regarding the pendency of these proceedings was passed by this Court in order to afford an opportunity of hearing to the appointees of EOBI, whose appointments are under challenge in these proceedings or who are likely to be affected with the outcome of these proceedings. It was for this reason that office was directed to publish a general notice in few newspapers of wide circulation from Islamabad and Karachi, apprising all such

2

aside and directions be issued to the management of EOBI for undertaking this exercise afresh in a transparent manner strictly in accordance with the rules and regulations of appointment and on merits.

19. Detailed facts recorded in the preceding paragraphs of this judgment, particularly, with reference to the undisputed documents gain full support from the report of fact finding committee on recruitment/appointments submitted by respondent No.1 before the Court on 28.8.2013. Therefore, before proceeding further it will be useful to reproduce the same as under:-

#### "<u>Report of Fact Finding Committee on</u> <u>Recruitment/Appointment</u>

Recruitments made in the Institution (EOBI) since 2009 are under judicial scrutiny of the Hon'able Supreme Court of Pakistan in constitutional petition No.6 of 2011 and Human Rights Case No.48012-P of 2010.

2. In order to firm-up its position in the matter subjudice before the apex court and to examine the process of recruitment adopted in the Institution during the last three years, the new management of EOBI decided to carry out a fact finding exercise. A Committee comprising of the following officers was constituted to ascertain the facts of the recruitment made by the Institution during these years commencing from January 2009 vide Office Order No.259/2013 dated 25.7.2013 (Annex-I). The Committee was required to indicate irregularities/ violation of codal formalities of the prescribed procedure/ process of recruitment.

i. Pervaiz Ahmed, DG (Audit) Convener
ii. Javed Iqbal DG (HR &GAD) Member
iii. M. Meraj Nezamuddin, DDG (HR) Member/ Secretary
iv. Ch. Abdul Latif, Director (Law) Member

Mr. Ayaz Ahmed Uqaili DDG, IT has been co-opted as member vide Office Order No.286/2013 dated 26.8.2013.

3. EOBI (Employees' Service) Regulations, 1980 having been framed under Section 45 of EOB Act, 1976 and notified vide S.R.O. 413(1)/81 of Ministry of Labour, Manpower and Overseas Pakistanis (Labour Division) dated 9<sup>th</sup> May, 1981 published in the Gazette of Pakistan (Extra ordinary), EOBI Recruitment Procedures framed under Regulations-10 of EOBI (Employees' Service) Regulations, 1980 and relevant provisions of the Operating Manual (clause 02.4.3) approved in 64<sup>th</sup> meeting of the Board of Trustees held on 09.05.2003 regulate Recruitment in EOBI.

4. History of recruitment in EOBI reveals that whenever appointments to the posts of Executive Officer (Grade-6/BPS-16) & Assistant Director (Grade-07/BPS-17) had been made, written tests of the shortlisted candidates had been done. In 2007 written tests were held to short list the applicants even for the post of Deputy Director (Finance) in view of the large number of applications received for the posts so advertised.

5. From the records maintained in HR Department, EOBI Head Office, Karachi, it was observed that following recruitments had been carried out during the relevant period.

- Recruitment of 132 officers in June 2010 as per advertisement of 16.4.2009 (Annex-II)
- B. Recruitment by Absorption of seven (07) deputationist in March 2010 (Annex-III).
- C. Contract Appointment of 238 officials and their subsequent regularization by the Cabinet subcommittee from Sept 2011 to May 2012.

. <u>Recruitment of 132 Officers:</u>

21

6. It has been observed that the Institution (EOBI) called for applications through public notice published in leading newspapers on <u>16.4.2009</u> to fill up 213 vacant posts of officers and staff. Applications for the advertised posts were called through a Web-Portal specifically hosted for the purpose besides through P.O Box as per convenience of the applicants. (Annex-II).

