<u>BEFORE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICE</u> <u>TRIBUNAL, PESHAWAR.</u>

CIVIL Miscellaneous No: ____ / 2017 Diary No. ____ S

VS

Saleem Asmat

Government of KPK etc

APPLICATION FOR SUSPENSDING THE OPERATION OF IMPUGNED ORDER DATED 19.09.2016 AND IMPUGNED APPELLATE ORDER DATED 23.12.2016 & RELEASE / PAYMENT OF SALARY.

put up to the court with relevant append

Respectfully Sheweth,

 $\eta \approx \eta \approx \eta$ Application, on behalf of Applicants / Petitioners, is as under:

- 1. That, titled Service Appeal is recently instituted in which no date has yet been fixed for Preliminary Hearing.
- 2. That, even otherwise, the matter in dispute was pending in this Honourable Tribunal since long. however the Respondents issued the Impugned Orders with the aim to harm the service career of the Applicant / Appellant.
- 3. That, similar orders of other employees have also been suspended by this Honourable Tribunal in Service Appeal No. 498 / 2013, copy of the Order in this respect is attached for ready reference.
- 4. That, Applicant / Appellant is sanguine about his success in the titled case due to the facts contained therein moreover the Applicant / Appellant has got prima facie case and balance of convenience also lies in their favour.

5.

7.

- That, Applicant / Petitioner will suffer irreparable loss and inconvenience if the Interim Relief is not granted.
- 6. That, it merits mentioning here that the Respondents under melafide intention have also stopped the pay of the Applicant / Appellant against the law and the rules.
 - That, the contents of the main Service Appeal may also be treated as integral part of this Application.

It is, therefore, requested that on acceptance of this Application, the subject mentioned Impugned Orders may please be suspended till the disposal of instant Service Appeal and pay of the Applicant / Appellant may also be released in the interest of justice & fairness.

Applicant Appellant,

Through,

BILAL ΑΗΜΑΌ ΚΑΚΑΙΖΑΙ (Advocate, Peshawar)

BEFORE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICE TRIBUNAL, PESHAWAR.

CIVIL Miscellaneous No: ____/ 2017 In Service Appeal No. 130 / 2016

Saleem Asmat

VS

Government of KPK etc

<u>AFFIDAVIT</u>

I, Saleem Asmat, Naib Tehsildar, Applicant/ Appellant, do hereby on oath affirm and declare that the contents of the Application are true and correct to the best of my knowledge and nothing has been kept secret from this Honourable Tribunal.

Deponent.

Identified by

BILAL AHMAD KAKAIZAI (Advocate, Peshawar)

BEFORE THE KPK SERVICE TRIBUNAL PESHAWAR.

APPEAL NO. 497 /2013.

Hazrat Yousaf, Naib Tehsildar, Kander District Torghar.....

VERSUS

Appellant.

The Senior Member Board of Revenue KPK Peshawar

The Commissioher, Hazara Division Abbottabad

District Officer (R&E) Torghar.

Assistant Secretary (Estt:) Board of Revenue Govt:of Khyber in viner gasponderts Pakhtunkhwa Peshawar.

Mr. Abdur Rehman Shah, Tehsildar Dagar Bunir on Acting Charge S.No. 19 of the seniority list.

Mr.Sarir Ahmed, Tehsildar Reconciliation Peshawar on Acting Charge. S.No. 20 of the seniority list.

Mr. Muhammad Riaz Tehsildar Recovery Officer PESCO Peshawar Circle on Acting charge S.No., 22 of the seniority list.

Mr.Attaullah Tehsildar Charsadda on Acting charge S.No. 23 of the seniority list.

Mr Musadiq Hussain Tehsildar Inspector Stamps Bannu on Acting charge S.No. 24 of the seniority list.

All other

5

7-

-8-

9-

Mr Abdul Qayyum Tehsildar Karak on Acting charge S.No. 25 of the seniority list.

Mr Muhammad Nawaz Tehsildar Battagram on Acting charge S.No. 26 of the seniority list.

and filed

Mr MIR Liaq Tehsildar Revenue Officer Khyber Bank Peshawar on Acting charge S.No. 27 of the seniority list.

Mr.Nouman Ali Shah Tehsildar Political Tehsildar lower Orakzai Agency on Acting charge S.No. 28 of the seniority list.

Appenl No. 498/2013 Harsat Yousaf vs Gut

Counsel for the applicant/appellant present. On the application of learned counsel for the applicant/appellant file requisitioned for today. The learned counsel for the applicant/appellant argued that the appellant worked as Naib Tehsildar for almost 10 years. He further argued that his promotion from Naib Tehsildar was made on the basis of directions of the Service Tribunal dated 22.02.2010 followed by Execution Petition decided on 29.11.2010 and the impugned order of reversion dated 09.09.2016 was illegal, one sided and based on malafide. He requested for suspension of the impugned order dated 09.09.2016 till the date fixed for hearing of the main appeal which is 03.11.2016. Request is accepted. The impugned order dated 09.09.2016 is suspended till the date of hearing of main appeal. To come up for arguments on 03.11.2016 before D.B. Notice be issued to the respondents accordingly.

Mantas

06-10-16

500 -10-10 06-10-10

ngener († 1997) 1996 – Erik Vergening

Cervinee,

1.09.2016

Potenti Company

Bala of Ebal in the

· Si i zo

5600