FORM OF ORDER SHEET

Court of

Appeal No. 2259/2023

Order or other proceedings with signature of judge S.No. Date of order proceedings 1 13 31/10/2023 1-The appeal of Mr. Muhammad Nawaz presented today by Mr. Muhammad Saced Khattak Advocate. It is fixed for preliminary hearing before Single Bench at Peshawar on Parcha Peshai is given to the counsel for the appellant. .By the order of Chairman" REGISTRAR

,

BEFORE THE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICE TRIBUNAL.

PESHAWAR.

Service Appeal No229/2023

Muhammad Nawaz(Appellant)

VERSUS

INDEX

S.No.	Description of Documents	Annex	Pages
1	Service Appeal		1-7,
2.	Copy of final show cause notice	A	18":
3.	Copy of reply	B	~ 9 ~
4.	Copies of charge sheet /disciplinary	C	10-12
	action and reply	,	
5.	Copy of the order dated 03/07/2023	D	13"
6.	Copy of departmental appeal	E	144-16
7.	Copy of the impugned order dated	F	", ", ", "
	03/10/2023	· ·	
8.	Wakalat Nama	· · ·	

Appellant

Through

Dated: 25/10/2023

Muhammad Saeed Khattak Advocate High Court, Peshawar. Cell No. 0333-6272753

BEFORE THE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICE TRIBUNAL,

PESHAWAR.

Service Appeal No2257/2023

Muhammad	Nawaz,	Ex-Constable	No.	508,	District
Hangu		••••••		(A	ppellant)

VERSUS

1. Regional Police Officer, Kohat Region, Kohat.

2. District Police Officer (DPO), Hangu.....(Respondents)

APPEAL U/S 4 OF THE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICE TRIBUNAL ACT 1974, AGAINST THE IMPUGNED ORDER DATED 03/10/2023 WHEREBY DEPARTMENTAL APPEAL OF THE APPELLANT AGAINST THE IMPUGNED ORDER (IMPUGNED HEREIN) DATED 03/07/2023 WAS DISMISSED.

Prayer in appeal:

On acceptance of the instant Service Appeal, both the impugned orders may very graciously be set aside and the appellant may kindly be reinstated on the post with al back benefits.

Respectfully Sheweth:

- That the appellant after his appointment as Constable was serving in the department under the kind command of the respondents with full zeal and devotion, when all of sudden departmental proceedings were initiated against him.
- 2. That all those proceedings were not in the in the knowledge of the appellant and he came to know when final show cause notice was received by him. (Copy of final show cause notice is attached as annexure "A").
 - 3. That the final show cause notice was answered well within time with reasonable grounds but unfortunately those were neither considered nor Discussed (Copy of reply is attached as annexure "B").
 - 4. That prior of the final show cause notice charge sheet/ disciplinary action were issued to the appellant but those were neither served upon him

nor were received by him but after coming to know about those, he succeed in getting its copy that too was replied properly. (Copies of charge sheet /disciplinary action and reply are attached as annexure "C").

That the appellant was awarded major penalty of dismissal from service vide order having No. 3388-91/ EC dated Hangu, the 03/07/2023. (Copy of the order dated 03/07/2023 is attached as annexure "D").

5.

- 6. That being aggrieved of the same the appellant submitted departmental appeal on 07/07/2023 before respondent No. 1. (Copy of departmental appeal is attached as annexure "E").
- 7. That respondent No. 1 vide impugned order having No. 1050/EC dated Kohat the 03/10/2023 rejected the same unlawfully, illegally and without going to the merit of the case. (Copy of the impugned order dated 03/10/2023 is attached as annexure "F").

That being highly aggrieved of the same the appellant prefers the instant service appeal interalia, on the following amongst others:

GROUNDS:

8.

That very harsh penalty of dismissal from service has been awarded to the appellant for the offences never committed by him, the appellant being a young person and according to Rule L (a) of the Police Rules 1975 dismissal from service does disqualify for future employment.

B. That the allegation of pressurizing the SHO of the concerned police station is quite baseless and does not attract to the prudent mind how a police constable of ordinary rank can interfere and pressurize the police officer of high rank i.e. SHO etc.