7. As per record of the IT Department, which was managing the data of applicants, 23,137 applications (officers-19,195), staff-3,942) were received through post and e-mail. 17,979 applications were received by the cut-off date (15.5.2009) by web portal, whereas data entry of 5,158 applications received by post was completed by 14<sup>th</sup> July, 2009. After necessary filtration, data of 21,236 (officers-17,569 and staff-3,667) (Annex-IV) emerged to be referred as the **"Original List"** in the report subsequently. Post wise break-up of the applications received is detailed as under:-

i. <sup>′</sup>	Dy. Director General	104"
ii	•	. 124
iii.	Director (Law)	158
iv.	Dy. Director (Ofc)	48
· v.	Dy. Director (Ops)	157
vi.		<b>4</b> 411
	Assistant Director (Ofc)	2502
vii.	Assistant Director (Ops)	4345
viii.	Assistant Director (Finance)	3925
ix.	Assistant Director (Law)	197
<b>X</b> .	Assistant Director I.T (Net Working)	1646
xi.	Assistant Director I.T (Software)	491
xii.	Assistant Director I.T (S&C)	
xiii.	Executive Officer (Office)	542
• •	Total (Officers)	3023
	Staff	17569
	Total (Officers and a se	3667
	Total (Officers and Staff)	21236

50

While the HR Department in close liaison with IT Department (Annex-V) was gearing up to further process the recruitment against 132 posts of Officers, the EOBI management was changed. Mr. Mushtaq Ahmed Samo assumed additional charge of the Head of H R Department in addition to his own duties as Secretary BoT. Complete data file was handed over to Mr. Mushtaq Ahmed Samo who under supervision of Dr. Imtiaz, then Special Assistant to the Chairman further processed the recruitment. Short-listing of the candidates were not done by the relevant Departmental Selection Committees. Three different Selection Committees were notified for conducting interviews for the posts of Executive Officers, Assistant Directors and Deputy Directors for all cadres viz. Operations, Office, Finance, IT and Law on geographical basis. Whereas, EOBI Recruitment Procedures prescribe one standing Departmental Selection Committees for each Cadre. (Annex-VI). Therefore, seven selection committees were required to be constituted for conducting interviews for the posts of Executive Officer, Assistant Director & Deputy Director in Operation/Office, Finance, I.T. and Law Cadres and for Director (Law), Director (Operations) and Deputy Director General (Operations).

23

9. Written Test for short listing of the large number of applications as detailed above should have been held as per practice and as required under clause 02.5.2.1(b) of the Operating Manual (Annex-VII). However, the recruitment process was finalized without any such written tests for the positions of Dy. Director/Assistant. Director/Executive Officer and offers of appointment were issued (Annex-VII). The whole process was completed by 01.06.2010.

10. Number of applications at the time of interview subsequently risen to 23,648 (Annex-IX). No record of call letters issued was maintained and original evaluation sheet (grade assessment) filled by the members of the Selection Committees were not preserved and placed on records to scrutinize/authenticate average marking prepared for appointments. Similarly police verification and medical tests of the appointed persons were not carried out in most of the cases.

