Sr. No	Date of order/ proceedings	Order or other proceedings with signature of Judge or Magistrate
1	2	3
		BEFORE THE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICE TRIBUNAL, PESHAWAR Appeal No. 86/2015 Roman ullah Versus Inspector General of Police, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar and 3 others. JUDGMENT MUHAMMAD AZIM KHAN AFRIDI, CHAIRMAN:-
	09.05.2017	Counsel for the appellant and Mr. Ziaullah, Government Pleader alongwith Arif Saleem, S.I (Legal) for respondents present. 2. Roman ullah hereinafter referred to as the appellant has preferred the instant service appeal under Section 4 of the Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Service Tribunal Act, 1974 against the impugned final order dated 07.01.2015 vide which his departmental appeal dated 27.11.2014 was rejected and hence the instant service appeal on 06.02.2015. 3. Brief facts of the case of the appellant are that while serving as ASI adverse remarks to the following effect were recorded in his ACR:- "He is not honest police officer. He is well versed with police work and is a competent police officer but he uses his geniuses for his personal benefits and is of no use to the public or the department."

09.05.17

4. Learned counsel, while challenging the validity of the said remarks argued that the competency of the appellant was established beyond any shadow of doubt and for efficient work he was awarded commendation certificates. That the remarks are therefore unfounded and liable to be set aside. In support of his arguments he has placed reliance on judgment of this Tribunal passed in Service Appeal No. 1275/2012 titled "Ali Hassan Versus the Provincial Police Officer, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Peshawar and others".

5. Learned Government Pleader has argued that no malafide to Reporting Officer or Countersigning Authority is attributed. That the competency of the appellant is even mentioned in the disputed report which shows that there was no malafide and ill-well on the part of Reporting Officer or Countersigning Authority.

09.05.17.

6. We have heard arguments of learned counsel for the appellant and learned Government Pleader for respondents and perused the record.

7. It is not disputed before us that the appellant is reported well versed in his duties or is reported a competent officer but such traits would not vitiate the observations of the Reporting Officer as well as Countersigning Authority as despite the said traits were recorded in the ACR of the appellant and was, simultaneously reported as dishonest officer using his geniuses for his personal benefits instead of public or the department. Since no ill-well or malafide was shown so as to observe that the said observations were recorded by the Reporting Officer and endorsed by the

Countersigning Authority for any ulterior reasons as such we are of the humble view that appeal for expunction of such remarks would not be maintainable. The appeal is therefore, dismissed, leaving the parties to bear their own costs. File be consigned to the record room.

(Muhammad Azim Khan Afridi)
Chairman
09.05.2017

(Gul Zeb Khan)
Member

ANNOUNCED
09.05.2017

27.09.2016 Appellant with counsel and Mr. Arif Saleem, ASI alongwith Addl: AG for respondents present. Written reply submitted. To come up for rejoinder and final hearing on 22.12.2016.

Member

22.12.2016 Counsel for the appellant and Additional AG for the respondents present. Learned counsel for the appellant submitted rejoinder which is placed on file. To come up for arguments on 09.05.2017 before D.B.

(ASHFAQUE TAJ)
MEMBER

(MUHAMMAD AAMIR NAZIR)
MEMBER

19.07.2016

Appellant with counsel present. Preliminary arguments heard. Counsel for the appellant in support of application for restoration of his appeal which was dismissed in default vide order dated 25.06.2015, submitted that in fact learned counsel who had initially instituted this appeal died due to heart attack and thus the appeal cannot be proper pursued. He also stated that the appeal was dismissed in default before the same being admitted for final hearing. Learned counsel for the appellant also argued that no notice was issued to the appellant or his subsequently engaged counsel. In the light of the stated foregoing discussion the appeal is restored. On appeal, learned counsel for the appellant argued that the instant appeal is for the expunchment of adverse remarks of the appellant which is well within time. He also submitted that the remarks are not based on facts but on malice and whimsical ~~[illegible]~~ amples without any notice or warning or counseling of the appellant.

The points raised at the bar need further consideration, therefore, admitted to regular hearing subject to all legal objections. The appellant is directed to deposit the security amount and process fee within 10 days. Thereafter, Notice be issued to the respondents for submission of written reply. To come up for written reply/comments on 27.09.2016.

Appellant Deposited
Security & Process Fee

Member

30.10.2015 None present for applicant. Notice to applicant and his counsel be issued for 26.2.2016 before S.B.

Chairman

Noted the date
Council in
person —
Mud 23/11/15

26.02.2016 Counsel for the applicant and Addl: A.G for respondents present. Requested for adjournment. Adjourned to 26.4.2016 before S.B.

Member

26.4.2016 Counsel for the appellant present. Seeks adjournment. Adjourned for preliminary hearing to 23.06.2016 before S.B.

Chairman

23.06.2016 Counsel for the appellant present. Submitted an application for adjournment. Request accepted. To come up for preliminary hearing on 19.7.2016 before S.B.

Member

FORM-A

FORM OF ORDER SHEET

Court _____

Case No. Misc Application 102/2015

	Date of order/ proceedings	Order or other proceedings with signature of Judge/ Magistrate
1	2	3
1.	14.09.2015	The restoration application in S.A No. 86/2015 submitted by Romanullah himself, may be entered in the relevant register and put up to the Worthy Chairman for further order please. REGISTRAR This case be put up before the court of S.B for further proceedings on 15-10-15. CHAIRMAN
	15.10.2015	Since 15.10.2015 has been declared as public holiday on account of 1st Muharram-ul-Haram, therefore, case is adjourned to 30-10-15 for the same. Reader

بخدمت جناب رجسٹرار سروس ٹریبونل کے پے پشاور

اپیل نمبر 86/15

روفان اللہ بنام IGP-KPK وغیرہ
Police

اپیلانٹ ریسپانڈنٹ

درخواست برائے کیس کی سماعت اپیل جو کہ بعد پیروی مورخہ 25-6-15 کو خارج فرمایا گیا۔

جناب عالی:

اپیلانٹ ذیل میں عرض رساں ہے

1) کہ مقدمہ عنوان بالا میں مورخہ 25-6-15 تاریخ پیشی مقرر تھی

2) کہ لواحقین پیروی مذکورہ تاریخ پر سائل کی اپیل خارج فرمائی گئی

3) کہ سائل ایک غریب شخص ہے اور سائل کے وکیل کو نوٹس مورخہ 25-6-15 بروز پیشی موصول نہیں ہوا تھا۔