C. That another allegation/ charge regarding involvement of the appellant in curse business of narcotics is also baseless he never involved in such like activities and it was so then there exists specific laws governing that subject matter the appellant was to be tried under those laws but not even a single case has been reported against him.,

That similarly allegation/ Charge-III is also baseless. the appellant never involved in such like activates as mentioned in the impugned orders passed by respondents No. 1 and 2.

D.

E.

F. .

That prior to the instant one the appellant was dismissed from service on the basis of mere false and baseless allegations and this Hon'ble Service Tribunal was pleased in reinstating him with all back benefits. Ill will of the respondents is evident from the order sheets of the Hon'ble Service Tribunal of the implementation 'petition of the appellant.

That in the instant case nothing is available on record nor anything proved in the so-call inquiry which could connect the appellant with the charges leveled against him.

- G. That any inquiry was not conducted in impartial manner. neither any evidence was produced nor the appellant was given a chance to cross-examine the witnesses. Furthermore the appellant has been condemned unheard in violation of the basic principle of natural justice.
- H. That the impugned orders do not fulfill the prerequisite of speaking orders wherein the grounds taken by the appellant in his defence have never been discussed.
- I. That the appellant hails from a very poor family and the service is the only source of earning livelihood for himself and his entire family.
- J. That any other ground can also, be taken with permission of this Hon'ble Tribunal during the arguments.

It is, therefore, humbly prayed that on acceptance of the instant Service Appeal, both the impugned orders may very graciously be set aside and the appellant may kindly be reinstated on the post with al back benefits.

Any other relief as deemed appropriate in circumstances of the case, not specifically asked for, may also be granted to the appellant.

Appellant Through

Dated: 25/10/2023

Muhammad Saeed Khattak Advocate High Court, Peshawar.



ü.

¥.,

5

OFFICE OF THE DISTRICT POLICE OFFICER, HANGU

Unox

Left 0925-623878 Fax 0925-620135

No. 103 180, dated Hangu the 17. 08, 2023 v

FINAL SHOW CAUSE NOTICE

1. 1, Asif Bahader, (SP), District Police Officer, Hangu competent authority, under the Chyber Pakitonikies, Police Police (amended 2014) is hereby serve you, Constable Muhammad Nawaz No. 508 while posted at Police Station That as follows:

- i. That consequent upon the completion of inquiry contacted again 3 of the inquiry officer, in which you have given full opportunity of heatrayou failed to submit any reasonable response in your self defense. Of recommended you for awarding a major punishment vide by other hadnes No. 831/SDPO, dated 09.05.2023.
 - From going, through the finding and recommendations of the base officer, the material on record and oner documentary proof including condefense before the inquiry officer.

I am satisfied that you have committed the following outs out that specified in section 3 of the said ordinance.

- As reported by the DSPO Trail vide DD No. 14. dated 25.02.2023 P.S Thall, accused takeem Ullat. s/o Shah Wah Kahn r/o Domail District Bannu and Noor Muhammad s/o Sher Muhammad r/o Qazi Pump, Hanna were arrested duly armed with pistols by the local police of rS Thall in case vide FIR No. 113, dated 25.02.2023 u/s 400.401 PPC/ I5AA In the meanwhile/during investigation yea, Constable Muhammad Nawar No. 508 while posted at P.C.Thall have interfered and preasurized the SHO P.S conceined for not charging, investigating the aforementioned accused in the case Consequently, the SHO PS Thall has diagreed and charged the above named accused in FIR.
- H. Your association with criminals is repetted because you have started a curse business of narcotics since your reinstatement in service.
- ui. You being a member of discipling force had acted in indisciplined manner, disinterest, notigence and amount to gross misconduct on your part, which minnot be tolerated

As a result thereof, I, as competent author, what is

impose upon you major penalty provided under the Rules

3. You are, therefore, required to show cause

should not be imposed upon you also intimate whether your mire to a

4. If no reply to this notice is received within **contacts** of the difference of the normal course of circumstances, it shall be presumed that you we no defence to pot an and in that case as ex-parte action shall be taken against you.

