


# FORM OF ORDER SHEET

Court of \_\_\_\_\_

**Appeal No. 2281/2023**

S.No.	Date of order proceedings	Order or other proceedings with signature of judge
1	2	3
1-	01/11/2023	<p>The appeal of Mr. Izhar Ullah resubmitted today by Mr. Muhammad Adeel Butt Advocate. It is fixed for preliminary hearing before Single Bench at Peshawar on _____ Parcha Peshai is given to the counsel for the appellant.</p> <p>By the order of Chairman</p>  <p>REGISTRAR</p>

The appeal of Mr. Iqbal Ullah Ex-Patwan Huija Rogi Labor received 13/10/2023 is incomplete on the following score which is returned to the counsel for the appellant for completion and resubmission within 15 days.

- 1- Check list is not attached with the appeal.
- 2- Index of the appeal is incomplete.
- 3- Appeal has not been flagged/marked with annexures marks.
- 4- Memorandum of appeal is unsigned.
- 5- Annexures of the appeal are unattested.
- 6- Address of appellant is incomplete.
- 7- Copies of charge sheet, statement of allegations, show cause notice and replies thereto are not attached with the appeal.
- 8- Five more copies/sets of the appeal along with annexures i.e. complete in all respect may also be submitted with the appeal.

No. 3421 /S.T.

DT. 17/10 /2023.



REGISTRAR  
SERVICE TRIBUNAL  
KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA  
PESHAWAR.

Muhammad Adeel Butt Adv.  
High Court Peshawar.

All the objections are  
being resolved  
No charge sheet has been  
submitted. 31-10-23

**BEFORE THE HONBLE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA  
SERVICES TRIBUNAL PESHAWAR**

A. 2281 /2023

Khyber Pakhtunkhwa  
Services Tribunal

Case No. 3334

Dated 16-10-23

Izhar Ullah ,Ex. Patwari Halqa Regi Lalma S/O

-----(*Appellant*)

**VERSUS**

1. Government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, through Chief Secretary Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar at Civil Secretariat Peshawar.
2. Deputy Commissioner, District Peshawar.
3. Commissioner, Peshawar Division.

-----(*Respondents*)

**APPEAL U/S 4 OF THE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA  
SERVICES TRIBUNAL ACT 1974 AGAINST, THE IMPUGNED  
ORDER DATED 21/06/2023 WHEREBY THE APPELLANT  
WAS REMOVED AND , IMPUGNED APPEATE ORDER  
DATED 02/09/2023 COMMUNICATED ON 16/10/2023  
,WHEREBY THE DEPARTMENTAL APPEAL OF THE  
APPELLANT WAS DISMISSED BY THE APPELLATE  
AUTHORITY IN CLASSICALLY CURSORY AND WHIMSICAL  
MANNER.**

**Respectfully Sheweth:**

1. That the appellant is law-abiding citizen of Pakistan whereas served as Patwari in Revenue Estate Department Government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa. And had also served at Patwari Halqa Regi Lalma in Past.

**BEFORE THE HONBLE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA  
SERVICES TRIBUNAL PESHAWAR**

A. 2281 /2023

*illoh*  
Izhar VS Government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa and others

**INDEX**

S#	Description of Documents	Annex	Pages
1.	Grounds of Appeal		10
2.	Affidavit		6
3.	Addresses of Parties		7
4.	Copy of the impugned dismissal order is Annexure as "A"	"A"	8
5.	Copies of departmental Appeal are Annexed as Annexure "B" and Rejection Order "C"	"B" & "C"	9-10
6.	Copies of Court Record is Annexed as Annexure "D"	"D"	13
7.	Other Documents		
8.	Wakalatnama		21

*Illoh*  
Appellant

Through

*Muhammad Adeel Butt*  
&  
Humera Gul Shinwari  
Advocates, High Court  
Peshawar.

1

**BEFORE THE HONBLE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICES  
TRIBUNAL PESHAWAR**

A-2281 /2023

<sup>ullah</sup>  
Izhar, Ex. Patwari Halqa Regi Lalma S/O Israr Khan R/O Katla  
Khel Suleman, Badha Bir Peshawar.

