## Form- A

## FORM OF ORDER SHEET

Court of			
Implementation Petition No	806	/202	<u>3</u>

S.No.	Date of order proceedings	Order or other proceedings with signature of judge		
1	2	3 pt. 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12		
-	02 11 2022	The joint implementation notition of Mr. Wagar		
1	03.11.2023	The joint implementation petition of Mr. Wagar		
		Alam & Others submitted today by Syed Mudasir Pirzada		
		Advocate. It is fixed for implementation report before		
,		Single Bench at Peshawar on Original		
		file be requisitioned. AAG has noted the next date.		
		Parcha peshi is given to counsel for the petitioner.		
		By the order of Chairman		
	·			
		REGISTRAR		
,	;· ·			
-				
,	,			
,	·			

# KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICE TRIBUNAL, PESHAWAR CHECK LIST

CASETITLE: Waracu Alam etc vis &GP CAZ

	ETITE. VICE	YES	NO
5#	CONTENTS	YES	NO
1	This Appeal has been presented by:	/	· · · ·
	Whether Counsel/Appellant/Respondent/Deponents have signed the		
.2	requisite documents?		
3	Whether appeal is within time?	- V	
4	Whether the enactment under which the appeal is filed mentioned?		
5_	Whether the enactment under which the appeal is filed is correct?		
6	Whether affidavit is appended?	-	<del></del>
7	Whether affidavit is duly attested by competent Oath Commissioner?		
8	Whether appeal/annexures are properly paged?		
9	Whether certificate regarding filing any earlier appeal on the subject, furnished?	×	<b>√</b> .
10	Whether annexures are legible?	<b>✓</b>	<u> </u>
11	Whether annexures are attested?	<b>√</b>	
12	Whether copies of annexures are readable/clear?	-V	
13	Whether copy of appeal is delivered to AG/DAG?	<i>V</i>	
	Whether Power of Attorney of the Counsel engaged is attested and	/	
14	signed by petitioner/appellant/respondents?		
15	Whether numbers of referred cases given are correct?	- V	
16	Whether appeal contains cutting/overwriting?	· »:	1
17	Whether list of books has been provided at the end of the appeal?		ļ
18	Whether case relate to this court?	1	
19	Whether requisite number of spare copies attached?	V	<u> </u>
20	Whether complete spare copy is filed in separate file cover?		
21	Whether addresses of parties given are complete?		
22	Whether index filed?		
23	Whether index is correct?	1. /4	<u> </u>
24	Whether Security and Process Fee deposited? On	ļ	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	Whether in view of Krivber Pakhtunkhwa Service Tribunal Rules 1974		
25	Rule 11, notice along with copy of appeal and annexures has been sent	ļ	
<u> </u>	to respondents? On		<u> </u>
26	Whether copies of comments/reply/rejoinder submitted? On	<del> </del>	<del> </del>
27	Whether copies of comments/reply/rejoinder provided to opposite	1.	
	party? On	<u> </u>	<u></u>

It is certified that formalities/documentation as required in the above table have been fulfilled.

Name:

Signature:

Dated:

3/10-2003

Execution Petition 866	_/ 2023.	
:- Waqar Alam IHC/39 District Hangu	(Appeal No.6740/2023)	
2:-Mr Ihsan Ullah IHC NO.412 District Hangu P.	S Doaba Appeal No.6742/2023	) .
B:-Mr Eid Manoor IHC /125 District Hangu	(Appeal No.6738/202	3)
	(Appellant)	
	,	

Versus

- 1: Deputy Inspector General of Police, Kohat Region Kohat.
- 2: Provincial Police Officer/ Inspector General of Police KPK Peshawar...

(Respondents)

#### INDEX

S.No	Description of Documents	Annexure	Pages
1.	Execution Petition with Affidavit		1-4
2.	Correct Address of the parties		5
3.	Copy of Judgment of KPK Service Tribunal Peshawar 14.09.2023 along with afflication	Α	6-19
4.	WakalatNama		10

Dated: \_\_\_\_/ 2023.

Through

Appellant

Syed Mudasir Pirzada Advocate HC Cell 0345-9645854.

Execution Petition 966 / 2023.