4) کہ سائل کو اطلاع بابت خارج ہونے اپیل مورخہ 12-9-15 کو موصول ہوئی

5) کہ سائل/اپیلانٹ کا Good Prima facie کیس ہے اور جسٹس کے قوی امکانات ہیں

6) کہ سائل/اپیلانٹ کو ایک آخری موقع دیا جائے کیس کی مقدمہ کا جاری ہو تک اپیلانٹ کو سارے عمر انتہائی ناقابل تلافی نقصان ہوتا رہے گا

لہٰذا استدعا ہے کہ حسب ضابطہ
سائل کی درخواست کو منظور
کرتے ہوئے حکم صادر فرمائیں

16/8/15

سائل اپلیکنٹ
رمضان اللہ بن عبدالصمد ولد
[illegible]
ایڈووکیٹ
ڈسٹرکٹ کورٹس کوہاٹ
0345-9645854

بیان حلفی

حلفاً بیان کیا جاتا ہے کہ
میں نے اور کوئی بھی
درخواست
نہیں دی ہے

سائل اپلیکنٹ

BEFORE THE KHYBER PAKHTOON KHWA SERVICE TRIBUNAL-PESHAWAR

Service Appeal 86 2015

Roman ullah ASI S/o Waris Khan R/o Khagazai Kohat Present ,Police Line Kohat.------ Appellant)

Diary No. 85
Dated 6-2-2015

VERSUS

1- Inspector General of Police KPK Peshawar.

2- Deputy Inspector General of Police Kohat

3- District Police Officer Kohat----------Respondent)

4- DSP Saddar Kohat.

APPEAL UNDER SECTION 4 OF THE KHYBER PAKHTOONKHWA SERVICE TRIBUNAL ACT 1974 AGAINST THE IMPUGNED ORDER OF RESPONDENT NO 3 DATED 07-01-2015, WHERE BY THE APPELLANT ROMAN ULLAH ASI OF KOHAT POLICE WAS COMMUNICATED ADVERSE REMARKS "C" IN HIS PER FOR THE PERIOD OF 01-08-2013 TO 12-12-2013 ,AND REPRESENTATION OF DEPARMENTAL APPEAL WAS PREFERED BUT THE SAME WAS REJECTED .

PRAYER:-

on accepting of this appeal , the impugned orders vide No- 20/CC-Dated 07-01-2015 may kindly be set a side and expung the adverse remarks in his PER for the period of 01-08-2013 to 12-12-2013 for the end of justice.

ATTESTED

16/2/15

Respectfully Sheweth:-

EXAMINER
Khyber Pakhtunkhwa
Service Tribunal,

10 25.06.2015

None present for appellant despite notice served on counsel for the appellant. Record perused which suggests that on the previous dates none had appeared on behalf of the appellant. The Court time is about to over. Dismissed for want of prosecution. File be consigned to the record.

ANNOUNCED
25.6.2015

Chairman

Certified to be true copy

EXAMINER
Khyber Pakhtunkhwa
Service Tribunal
Peshawar

Date of Presentation of Application 14-9-2015
Number of Words 800
Copying Fee 6
Urgent 2
Total 8
Name of Copyist
Date of Completion of Copy 14-9-2015
Date of Delivery of Copy 14-9-2015

BEFORE THE HONORABLE KP SERVICE TRIBUNAL,
PESHAWAR.

Service appeal No. 86/2015

Roman Ullah ASI ..... Appellant.

VERSUS

Inspector General of Police,
Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Peshawar & others .... Respondents.

PARAWISE COMMENTS ON BEHALF OF RESPONDENTS.

Respectively Sheweth:-

Parawise comments are submitted as under:-

Preliminary Objections:-

1. That the appellant has got no cause of action.
2. That the appellant has got no locus standi.
3. That the appeal is not maintainable in its present form.
4. That the appeal is time barred.
5. That the appellant has been stopped by his own conduct to file the instant appeal.

FACTS:-

1. That the appellant was remained/posted direct command under respondent No. 4 (reporting officer), for the period 01.08.2013 to 12.12.2013. He was found a dishonest officer and did his legal job for personal benefit /gain.
2. That for the reasons above, he was informed in writing by the respondent No. 4 and appellants performance remained as such for the above period was reported in his PER and conveyed to the appellant as **adverse (C).**

GROUNDS:-

1. Incorrect, the adverse PER was conveyed by the respondents 2 to 4 on the basis of his performance remained under the above period. The remaining para is irrelevant.
2. The remarks of reporting officer (respondent No. 4) are self explanatory as the appellant was found dishonest and worked for his personal benefit instead discharging his lawful obligations.
3. Incorrect, the adverse remarks were passed / conveyed to the appellant accordance with the PER Rules after fulfilling all the codal formalities.

4. Incorrect, the performance of appellant observed by the respondents No. 2 to 4 were conveyed to the appellant. The remaining para is irrelevant.
5. Incorrect, there is no provision in PER to site a witness by the reporting/countersigning officer, but respondent and his better position to evaluate the performance and conduct of the appellant, being subordinate.
6. Incorrect, the respondent No. 3 passed a legal and speaking order on the departmental appeal of the appellant regarding expungtion of adverse remarks.
7. Incorrect, reply is submitted in para No. 6.
8. Incorrect, the impugned order is in accordance with law.
9. This para is legal, hence no comments. However, respondents also seek permission to advance further grounds during arguments.

Keeping in view of the above, legal and factual aspects the appeal is devoid of merits. It is, therefore, prayed that the instant appeal of the appellant may kindly be dismissed with cost.

Inspector General of Police,
Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Peshawar
(Respondent No. 1)

Dy: Inspector General of Police,
Kohat Region, Kohat
(Respondent No. 2)

District Police Officer,
Kohat
(Respondent No. 3)

DSP Saddar
Kohat
(Respondent No. 4)

5

BEFORE THE HONORABLE KP SERVICE TRIBUNAL, PESHAWAR.

Service appeal No. 86/2015

Roman Ullah ASI ..... Appellant.

VERSUS

Inspector General of Police,
Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Peshawar & others .... Respondents.

COUNTER AFFIDAVIT

We, the below mentioned respondents, do hereby solemnly affirm and declare on oath that contents of parawise comments are correct and true to the best of our knowledge and belief. Nothing has been concealed from this Hon: Tribunal.