-----(*Appellant*)

**VERSUS**

1. Government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, through Chief Secretary Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar at Civil Secretariat Peshawar.
2. Government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, through Senior Member Board of Revenue, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Civil Secretariat Peshawar.
3. Commissioner, Peshawar Division, Peshawar
4. Deputy Commissioner, Peshawar.
5. Additional Deputy Commissioner, Peshawar
6. Assistant Commissioner (AC) Hassan Khel PeshawarPeshawa

-----(*Respondents*)

**APPEAL U/S 4 OF THE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICES  
TRIBUNAL ACT 1974, AGAINST THE IMPUGNED ORDER DATED  
21/06/2023 , By RESPONDENT No.4, WHEREBY THE APPELLANT  
WAS REMOVED AND, IMPUGNED APPELLATE ORDER, BY  
RESPONDENT NO.3, DATED 02/09/2023 COMMUNICATED ON  
16/10/2023, WHEREBY THE DEPARTMENTAL APPEAL OF THE  
APPELLANT WAS DISMISSED BY THE APPELLATE AUTHORITY IN  
CLASSICALLY CURSORY AND WHIMSICAL MANNER.**

**Respectfully Sheweth:**

1. That the appellant is law-abiding citizen of Pakistan whereas served as Patwari in Revenue Estate Department Government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa.

And had also served at Patwari Halqa Regi Lalma in Past.

2. **That** the Appellant was dismissed from service without conducting formal inquiry, as required under the E & D Rules 2011, on 21/06/2023 by Respondent No.04 upon anonymous Complaint (Copy of the impugned dismissal order is Annexure as "A").
3. **That** feeling aggrieved from impugned order of Removal from Service, the appellant preferred departmental appeal on 20.07.2023 but the same was rejected, by the Respondent No. 3 without any cogent reason, however, the same was communicated to the undersigned on 13/10/2023. Hence this Appeal inter alias on the following grounds. (Copies of departmental Appeal are Annexed as Annexure "B" and Rejection Order "C").

**Grounds:**

- A.** That both the impugned orders are illegal without jurisdiction and based on malafide intentions, that has caused stigma on the professional career of the Appellant.
- B.** That as per dictum of the Superior Courts Major penalty cannot be awarded in the absence of Formal Inquiry.

**C. That** the appellant has been discriminated, hence not been dealt in accordance with law and Constitution.

**D. That** impugned orders are against the gist of Article 10-A Constitution of Islamic Republic of Pakistan.

**E. That** without recording the reasons for dispensing with the formal enquiry, both the impugned orders have no legal sanctity in the eyes of Law.

**F. That** in view of the statement given by Appellant, it was pivotal under the law that his statement should have been examined by dispensing with the inquiry the inquiry officer/respondents has violated the fundamental right of the Appellant, hence at this ground alone, both the impugned orders are liable to be set-aside.

“It is, important to mention that no impugned orders would have been issued if the Inquiry Officer had bothered to have looked in to the Court record as said by the Appellant in the due course of so called inquiry”. (Copy of Court Record is Annexed as Annexure “D”)

**G. That** as per dictum of superior Courts, all the orders made with reasons, in the instant Appeal, departmental Appeal has been rejected without any cogent reasons, such orders are not sustainable in the eyes of law, hence the dismissal order of the Respondents is unwarranted, against the fact and law on the subject and is not sustainable at all.

**H. That** any opportunity of personal hearing was not given to the appellant to defend and clear his position, and without any fault the appellant was removed from service. Therefore, the impugned removal order is not only one sided and illegal, unlawful, void ab-initio but is also against the law as well as against the natural justice.

**I. That** the impugned removal order is unlawful, illegal and liable to be canceled because the Respondents utterly violated the service law, rules, regulations and policy of the Government for Civil servants while passing the impugned removal order.

**J. That** the impugned removal order is the violation of the fundamental rights of the appellant which is guaranteed and protected by the constitutional of Islamic Republic of Pakistan 1973.

**K. That** from every angle the impugned removal order is null and void and not sustainable in the eye of law and is liable to be set aside.