Khyber Pakintukhwa Survice Tribunal

1:- Wagar Alam IHC/39 District Hangu

(Appeal No.6740/2021) Dated

3-11-202

2:-Mr Ihsan Ullah IHC NO.412 District Hangu P.S Doaba Appeal No.6742/202\$)

3:-Mr Eid Manoor IHC /125 District Hangu ......(Appeal No.6738/2023)

(Appellant)

#### Versus

- 1: Deputy Inspector General of Police, Kohat Region Kohat.
- 2: Provincial Police Officer/ Inspector General of Police KPK Peshawar...

(Respondents)

# EXECUTION PETITION AGAINST THE ORDER /CONSOLIDATED JUDGMENT OF HONOURABLE SERVICE TRIBUNAL PESHAWAR DATED 14-09-2023

Respectfully Sheweth,

- 1:- That the addresses of the parties have been given correctly in the title of this petition which are sufficient for service of summons or any other process that may be issued by this honorable Tribunal from time to time.
- 2:- That through the instant execution petition the appellant humbly seeks indulgences of this honorable tribunal for initiating execution proceedings against the respondents for disobeying and disregarding order dated 14/09/2023, passed by this honorable tribunal where by the impugned order was set-aside and order that adverse remarks expunged but the respondent are not complied the orders / decision (Copy annexed as annexure A)
- 3:- That succinctly stated facts given raise to filling of this instant execution petition are that, that the appellant filed the service appeal regarding the expunged the adverse remarks and the respondents given false consolation that they will expunged the adverse remarks but respondents not expunged the adverse remarks till to date.

(2)

5: That the respondent have committed a gross contempt of this Honorable tribunal by not complying with the decision /orders. The respondent have frustrated, and abused the process of law by ignoring disobeying the directions/orders by not deciding the matter of appellant. The respondent have in fact shown disrespect and disregard to the rule of law and the authority of this honorable tribunal.

#### PRAYER:-

In the light of above circumstances it is respectfully prayed that the petition may kindly be accepted and strick compliance for implantation on the judgment date no 14.09.2023 be asked as well as stern proceeding against the respondents may please be initiated for the end of justice.

**A**ppellant

Through

Syed Mudasir Pirzada Advocate HC Cell 0345-9645854

#### Certificate:-

It is Certified that upon the instructions of the clint it is the first execution petition filed for this honorable tribunal for disobeying order dated 14/09/2023 passed by this honorable court.

ADVOCATE.

#### LIST OF BOOKS

Constitution of Islamic Republic of Pakistan 1973.

Any other Book according to need.

Execution Petition / 2023.

1:- Waqar Alam IHC/39 District Hangu

(Appeal No.6740/2023)

2:-Mr Ihsan Ullah IHC NO.412 District Hangu P.S Doaba Appeal No.6742/2023)

3:-Mr Eid Manoor IHC /125 District Hangu .....(Appeal No.6738/2023)

(Appellant)

Versus

- 1: Deputy Inspector General of Police, Kohat Region Kohat.
- 2: Provincial Police Officer/ Inspector General of Police KPK Peshawar...

(Respondents)

#### **AFFIDAVIT**

We the Appellants above do hereby solemnly affirm and declare that the contents of enclosed petition are true and correct to the best of our knowledge and belief and nothing has been concealed there from

#### Verification :-

Verified on oath at Kohat that the contents of above affidavit are true and correct to the best of my knowledge and belief and nothing has been concealed there from.

- Mun

Deponent

Identified By:

Syed Mudasir Pirzada Advocate



Execution Petition	_/ 2023.
1:- Waqar Alam IH©/39 District Hangu	(Appeal No.6740/2023)
2:-Mr Ihsan Ullah IHC NO.412 District Hangu P.S	S Doaba Appeal No.6742/2023)
3:-Mr Eid Manoor IHC /125 District Hangu	(Appeal No.6738/2023)
	(Appellant)
Versus	
1: Deputy Inspector General of Police, Kohat Re	egion Kohat.
2: Provincial Police Officer/ Inspector General of	f Police KPK Peshawar
	(Respondents)
CORRECT ADDRESS OF T	THE PARTIES

### Appellants

1:- Waqar Alam IHC/39 District Hangu

(Appeal No.6740/2023)

2:-Mr Ihsan Ullah IHC NO.412 District Hangu P.S Doaba Appeal No.6742/2023)

3:-Mr Eid Manoor IHC /125 District Hangu ......(Appeal No.6738/2023)

#### Respondents

- 1: Deputy Inspector General of Police, Kohat Region Kohat.
- 2: Provincial Police Officer/ Inspector General of Police KPK Peshawar.

