## Form- A

## FORM OF ORDER SHEET

Court of		

	Case No	5287 /2021	
S.No.	Date of order proceedings	Order or other proceedings with signature of judge	
1 .	2	3	
. 1-	24/05/2021	The appeal presented today by Mr. Hassa be entered in the Institution Register and put to the V	
		proper order please.	REGISTRAR
· 2-		This case is entrusted to S. Bench for prelimina	•
		up there on 18/06/21	
		CHAIF	MAN
	-		
			5.
-			
		,	
-		·	

# BEFORE THE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICE TRIBUNAL, PESHAWAR

APPEAL NO.  $\frac{5287}{/2021}$ 

**FARKHANDA JABEEN** 

VS

**EDUCATION DEPTT:** 

据: 图:

#### **INDEX**

S.NO.	DOCUMENTS	ANNEXURE	PAGE
1.	Memo of appeal	*******	1-3
2.	Notification	A	4
3.	Pay slips	B & C	5- 6
4.	Departmental appeal	D	7
5.	Service Tribunal judgment	E	8-9
6.	Vakalat nama		10

**APPELLANT** 

THROUGH:

HASSAN GUL
ADVOCATE HIGH COURT

CELL NO 0314-9959940

Note; Sir,

Spare copies will be submitted After submission of the case.

# BEFORE THE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICE TRIBUNAL, PESHAWAR

APPEAL NO. 572021

Khyber Pakhtukhwa Service Tribunal

Diary No. 30-5

Mrs. **FARKHANDA JABEEN**, SET (BPS-16) GGHS, TANGI, **CHARSADDA** 

Personnel Number: 00804478

.APPELLANT

#### **VERSUS**

- 1- The Government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa through Chief Secretary, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar.
- 2- The Secretary (E&SE) Department, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar.
- 3- The Secretary Finance Department, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar.
- 4- The Accountant General, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar.
- 5- The Director of (E&SE) Department, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar.

  RESPONDENTS

APPEAL UNDER SECTION-4 OF THE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICES TRIBUNAL ACT, 1974 AGAINST THE IMPUGNED ACTION OF THE RESPONDENTS WHO VIDE THE SAME ARE ILLEGALLY AND UNLAWFULLY DEDUCTING THE CONVEYANCE ALLOWANCE OF THE APPELLANT DURING WINTER & SUMMER VACATIONS AND AGAINST IN ACTION OF THE DEPARTMENTAL APPEALLATE AUTHORITY WHO VIDE THE SAME DID NOT PASS ANY APPROPRIATE ORDER OVER THE DEPARTMENTAL APPEAL OF THE APPEALLANT WITHIN THE STATUTORY PERIOD OF 90 DAYS.

#### PRAYER:

That on acceptance of this appeal the respondents may kindly be ordered/directed not to make deduction of conveyance allowance during vacations period (Summer & Winter Vacations) and make the payment of all outstanding amount of Conveyance allowance which edto-dayhave been deducted previously with all back benefits. Any other remedy which this august Tribunal deems fit that may also be awarded in favor of the appellant.

#### R/SHEWETH:

#### ON FACTS:

- 1- That the appellant is serving in the Elementary & Secondary Education Department as **SENIOR ENGLISH TEACH BPS-16** quite efficiently and up to the entire satisfaction of their superiors.
- 2- That the Conveyance Allowance is admissible to all the Civil Servants and to this effect a Notification No. FD (PRC)1-1/2011 dated 14.07.2012 was issued. That later on vide revised Notification dated 20.12.2012 whereby the conveyance allowance for employees working in BPS 1 to 15 were enhance/revised while employees from