The copy of the finding of inquiry officer is enclosed

DISTRICT POLICE OFFICER, HANGU

م باذازاد مردز الم 500 منعد ماندی بار ولی دن 5/8 حرب فالم المركار الم في معرف محرم ودم الم حدب وسرات لولعيت ومد مكومروس ورد بو ا م در م 5 و نردی سال ورد و سال م معد و من سال م مدن o Ho is as as مل ند الله الورث فوام مربد الدر بالم ود مرورى وجه و تحد عر سب درج روز، في كرد مسير عد- د مرك ولد أف رو كون مال ك ملدى به وارج سین ادراب 'راشل متو از دور و جاری شرام دان که مات مردس هرت مون من تال روزور مرمان ومن مال مرعان و من مال مر المعادي 2 مسان مي موسا م مان uالا مرف في ارتر ما بلوى في . تكر لولا ما رود في وفي الما ي وفي الما ي الما ي الما ي الما ي الما الم كما التانية . جسم ما من سعمل ويتريد وما ويد اونى كسيسل ما حدار بلاد ال د معار سوار از مردم مردم مر من مد ملون Fit دور در ان محد مرد مرد و الم من سال كراهم ورود ودور ومر من الحدير مرد والما ومرم ولوال م الإم المرج عرابة مروى ومد يون مد مد مد مدين مدين مان مم ع مشار مردن م مديد Ð ليق بدادر الالم بد امر منال وركم ما تد وفره ركام ما در من بالنار ت بي المسل درمني وفرشرو مد ماد مكافر كالعلى مر الع الزام مرد 2 مامد الدوش ورفي ال مردامي ولد في ومد المر الل فالمري سال عالي ماند وسيلون ام ميم لارم . است مد مدل معتل معلون مراج الورث منير وسيل وفيره درج م علاده ازم المان معلى كالم و سرال بال لدار أدبى ب مرمي فران مح المنابع المعاد معان معان معالى فعور والدعامة سلى ما ماسل مومز نعال ليوم ما ماروا 2 2 ما كو إ ما مع مد روم لغير من مارد ال ي والم والم ال حاد سيل ابل المعال ، عيت دالورجين م مر الملكام المنسل المرافز (308 مست الول المرا المرابي الم مرابع المرابي ال المرابي ال



ii.

iii.

4.

OFFICE OF THE DISTRICT POLICE OFFICER, HANGU Tel No. 0925-623878 & Fax No. 0925-620135 Email: dpohangu8@gmail.com

 d_{1}

CHARGE SHEET

I, <u>MR. ASIF BAHADER, PSP, DISTRICT POLICE OFFICER,</u> <u>HANGU.</u> as competent authority under Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Police Rules (amendments 2014) 1975, am of the opinion that you <u>Constable Muhammad</u> <u>Nawaz No. 508 while posted at Police Station Thall</u> rendered yourself liable to be proceeded against, as you have omitted the following act/omissions within the meaning of Rule 3 cf the Police Rules 1975:-

> i. As reported by the DSFO Thall vide DD No. 14, dated 25.02.2023 P.S Thall, accused Hakeem Ullah s/o Shah Wali Kahn r/o Domail District Bernn and Noor Muhammad s/o Sher Muhammad r/o Qazi Pump, Hangu were arrested duly armed with pistols by the local police of PS Thall in case vide FIR No. 113, dated 25.02.2023 u/s 400-401 PPC/ 15AA. In the meanwhile/during investigation you, Constable Muhammad Nawaz No. 508 while posted at P.S Thall have interfered and pressurized the SHO P.S concerned for not charging/investigating the aforementioned accused in the case. Consequently, the SHO PS Thall has disagreed and charged the above named accused in FIR.

Your association with criminals is reported because you have stated a curse business of narcotics since your reinstatement in service.

You being a member of disciplined force had acted in indisciplined manner, disinterest, negligence and amount to gross misconduct on your part, which cannot be tolerated.