Inspector General of Police,
Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Peshawar
(Respondent No. 1)

Dy: Inspector General of Police,
Kohat Region, Kohat
(Respondent No. 2)

District Police Officer,
Kohat
(Respondent No. 3)

DSP Saddar
Kohat
(Respondent No. 4)

ضلع کوہاٹ

از دفتر ڈی ایس پی صدر سرکل

No. 6317-26/S

Dated 20.08.2013

انچارج چوکی ملزار یا

آپکے علاقہ میں جرائم پیشہ افراد اور قانون شکن عناصر سرگرم ہیں۔ آپ خصوصی دلچسپی لے کر ان جرائم پیشہ افراد کے خلاف سخت قانونی کارروائی عمل میں لائیں اور پراگریس رپورٹ دفتر ہذا بھجوائیں۔ آپکی کارگزاری کا جائزہ لیا جائے گا۔

ڈی ایس پی صدر سرکل کوہاٹ

کاپی برائے اطلاع و عمل:۔

1۔ جناب ڈسٹرکٹ پولیس آفیسر کوہاٹ

2۔ ایس ایچ او تھانہ محمد ریاض شہید

ڈی ایس پی صدر سرکل کوہاٹ

BEFORE THE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICE, TRIBUNAL PESHAWAR

Service Appeal No._____/2015

Roman Ullah .....**Appellant**

V E R S U S

I.G.P & others.....**Respondents**

APPLICATION FOR ADJOURNMENT

Respectfully Sheweth:

1. That the titled appeal is pending adjudication before this Honourable Court and is fixed for today i.e. 23/06/2016.

2. That the counsel for the Appellant is busy in Bannu High Court Bench in a writ petition of Qazi Burhan ud Din Versus Secretary local Govt. therefore unable to assist and appear before this Honourable Court on the date fixed

It is, therefore, respectfully prayed that on acceptance of this application, the case may kindly be adjourned to some other date, convenient to this Honourable Court.

Appellant

Through

Syed Mudassir Pirzada
Advocate, Peshawar
Cell No.0345-9645854

Dated 23.06.2016

10 25.06.2015 None present for appellant despite notice served on counsel for the appellant. Record perused which suggests that on the previous dates none had appeared on behalf of the appellant. The Court time is about to over. Dismissed for want of prosecution. File be consigned to the record.

ANNOUNCED
25.6.2015

Chairman
25.06.15

4	09.03.2015	Agent of counsel for the appellant present. Requested for adjournment. Adjourned for preliminary hearing to 13.4.2015 before S.B. Chairman
5	13.04.2015	Appellant in person present. Seeks adjournment as his counsel is busy in the Election of District Bar Association Kohat. Adjourned to 28.4.2015 for preliminary hearing before S.B. Chairman
6	28.04.2015	Counsel for the appellant. Learned counsel for the appellant seeks adjournment. Adjourned for preliminary hearing to 12.5.2015 before S.B. Chairman
7	12.05.2015	None present of appellant. Adjourned to 26.5.2015 for preliminary before S.B. Chairman
8	26.05.2015	None present for appellant. Due to strike of the Bar adjourned for preliminary hearing to 9.6.2015 before S.B. Chairman
9	09.06.2015	None present for appellant. Notice to counsel for the appellant be issued for 25.6.2015 for preliminary hearing before S.B. Chairman

Form- A

FORM OF ORDER SHEET

Court of_____

Case No. 86/2015

S.No.	Date of order Proceedings	Order or other proceedings with signature of judge or Magistrate
1	2	3
1	06.02.2015	The appeal of Mr. Roman Ullah presented today by Mr. Sajid Rehman Khattak Advocate may be entered in the Institution register and put up to the Worthy Chairman for proper order. REGISTRAR
2	9-2-15	This case is entrusted to Bench I for preliminary hearing to be put up thereon 12-02-2015. CHAIRMAN
3	12.02.2015	Counsel for the appellant seeks adjournment. Adjourned to for preliminary hearing to 9.3.2015 before S.B. Chairman

BEFORE THE KHYBER PAKHTOON KHWA SERVICE TRIBUNAL PESHAWAR

Service Appeal 86 2015

Roman ullah ASI S/o Waris Khan R/o Khagazai Kohat Present ,Police Line Kohat.-------Appellant)

VERSUS

Inspector General of Police KPK Peshawar.

Deputy Inspector General of Police Kohat

District Police Officer Kohat

DSP Saddar Kohat ----------Respondent)

INDEX

Sr No	Description of Documents	Annexure	Page No
1	Service Appeal		1-3
2	Affidavite		4
3	Addresses of the Parties		5
4	Copy of ACR	A	6
5	Copy of Progress During Period from dated:-01-08-2013 to 12-12-2013	B	7-16
6	Copy of Departmental Appeal/Representation	C	17
7	Impugned order date 07-01-2015	D	18
8	Waklat Nama		

Appellant

Through

06-02-2015

Sajid Rehman Khattak

Advocate High Court
District Courts Kohat
0333-5984747

Syed Mudasir Pirzada

Advocate 0345-9645854

BEFORE THE KHYBER PAKHTOON KHWA SERVICE TRIBUNAL PESHAWAR

Service Appeal 86 2015

Roman ullah ASI S/o Waris Khan R/o Khagazai Kohat Present ,Police Line Kohat.------ Appellant)

Diary No. 85
Dated 6-2-2015

VERSUS

1- Inspector General of Police KPK Peshawar.

2- Deputy Inspector General of Police Kohat

3- District Police Officer Kohat----------Respondent)

4- DSP Saddar Kohat.

APPEAL UNDER SECTION 4 OF THE KHYBER PAKHTOONKHWA SERVICE TRIBUNAL ACT 1974 AGAINST THE IMPUGNED ORDER OF RESPONDENT NO 3 DATED 07-01-2015, WHERE BY THE APPELLANT ROMAN ULLAH ASI OF KOHAT POLICE WAS COMMUNICATED ADVERSE REMARKS "C" IN HIS PER FOR THE PERIOD OF 01-08-2013 TO 12-12-2013 ,AND REPRESENTATION OF DEPARMENTAL APPEAL WAS PREFERED BUT THE SAME WAS REJECTED .

PRAYER:-

on accepting of this appeal , the impugned orders vide No- 20/CC-Dated 07-01-2015 may kindly be set a side and expung the adverse remarks in his PER for the period of 01-08-2013 to 12-12-2013 for the end of justice.

16/2/15

Respectfully Sheweth:-

With great veneration, the instant appeal is preferred by the appellant on the following facts and grounds.