	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
18	Zaheer Abbas	Executive Officer	925600 :	Mandi Baha-u-din
•19	Aftab Gondal	Executive Officer	925224	Mandi Baha-u-din
20	Gulzar Ahmad Tulla	Superindent	924083	Mandi Baha-u-din
.21	Mudassar Shehzad Gondal	Asstt.	926669	Mandi Baha-u-din
22 ·	Muqaddas Shehzad Gondal	Asstt.	927402	Mandi Baha-u-din
23	Muhammad Nawaz	Assit.	927377	Mandi Baha-u-din
24	Muhammad Bux Tahir	Asstt.	926976	Mandi Baha-u-din
25	Muhammad Arshad	Asstt.	927479	Mandi Baha-u-din
26	Mukhtar Ahmad	Asstt.	928018	Mandi Baha-u-din
27	Naeem Abbas	Assit.	927004	Mandi Baha-u-din
28	Nadeem Akhtar	Asstt.	927162	Mandi Baha-u-din
29	Sumera Yaseen	Asstt.	926987	Mandi Baha-u-din
30	Muhammad Razzaq	Asstt.	926692	Mandi Baha-u-din
31	Rizwan Farooq	Asstt.	927275	Mandi Baha-u-din
32	Sajjad Akbar	Asstt.	926307	Mandi Baha-u-din
33	Irfan Ali	Assit.	926921	Mandi Baha-u-din
34	Qamar Zaman	Asstt.	928041	Mandi Baha-u-din
35	Muhammad Bashir	Asstt	928030	Mandi Baha-u-din
36	Iqbal Hussain	Asstt.	926829	Mandi Baha-u-din
37	Syed Qasim Raza	Asstt.	926512	Mandi Baha-u-din
38	Shama Mughees	Asstt.	926998	Mandi Baha-u-din
39	Tahira Najaf	Asstt.	928029	Mandi Baha-u-din
40	Aoon Raza	Asstt.	927048	Mandi Baha-u-din
41	Maryam Noreen	Asstt,	926830	Mandi Baha-u-din
42	Umer Draz	Asstt.	927991	Mandi Baha-u-din
43	Nisar Ahmad	Asstt.	927037	Mandi Baha-u-din
44	Muhammad Shoaib	Asstt.	926614	Mandi Baha-u-din
45	Shahwez Ahmad	Driver	926545	Mandi Baha-u-dln
46	Malik Ahsan Sajjad	Driver	928074	Mandi Baha-u-din
47	Imran Nazeer	N.Q	926272	Mandi Baha-u-din
48	Naveed Hayder	N.Q	927151	Mandi Baha-u-din
49	Umair Ul Hassan	NO	926374	Mandi Baha-u-din
50	Safdar	NQ	928198	Mandi Baha-u-din
51	Nasar Abbas		927140	Mandl Baha-u-din
52	Mohsan Raza	<u>NO.</u>	927071	Mandi Baha-u-din
53	Adnan Raza	NO	927082	Mandi Baha-u-din
54	Umer Draz	.N.Q	927297	Mandi Baha-u-din
55	Kashif Nawaz	N.Q	927300	Mandi Baha-u-din
56	Sajid Naeem	NQ	926750	Mandi Baha-u-din
57	Amjad Farooq	N.O.	927184	Mandi Baha-u-din

			. `	
58	Sajid Mehmood	N.Q	927322	Mandi Baha-u-din
59	Nadeem Hayat Gondal	Asstt Director	925939	Sargodha
60	Anees Ul Hassan Naqvi	Asstt Director	926636	Sargodha
61	Rizwan Ajmal Bhatti	Asstt Director	924641	Sargodha
62	Abdul Hafeez	Asstt Director.	924607	Sargodha
63	Shoaib Harral	Asstt Director	925597	Sargodha
64	Qaisar Zaman	Asstt Director	925326	Sargodha
65	Muhammd Farman	Executive Officer	926896	Sargodha
66	Imran Faisal	Executive Officer	924709	Sargodha
67	Amjad Umer	Asstt.	927264	Sargodha
68	Muhammad Arshad	Asstt.	926965	Sargodha
69	Aoon Abbas Shah	Asstt.	927253	Sargodha
70	Ejaz	Asstt.	927311	Sargodha
71	Faisal Nadeem	Asstt	926910	Sargodha
72	Abdul Ghaffar	Asstt.	927286	Sargodha
73	Junaid Hassan	Assn,	926681	Sargodha
74	Muhammad Imran	Asstt.	927106	Sargodha
75	Muhammad Saglain	Asstt.	927242	Sargodha
76	Liaquat All	N.Q	924141	Sargodha
77	Azhar Abbas	NQ	928187	Sargodha
78	Muhammad Ijaz	NQ	927311	Sargodha
79	Mumtaz Ahmad	NQ	927446	Sargodha
80	Punan Khan	NQ	928085	Sargodha
81	Tauseef Ahmad	NQ	927435	Sargodha
82	Nadeem Akhtar	Asstt.	927162	Sargodha

Thus, to cut the long story short, the respondents and the interveners have nothing with them to defend these palpable illegalities in the process of appointments.