> DISTRICT POLICE OFFICER, HANGU

2. By reasons of the above, you appear to be guilty of misconduct under Rule 3 of the Rules ibid and have rendered yourself liable to all or any of the penalties specified in the Rule 4 of the Rules ibid.

3. You are, therefore, required to submit your written statement within 07days of the receipt of this Charge Sheet to the enquiry officer.

Your written defense if any should reach the Enquiry Officer within the specified period, failing which it shall be presumed that you have no defense to put in and ex-parte action shall be taken against you.

A statement of allegation is enclosed.



I,

OFFICE OF THE DISTRICT POLICE OFFICER, HANGU Tel No. 0925-623878 & Fax No. 0925-620135 Email: dpohangu8@gmail.com

POLICE

DISCIPLINARY ACTION MR. ASIF BAHADER, PSP, DISTRICT

OFFICER, HANGU, as competent authority, am of the opinion that you, . <u>Muhammad Nawaz No. 508</u> have rendered yourself liable to be proceeded against departmentally under Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Police Rule 1975 (Amendment 2014) as you have committed the following acts/omissions.

11

- i. As reported by the DSPO Thall vide DD No. 14, dated 25.02.2023 P.S Thall, accused Hakeem Ullah s/o Shah Wali Kahn r/o Domail District Bannu and Noor Muhammad s/o Sher Muhammad r/o Qazi Pump, Hangu were arrested duly armed with pistols by the local police of PS Thall in case vide FIR No. 113, dated 25.02.2023 u/s 400-401 PPC/ 15AA. In the meanwhile/during investigation you, Constable Muhammad Nawaz No. 508 while posted at P.S Thall have interfered and pressurized the SHO P.S concerned for not charging/investigating the aforementioned accused in the case. Consequently, the SHO PS Thall has disagreed and charged the above named accused in FIR.
- ii. Your association with criminals is reported because you have stated a curse business of narcotics since your re-instatement in service.
- iii. You being a member of disciplined force had acted in indisciplined manner, disinterest, negligence and amount to gross miscenduct on your part, which cannot be tolerated.

2. For the purpose of scrutinizing the conduct of said accused with reference to the above allegations $\begin{array}{c} & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ \end{array}$ is appointed as enquiry officer. The enquiry officer shall in accordance with provision of the Police Rule-1975, provide reasonable opportunity of hearing to the accused official, record his findings and make within twenty five days of the receipt of this order, recommendations as to punishment or other appropriate action against the accused official.

The accused official shall join the proceeding on the date, time and place fixed by the enquiry officer.

DISTRICT POLICE OFFICER, HANGU

/EC, dated 10 / 03 /2023. No.

Copy of above to:-

1.

2.

:- The Enquiry Officer for initiating proceedings against the accused under the provisions of Police Rule-1975 to fix the responsibility of the delinquent officer. The Accused official:- with the directions to appear before Enquiry Officer, on the date, time and place fixed by him, for the purpose of enquiry proceedings.

(2) بیان از ان محمد نواز بیلٹ 508 منعینہ مانڑی زیارت پولیس لائن بنگو جناب عسالی! بحواله چارج شيٹ نمبر 51 مور خه 10 مارچ 2023 مجاربہ جناب ڈسٹر کٹ پولیس آفیسر ہنگو معروض خدمت ہوں۔ آبیہ کہ مورخہ 25 فرور بنا 2023 کو من سائل کے خلاف جناب SHO صاحب تھانہ ٹل ثواب علی نے 1 رپورٹ بحوالہ مد 14 روز نامچہ 25 فروری 2023 تھانہ ٹل میں درج روزنامچہ کر دہ جس پر جناب ڈسٹر کٹ پولیس آفیسر ضلع ہنگونے من سائل کی خلاف چارج شیٹ ایشو کرکے چارج شیٹ میں درج شندہ الزامات کے بابت معروض ہوا یہ۔ 1. من سائل بروز و قوعہ ملزمان جو کہ من سائل کے بھائی کے مہمان سے، پولیس ہے مجلکہ ضامت پر رہا کرنے کی استدعا ہوئی تقلی پولیس پارٹی نے دفع 15AA کے ساتھ ساتھ دفعات 401/401 میں لگائے تھے، جس کا من سائل کو پتہ نہ تھا، ایک ادنی کنسٹیبل کا جناب SHO صاحب کو اپنے تھانہ میں پر یشر ائز کرنابہ زور ملزمان کے خلاف FIR درج نہ کر اناسمجھ سے بالاتر ہے۔ من سائل نے کسی قشم کی دیاؤد غیر ہ SHO صاحب پر نہیں ڈالی ہے۔ ادر نہ ڈلوائی گئی۔-2. الزام نمبر 2 کے بابت معروض ہوں کہ من ساکل کاکسی قشم کے منشات فروش کے ساتھ نہ تعلق ہے ادر نہ رابطہ ہے۔اور نہ ساکل خود