Facts:-

Brief Facts are that the appellant while serving in District Kohat Police was proceeded against the departmentally by the DPO Kohat after the following charges leveled against the appellant then awarded the adverse remarks in his PER /ACR that is C"

1:- That the appellant is not honest and nor obedient.

2:-That the appellant has not pay respect to his immediate officer not show any effection for there officers .

GROUNDS:

1:- That as reference to ther letter No 20-11-2014 No-521/cc-20 received on 25-11-2014 the DSP (Saddar) has recommend adverse remarks in my ACR which are totally based on official bias.Appellant has numerious good entries in the service record and during the period of evaluation the progress of the appellant was on record(copy of progress is annex as annexure(B).

2:-That the DSP Saddar has apperciate appellant performance in the words i.e **(THE ASI IS WELL VERSED WITH THE POLICE WORK AND IS A COMPETENT POLICE OFFICER)** and never leave a single stone unturn to discharge the duties of the appellant (copy of the ACR is annex as annexure(A)

3:-That there is no evidentiary proof of any type dishonesty and disrespect on record which shows the in efficency of the appellant .

4:-That the allegation leveled against the appellant is a not proved through any cogent reasons nor any specific name of a person to whome the appellant take any illegal favour.

4:-That the appellant has render his service for the department only and the respondents can verify the performance and services from service recorde .

5:-That there is no witness on record against the appellant , which speaks about the attribution of adverse remarks in ACR against the appellant.

5:-That the appellant not personally heard before attributing the adervse remarks by the respondents in the ACR. Which is necessory as per PER rules

6:-That the impugned order of the worthy Respondent No-3 dated 07-01-2015 is out come of law and liable to be set a side.(copy of impgned order is annex as annexure D)

7:-That the impugned order of the respondent No-3 is not in consonance with the allegation leveled against the appellant and the appellant has moved a departmental appeal which is annex as annexure C)

8:-That the impugned order is not based on sound reason and not sustainable in the eye of law.

9:- That further grounds will be agitated at the time of arguments with the kind permission of this hon'able court.

It is there fore , humbly prayed that on accepting of this appeal , the impugned orders vide No- 20/CC-Dated 07-01-2015 may kindly be set a side and expung the adverse remarks in his PER for the period of 01-08-2013 to 12-12-2013 for the end of justice.

Appellant

Through

06-02-2015

Sajid Rehman Khattak

Advocate High Court
District Courts Kohat
0333-5984747

Syed Mudasir Pirzada

Advocate

0345-9645854

BEFORE THE KHYBER PAKHTOON KHWA SERVICE TRIBUNAL PESHAWAR

Service Appeal_____2015

Roman ullah ASI S/o Waris Khan R/o Khagazai Kohat Present ,Police Line Kohat.------ Appellant)

VERSUS

Inspector General of Police KPK Peshawar.

Deputy Inspector General of Police Kohat

District Police Officer Kohat

DSP Saddar Kohat----------(Respondent)

AFFIDAVIT

I , Syed Mudasir Pirzada advocate ,Sajid Rehman Khattak Advocate , As per instruction of my client do here by solemnly affirm and declare that all the contents of accompanying service appeal are true and correct to the best of my knowledge and belief and nothing has been concealed from this Hon'able Tribunal.

ATTESTED

Zahida Qureshi Advocate Peshawar Oath Commissioner

ADVOCATES

06 JAN 2015

BEFORE THE KHYBER PAKHTOON KHWA SERVICE TRIBUNAL PESHAWAR

Service Appeal_____2015

Roman ullah ASI S/o Waris Khan R/o Khagazai Kohat Present ,Police Line Kohat. (Appellant)

VERSUS

Inspector General of Police KPK Peshawar.

Deputy Inspector General of Police Kohat

District Police Officer Kohat

DSP Saddar Kohat----------Respondent)

ADDRESSES OF THE PARTIES

APPELLANT:-

Roman ullah ASI S/o Waris Khan R/o Khagazai Kohat Present ,Police Line Kohat.

RESPONDENTS:-

Inspector General of Police KHYBER PAKHTOON KHWA Peshawar.

Deputy Inspector General of Police Kohat Region Kohat

District Police Officer Kohat

DSP Saddar Kohat----------(Respondent)

Appellant

ASI

Through

06-02-2015

Sajid Rehman Khattak

Advocate High Court
District Courts Kohat
0333-5984747

Syed Mudasir Pirzada

Advocate

0345-9645854

Annex – A – Page 6 along with better copy –

ORIGINAL

Form No. [illegible]

[illegible]CE DEPARTMENT — N.W.F.P POLICE

Annual Confidential Report on the working of Assistant Sub-Inspector, Sub-Inspectors and Inspectors for the year ending 31st December 2013.

Name, Provincial or Range No., Rank and Grade	ASI Roman Ullah.
Father's Name	Waris Khan
Where and on what duties Employed during the past 12 months	From 01.08.2013 to 12.12.2013 I/C PP Mills Area
Class of Superintendent of Police's Report i.e. 'A' or 'B'	"C"
Is he honest?	He is not honest police officer
Remarks by:- **(1) Superintendent of Police,** **(2) Regional Deputy Inspector of Police**	The ASI is well versed with police work and is a competent police officer but he uses is genuineness for his personal benefits and is of no use to the public or the department.

(1.8.2013 to 12.12.2013)

Conduct is adverse

(IHSAN ULLAH KHAN)
Deputy Superintendent of Police,
Saddar Circle, Kohat

CONVERTED [illegible] BANKS
Vide No. 521 [illegible] 20/1/2014

(MUHAMMAD SALIM MARWAT)
District Police Officer,
Kohat

(DR. ASHTIAQ AHMAD MARWAT)
PSP, PSP
Dy. Inspector General of Police
Kohat Region, Kohat

BETTER COPY

FORM NO.

POLICE DEPARTMENT **NWFP POLICE**

Annual Confidential report on the working of Assistant Sub- Inspector, Sub Inspector and Inspectors for the year ending 31st December, 2013.

Name, Provincial or Range No Rank and Grade	ASI Roman Ullah
Father's Name	Waris Khan
Where and on what duty employeed during the past 12 months	From 01/08/2013 to 12/12/2013 I /C PP Mills Area
Class of Superintend of police's Report i.e. A or B	C
Is he honest?	He is not honest police officer
Remarks by (1)Suprientendent of Police (2)Regional Deputy Inspector of Police	The ASI is well worked with police work and is a competent police officer but he uses his geniuses for his personal benefits and is of no use to the public or the department.