**L. That** any other ground not raised here may graciously be allowed to raises at the time of arguments.

It is, therefore, most humbly prayed that on the acceptance of appeal both the impugned orders may please be set-aside and the Appellant may please be reinstated in to service with all back and consequential benefits. Any other remedy deems fit may also please be granted under the circumstances.



5  
*[Handwritten signature]*

Appellant

Through

*[Handwritten signature]*  
Muhammad Adeel Butt  
&  
Humera Gul Shinwari  
Advocates, High Court  
Peshawar.

Dated: 31/10/2023

**NOTE:** -

No such like appeal for the same appellant, upon the same subject matter has earlier been filed by me, prior to the instant one, before this Hon'ble Tribunal.

*[Handwritten signature]*  
Advocate.

6

**BEFORE THE HONBLE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA  
SERVICES TRIBUNAL PESHAWAR**

\_\_\_\_\_/2023

Izhar Ullah ,Ex. Patwari Halqa Regi Lalma

**VERSUS**

Government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa and others

**AFFIDAVIT**


I, Izhar Ullah S/O Israr Khan R/O Katla Khel Suleman , Badha Bir Peshawar, do hereby solemnly affirm and declare that all the contents of the accompanied **appeal** are true and correct to the best of my knowledge and belief and nothing has been concealed or withheld from this Hon'ble Tribunal.

*Izhar Ullah*

**DEPONENT**

**17301-8310856-1**

**0300-3162031**



16/10/23

(7)

**BEFORE THE HONBLE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICES  
TRIBUNAL PESHAWAR**

\_\_\_\_\_/2023

**Izhar VS Government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa and  
others**

**ADDRESSES OF PARTIES**

**APPELLANT.**

Izhar, Ex. Patwari Halqa Regi Lalma S/O Israr Khan R/O  
Katla Khel Suleman, Badha Bir Peshawar.

**RESPONDENTS:**

1. Government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, through  
Chief Secretary Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar  
at Civil Secretariat Peshawar.
2. Government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, through  
Senior Member Board of Revenue, Khyber  
Pakhtunkhwa, Civil Secretariat Peshawar.
3. Commissioner, Peshawar Division, Peshawar
4. Deputy Commissioner, Peshawar.
5. Additional Deputy Commissioner, Peshawar
6. Assistant Commissioner (AC) Hassan Khel  
PeshawarPeshawa

Appellant

Through

Muhammad Adeel Butt

&

Humera Gul Shinwari  
Advocates, High Court  
Peshawar.



THE DEPUTY COMMISSIONER, PESHAWAR

Tel: 091-9212301-02, Fax: 091-9212303, [7]DCPeshawar

No. 396 /DC(P)/DK

ORDER:

Dated: 21-June-2023

WHEREAS, a detail/formal enquiry was ordered under Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Govt. Servant (E&D) Rules 2011 and Assistant Commissioner Hassan Khel was appointed as enquiry officer vide order No.318/DC(P)/DK dated 26-05-2023 into the Irregularities found in the Patwar Khana Regil Lalma Peshawar.


AND WHEREAS, AC Hassan Khel/enquiry officer submitted his enquiry report vide No.647/AC(HK) dated 08-06-2023, wherein it was pointed out that one Mr. Izhar Ullah Patwari who was left on the said Patwar Khana during the period from 08-09-2022 upto 10-02-2023 was found in a number of Irregularities such as entering a mutation No.9974 for transferring land from PDA to private respondent and found guilty of Para-2063 of Part-X Chapter-2 & Para-3014, Chapter-3 of Land Record Manual. The enquiry officer recommended for proceeding against him under Rule-5(a), Rule-7 followed by Rule-4(b) of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Govt. Servant (E&D) Rules 2011.

AND WHEREAS, the accused official was served upon a Show Cause Notice vide letter No.371/DC(P)/DK dated 15-06-2023, by dispensing with the enquiry under Rule-5(a), Rule-7 of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Govt. Servant (E&D) 2011, but he did not submit his reply within the stipulated period.


NOW THEREFORE, keeping in view the findings/recommendations of the inquiry officer, non-reply of Show Cause Notice, and other circumstances and in exercise of the powers conferred under Rule-04(1)(b)(iv) of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Government Servants (Efficiency and Discipline) Rules 2011, I, Shah Fahad, Deputy Commissioner Peshawar, as competent authority, hereby impose a major penalty of *Dismissal from service* upon Mr. Izhar Ullah Patwari with immediate effect.