- That the Government Servants Revised Leave Rules, 1981 clearly explain that the civil servants who avail the vacations are allowed only one leave in a month whereas, the other civil servants may avail 04 days leave in a calendar months and the same are credited to his account and in this way he may avail 48 days earned leave with full pay, whereas the Government servants to avail vacation such as appellant is allowed one day leave in a month and twelve (12) days in a year and earned leave for twelve days in a year are credited to his account and there is no question of deduction of conveyance allowance for vacation period, the respondents while making the deduction of conveyance allowance lost sight of this legal aspect and illegally and without any authority started the recovery and deduction of conveyance allowance from appellant.
- F- That as the act of the respondents is illegal, unconstitutional, without any lawful authority and not only discriminatory but is also the result of malafide on the part of respondents.
- G- That appellant has the vested right of equal treatment before law and the act of the respondents to deprive the appellant from the conveyance/allowance is unconstitutional and clear violation of fundamental rights.
- H-That according to Government Servants Revised Leave Rules, 1984 vacations are holidays and not leave of any kind, therefore, the deduction of conveyance allowance in vacations is against the law and rules.
- I- That according to Article 38 (e) of the Constitution of Islamic Republic of Pakistan, 1973 the state is bound to reduce disparity in the income and earning of individuals including persons in the services of the federation, therefore in light of the said Article the appellant fully entitle for the grant of conveyance allowance during vacations.
- J- That the appellant seeks permission of this Honorable Tribunal to raise any other grounds available at the time of arguments.

It is therefore, most humbly prayed that the appeal of the appellant may be accepted as prayed for under the golden principals of consistency.

APPELLANT.

**FARKHANDA JABEEN** 

THROUGH:

HASSAN GUL ADVOCATE HIGH COURT i.

 $F_{\gamma}$ 

1.4.2

14.

 $\tilde{\eta}_i^{\prime}:$ 

];{ }(



### RNMENT OF KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA FINANCE DEPARTMENT (REGULATION WING)

NO. FD/SO(SR-II)/8-52/2012 Datod Peshawar the: 20-12-2012

From

The Secretary to Govil of Knyber Pakhtunkhwa.

Figanse Departmoht,

Peshawar.

To:

All Adamatists alime Services les les Gévil et Parrèer Pakition at vive

The Senior Member, Board of Resemble, Whyber Pashtua-Trea.

The Secretary to Generous Knyber Pawistensews

The Secretary to Chief Minsser, Khyber Pakhlafikhwa!

The Secretary, Francial Ascendig Khyber Pakhlerkhiva

All Heads of Altached Departments in Knyher Pakhtunkhwa Att District Coordination Officers to Aligner Paklitenkfore.

All Political Agents i District के Samilors diviges in Khytter Pakitticikhwa

The Registry, Pashawar High Costs, Poshsion

The Charmer, Poblic Service Conversion, Khyber Pokhtuckinga.

The Chairmain, Germose, Tribonal Kayber Pakhlunkhiya:

Sabject

REVISION IN THE RATE OF CONVEYANCE ALLOWANCE FOR THE CIVIL EMPLOYEES OF THE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA, PROVINCIAL GOVERNMENT UPS 1-19

Dear 51:

The Government of Khyte: Pakhterathyro has been pleased to enhance / vevise the rate of Conveyance Allowance admissible to all the Provinced Civil Servants, Covt. of phyber Pakhtunisheka (Wolking In BPS-1 to BPS-15) Wielf from 15 September, 2012 at the following rates. However, the conveyance allowance for employees in 6FS+15 to 6PS+19 า ปฏิรัติกับกับสิ่นสี ... will reithern

1	S.NO	BPS		EXISTING RATE (PM	REVISED RATE (PM)
	1	. 1-4		Rs.1,500/-	Rs.1,700/-
<b>64</b> 1	2	5-10	·	Ps.1,500/-	Rs.1,840/-
	3	11.15	T	Rs.2,000/-	Rs.2,720/-
٠	4.	16-£9		85.5,000/-	Rs.5,000/-

Conveyance Allowance at the appyorates per month shall be admissible to those 888-17, 18 and 19 officers who have not been sanctioned afficial vehicles.

