

Ph: 9214461
Fax: 9220406

REGISTERED
No. C.P. 624-P TO 626-P/2020- SCJ
SUPREME COURT OF PAKISTAN

Islamabad, dated 06-11-2023

From The Registrar,
Supreme Court of Pakistan,
Islamabad.

To The Registrar,
Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Service Tribunal,
Peshawar.

Khyber Pakhtunkhwa
Service Tribunal

Diary No. 8947

Dated 10-11-2023

Subject: CIVIL PETITION 624-P TO 626-P/2020

Secretary Elementary & Secondary Education Government of KP at Civil
Secretariat, Peshawar and others

(in C.P.624-P/2020)

(in C.P.625-P/2020)

(in C.P.626-P/2020)

Versus

Ahmad Ghazi and others

(in C.P.624-P/2020)

Kamazar Khan and others

(in C.P.625-P/2020)

Mir Wali

(in C.P.626-P/2020)

**On appeal from the Order/Judgment of the Khyber Pakhtunkhwa
Service Tribunal, Peshawar dated 15/10/2020 in Appeal-821/2014 Appeal-
1167/2014, & Appeal-822/2014**

Dear Sir,

I am directed to enclose herewith a certified copy of the Order/Judgment
of this Court dated 18/10/2023 dismissing the above cited cases in the terms stated
therein for information and further necessary action.

Please acknowledge receipt of this letter along with its enclosure
immediately.

Encl: Order/Judgment:

Yours faithfully,


(MUHAMMAD MUJAHID MEHMOOD)
ASSISTANT REGISTRAR (IMP)
FOR REGISTRAR

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF PAKISTAN
(Appellate Jurisdiction)

Bench-IV:

Mr. Justice Syed Mansoor Ali Shah
Mrs. Justice Ayesha A. Malik

C.P.624-P/2020 to C.P.626-P/2020

(Against the judgments of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Service Tribunal, Peshawar dated 15.10.2020, passed in Service Appeal Nos.821/2014, 1167/2014 and 822/2014)

Secretary Elementary & Secondary Education Government of KP.
at Civil Secretariat, Peshawar, etc. (In all cases)

...Petitioner(s)

Versus

Ahmad Ghazi, etc. (In C.P. 624-P/2020)
Kamazar Khan, etc. (In C.P. 625-P/2020)
Mir Wali (In C.P. 626-P/2020)

...Respondent(s)


For the petitioner(s): Mr. Sultan Mazhar Sher, Addl. AG KPK.
(In all cases)

For the respondent(s): Ahmad Ghazi, respondent No.1 in person.

Date of Hearing: 18.10.2023

ORDER

Syed Mansoor Ali Shah, J.- We have heard the learned Additional Advocate General, KPK (representing the petitioners) at some length and find that neither any substantial question of law of public importance has been specified in these petitions nor any has been raised before us. It is also clarified that the Service Tribunal is the highest forum for the purpose of determination of facts and only a substantial question of law of public importance arising out of the case can be taken up by this Court. See *Secretary Revenue Division v. Iftikhar Ahmed Tabbasum* (PLD 2019 SC 563). No such question is involved in the instant petitions, which are accordingly dismissed and leave refused.



Certified to be True Copy

Court Associate
Supreme Court of Pakistan
Islamabad