Endst: No. and Date Even:

- (i) Commissioner Peshawar Division, Peshawar.
- (ii) Addl. Deputy Commissioner (G), Peshawar.
- (iii) Assistant Commissioner City Peshawar.
- (iv) Accounts Officer of DC office for necessary action.
- (v) Mr. Izhar Ullah, Ex-Patwari.

  
DEPUTY COMMISSIONER  
PESHAWAR

21-6-23

  
DEPUTY COMMISSIONER  
PESHAWAR

21-6-23



(10)

IN THE COURT OF  
COMMISSIONER PESHAWAR DIVISION  
PESHAWAR

APPEAL NO: /2023

DATE OF INSTITUTION: 19.07.2023

DATE OF DECISION: 02.11.2023

Izhar Ullah, ex-Patwari District Peshawar.....

(Appellant)

VERSUS

Deputy Commissioner Peshawar.....

(Respondent)

**ORDER**

This order will dispose off the instant departmental appeal filed by the above named appellant against the Deputy Commissioner Peshawar order bearing No. 396/DC (P)/DK dated 21.06.2023, whereby he was awarded major penalty of dismissal from service under section-4(1)(b)(iv) of Govt. of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Government Servants (Efficiency & Discipline) Rules, 2011.

Facts of the case are that on 17.05.2023, the Assistant Commissioner City Peshawar made surprise visit to Patwar halqa Regi Lalma and some discrepancies were found and the appellant was suspended. Assistant Commissioner Hassan Khel was appointed as Inquiry Officer to conduct inquiry into the irregularities pointed out by the Assistant Commissioner City Peshawar. Assistant Commissioner Hassan Khel/Inquiry Officer conducted detailed inquiry and submitted enquiry report to the Deputy Commissioner. The appellant remained posted as the Patwar halqa Regi Lalma from 08.09.2022 to 10.02.2023 whose record for the said period was checked/inspected wherein a number of irregularities such as entering of a mutation no. 9974 for transferring land from PDA to a private respondent and found guilty of Para-2-63 of Part X Chapter-2 and Para-3014 chapter-3 of the Land Record Manual. The appellant was summoned by the Inquiry Officer and his statement was recorded and also cross examined as per Rule-12(a, B) of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa (E&D) Rules-2011. The appellant in his cross examination before the Inquiry Officer has admitted entering of mutation no. 9974, claimed it to be correct and as per his statement he had consulted a counsel in this regard while in his written statement he has negated entry of mutation no. 9974 and that the said mutation correctly bears the signature of the appellant who entered the same in different dates of September, November and December-2022 and kept the same pending, till his transfer from mauza Regi Lalma, in February-2023. The Inquiry Officer thus held the appellant responsible

11


For the irregularity and found guilty under Para 2-63 of Part X Chapter-2 and Para-3014 Chapter-3 of the Land Record Manual and recommended for proceeding against him as per Rule-5(a), Rule-7 followed by Rule-4(b) of KP Government Servants (E&D) Rules-2011. On the basis of above, the competent authority awarded major penalty of Removal from Service upon the appellant u/s 4(1)(b)(iv) of the Government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Government Servants (Efficiency & Disciplinary) Rules-2011.

Aggrieved of the same, the appellant filed the instant appeal. The appellant in his appeal has negated the charges leveled against him. He has stated that he bears spotless career. At the time of inspection he was performing duties in DK office. That the appellant is innocent and no corrupt practices have been carried out. That the allegations leveled against the appellant are not worth removal from service and that the major penalty awarded to the appellant is based on miscalculation, misperception, biased and against the norms of justice and fair play. He prayed for setting aside the impugned order dated 21.06.2023 and re-instatement in service being innocent.

Appellant present in person and heard. Para-wise comments received from the Deputy Commissioner Peshawar also perused. Perusal of the record & comments reveals that the appellant is found involved in committing the irregularity as per charges leveled against him. He also did not convincingly replied the allegations during personal hearing.

