- 27.10.2023 1. Learned counsel for the appellant present.Mr. Asad Ali, Assistant Advocate General for the respondent present.
 - Learned counsel for the argued that appellant was discharge from 2. service vide impugned order dated 11.07.2016 without adopting proper procedure by conducting regular inquiry in accordance with rules and law. He further argued that appellant was ill and his medical record is available on file. He also argued that appellant was confirmed regular civil servant and in accordance with law he cannot be discharged, hence, he termed the impugned order as unlawful, without lawful authority and void. He further argued that no limitation will run against the void order. Appellant filed departmental appeal, which was rejected while revision filed against impugned order as well as appellate authority order has not responded within statutory period of 90 days, hence the instant service appeal. Points raised need consideration. The appeal is admitted for regular hearing subject to all legal objections. Appellant is directed to deposit security fee within 10 days. Written reply on behalf of respondents have already been submitted. To come up for arguments on 12.02.2024 before D.B. P.P given to the parties.

(Rashida Bano) Member (J)