21. A careful examination of the whole record appended with these petitions, in particular the detailed order dated 25.3.2011, thereby taking cognizance of these allegations of corruption in the matter of appointments in EOBI, under Article 184(3) of the Constitution, and various subsequent orders passed in this case, go to show that ample opportunity was allowed to the respondents to defend their misdeeds in

being contrary to the spirit of Articles 240 and 242 of the Constitution and various provisions of Sindh Civil Servants Act 1973, it laid down several guiding principles. The principle of law propounded in this judgment, with reference to many other earlier judgments of the Apex Court, lend full support to the case of the present petitioners, as regards illegal appointments, contract appointments, absorptions and their regularization etc., particularly, when these acts are motivated to frustrate and nullify some earlier judgments/orders of the Superior Court in a dishonest, colourful and mala-fide manner, as discussed in the earlier part of this judgment and hereinafter. All the cases discussed above reveal that the jurisprudence of this Court has been clear and consistent with regard to the manner in which appointments to public offices are to be made strictly in accordance with applicable rules and regulations, without any discrimination and in a transparent manner. Thus, it is essential that all appointments to public institutions must be based on a process that is palpably and tangibly fair and within the parameters of its applicable rules, regulations and bye-laws. But conversely, it is a sad fact of our bureaucracy that it can be so susceptible to the whims and wishes of the ruling elite class etc, which results in an obvious weakening of state institutions such as the EOBI, whereby the general public, whose interest such establishments have been

charged with protecting, are adversely and heavily affected in different ways.

Indeed, if we allow these petitions substantial 23. hardship is likely to be caused to many of the respondents/ appointees who will lose their appointment/jobs because of the illegalities in their respective appointments committed by EOBI, but the fact remains that such ill-gotten gains cannot be defended/protected under any cannon of law or even on humanitarian considerations, as, such gains availed by the illegal appointees were at the cost of other deserving candidates who had applied for these posts, being citizens of this country, with a legitimate expectation that they would be able to seek appointment on the basis of their eligibility-cummerit criteria to be observed as per the applicable rules and regulations of the EOBI. From the material available on record, it is crystal clear that even the respondents in EOBI against whom allegations of nepotism, corruption and mala-fide have been levelled have offered no legitimate defence except to say that such exercise may be protected for the benefit of appointees. Similar is the position in the case of appointment of Raja Azeem-ul-Haq Minhas, as evident from the material placed on record, which shows that how after his resignation from a post in BS-18 in the Income Tax Group, he jumped in the EOBI, got appointment and promotions from one step to another to reach BS-21 within a short period of three years.

33

We have specifically asked Chaudhry Afrasiab Khan, learned ASC to show us any provision of law, whereby an employee of the institution like EOBI can be appointed on deputation in the Prime Minister Secretariat as Joint Secretary (BS-20) and within no time of his coming back, promoted as Director General (BS-21), but he had no plausible answer to such query. As a matter of fact, looking to the material available on record, the discussion about illegal appointments in the EOBI, made in the preceding paragraphs of this judgment is a drop in the bucket what has exactly happened in this whole process during the year 2009 and thereafter from time to time.

34

24. Having discussed as above, another important aspect of the case, which needs serious consideration is about the fate of the illegal appointees, which is subject matter of consideration in the present proceedings. If we look at this aspect of the case from the angle of those who have succeeded to get appointments in the manner, as discussed above, some of them may claim that since they met the requisite qualifications for the posts and were thus appointed, they cannot be made to suffer due to illegalities committed by the management of EOBI. However, when we place their cases for appointment in juxtaposition to the other applicants, who had applied for these vacancies and are 23648 in number, we find that these candidates having equal right of opportunity as citizens of this country, in terms of Article 25 of the

35

6 2

Constitution were thrown out of the competition despite the fact that they also met the requisite qualifications and might have been more meritorious, but could not exert either political pressure or avail the fruits of nepotism and corruption, forming basis for the selection and appointment of other candidates, many of whom had not even applied for the job in terms of the advertisement for these vacancies made in the month of April, 2009, and in this manner they succeeded in getting entry from the backdoor at the cost of many other bona-fide candidates, whose applications were literally thrown in the dust bin in an un-ceremonial manner just for the sake of accommodating the blue eyed ones. All these factors, are over and above the violation of rules, regulation and other codal formalities meant for these appointments, inter alia, highlighted by the fact finding committee on recruitment/ appointment in its report, which is a serious subject for the reason that it is based on examination of the entire original record of such proceedings of appointments, right from the date of publication of advertisement regarding these vacancies, and till date none has come forward to question the impartiality of the committee or the authenticity and correctness of such report. In these circumstances, in our opinion, if the appointment of any single appointee during this process is protected on one or the other pretext or for any other consideration it will amount to protecting their ill-gotten gains, acquired through unlawful means, and to perpetuate corruption and discrimination under the disguise of sympathetic consideration for such appointees for the sake of their economic well being.