Keeping in view the above facts, the appeal in hand stands rejected and the impugned order bearing No. 396/DC (P)/DK dated 21.06.2023 is upheld. File be consigned to GRR after necessary compilation.

M



COMMISSIONER  
PESHAWAR DIVISION PESHAWAR

ANNOUNCED  
02.09.2023


13

That the appellant is innocent and has not involved in any unlawful act, corruption and corrupted practices whatsoever, but the worthy Inquiry Officer mala fidely held liable and charge shected me.

The appellant also urge that a right of personal hearing may also be given as during the whole process of inquiry I was condemn unheard.

It is therefore, most humbly prayed that on acceptance of instant departmental appeal and review under Rule 17 of Khyber Pkhtunkhwa (Efficiency and Discipline) Rules 2011 the impugned order No.395/DC(p)/DK dated 21.06.2023 for Removal from Service may kindly be set aside and the appellant may be restored to his original possession.

Thanking you -



IZHAR ULLAH  
Patwari Halqa  
Regi Lalma, Peshawar  
Cell# (0300-3162031)

20/07  
2023









16

3

2. 13 یہ کہ جائیداد مندرجہ عنوان عرضی دعویٰ ملکیتی و مقبوضہ مورثان مدعیان تھی جو کہ بعد از مرگ مورثان مدعیان، مدعیان و مدعا علیہم نمبر: 571 پر حسب حصص شرعی عود ہوئی۔ (نقل جمعندی سال 1986-87 الف دعویٰ ہذا ہے)۔

یہ کہ اراضی متدعو یہ مدعیان کے قبضہ و تصرف میں مورثان مدعیان کے وقت سے چلی آ رہی ہے۔ نہ تو جائیداد متدعو یہ مدعا علیہ نمبر 9 نے حصول قانون اراضیات کے تحت حاصل کی ہے اور نہ ہی مدعا علیہم مذکورہ کی کسی بھی سکیم کے حدود میں آتی ہے۔ اس بات کا تعین موقع ملاحظہ سے کیا جاسکتا ہے۔

یہ کہ مدعا علیہم نمبر 871 کو کوئی حق حاصل نہ ہے کہ جائیداد متدعو یہ کی بابت حقوق ملکیت، قبضہ مدعیان سے انکار کریں۔ جائیداد متدعو یہ پر زبردستی، خلاف قانون طور پر قبضہ کریں۔ مدعیان کو Without Due Process of Law بیدخل کریں یا کوئی بھی اقدام مدعیان کے حقوق ملکیت و قبضہ جائیداد متدعو یہ کے خلاف اٹھائیں۔ نیز اگر کوئی بھی اندراج در کاغذات مال حقوق مدعیان کے خلاف پایا جائے تو وہ غلط، خلاف قانون ہونے کے ساتھ ساتھ حقوق مدعیان پر کالعدم، غیر موثر ہے اور بحق مدعیان قابل درستی ہے۔

یہ کہ عرصہ چند یوم قبل مدعا علیہم نمبر 871 بغیر کسی وجہ کے حقوق ملکیت مدعیان بابت جائیداد متدعو یہ سے انکاری ہو گئے اور جو مدعا علیہ نمبر 9 کے ساتھ مل کر مدعیان کو جائیداد متدعو یہ سے زبردستی بے دخل کرنے کے درپے ہیں۔ جو فعل مدعا علیہم غلط اور خلاف قانون ہے جس وجہ سے دعویٰ ہذا کی ضرورت لاحق ہوئی۔

یہ کہ ہر چند مدعا علیہم کو کہا اور کہلوا یا گیا کہ اپنے غیر قانونی فعل سے باز رہیں مگر وہ مال منول کرتے ہوئے عرصہ چند یوم قبل سے جائز مطالبہ مدعیان سے انکاری ہوئے۔

ATTESTED

18 OCT 2023

(Examiner)  
District Court Peshawar

17


4

یہ کہ بنائے دعویٰ عرصہ چند یوم قبل از انکار مدعا علیہ اندر حدود اختیار سماعت فاضل عدالت ہذا بحق مدعیان پیدا شدہ ہے۔ مالیت دعویٰ بغرض کورٹ فیس و اختیار سماعت درست طور پر عرضی دعویٰ میں درج ہے۔