- 3. الزام نمبر 3 کے بابت معروض ہوں کہ واقعی پولیس محکمہ ایک ڈسپلن محکمہ ہے۔ سائل نے کسی قشم کے غیر ڈسپلن کام نہ کی ہے۔ اس سے قبل سائل کے خلاف کسی قشم کی رپورٹ غیر ڈسپلن وغیرہ درج نہ ہے۔ علاوہ ازیں انسان غلطی کا بتلہ ہے۔ سائل بال بیج دار آدمی ہے۔ غریب گھرانے سے تعلق رکھتا ہے۔ اس فعل میں من سائل کا کوئی قصور نہ ہے۔ استدعا ہے کہ چارج شیٹ بغیر کسی کاروائی کے فائل کرنے کا حکم صادر فرماکر بغیر کسی کاروائی کے داخل دفتر کرایا جائے۔

سائل اہل وعیال تاعمر دعاً کور ہیگا۔

العسارض محد نواز كنسٹيبل 508، ينتيبن مانڑى زيارت بوليس لائن منگو

Manlozz



OFFICE OF THE DISTRICT POLICE OFFICER, HANGU Tel: 0925-623878 Fax 0925-620135

Scanned with CamScanr

ORDER

This order is passed on the departmental enquiry initiated against Constable Mahaamad Nawaz No. 508, while posted at Police Station Thall under the Khyber Pakhtunkhwa

Police Rules 1975 (Amendment 2014).

ì.

Brief facts of the case are as under:-

- As reported by the DSPO Thall vide DD No. 14, dated 25.02.2023 P.S Thall, accused Hakcem Ullah s/o Shah Wali Kahn r/o Domail District Bannu and Noor Muhammad s/o Sher Muhammad r/o Qazi Pump, Hangu were arrested, duly armed with pistols by the local police of PS Thall in case vide FIR No. 113, dated 25.02.2023 u/s 400-401 PPC/ 15AA. In the meanwhile/during Thall has interfered and pressurized the SHO P.S concerned for not charging/investigating the aforementioned accused in the case. Consequently, the SHO PS Thall has disagreed and charged the above named accused in
- Ilis association with criminals is reported because he has started a curse business of narcotics since his re-instatement in service.
- He being a member of disciplined force had acted in indisciplined manner, disinterest, negligence and amount to gross misconduct on his part, which iii. cannot be tolerated.

He was served with Charge Sheet and statements of allegations under the Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Police Rules 1975 (Amendment 2014) vide this office No. 51/EC, dated 10.03.2023, to which he failed to submit his reply to the DSP, City Hangu, who was appointed as Enquiry Officer to conduct departmental enquiry against him. After completion of enquiry, the Enquiry Officer submitted his findings vide No. 831/SDPO, dated 09.05.2023. In due course of enquiry it was found that this act of the defaulter Constable Muhammad Nawaz No. 508 comes under the ambit of gross misconduct thus, held him guilty for the charges leveled against him. Consequently, he was called in orderly room on 08.06.2023, but he did not appear before the undersigned. Subsequently, a Final Show Cause Notice was issued to him this office vide No. 103/EC, dated 17.05.2022 to which he presented his reply on 22.05.2023, which was found unsatisfactory.