IHSAN ULLH KHAN

Deputy Superintendent of Police

Saddar Circle Kohat.

Annex B - Page 7-16

RECOVERY LIST OF FIVE MONTHS

Dated: 01-8-2013 to 12-12-2013.

S.NO.	FIR NO.	DATE	OFFENCE	P.S.	RECOVERY
1.	830	8-8-013	3/4AF-13AO	MRS/Saddar	Pistol 32 bore, 3 Nos. rounds
2.	831	8-8-013	3/4AF-13AO	MRS	Pistol 30 bore, 2 Nos. rounds
3.	832	8-8-013	3/4AF-13AO	Saddar	Pistol 30 bore, 5 Nos. rounds
4.	833	8-8-013	3/4AF-13AO	Saddar	Pistol 30 bore, 10 Nos. rounds
5.	834	8-8-013	3/4AF-13AO	Saddar	Pistol 30 bore, 5 Nos. rounds
6.	835	8-8-013	3/4AF-13AO	Saddar	Pistol 30 bore, 3 Nos. rounds
7.	836	8-8-013	3/4AF-13AO	Saddar	Pistol 30 bore, 2 Nos. rounds
8.	856	13-8-013	4PO	Saddar	70 Gram Chars Garda
9.	865	17-8-013	13AO	Saddar	400 Crackers
10.	866	17-8-013	4PO	Saddar	65 Gram Chars Garda
11.	867	17-8-013	13AO	Saddar	Pistol 30 bore, 3 Nos. Magazine, 20 Nos. rounds
12.	876	18-8-013	13AO	Saddar	Pistol 30 bore, 5 Nos. rounds
13.	877	18-8-013	3/4AF-13AO	Saddar	Pistol 30 bore, 5 Nos. rounds
14.	879	19-8-013	13AO/216	Saddar	Pistol 30 bore, 6 Nos. rounds
15.	890	22-8-013	13AO	Saddar	Pistol 30 bore, 2 Nos. Magazine, 50 Nos. rounds
16.	891	22-8-013	4PO	Saddar	01 bottle Wine
17.	892	22-8-013	13AO	Saddar	Repeater 12 bore, 3 Nos. rounds
18.	897	23-8-013	3/4PO	Saddar	1016 Gram Chars Garda
19.	898	23-8-013	13AO	Saddar	Pistol 30 bore, 2 Nos. Magazine, 10 Nos. rounds
20.	911	28-8-013	9CNSA	Saddar	2025 Gram Chars Garda
21.	914	28-8-013	4PO	Saddar	02 bottle Wine
22.	916	30-8-013	4PO	Saddar	95 Gram Chars
23.	918	31-8-013	6/23	Saddar	1086 bottle Injuries drinks
24.	930	6-9-013	411	Saddar	Theft Motorcycle
25.	932	6-9-013	13AO	Saddar	Double barrel gun
26.	941	8-9-013	13AO	Saddar	Kalashankove 01 Magazine, 15 round,
27.	942	8-9-013	13AO	Saddar	Kalashankove 01 Magazine, 15 round,
28.	947	11-9-013	13AO	Saddar	Rifle, 3 rounds
29.	948	11-9-013	13AO	Saddar	Gun 12 bore, 8 rounds
30.	949	12-9-013	3/4PO	Saddar	105 bottle Wine
31.	955	13-9-013	13AO	Saddar	Pistol 30 bore, 2 Magazine, 24 rounds

32.	957	13-9-013	13AO/4PO	Saddar	Pistol 30 bore, 7 rounds
33.	968	15-9-013	13AO	Saddar	Rifle, 28 rounds
34.	970	15-9-013	13AO	Saddar	Pistol 30 bore, 2 Magazine, 10 rounds
35.	982	17-9-013	9CNSA-13AO	Saddar	Bore 12 rounds, 1013 Gram Chars Garda
36.	993	19-9-013	13AO	Saddar	Pistol 30 bore, 3 rounds
37.	1002	22-9-013	4/5/6	Saddar	Rs.9400/- recover
38.	1012	25-9-013	13AO	Saddar	Gun double barrel, 3 rounds
39.	1013	25-9-013	13AO	Saddar	Pistol 30 bore, 2 Magazine, 3 rounds
40.	1023	28-9-013	9BCNSA	Saddar	635 Gram Chars Garda
41.	1032	1-10-013	13AO	Saddar	Pistol 30 bore, 5 rounds
42.	1043	3-10-013	4PO	Saddar	85 Gram Chars Garda
43.	1044	3-10-013	13AO	Saddar	Pistol 30 bore, 2 Magazine, 25 rounds
44.	1047	4-10-013	9BCNSA	Saddar	106 Gram Chars Garda
45.	1050	4-10-013	4/5/6	Saddar	Rs.3070/- recover
46.	1051	4-10-013	9BCNSA	Saddar	435 Gram Chars Garda
47.	1063	8-10-013	13AO	Saddar	Rifle, 4 rounds
48.	1076	11-10-013	13AO	Saddar	Pistol 30 bore, 6 rounds
49.	1087	14-10-013	3/4PO	Saddar	5 bottle Wine
50.	1089	15-10-013	3/4AF-13AO	Saddar	Pistol 30 bore, 2 Magazine, 18 rounds
51.	1116	23-10-013	13AO-3/4AF	Saddar	Gun (repeater), 5 rounds
52.	1153	6-11-013	13AO	Saddar	13 rounds of 30 bore
53.	1155	7-11-013	13AO	Saddar	Pistol 30 bore, 2 Magazine, 18 rounds
54.	1165	13-11-013	13AO	Saddar	Kalashankove 01 Magazine, 7 round,
55.	1172	17-11-013	13AO	Saddar	Kalashankove 01 Magazine, 7 round,
56.	1173	19-11-013	4PO	Saddar	80 Gram Chars Garda
57.	1177	21-11-013	9BCNSA	Saddar	123 Gram heroin
58.	1182	23-11-013	13AO	Saddar	Pistol 30 bore, 2 Magazine, 5 rounds
59.	1186	24-11-013	13AO	Saddar	Pistol 30 bore, 2 Magazine, 16 rounds
60.	1207	1-12-013	13AO	Saddar	Pistol 30 bore, 3 rounds
61.	1210	3-12-013	13AO	Saddar	Pistol 30 bore, 2 Magazine, 22 rounds
62.	1218	6-12-013	13AO	Saddar	Pistol 30 bore, 2 Magazine, 5 rounds

