Ph: 9214461 Fax: 9220406

REGISTERED

No. C.P.5652/2021 - SCJ

SUPREME COURT OF PAKISTAN

Islamabad, dated 96 1 202

From

The Registrar,

Supreme Court of Pakistan,

Islamabad.

Khyher pakhtukh\h E Service Tribunal

То

The Registrar,

Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Service Tribunal,

Peshawar.

10 920

med 17-11-2013

Subject:

CIVIL PETITION NO. 5652 OF 2021

Kazim Ali Bangash

Versus

Government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa through its Secretary Elementary & Secondary Education, Poshawar and others

Secondary Education, Peshawar and others

On appeal from the Judgment/Order of the Khyber Pakhtunkhwa

Service Tribunal, Peshawar dated 29/07/2021 in A.-4921/2020.

Dear Sir,

I am directed to enclose herewith a certified copy of the Order/Judgment of this Court dated 19/10/2023 dismissing the above cited case in the terms stated therein for information and further necessary action.

Please acknowledge receipt of this letter along with its enclosure immediately.

Encl: Order/Judgment:

Yours faithfully,

(MUHAMMAD MŬJAHID MEHMOOD) ASSISTANT REGISTRAR (IMP) FOR REGISTRAR

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF PAKISTAN

(Appellate Jurisdiction)

PRESENT:

MR. JUSTICE QAZI FAEZ ISA, CJ MR. JUSTICE AMIN-UD-DIN KHAN

MR. JUSTICE ATHAR MINALLAH

CIVIL PETITION No.5652 OF 2021

Kazim Ali Bangash

....Petitioner

Versus

Government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa through its Secretary Elementary & Secondary Education, Peshawar and others

..Respondents

For the petitioner:

Mr. Shah Faisal, ASC.

For the respondents:

Mr. Sultan Mazhar Sher Khan, Addl. A.G.

KPK.

Mr. Sajid Khan, Legal Representative DEOM

Mardan.

Waheed Gul, Legal Representative,

DEOM, Kohat.

Date of Hearing:

19.10.2023

ORDER

Qazi Faez Isa, CJ. Learned counsel for the petitioner states that petitioner was working as a teacher for over eleven years and stopped working on 12 January 1978 yet he was entitled to pensionary benefits for which he agitated but unsuccessfully. Learned Additional Advocate-General, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, states that the petitioner for the first time agitated the matter on 16 January 2020, when he filed a departmental appeal which was time barred, and so too the appeal before the Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Service Tribunal. He further states that since the petitioner had abandoned his place of work without obtaining leave rule 2.11 of the West Pakistan Civil Servants Pension Rules was attracted which provides that an employee's past service is forfeited. Learned counsel for the petitioner has not been able to bring this case within the ambit of Article 212(3) of the Constitution of Islamic Republic of Pakistan nor has pointed out any illegality in the impugned judgment to justify the grant of leave, which is accordingly declined and

consequently this petition is dismissed

Certified to be True Copy

Court Associate Supreme Court of Pakistan islamabad

october 2023