Keeping in view of the above and having gone through available record, the undersigned has arrived at the conclusion that defaulter Muhammad Nawaz No. 508 has committed gross misconduct, which indicates that he is not more interested to serve the Police Department. Moreover, in such circumstances, his retention in Police Department is burden on public exchequer, therefore, I, Asif Bahader, (PSP), District Police Officer, Hangu in exercise of the powers conferred upon me under the Rules ibid, dispense with general proceedings and an ded him a major punishment of Dismissal from Service with immediate effect.

Order Autoanced. UNIN. 378

Dated 27/66/2023

DISTRICT POLICE OFFICER, HANGU

3388-91 /EC, dated Hangu, the 03 12021 Isional Police Officer, Kohat Region, Copy of above is submitted to the Regional Kohat for favour of information, please. Pay Officer, EC, Reader & OHC for necessary action 2.

107

DISTRICT PO CE OFFICER. HANGU

The Deputy Inspector General of Police Kohat Region.

DEPARTMENTAL APPEAL UNDER RULE 11 OF THE POLICE RULES, 1975 (WITH AMENDMENT 2014) AGAINST THE IMPUGNED ORDER HAVING NO 3388-91/EC DATED HANGU, THE 03/07/2023.

Amout

Respectfully Sheweth:

1,

2.

.То,

The appellant submits as under:

C.T.S

That the appellant was serving under your kind command in the department and performed his duty with full zeal and devotion. When all of sudden departmental proceedings were initiated against him.

That those proceedings were not in the knowgele of the appellant and he came to know when final Show Cause Notice was received by him and that final Show Cause Notice issued to the appellant answered well within time but unfortunately the reply of the appellant was not considered. 3. That the first allegation mentioned in the impugned order is baseless and does not attract to the prudent mind. How a police constable of ordinary rank can interfere and pressurize the police officer of high ranks i.e. SHO etc.

4.

5.

6

7.

That the second allegation/ charge regarding his involvement in curse business of narcotics is also baseless. He never involved in such like activities. If it was so, than there exists specific laws governing to the subject matter, the appellant was to be tried under the laws.

That as stated in Para (S) No. 4 and 5, the allegations/ Charge-III is also baseless. The appellant never involved in such like activities as mentioned in the impugned order.

That prior to this the appellant was dismissed from the service on the basis of mere false and baseless allegations and the Hon'ble KPK Service Tribunal was pleased in reinstating him with all back benefits. 「日本」の「日本」というである。 ちゅう

のないできょうが、 いたいないない たいかい かんかい たいたい

That in the instant case nothing is available on record nor anything proved in the so-called inquiry which could connect the appellant with the charges leveled against him.

íЬ

That partial inquiry has been conducted against the appellant neither evidence was produce nor the appellant was allowed to cross examine the witnesses furthermore the appellant has been condemned unheard in violation of the basic principle of natural justice.

That the appellant hails from a poor family and his this service is the only source of earning livelihood for himself and his entire family.

It is, therefore, most humbly prayed that on acceptance of the instant Departmental Appeal, the impugned order having No. 3388-91/EC dated Hangu the 03/07/2023 may, very graciously be withdrawn and t eh appellant be reinstated on the post with all back benefits.

Dated: 07/07/2023

8.

9.

Your's obediently

Muhammad Nawaz EX-Constable No. 508 Challesz-.

ORDER

This order will dispose of the departmental appeal preferred by Ex-Constable-Muhammad Nawaz No. 508 of district Hangu against the order of District Police Officer, Hangu whereby he was awarded major penalty of dismissal from service vide OB No. 378 dated 27.06.2023. As reported by SDPO Thall Hangu vide DD No. 14. dated 25.02.2023, accused Hakeem Ullah s/o Shah Walil Khan r/o Domail district Bannu and Noor Muhammad s/o Sher Muhammad r/o Qazi Pump district Hangu were arrested by the local Police duly armed with pistols in a case vide FIR No. 113: dated 25.02.2023 u/s 400-401 PPC /r15-AA. During the course of investigation, the appellant interfered into the matter and pressurized SHO concerned for not investigating the aforementioned accused. Furthermore, his association with criminals was also reported as he got himself involved in business of narcotics since his reinstatement in service. This act of the defaulter amounts to gross misconduct. Moreover, he was previously dismissed from service vide DPO Hangu OB No. 261, dated 19.10.2020 for his involvement in a criminal vide FIR No. 341, dated 21.08.2020 U/S 302 PPC PS Thall. After availing the departmental appellate authorities, he approached KP Service Tribunal which accepted his appeal. He was reinstated on 28.11.2022 subject to outcome of CPLA.