fours Fashfully

(Sahibzada Sacod Ahma

Secretary Finance

Endste NO. FD/SO/SR-11/8-52/2012

Dated Posturwar the Ma Decomber, 2017

A Copy is forwarded for information to the:-

Accountant General, Kindor Pakitigarktima, Pestideset. Secretaries la Galerrament et Punjab, Sandh à Salberssen, Fanarscé Department

នារ ក្នុងស្រាស់លេខ / Semi Autoricinous Bodies in Kinyber Pakhtunkhwa

(BUYA ZAYUB)

Additional Regarded (Rest)

# GOVERNMENT OF KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA FINANCE DEPARTMENT (REGUALTION WING)

NO.FD/SO(SR-II)/52/2012 Dated Peshawar the: 20.12:2012

From

The Secretary to Govt: of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa. Finance Department, Peshawar.

To:

- 1. All administrative Secretaries to Govt: of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa.
- 2. The Senior Member, Board of Revenue, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa.
- 3. The Secretary to Governor, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa.
- 4. The Secretary to Chief Minister, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa.
- 5. The Secretary, Provincial Assembly, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa.
- 6. All Heads of attached Departments in Khyber Pakhtunkhwa.
- 7. All District Coordination Officers of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa.
- 8. All Political Agents/District & Session Judge in Khyber Pakhtunkhwa.
- 9. The Registrar Peshawar High Court, Peshawar.
- 10. The Chairman Public Service Commission, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa.
- 11. The Chairman, Service Tribunal, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa.

Subject

# REVISION IN THE RATE OF CONVEYANCE ALLOWANCE FOR THE CIVIL EMPLOYEES OF THE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA, PROVINCIAL GOVERNMENT BPS-1-19

Dear Sir,

The Government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa has been pleased to enhance/revise the rate of Conveyance Allowance admissible to all the Provincial Civil Servants Govt: of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa (working in BPS-1 to BPS-15) w.e.f. from 1<sup>st</sup> September, 2012 at the following rates. However, the conveyance allowance for employees in BPS-16 to BPS-19 will remain unchanged.

1	S.No.	BPS	Existing Rate (PM)	Revised Rate (PM)
	l	1-4	Rs. 1,500/-	Rs. 1,700/-
$\int 2$	2	5-10	Rs. 1,500/-	Rs. 1,840/-
$\Box$	3.	11-15	Rs. 2,000/-	Rs. 2,720/-
4	l. :	16-19	Rs. 5,000/-	Rs. 5,000/-

Conveyance Allowance at the above rates per month shall be admissible to those BPS-17,
 18 and 19 officers who have not been sanctioned official vehicle.

Your Faithfully

(Sahibzada Saeed Ahmad) Secretary Finance

Endst No. FD/SO(SR-II)8-52/2012 Dated Peshawar the 20th December, 2012

MO

The Secretary (E&SE) Department, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar.

Subject:

DEPARTMENTAL APPEAL AGAINST THE IMPUGNED ACTION THE CONCERNED AUTHORITY BY ILLEGALLY AND UNLAWFULLY DEDUCTING THE CONVEYANCE ALLOWANCE DURING WINTER & SUMMER VACATIONS