فہرست کارکردگی افسران تھانہ 15 اکتوبر 2013ء

	نام افسران	رائفل	بندوق	پستول	کارتوس	چاروں	پیرس	ہوائی	اوتی	رقم برآمد	منشیات
1	اختر خان SHO	2	-	2	17	15	4015	-	30 بوری 6 بوتل	-	
2	عطاء الدین SI	-	-	-	2	1	130	-	-	-	
3	یوسف خان ASI	-	2	-	3	1		12	-	-	-
4	کریمان خان HC	-	-	2	1	10	290	-	-	-	-
5	طاہر محمود HC	-	-	-	3	54	-	-	-	-	-
6	کاروان اللہ HC	1	1	1	5	65	85	106	5	3070	435
7	الوت خان HC	-	-	-	3	37	990	-	-	-	-
8	خیر خان HC	-	-	-	2	26	60	-	-	-	-
9	اورنگزیب HC	1	-	2	8	1115	-	-	-	-	-
10	آصف خان HC	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	میزان 2013	4	3	7	44	34	5570	118	11 بوری	3070	435
	میزان 2012	31	5	16	93	133	21010	2500		23950	500

Page (9)

کارکردگی رپورٹ ماہ اگست 2013

SHO شیر	-	-	-	465	3	100	2	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ASI ناصر	1	-	3	3060	6	170	6	3	-	-	-	150	-	-	-	-	-	-
ASI سراج	-	-	1	65	2	10	1	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ASI سعید	-	-	1	1010	2	80	3	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ASI 15 ریاض	-	-	-	1010	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ASI ظہور	-	-	-	1060	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ASI زمان	1	100%	Will Not	3265	12	157	16	1	-	-	7	-	400	-	-	-	-	-
HC نسیم	-	-	-	615	-	15	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
HC نثار	-	-	-	70	-	5	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
HC اورنگزیب	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
HC عطا	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
2013 جمع	2	-	-	10640	25	545	28	9	-	-	7	~~150~~ 170	400	-	-	-	-	-
2012 جمع	6	1	3	11075	25	623	30	8	-	-	-	152	70	-	-	-	-	-

Page # 10

کارکردگی افسران تھانہ MRs ماہ ستمبر 2013ء

افسران	کلاشنکوف	رائفل	بندوق	پستول	ایس ایم جی	جارحہ	ہینڈ گرینیڈ	اخروٹ	ڈیٹونیٹر	برسٹل	بارود	آتش گیر	[illegible]
آفریدی SHO	-	-	3	12	196	10	20	-	4720	-	1800 KG	-	-
فضل الرحمن ASI	-	-	1	3	40	4	-	-	535	-	-	-	-
بوستان خان ASI	-	-	-	5	527	3		1000	2770	-	-	-	-
کرنل علی ASI	-	-	1	4	24	-	-	-	2505	-	-	-	-
فاروق ASI	-	-	1	-	5	-	-	-	2020	-	-	-	-
کرامت اللہ ASI	2	2	3	7	155	3	-	-	1723	105	-	1	9400
الف خان HC	1	-	-	2	35	-	-	-	690	4	-	-	-
محمد خان HC	-	-	2	2	23	2	-	-	80	-	-	-	-
اورنگزیب HC	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
امتیاز HC	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
تعداد 2013ء	3	2	10	35	1285	22	20 کلو	1000 گرام	15043 گرام	109	1690 KG	-	9400
2012ء	5	10	26	115	4351	73	3035	3000 گرام	64140	48	-	01	23560 روپے

Page (11)

فہرست گرفتار شدہ PO ماہ اگست 2013ء

	نام و ولدیت مفرور PO	عنوان مقدمہ تاریخ جرم	نمبر	گرفتار کنندہ پولیس / ذریعہ
1	نامزد گلی خان ولد کفل آزادی	324-337 ج 13 ۔ 7/8 ۔ 148-149	906/AP	15/8/13 کو SIB جاوید نے گرفتار کیا ہے
2	بلال وار یکبر اللہ ولد نواب آباد	302 ج 349 ۔ 2389 ج 13 ۔ 7/8/13	908/AP	26/8/13 کو روحان اللہ ASI نے گرفتار کیا ہے
3	حبیب الرحمن ولد عبدالرحمن ولد [illegible]	13 AO ج 25 ۔ 7/8/13 ۔ 769	907/AP	31/8/13 کو فرحان SIB نے گرفتار کیا ہے

Page 12

	جاوید سکنہ بڑھ	697 / 2013	451-147-148 PPC	923/AD	17 9/13 کو افراد ASHO نے گرفتار کیا
	زلفی لطیف خان سکنہ		"	924/AD	19 9/13 کو بوسنجا ASI نے گرفتار کیا
	محمد ولد محمد زمان سکنہ کنل گڑھی	258 / 2013	93 CNSL 15 AD 23 3/11	925/AD	19 9/13 کو ASHO نے گرفتار کیا
17	عمران ولد ہارون سکنہ جری	872 / 2013	302-324 PPC 16 8/13	927/AD	19 9/13 کو ASHO نے گرفتار کیا
18	حافظ الدین ولد عبداللہ سکنہ لہرنی دھوتر	262 / 2013	489-419-420 468-471-120 B PPC	289/AD	22 9/13 کو دوران رشت ASI نے گرفتار کیا
19	[illegible]		"	283/AD	"
20	آفتاب ولد شیر خان سکنہ [illegible]	616 / 2011	302-347-427 148-149 PPC	293/AD	24 9/13 کو افراد ASH نے گرفتار کیا