Proper departmental enquiry proceedings were initiated against him and SDPO City, Hangu was nominated as Enquiry Officer. The Enquiry Officer after fulfillment of codal formalities submitted his findings wherein the appellant was found guilty of the charges leveled against him. He was, therefore, recommended for penalty under the relevant rules.

Keeping in view the recommendations of the Enquiry Officer and the above cited circumstances, the defaulter official was awarded major punishment of dismissal from service under the relevant rules by the District Police Officer, Hangu vide OB No. 378 dated 27.06.2023.

Feeling aggrieved from the order of District Police Officer, Hangu, the appellant preferred the instant appeal. He was summoned and heard in person in Orderly Room held in the office of the undersigned on 26.09.2023. During personal hearing the appellant did not advance any plausible explanation in his defense.

Foregoing in view, I, Sher Akbar, PSP, S.St, Regional Police Officer. Kohat. being the appellate authority, am of the considered opinion that the charges leveled against him have been established beyond any shadow of doubt. He has rendered himself unfit for retention in a disciplined force. Hence, appeal of Ex-Constable Muhammad Nawaz No. 508 is hereby rejected, being without substance.

Order Announced 26,99,2023

Regional Police Officer. Kohat Region

flower F

No. 10 5 1 / /EC, Dated Kohat the 1 / /2023

Copy forwarded to District Police Officer, Hangu for information and necessary w/r to his office Memo: No. 4536/LB, dated 11.09.2023. His Service Record is returned herewith.

c.14

لحدالت موس بريوم علم ل <u>بنام RP8 , بنام (</u> موزقته مقرمه دعوكى ج.م باعث تحريرا نكه مقارمہ مندرجہ عنوان بالا میں اپنی طرف سے داسطے بیروی وجواب وہی دکل کاردائی متعلقہ آن مقام مسلم <u>صرح میں مسل</u>ے مسلیح <u>محمد مسور مرکم کی او جرف حدما</u> سے مقرركر بے الراركيا جاتا ہے۔ كدمها حب موضوف كومقدمد كىكل كاردائى كاكال اختيار ، وگا۔ نيز وكيل صاحب كورامني نامة كريث وتقرر دثالت وفيفله برحلف دينيج جواب داي اورا قبال دعوي ادر بسورت ذكرى كرفي اجراءا درصولى چيك درد بديار عرضى دعوى ادرد دخواست برتسم كي تفررين زراي برد يخط كراف كااختيار بوكا بيزصورت عدم بيروى يا ذكرى يكطرفه باابيل كى برايد كى ادرمنسوخى نیز دائر کرنے اپیل تکرانی دنظر تانی و بیروی کرنے کا اختیار ہوگا۔از بصورت ضرورت مقد مہذ کور کے کل پاجزوی کاردائی کے داسطے اوروکیل پامختار قانونی کواپیے ہمراہ پااسپنے بجائے تقرر کا اختیار ہوگا۔اور ساحب مقررت دہ کوہمی دہی جملہ ندکورہ باا ختیا رات حاصل ہوں کے اور اس کا ساختہ بر واختد منظور قبول موگا - دوران مقد مديس جوتر چدد مرجانه التوات مقدمه بركسب سے د مركا -کوئی تاریخ بیشی مقام دورہ پرہویا حدے باہرہوذوکیل میا حب پابندہوں کے۔کہ بیروی لمكودكرين - لهذاد كالت نامة كمحديا كم سندر ب -الرتوم ______ ·2013 ______ .1 الغبيبية رجي. ی کے سلطور شیار يمقام. CNIC 14203-2050 442-1 Ishaq photostal (1963) 033362727 53 -be-11-1889.