Appellants

Through

Syed Mudasir Pirzada Advocate HC Cell 0345-9645854

Service Appeal No.6740/2021 titled "Wagar Alam & others -vs- The Inspector General of Police Fakhumkhwa, Peshawar and others", declared on 14.09,2023 by Division Bench comprising of Mr. Kalim Khan, Chairman, and Mr. Muhammad Akhar Khan, Member Executive, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Service KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICE TRIBUNAL.PES BEFORE: KALIM ARSHAD KHAN MUHAMMAD AKBAR KHAN Service Appeal No.6740/2021 Date of presentation of Appeal......21.06.2021 Date of Hearing......14.09.2023 Date of Decision......14.09.2023 Versus 1. The Inspector General of Police, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar 2. Deputy Inspector General of Police, Kohat Region Kohat......................(Respondents) Service Appeal No.6742/2021 Date of presentation of Appeal......21.06.2021 Date of Hearing......14.09.2023 Date of Decision......14.09.2023 Mr. Ihsan Ullah, IHC No.412, District Hangu, P.S Doaba. ......Appellant Versus 1. The Inspector General of Police, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar 2. Deputy Inspector General of Police, Kohat Kohat.....(Respondents) Service Appeal No.6738/2021 Date of presentation of Appeal......21.06.2021 Date of Hearing......14.09.2023 <u>Versus</u> 1. The Inspector General of Police, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar, Inspector General of Police, Kohat Kohat.... .....(Respondents) Present: Syed Mudasir Pirzada, Advocate......For the appellants Mr. Fazal Shah Mohmand, Additional Advocate General ...... For respondents

Service Appeal No.6740/2021 titled "Wagar Alam & others -vs- The Inspector General of Folice, Khyber Pakhunkhwa, Peshawar and others", declared on 14.00,2023 by Division Bench comprising at Mr. Kalim Arshad Khan, Chaiman, and Mr. Muhammad Akhar Khan, Member Executive, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Service Tribunal, Penhamor

APPEALS UNDER PAKHTUNKHWA<sup>\*</sup> SERVICE TRIBUNAL ACT. AGAINST: THE IMPUGNED ORDER DATED 18.02.2021 VIDE NO.138/CC IN WHICH THE RESPONDENT NO.2 WITHOUT ANY LAWFUL JUSTIFICATION OR COGENT REASON AND WITHOUT ISSUING ANY COUNSELING TO THE APPELLANTS BLESSED WITH ADVERSE REMARKS IN ACR/PER AND THE APPELLANTS PREFERRED DEPARTMENTAL REPRESENTATIONS **EXPUNCTION ON 25.02.2021 AND THE RESPONDENT** GIVEN FALSE CONSOLATION THAT REPRESENTATION WILL BE ACCEPTED BUT THE SAME CONSIDERED/ENTERTAINED NOR REJECTED TILL TO DATE.

#### **CONSOLIDATED JUDGMENT**

KALIM ARSHAD KHAN CHAIRMAN: Through this single judgment all the above appeals are going to be decided as all the three are similar in nature and almost with the same contentions, therefore, all can conveniently be decided together.

- 2. The appellants' cases in brief are that adverse remarks were communicated to them vide order dated 18.02.2021, which were recorded in his Performance Evaluation Report (PER) for the period from 01.04.2019 to 28.11.2019.
- 3. Feeling aggrieved, they filed departmental appeals for expunction of the impugned adverse remarks but their appeals were not responded to, hence, the present service appeal.
- 4. On receipt of the appeals and their admission to full hearing, the respondents were summoned, who put appearance and contested the appeal by filing written reply raising therein numerous legal and factual objections. The defense setup was a total denial of the claim of the appellant.

EXAMINER hyber Pakktukhw Service Zirounal Service Zirounal

W.

Service Appeal No.6740/2021 titled "Wagar Alam & others sys- The Inspector General of Folice, Eligher Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar and others", declared on 14.09.2023 by Division Bench comprising of Mr. Kalim Arshad Khan, Chairman, and Mr. Kuhammad Akhar Khan, Member Executive, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Sarvice Tribunal.

