BEFORE THE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICE TRIBUNAL PESHAWAR

Service Appeal No.7375/2021

MRS. RASHIDA BANO BEFORE:

... MEMBER (J)

MR. MUHAMMAD AKBAR KHAN ... MEMBER (E)

Mr. Niaz Ali (Ex-Sub-Inspector) No. 567/MR D, District Mardan.

(Appellant)

VERSUS

1. Inspector General of Police Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar.

2. District Police Office, Mardan.

(Respondents)

Mr. Taimoor Haider Khan

Advocate

For Appellant

Mr. Muhammad Jan

District Attorney

For Respondents

Date of Hearing......11.10.2023 Date of Decision......11.10.2023

JUDGMENT

RASHIDA BANO, MEMBER (J): The instant service appeal has been instituted under section 4 of the Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Service Tribunal, Act 1974 with the prayer copied as below:

"On acceptance of this appeal, the impugned order dated 28.05.2021 and subsequent appellate order dated 02.08.2021 may very kindly be set aside and the appellant may kindly be reinstated into service with all consequential back benefits."

2. Brief facts of the case are that appellant was appointed as Constable in the respondent department and was serving quite efficiently and to the entire satisfaction of his high ups and was promoted to the post of Sub Inspector. Appellant while posted as SHO PS Toru Mardan, disciplinary proceedings was initiated against the appellant. Charge sheet alongwith statement of allegation was served upon the appellant. Fact finding inquiry was conducted without fulfilling other codal formalities and major penalty of removal from

service was imposed upon the appellant. Feeling aggrieved he filed departmental appeal which was regretted vide order dated 02.08.2021, hence, the instant service appeal.

- 3. Respondents were put on notice who submitted written replies/comments on the appeal. We have heard the learned counsel for the appellant as well as the learned District Attorney for the respondents and perused the case file with connected documents in detail.
- 4. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of appellant argued that the theappellant has not been treated in accordance with law and rules and respondents violated Article 4 & 25 of the Constitution of Islamic Republic of Pakistan, 1973. He further contended impugned dismissal and appellate orders issued by the respondents are void in nature against the law, facts and norms of natural justice hence not tenable and is liable to be set aside. He further argued that no regular inquiry has been carried out by the respondent department and no opportunity of self defence was afforded to the appellant and the appellant was condemned.
- 5. Conversely, learned District Attorney argued that appellant has been treated in accordance with law and rules. He contended that appellantbeing member of discipline force involved himself in criminal case and earned bad name to the department. He submitted that charge sheet alongwith statement of allegation was served upon the appellant but his reply was found unsatisfactory and after fulfillment of all codal formalities respondent awarded major punishment for his misconduct.
- 6. Perusal of record would reveals that appellant was serving the respondent department as Sub-Inspector when on 12.03.2021 appellant being complainant reported the case FIR No. 148 U/S 9-D CNSA, 11-A K CNSA

at police station Toru Mardan and was arrested on the spot one Mr. Shad Ali S/O Muhammad Umar R/O Chato Chowk Mardan by affecting recovery of contraband from his possession. Appellant prepared recovery memo scaling case property i.e contraband into parcels by affixing his seal monogram upon it brought and handed it over to Moharrir of the police station namely Rasheed Khan. On next day of occurrence investigation officer produced accused alongwith parcels of recovered contraband to the Judicial Magistrate, who upon the request of accuse ordered de-sealment of case property and after de-sealment "it was observed that the alleged contraband was covered in a yellow tap tightly which was uncovered. After uncovering the same another parcel No.2 duly sealed in case FIR No. 78 dated 07.02.2021 U/S 9-CKCNSA in PS Toru Mardan and the signature and stamp of the undersigned Court was also marked over the back side of the said sealed parcel. Meaning thereby that the instant alleged recovery from the possession of the present accused is actually the case property of another case. In these circumstances, this court while invoking the provisions of Section 63 Cr.PC read with Section 167 Cr.PC discharge the accused from custody with no order as to sureties. He be immediately released. May this order shall have no effect over the powers of investigation of the local police.

7. Respondent upon receipt of copy of order sheet dated 13.03.2021 of learned Judicial Magistrate initiated disciplinary proceeding against appellant as well as Moharrir of Police Station Toru, Mardan Rasheed Khan the then IHC appellant in service appeal No. 7618/21 by issuing charge sheet and statement of allegations and appointing Mr. Sadat Khan DSP/Security as Enquiry Officer. Enquiry officer submit his report dated 12.04.2021 by holding responsible appellant for misconduct and fake registration of criminal case against Shad Ali and recommended the

appellant for suitable punishment after receipt of enquiry report and hearing in orderly room vide impugned order dated 28.05.2021 awarded major punishment of dismissal from service to the appellant as well as Rasheed Khan IHC. Departmental appeal filed by the both appellants were rejected by the Regional Police Officer Mardan vide order dated 02.08.2021 vide two separate orders of even date.

- It is a well settled legal proposition that regular inquiry is must 8. before imposition of major penalty of removal from service, whereas in case of the appellant, no such inquiry was conducted. The Supreme Court of Pakistan in its judgment reported as 2008 SCMR 1369 have held that in case of imposing major penalty, the principles of natural justice required that a regular inquiry was to be conducted in the matter and opportunity of defense and personal hearing was to be provided to the civil servant proceeded against, otherwise civil servant would be condemned unheard and major penalty of dismissal from service would be imposed upon him without adopting the required mandatory procedure, resulting in manifest injustice. In absence of proper disciplinary proceedings, the appellant was condemned unheard, whereas the principle of audi alterm partem was always deemed to be imbedded in the statute and even if there was no such express provision, it would be deemed to be one of the parts of the statute, as no adverse action can be taken against a person without providing right of hearing to him. Reliance is placed on 2010 PLD SC 483.
- 9. In view of the above discussion, the impugned orders are set aside, appellant is reinstated into service for the purpose of denovo inquiry with direction to provide proper opportunity of hearing, self defence and specially

cross-examination which are pre requisite of a fair trial, with further direction to conclude it within a period of 90 days after receipt of copy of this judgment. Costs shall follow the events. Consign.

10. Pronounced in open court in Peshawar and given under our hands and seal of the Tribunal on this 11^{th} day of October, 2023.

MUHAMMAD AKBAK/KHAN

Member (E)

(RASHIDA BANO Member (J)

*Kalcemulla

Learned counsel for the appellant present. Mr. Muhammad Jan,

District Attorney alongwith Atta Ur Rehman, Inspector (Legal) for

the respondents present.

Vide our detailed judgement of today placed on file, the impugned 2.

orders are set aside, appellant is reinstated into service for the purpose of

denovo inquiry with direction to provide proper opportunity of hearing, self

defence and specially cross-examination which are pre requisite of a fair

trial, with further direction to conclude it within a period of 90 days after

receipt of copy of this judgment. Costs shall follow the events. Consign.

Pronounced in open court in Peshawar and given under our hands

and seal of the Tribunal on this 11th day of October, 2023.

Member (E)

(RASHIDA BANO) Member (J)

*Kaleemullah