7/14

لہذا استدعا کی جاتی ہے کہ ڈگری مستدعیہ حسب تشریح عنوان دعویٰ بحق مدعیان برخلاف مدعا علیہم مع خرچہ صادر فرمائی جائے۔ دیگر دادرسی جو قرین انصاف ہو مرحمت فرمائی جائے۔

0-3

  
18/3/2021

المرقوم: 15-03-2021

سائل (حسن خان ولد میراجان)

بوکالت:

محمد کامران خان

ایسٹ

ٹول ہا

ایڈوکیٹس، ہائی کورٹ پشاور

تصدیق:

تصدیق کی جاتی ہے کہ جملہ مراتب عرضی دعویٰ میرے علم و یقین سے درست و صحیح ہے۔

العبد

مدعیان بذریعہ مدعی نمبر 3


نوٹ:

قبل از میں اس قسم کا دعویٰ دائر نہ کیا گیا اور نہ مجاز عدالت سے فیصلہ شدہ ہے۔

پتہ فریقین عنوان دعویٰ میں درست درج ہے۔

مدعی نمبر 3 بذریعہ منجانب دیگر مدعیان

ATTESTED



(Examiner)

District Court, Peshawar.

BEFORE THE COURT OF CIVIL JUDGE, PESHAWAR

33

Jehandad Khan and others....Vs..... PDA and others

APPLICATION UNDER ORDER-1  
RULE-10(2) CPC READ WITH  
SECTION 151 CPC FOR THE  
DELETION OF NAME OF  
DEFENDANT NO:10 I.E. DIRECTOR  
GENERAL PDA FROM THE PANEL  
OF DEFENDANTS

*[Handwritten signature]*

Respectfully Sheweth:

*21/06/21*

1. That the above civil suit is pending in this Honourable Court which is fixed for today i.e. 21/06/2021.
2. That after perusing the plaint, it reveals that no relief whatsoever has been sought from defendant No.10.
3. That in view of the said facts, the defendant No.10 is not now necessary party in the instant suit.
4. That this Hon'ble Court Under Order 1 Rule 10(2) read with Section 151 CPC has got the ample power to delete the name of defendant No.10 from array of defendants.

It is, therefore, most humbly prayed that the name of the defendant No.10 may kindly be deleted from the panel of defendants in the captioned civil suit.

Through Applicant/Defendant No.10  
*[Signature]*  
 Muhammad Furqan Yousafzai  
 Advocate, High Court,  
 Peshawar

Date: 21/06/2021

ATTESTED

*[Signature]*  
 (Examiner)  
 District Court Peshawar

*No objection on application subject to correction of number of defend no 10 as prayed for through separate application*

*[Signature]*  
 21/06/21

19

**BEFORE THE COURT OF MISS KHKULA,  
CIVIL JUDGE-XX, PESHAWAR.**

9

**SUIT No. 253/1 OF 2021**


JAHAN DAD VS. AZAM KHAN

**ORDER**  
01/11/2022


Plaintiff No. 3 in person and on behalf of the rest of the plaintiffs as special attorney and defendant No. 2 in person and on behalf of rest of the defendants as special attorney along with counsel present.

Submitted an application for early hearing to record joint statement regarding compromise effected between parties to the suit. Case file requisitioned. Change be brought in the Court's diary and cause list.

Counsel for plaintiff sated at the bar that plaintiff No. 1 has died and submitted list of legal heirs of plaintiff No.1. Placed on file. Moharrir is directed to enter the names of legal heirs of plaintiff No. 1 in the panel of plaintiffs with red ink pen. Power of attorney on behalf of plaintiffs and another power of attorney on behalf of defendants submitted. Placed on file. Compromise deed submitted, the same placed on file. Furthermore, a joint statement is recorded by Sherbaz S/O Niyaz Ahmad (plaintiff No. 3 himself and attorney for rest of plaintiffs) and Zikriya Khan S/O Bakhtiyar Ahmad Khan (defendant