In the same context, we have also considered 25. as to whether the appointees in the EOBI, who may be the ultimate affectees of this judgment's fall out, could be allowed to participate in the fresh process of selection and appointments in terms of this order? Our answer to this question is twofold. Firstly, though the appointments of these persons have been challenged under Article 184(3) of the Constitution within the ambit of public interest litigation and none of other applicants, who were more than 23127 in numbers, has come forward to agitate/assert his own individual grievance before the Court, nevertheless, their legal and Constitutional rights have been widely infringed at the hands of the then management of EOBI. Thus, even in their absence their interest is to be equally safeguarded on the principle of justice and fair play; secondly, it may amount to giving a premium to the appointees coming from the backdoor if we allow their participation in the forthcoming process of appointment in the EOBI as a special case. In these circumstances, we deem it proper to leave it open for the management of EOBI to decide the question of participation of the affectees of this judgment in the fresh/new process of

AL.

selection and appointments in the affirmative or otherwise. But in case decision of the management of EOBI is in the affirmative, it will be implementable only in the situation when the record of other applicants in response to the earlier advertisement of April, 2009 etc., is intact with them and they are also allowed equal opportunity of participation. To put it in other words, in case the management of EOBI decides to allow all those applicants who have submitted their applications in response to the advertisement made in April, 2009 or thereafter, which as per report of the fact finding committee are more than 23,127 in numbers, then the affectees of this judgment will also be entitled for similar treatment. Needless to observe that for the process of selection and appointments as per criteria fixed by the management of EOBI fresh applications will also be invited and processed in a transparent manner without any discrimination, on requisite merit criteria for each post.

26. Another aspect of the case, which needs further examination, is the appointment of 238 employees/ officials in the EOBI on contract/daily and contingency basis during the period September, 2011 to May, 2012 and their purported regularization. In this regard, apart from the material placed on record by the petitioners alongwith the contempt application in HRC No.49012-P of 2010, duly accompanied with requisite documents in support thereof,

38

there is also the report of the fact finding committee on recruitment/ appointment, reproduced above, which goes to show that these 238 employees in Grade-1 to Grade-9 were initially appointed on contract basis and for this purpose procedure prescribed under the rules and regulations of respondent EOBI was again flagrantly and ruthlessly violated. Not only this, subsequently, in a colourable and mala-fide manner, for their regularization some summaries were floated and their illegal approval was obtained from the Cabinet Sub-Committee, which otherwise neither figures anywhere in the hierarchy of EOBI nor has any legal authority to rectify such illegal, wrong and corrupt practice of appointments. It is strange to notice that these appointments were made at a time when there were no available posts for these persons and this whole exercise was, on the face of it, undertaken on the basis of nepotism and political pressure in vogue during that period. The learned ASC for the respondent EOBI and the D.A.G. have not defended this action, while the learned ASCs representing some of these appointees have also not been able to satisfy this Court that how the Cabinet Sub-committee can intervene in the functioning of the EOBI and commit such illegality in violation of its rules and regulations to protect these illegal appointments or to bless them with any form of legitimacy. In addition to it, it is also pertinent to mention that all this exercise was undertaken by the respondents despite specific

stay order issued by this Court on 21.1.2011, which reads as

follows:-

"Mr. Tajammal Hussain son of Khadim Hussain, Senior Assistant, EOBI has moved an application to Honourable Chief Justice of Pakistan alleging serious allegations qua fresh recruitments made by the Chairman, EOBI in flagrant violation of the prevalent rules, regulations and policy.