Respected Sir,

With due respect it is stated that I am the employee of your good self Department and is serving as SET (BPS-16) quite efficiency and up to the entire satisfaction of the superiors. It is stated for kind information that Conveyance Allowance is admissible to all the civil servants and to this effect a Notification No. FD (PRC) 1-1/2011 dated 14.07.2011 was issued. Later ion vide revised Notification dated 20.12.2012 whereby the conveyance allowance for employees working in BPS 1 to 15 were enhance/revised while employees from BPS-15 to 19 have been treated under the previous Notification by not enhancing their conveyance allowance. Respected Sir, I was receiving the conveyance allowance as admissible under the law and rules but the concerned authority without any valid and justifiable reasons stopped/deducted the payment of conveyance allowance under the wrong and illegal pretext that the same is not allowed for the leave period. One of the employee of Education Department in Islamabad filed service appeal No.1888 (R) CS/2016 before the Federal Service Tribunals Islamabad regarding conveyance allowance which was accepted by the Honorable Service Tribunal vide its judgment dated 03.12.2018. That the august K.P.K service tribunal also allowed the restoration of the convence allowance in its judgment dated 11.11.2019 in appeal No 1452/2019 titled Maqsad Hayat versus Education Derpartment. Copy attached. That I also the similar employee of Education Department and under the principle of consistency I am also entitled for the same treatment meted out in the above mentioned service appeal but the concerned authority is not willing to issue/grant the same conveyance allowance which is granting to other employees. Copy attached. I am feeling aggrieved from the action of the concerned authority regarding deduction of conveyance allowance in vacations period/months preferred this Departmental appeal before your good self.

It is therefore, most humbly prayed that on acceptance of this Departmental appeal the concerned authority may very kindly be directed the conveyance allowance may not be deducted from my monthly salary during the winter & summer vacations.

Dated: 19.01.2021

artifies

Your Obediently

FARKHANDA JABEEN



raplat Hayat

Counse it the appellant present.

Learned counsel referred to the judgment passed by learned Fedural Service Tribunal in Appeal No. 1388(R)CS/2016 which was handed down on 03.12.2018. Through the said judgment the issue of payment of Conveyance, Allowance to a civil servant durings summer and writer vacations was held to be within his entitlement and the deduction already >> reade from him was to be reignburged. Similar reference was made to the Judgment by Honourable Reshawar High Court passed on 01.10.2019 in the case of appellant.

ucamed counsel, when confronted with the proposition that the TRUE, in essence, was dilated upon by the Federal Service Tribunal and, male particularly, by the Hongurable Peshawar High Court in the case of appollant, stated that in case the respondents are required to executivithe Jurgment of Peshuwar High Court, the appellant will have no cavil about disposal of instant appeal.,

The record suggests that while handing down judgment in the Wnt Pebtion preferred by the appellant, the Honourable High Court not only expounded the definition of "Pay" as well as "Salary" but also entitlement of a civil servant for the Conveyance Allowance during the penting of vacations. It is important to note that the respondents were represented before the High Court during the proceedings.

In view of the above noted facts and circumstances and in active protect the appellant from a fresh round of litigation which may protract over a formidable period, the appeal in hand is disposed of with observation that the judgment of Honourable Peshawar High Court passed in Writ Petitions including W.P. No. 3167-P/2019 shalf be honoured and implemented by the respondents within shortest possible time...The appellant shall, however, be at liberty to seek remedy in accordance with law in case his grievance is not redressed by the respondents within a reasonable time.

File be consigned to the record.

ANNOUNCED

11,11,2019

Chairman

ATTESTED

### **VAKALATNAMA**

BEFORE THE KHY	BER PAKHT	'UNKHWA S	ERVICE TIE	BUNAL, PESHAWAR
s e			_ OF 2021	
FARKHANDA JABEE	en :			(APPELLANT) (PLAINTIFF)
	2 2		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	(PETITIONER)
		<u>VERSUS</u>		(RESPONDENT)
Education Departmer	<u>1t                                    </u>	•	(DEFE	ENDANT)
	•	•		
<b>Peshawar</b> to appear, or me/us as my/ou ny liability for his de dvocate Counsel on	plead, act, or Counsel/A efault and windown my/our cost on my/ou	compromise Advocate in ith the author. I/we author behalf all	, withdraw of the above nority to enga prize the said sums and	ocate, High Court, or refer to arbitration oted matter, without ge/appoint any other Advocate to deposit amounts payable or
oated/20	21.	: · · · · · .		
			CLIENT	<u>-</u>
	7 7 8			12
			_ACCEP1	<del></del>
	· 5		77 4	SSAN GUL