  
3/11/2022  
KHKULA  
Civil Judge-XX  
Peshawar

ATTESTED

  
District Court Peshawar

10

No. 2 himself and attorney for rest of the defendants) wherein they exhibited special power of attorney on behalf of plaintiffs is exhibited as Ex-PA, special power of attorney of attorney on behalf of defendants as Ex-PB, compromise deed as Ex-PC, copy of CNIC of plaintiff No. 3 (special attorney on behalf of rest of the plaintiffs) as Ex-PD and copy of CNIC of defendant No.2 (special attorney on behalf of the rest of the defendants) as Ex-PE. They jointly recorded statement mentioning that compromise deed has been effected between parties to the suit for the reasons mentioned therein and requested for the disposal of instant suit accordingly.

~~Signature~~  
1/11/2022  
KHKULA  
Civil Judge-XX  
Peshawar

In the light of the above, since the parties have settled the dispute with each other in the shape of Ex-PC, therefore, the court considers that instant suit needs not to be proceeded further, hence, disposed of as per Ex-PC and in the light of joint statement mentioned above.

No order as to costs.

File be consigned to the record room after its completion and compilation.

**Announced**  
01/11/2022



~~Signature~~

**Khkula**  
Civil Judge-XX.  
Peshawar

No.	57919
Dated of Application	08/10/23
Name of Applicant	41
Name of Respondent	PMS
Signature	f
Dated of Filing	18/11/23
Dated of Disposal	18/11/23

ATTACHED  
Signature  
(Examiner)  
District Court Peshawar



قیمت 50 روپے	16233	پشاور بار ایسوسی ایشن، خیبر پختونخواہ	
ایڈوکیٹ: محمد عابدیل بدیع		PESHAWAR BAR ASSOCIATION	 
بار کونسل ایسوسی ایشن نمبر: Bc. 109467			
رابطہ نمبر: 0334-9166514			

بعدالت جناب: سر سے مندرجہ اس کے پاس

مخاطب: اسرار علی	دعویٰ: اصل
بنام حکومت کے لئے	علت نمبر:
اطحاد اللہ	مورخہ:
	جرم:
	تھانہ:

### بامث تحریر آگہ

مقدمہ مندرجہ عنوان بالا میں اپنی طرف سے واسطے پیروی و جواب دہی کاروائی متعلقہ آن مقام پشاور کیلئے محمد عابدیل بدیع محکمہ اعلیٰ عدلیہ کو وکیل مقرر کر کے اقرار کیا جاتا ہے کہ صاحب موصوف کو مقدمہ کی کل کاروائی کا کامل اختیار ہوگا، نیز وکیل صاحب کو راضی نامہ کرنے و تقرر ثالث و فیصلہ برحلف دینے جواب دعویٰ اقبال دعویٰ اور درخواست از ہر قسم کی تصدیق زریں پر دستخط کرنے کا اختیار ہوگا، نیز بصورت عدم پیروی یا ڈگری یکطرفہ یا اپیل کی برآمدگی اور منسوخی، نیز دائر کرنے اپیل نگرانی و نظر ثانی و پیروی کرنے کا مختار ہوگا اور بصورت ضرورت مقدمہ مذکورہ کے کل یا جزوی کاروائی کے واسطے اور وکیل یا مختار قانونی کو اپنے ہمراہ یا اپنے بجائے تقرر کا اختیار ہوگا اور صاحب مقرر شدہ کو وہی جملہ مذکورہ بالا اختیارات حاصل ہوں گے اور اس کا ساختہ پر داختم منظور و قبول ہوگا دوران مقدمہ میں جو خرچہ ہر جانہ التوائے مقدمہ کے سبب سے ہوگا۔ کوئی تاریخ پیشی مقام دورہ یا حد سے باہر ہو تو وکیل صاحب پابند نہ ہوں گے کہ پیروی مذکورہ کریں، لہذا وکالت نامہ لکھ دیا تاکہ سند رہے

المرقوم: 16 / 10 / 2023

العبد محمد عابدیل بدیع سو اہد العبد  
مقام \_\_\_\_\_ کے لیے منظور ہے۔

*(Signature)*

*(Signature)*

اطحاد اللہ ولو اسرار ضاح سلخ علم کاتلہ  
17301-8310856-1  
0300-3162034