- 5. We have heard learned counsel for the appellant and learned Additional Advocate General for the respondents.
- 6. The learned counsel for the appellant reiterated the facts and grounds detailed in the memo and grounds of the appeal while the learned Additional Advocate General controverted the same by supporting the impugned order(s).
- 7. Perusal of record shows that appellants were serving as IHCs. While performing their duties, they were warned regarding their performance of duties as well as their presence at duty station. In the disputed remarks in the PER for the period from 01.04.2019 to 28.11.2019 vide order dated 18.02.2021, the appellants were given downgraded to "C" and the same were communicated to the appellants on 25.01.2021. The remarks in the PERs were that the appellants were a counterproductive officials and had failed to perform their duties vigilantly.
- 8. We have given due consideration to the adverse observations in the light of relevant instructions and we are obliged to find that they do not appear to have been strictly observed. It is provided in the Guidelines that the officer being reported upon, should be counseled about his weak points and also advised how to improve and that adverse remarks should ordinarily be recorded when the officer fails to improve despite counseling. In the present case, however, there is nothing to show that such proper counseling was ever administered to the appellant. In view of the importance of this instruction, the Reporting Officer, or the Countersigning Officer should not only impart appropriate advice but also keep a record of

West should no

ATTESTED

EXAMPLE R

Khyber Pakhyukhwa

Service Tribunal

Sage C

Service Appeal No.6740/2021 titled "Wagar Alam & others -vs- The Inspector General of Folice. Klyber-Fakhnunkhwa, Peshawar and others", declared on 14.09.2023 by Division, Bench comprising of Mr. Kalim Arxhad Khan, Chairman, and Mr. Muhammad Akbar Khan, Member Executive, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Service Tribunal.

such an advice having been duly administered. The PERs are silent about any reason for recording adverse remarks.

- For the reasons mentioned above, we are of the opinion that the adverse remarks in these cases have been recorded in disregard of the relevant instructions. Therefore, on acceptance of these appeals, the adverse remarks recorded in the PERs for the period from 01.04.2019 to 28.11.2019 are expunged. Consign.
- 9. Pronounced in open Court at Peshawar and given under our hands and the seal of the Tribunal on this 14th day of September, 2023.

KALIM ARSHAD KHAI

Member (Executive)

Mutazem Shal

Certified to be ture cops

Date of Presentation 2 Number of Words Copying Fee Name of Coros juste of Complet's

Date of Delivery of Cop

, glis) pope (10) 1-----بريم سائلهن (بيلونت وظرعالم، اصان الله عبرماه ور Fetal Sight will "C" OD "ACR" of - (55 2 (1.1) or d'anilité ( 1.5) à Olle دو کر مورد 90 14 ماعاده طود کر منظور ہوگے اور جاک لھولات لو ھے درا استرعا مع عمل درآمد تها حلت on 26/09/23

July of the state lage (1) BC-09-1969 03.459645854 PC-154, 2023 PC-154, 2023 PC-154, 2023 باعث تحريرآنكه مقدمه مندرج عنوان بالامیں اپن طرف سے واسطے بیروی وجواب ذہی وکل کاروائی متعلقہ کے آن مقام في ور كيا مرمر الرار داره المرار الم مقرركر كے اقراركيا جاتا ہے۔ كەصاحب موسوف كومقدمه كىكل كاروائى كا كامل اختيار ہوگا۔ نيز وكيل صاحب كوراضى نامه كرنے وتقر رثالث وفيصله برحلف ديئے جواب دہى اورا قبال دعوىٰ اور بصورت ڈ گری کرنے اجراءاور وصولی چیک وروپیارعرضی دعوی اُور درخواست ہرسم کی تصدیق زرایں پروستخط کرانے کا اختیار ہوگا۔ نیزصورت سرم پیروی یاڈگری میطرفہ یا پیل کی برامدگ اورمنسوخی نیز دائر کرنے اپل تکرانی ونظرنانی و پیروی کرنے کا مختار ہوگا۔از بصورت ضرورت مقدمه مذکور کے کل یا جزوی کا روائی کے واسطے اور وکیل یا مختار قانونی کواپیج ہم آہیا اپنے بجائے " تقرر کا اختیار ہوگا۔اورصاحب مقرر شدہ کو بھی وہی جملہ ندکورہ با اختیارات حاصل ہوں کے اوراس کاساختہ پر داختہ منظور و قبول ہوگا دوران مقدمہ میں جوخر چہ ہرجانہ التوائے مقدمہ کے سبب سے وہوگا کوئی تاریخ بیشی مقام دورہ پر ہو یا حدے باہر ہوتو ویل صاحب پابند ہول گے۔ کہ بیروی ندکورکریں۔لہذا وکالت نامہ کھفدیا کہ سندرہے۔ درک شتگری شاورشی رن 2220193