Page 13

فہرست گرفتار شدگان P.O.R ماہ ستمبر 2013 تھانہ MIRs

	نام و ولدیت سکونت P.O.R	مقدمہ نمبر تاریخ جرم	H.S	کیفیت
1	[illegible] ولد [illegible]	P 300 جرم 79/13	908/APO	7 9/13 کو [illegible] خان SHO گرفتار
2	جاوید و ولی خان لکڑ بڑھ	337F II-V جرم 690/13	910	8 9/13 کو " "
3	سکندر و ولد سرور خان لکڑ پیروزخیل	337F-V جرم 270/13	909	8 9/13 کو روحان اختر ASI [illegible]
4	[illegible] خان ولد محمد [illegible] لکڑ [illegible]	P 324 جرم 281/13	921/APO	9 9/13 کو " "
5	[illegible] ولد سعید خان لکڑ [illegible]	15 AO جرم 502/2011	251/APO	9 9/13 کو [illegible] خان SHO [illegible]
	[illegible] ولد خان [illegible] لکڑ [illegible]	337F II-34 جرم 308/13	911/APO	10 9/13 کو " "
	[illegible] زوجہ جاوید لکڑ بڑھ	337F II-V جرم 690/13	911/APO	10 9/13 کو " "
	[illegible] ولد شیرین لکڑ بڑھ	" "	831/APO	" "
	[illegible] ولد [illegible] خان [illegible]	506-148/140 جرم 807/13	919/APO	11 9/13 کو روحان اختر ASI گرفتار
	[illegible] ولد خان [illegible] لکڑ [illegible]	48 جرم 326/13	50/APO	12 9/13 کو [illegible] خان SHO گرفتار
	[illegible] ولد [illegible]	[illegible] جرم 912/13	[illegible]/APO	13 9/13 کو " "
	[illegible] ولد مبارک شاہ لکڑ [illegible]	15 AO جرم 675/10-X-6	921/APO	14 9/13 کو [illegible]
	[illegible] ولد [illegible] لکڑ [illegible]	[illegible] جرم 697/13	922/APO	17 9/13 کو "
		148-149		

Page 14

(4)

مہر سرت گرفتار شدہ 15-Pos روزہ 1/10/13 تا 15/10/13

	نام ولدیت سکونت	عنوان دفعہ تاریخ جرم	HS نمبر	کیفیت
1	حبیب نور ولد توان نور سکنہ بازید خیل	349 دفعہ 29 4/98 جرم 302/34 P	28/APo	12 x/13 کو افسران SHO نے گرفتار کیا
2	عبداللہ شاہ ولد عبدالرزاق سکنہ یاغیزئی	943 = 9 8/13 جرم 324-427/148-149 P	928/APo	2 x/13 〃 〃
3	ایوب عرف ملنگ ولد جمع خان سکنہ جمید بازار صدر	885 = 12 8/13 جرم 381A P	402/APo چھاپہ جرم	3 x/12 〃 〃 〃 〃
4	ضیاب خان ولد ضیاء محبت خان سکنہ [illegible]	316 = 25 1/08 جرم 302-324/149 P	834/APo چھاپہ اسٹریٹ	8 x/13 کو افسران PS 9 نے بازار چھاپہ گرفتار کیا
5	انعام اللہ ولد لولیل سکنہ بازید خیل	386 جرم 324-337A/149 P 116 = 2 جرم 353-148-149 P	933/APo	11 x/13 کو افسران SHO نے بازار چھاپہ گرفتار کیا
6	لولیل ولد ولی شاہ سکنہ 〃 〃	481 جرم 302-324-337 P چھاپہ بازار عباد 611 جرم 353-324/148-149 P آباد کراچی	934/APo	〃 〃 〃 〃
7	ندیم ولد ابراہیم سکنہ میان گان کالونی	994 = 19 8/13 جرم 324/34 P	936/APo	13 x/13 کو افسران SHO نے گرفتار کیا
8	مختار ولد 〃 〃 〃	994 = 19 8/13 جرم 324/34 P	9112/APo	〃 〃 〃 〃

Page 15

(4)

فہرست گرفتار شدہ Pos شدہ از 10 تا 13 / 13

نام ولدیت سکونت PoS	عنوان مقدمہ تاریخ جرم	HS نمبر	کیفیت
1 حبیب نور ولد نواب نور سکنہ بازید خیل	349 جرم 29 4/99 P 302/34	28/APo	12 x/13 کو افسران SHO نے گرفتار کیا
2 عبداللہ شاہ ولد عبدالرزاق سکنہ باڑہ بزنی	943 = 9 8/13 جرم 324-427 P 148-149	928/APo	2 x/13 " " "
3 ایوب عرف ملنگ ولد محمد خان سکنہ بیدیان	R885 = 12 8/13 جرم 381A P	402/APo چھوڑنے حکم	3 x/13 " " "
4 صاب خان ولد جمال سکنہ اورکزئی بنجی	316 = 25 8/08 جرم 302-324 P 148-149	834/APo	8 x/13 کو محافظ اور APO نے بازار سے چھاپہ گرفتار کیا
5 امانت اللہ ولد پولیل سکنہ بازید خیل	118 = 2012 جرم 384/11 324-332 A 148-149 P 148-149	933/APo	11 x/13 کو افسران SHO نے بازار سے چھاپہ گرفتار کیا
6 پولیل ولد ولی شاہ سکنہ "	611/10 جرم 481/12 P 302-324-333 P 148-149	934/APo	" " "
7 نذیر ولد ابراہیم سکنہ میان گان کالونی	994 = 19 8/13 جرم 324/34 P	936/APo	13 x/13 کو افسران SHO نے گرفتار کیا
8 مختار ولد " " " شلمان کالونی	994 = 19 8/13 جرم 324/34 P	942/APo	" " "

Attested
SHO

Page 16

Annex - C — Page # 17

بحضور جناب DIG صاحب کوہاٹ ریجن کوہاٹ

''درخواست بمراد نظر ثانی کرنے بابت بحوالہ چٹھی نمبر 521CC/20-11-2010 موصولہ 25-11-2014''

جناب عالی!

۱۔ بحوالہ چٹھی انگریزی نمبر 521CC/20-11-2010 موصولہ 25-11-2014 معروض کہ DSP صدر نے جو ریمارکس میری ACR میں جو بری الفاظ درج کئے ہیں وہ جھوٹ اور بدنیتی پر مبنی ہیں۔!