2. After having gone through the entire record furnished by the complainant as well as press clippings (Daily News & Jang), wherein all the necessary details qua each of the new appointee have been furnished. We are tentatively of the view that prima facie the prescribed procedure was never followed and for the sake of arguments if it is admitted that there is no prescribed procedure, the principles of natural justice have been violated ruthlessly. It is worth mentioning that on 15.5.2009, applications were called against 250 vacant vacancies in EOBI. It is amazing that no short listing could be made, no interview or written test whatsoever was got conducted, but on the contrary the vacant vacancies have been distributed under political pressure and to oblige the people of 'Mandi Bahauddin' which is the constituency of the Chairman.

3. Chairman, EOBI is present and has attempted to justify his actions but failed to point out that under which provision of the law of Employees' Old Age Benefit Act, 1976 (in short EOBI Act) he was competent to make all such appointments, including appointments on contract basis that too from Mandi Bahauddin. It is conceded that no advertisement was made for contract appointments as the nature whereof was ad-hoc and temporary.

4. Be as it may, it appears that every appointment has been made in a reckless, careless and irresponsible manner without adhering to the relevant procedure and provisions of law enumerated in the EOBI Act and rules/regulations made there under. The explanation furnished by the Chairman, EOBI and Secretary, Ministry of Labour and Manpower is

39

unsatisfactory. However, in the interest of justice matter is adjourned enabling the Chairman, EOBI and Secretary, Ministry of Labour and Manpower to furnish a concise statement indicating all the details qua appointments made pursuant to the advertisement appeared in various newspapers, whereby applications were invited on 15.5.2009 or otherwise. The details regarding appointments made on contract basis shall also be furnished. Entire record regarding above mentioned appointments shall be produced on the next date of hearing. Chairman, EOBI and Secretary, Ministry Labour and Manpower may also furnish additional documents, if need be, before the next date of hearing. Similarly, the complainant is also at liberty to file additional documents. It is, however, directed that till disposal of this human rights case, no more appointment shall be made by the Chairman EOBI, Secretary Ministry of Labour and Manpower and at the direction of concerned Minister. Matter adjourned and shall be treated as part heard. To come up on 8.2.2011.". (underlining given for emphasis)

Obviously, in such circumstances all the appointments made in violation of the directions/order of this Court are nullity in the eyes of law, thus, cannot be blessed with any legitimacy or protection under any canon of law. As a matter of fact, this matter would require further probe into these allegations in the confext of violation of the stay order dated 21.1.2011. To sum-up, the 238 appointments, separately referred to and discussed in the report of fact finding committee are also to be struck down, being illegal, void and of no legal consequence, while contempt proceedings against those responsible for this highhandedness and illegality are to be initiated and continued separately, for which the office shall prepare a separate file and issue notices to the concerned officials of EOBI and all others found involved in this scam.

27.

(a)

(b)

(c)

As a sequel of above discussion, both these petitions are allowed and disposed of in the following terms:-

> All the illegal appointments, deputations and absorptions made in the EOBI, as detailed in the report of fact finding committee on recruitment/ appointment, are declared to be without lawful authority and of no legal effect. Accordingly their services stand terminated forthwith;

All these vacancies and other available vacancies in EOBI shall be advertised and filled afresh strictly in accordance with applicable rules and regulations, subject to prescribed quota, requisite qualifications and merit criteria, for which the Chairman, EOBI personally responsible to ensure Ъe shall transparency;

The matter regarding all the illegal appointments, including the appointment of Raja Azeemul Haq Minhas in the World Bank, shall be investigated by the NAB authorities; the respondents No.3 to 7 and all others directly or indirectly involved in the process of such illegal appointments on the basis of corruption, nepotism and political exigencies shall be proceeded against in accordance with law with intimation regarding compliance of these directions to this Court within two months.

(d)

Office shall prepare and maintain a separate file for initiating contempt proceedings, under Article 204 of the Constitution and other enabling provisions of contempt laws, against all those who are, *primafacie*, found guilty of violation of order dated 21.1.2011 in H.R.C. No.48012 of 2010, particularly in the process of appointment of 238 employees/ officials during the period September 2011 to May 2012.

In view of the above, other miscellaneous applications filed in the Constitutional Petition No.6 of 2011 and H.R.C. No.49012-P of 2010 also stand disposed of.

Judge

Judge

Judge

Announced at Islamabad on 17<sup>th</sup> March, 2014

Riaz

Judge Approved for reporting