۲۔ ریمارکس میں مذکورہ DSP صدر نے میری صفت بھی بیان کی ہے اور وہ الفاظ درج ذیل ہیں؛

ASI is well versed with police work and is a competent Police Officer

۳۔ اس نے جو الزام لگایا ہے وہ ہوائی قسم کے الفاظ ہیں اس نے کوئی ثبوت ACR کے ساتھ نہیں لگایا ہے اور نہ ہی ایسے کسی شخص کا نام لکھا ہے جس سے میں نے اپنی ذات کیلئے کوئی ناجائز کمائی کی ہو۔

۴۔ محکمہ پولیس کیلئے میں نے جو کام کیا ہے وہ تمام مقدمات کی فہرست لف ہے۔ جس سے سینئر افسران میری کارکردگی کا جائزہ لے سکتے ہیں۔

۵۔ مجرمان کی گرفتاری عوام کیلئے راحت ہے جس سے معاشرے میں دیرپا امن قائم رہ سکتا ہے۔

لہٰذا استدعا ہے کہ بدنیتی پر مبنی ACR کو حذف کر کے سائل کی کارکردگی کی بنیاد پر A رپورٹ سے نوازیں۔ تاکہ انصاف کے تقاضے پورے ہو سکیں۔

مورخہ: 27.11.014

العارض

رومان اللہ ASI

متعینہ پولیس لائن کوہاٹ۔

ASI. Police Kohat
27-11-014

Sir,
Forwarded
D.S.P. City
11-12-2014

Annex – D – Page # 18

ORDER

This order is passed on review petition file by ASI Roman Ullah of Kohat district, wherein the petitioner seeks expungtion of adverse remarks passed by the reporting officer in his PER for the period 01.08.2013 to 12.12.2013.

Record gone through, which indicates that the adverse remarks "C" have been communicated to the petitioner according to PER rules. Feeling aggrieved he submitted the instant petition.

Comments were requisitioned from the reporting officer on the petition.

Record further indicates that the DSP was his immediate supervisory officer during the period under review and he was well conversed with the job / obligations of the petitioner served under his command. Moreover, the reporting officer (DSP) has consulted the petitioner and also issued a written advice to him.

Therefore, in view of the above, it is justified that the reporting officer had consulted prior to recording adverse remarks in his ACR according to PER rules. Therefore, the petition being without any substance and devoid of rules is hereby filed / rejected.

Office of the District Police Officer
Diary No 77/PA
Dated 08-1-15

(DR. ISHTIAQ AHMED MARWAT)
Dy: Inspector General of Police
Kohat Region, Kohat.

OFFICE OF THE DY: INSPECTOR GENERAL OF POLICE KOHAT REGION KOHAT

No. 20 /EC, Dated Kohat the 7/1 /2015

Copy of above for information to the:-

1. District Police Officer, Kohat
2. Confidential Clerk Region Office Kohat for Record.

PA
Informed to A.S.I
Roman Ullah

(DR. ISHTIAQ AHMED MARWAT)
Dy: Inspector General of Police
Kohat Region, Kohat.

DPO KOHAT

بعدالت ضلع کرک ٹریبونل پشاور

2 منجانب

بنام

روفان اللہ

اپیلانٹ

موزخہ ---------

مقدمہ ---------

دعویٰ ---------

جرم ---------

IG
DIG
DPO
DSP
ایس ایچ او

باعث تحریر آنکہ

مقدمہ مندرجہ عنوان بالا میں اپنی طرف سے واسطے پیروی وجواب دہی وکل کارروائی متعلقہ آں مقام پشاور کیلئے سید وزیر شاہ ایڈووکیٹ مقرر کر کے اقرار کیا جاتا ہے۔ کہ صاحب موصوف کو مقدمہ کی کل کارروائی کا کامل اختیار ہوگا۔ نیز وکیل صاحب کو راضی نامہ کرنے وتقرر ثالث وفیصلہ برحلف دیئے جواب دہی اور اقبال دعویٰ اور بصورت ڈگری کرنے اجراء اور وصولی چیک وروپیہ ارعرضی دعوی اور درخواست ہر قسم کی تصدیق زرایں پر دستخط کرانے کا اختیار ہوگا۔ نیز صورت عدم پیروی یا ڈگری یکطرفہ یا اپیل کی برامدگی اور منسوخی نیز دائر کرنے اپیل نگرانی ونظر ثانی وپیروی کرنے کا اختیار ہوگا۔ از بصورت ضرورت مقدمہ مذکور کے کل یا جزوی کارروائی کے واسطے اور وکیل یا مختار قانونی کو اپنے ہمراہ یا اپنے بجائے تقرر کا اختیار ہوگا۔ اور صاحب مقرر شدہ کو بھی وہی جملہ مذکورہ بااختیارات حاصل ہوں گے اور اس کا ساختہ پرداختہ منظور قبول ہوگا۔ دوران مقدمہ میں جو خرچہ وہرجانہ التوائے مقدمہ کے سبب سے وہوگا۔ کوئی تاریخ پیشی مقام دورہ پر ہو یا حد سے باہر ہو تو وکیل صاحب پابند ہوں گے۔ کہ پیروی مذکور کریں۔ لہذا وکالت نامہ لکھدیا کہ سند رہے۔

المرقوم 6 ماہ فروری 20ء

گواہ العبد

العبد

بمقام

ASI

کے لئے منظور ہے۔

لعدالت جناب سروس ٹریبونل پشاور

بنام IGP, KPK Police Peshawar. — ASI رومان اللہ

" DIG Kohat.

" DPO Kohat.

(اپیلانٹ)

(رسپانڈنٹ)

(سروس اپیل)

درخواست بمد تبدیلی تاریخ بوجہ الیکشن ڈسٹرکٹ بار الیکشن کوہاٹ

جناب عالی!

سائل ذیل عرض رساں ہے۔

1) کہ سائل بعنوان بالا میں عدالت حضور میں تاریخ پیشی مقرر ہے

2) کہ سائل/اپیلانٹ کا وکیل بوجہ ڈسٹرکٹ بار کے الیکشن کی وجہ سے حاضر نہیں ہو سکتا

3) کہ سائل/اپیلانٹ کے وکیل کے عدم حاضری میں کسی قصد کا دخل نہیں بلکہ یہ ایک جائز وجہ ہے جو کہ قابل معافی ہے۔

4) کہ اپیلانٹ کا وکیل آئندہ تاریخ پیشی پر حاضر ہونے کا پابند رہے گا۔

لہٰذا استدعا ہے کہ حسب ضابطہ تاریخ پیشی تبدیل فرمائی جائے۔

سائل رومان ASI بذریعہ منشی وکیل 13/4/15

Romans

KHYBER PAKHTUNKWA SERVICE TRIBUNAL, PESHAWAR

No. 1336 /ST Dated 18 / 5 / 2017

To

The District Police Officer,
Government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa,
Kohat.

Subject: - **JUDGMENT IN APPEAL NO. 86/2015, MR. ROMAN ULLAH.**

I am directed to forward herewith a certified copy of Judgement dated 9.5.2017 passed by this Tribunal on the above subject for strict compliance.

Encl: As above

REGISTRAR
KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA
SERVICE TRIBUNAL
PESHAWAR.