### Form- A

## FORM OF ORDER SHEET

| Carrier - E |  |        |      |   |  |
|-------------|--|--------|------|---|--|
| Court of    |  | <br>1. | <br> | · |  |
|             |  |        |      |   |  |

| The appeal presented today by Mr. Taimur All Khan Advocate may be entered in the Institution Register and put to the Learned Member for proper order please.  This case is entrusted to S. Bench for preliminary hearing to be put up there on 1-3-2021  The learned Member Judicial Mr. Muhammad Jamal Khaon leave, therefore, the case is adjourned. To come up for same before S.B on 26.07.2021.  Reader | S.No. | Date of order proceedings | Order or other proceedings with signature of judge                   |
|--|-------|---------------------------|--|
| may be entered in the Institution Register and put to the Learned Member for proper order please.  This case is entrusted to S. Bench for preliminary hearing to be put up there on 1-3-2021  MEMBER(J)  The learned Member Judicial Mr. Muhammad Jamal Khaon leave, therefore, the case is adjourned. To come up for same before S.B on 26.07.2021.   | 1     | 2                         | 3  |
| This case is entrusted to S. Bench for preliminary hearing to be put up there on 1-3-2021  O1.03.2021  The learned Member Judicial Mr. Muhammad Jamal Khaon leave, therefore, the case is adjourned. To come up for same before S.B on 26.07.2021.   | 1     | 17/02/2021                |  |
| This case is entrusted to S. Bench for preliminary hearing to be put up there on 1-3-2021  O1.03.2021  The learned Member Judicial Mr. Muhammad Jamal Khaon leave, therefore, the case is adjourned. To come up for same before S.B on 26.07.2021.   | -     |                           | I Mult   |
| MEMBER(J)  The learned Member Judicial Mr. Muhammad Jamal Khaon leave, therefore, the case is adjourned. To come up for same before S.B on 26.07.2021.   |       | 08-02-21                  | This case is entrusted to S. Bench for preliminary hearing to be put |
| O1.03.2021 The learned Member Judicial Mr. Muhammad Jamal Khaon leave, therefore, the case is adjourned. To come up for same before S.B on 26.07.2021.   |       | , r                       | up there on 7-3-2027   |
| on leave, therefore, the case is adjourned. To come up for same before S.B on 26.07.2021.  |       |                           | MEMBER(J)  |
| on leave, therefore, the case is adjourned. To come up for same before S.B on 26.07.2021.  |       |                           |  |
| same before S.B on 26.07.2021.   | 0     | · ·                       |  |
| Reader   |       |                           |  |
|  |       |                           | Reader   |
|  |       |                           |  |
|  | _     |                           |  |
|  |       |                           |  |
|  |       |                           |  |
|  |       |                           |  |

### BEFORE THE KPK SERVICE TRIBUNAL PESHAWAR

## APPEAL NO. 2239 /2021

Sultan Mohammad

V/S

Govt: of KP etc

**INDEX** 

| S. No. | Documents  | Annexure | P. No. |
|--------|--|----------|--------|
| 01     | Memo of appeal   |          | 01-04  |
| 02     | Copy of the Notification dated 20.12.2012  | A        | 05     |
| 03     | Copies of the salary slips of working/serving month and vacations (deduction period) | B&C      | 06-07  |
| 04     | Copy of the Departmental appeal  | D        | 08     |
| 05     | Copies of judgments  | E        | 09-12  |
| 06     | Wakalat Nama   |          | 13     |

**APPELLANT** 

THROUGH:

(TAIMUR ALI KHAN) ADVOCATE HIGH COURT &

(ASAD MAHMOOD) ADVOCATE HIGH COURT.

Room No.Fr-08, 4<sup>th</sup> Flour, Bilour plaza, Peshawar cantt: Cell# 0333-9390916

Note: Spares copies of the appeal will be provided after the preliminary hearing of the case.

### BEFORE THE KPK SERVICE TRIBUNAL PESHAWAR.

Service Appeal No. 2239/2021

Khyber Pakhtukhwa Service Tribunal

Diary No. 2827

Sultan Mohammad, Retired-PET (BPS-15), GHSS Battagram Shabqadar, Distt: Charsadda.

**APPELLANT** 

#### **VERSUS**

- 1. The Government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa through Chief Secretary, Civil Secretariat, Peshawar.
- 2. The Secretary (E&SE) Department, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar.
- 3. The Secretary Finance Department, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar.
- 4. The Accountant General, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar.
- 5. The Director (E&SE) Department, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar.
- 6. The District Education Officer (Male), Charsadda.
- 7. The District Account Officer, Charsadda.

RESPONDENTS

APPEAL **UNDER** SECTION **OF** THE KHYBER **PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICE TRIBUNAL** ACT, 1974 **AGAINST** THE **IMPUGNED ACTION OF** RESPONDENTS BY ILLEGALLY AND UNLAWFULLY DEDUCTING THE CONVEYANCE ALLOWANCE OF THE APPELLANT DURING WINTER **AND** SUMMER VACATIONS AND AGAINST NOT TAKING ACTION ON THE DEPARTMENTAL APPEAL OF APPELLANT WITHIN THE STATUTORY PERIOD OF NINETY DAYS.

Registrar

PRAYER

**THAT** ON THE **ACCEPTANCE** OF THIS APPEAL RESPONDENTS MAY KINDLY BE DIRECTED NOT TO MAKE DEDUCTION OF CONVEYANCE ALLOWANCE DURING VACATIONS PERIOD (SUMMER & WINTER VACATION) AND MAKE **PAYMENT** THE **OF** OUTSTANDING **AMOUNT OF CONVEYANCE** ALLOWANCE WHICH HAVE **BEEN** DEDUCTED PREVIOUSLY WITH ALL BACK BENEFITS. ANY OTHER REMEDY WHICH THIS AUGUST TRIBUNAL DEEMS FIT AND APPROPRIATE THAT MAY ALSO BE AWARDED IN FAVOUR OF APPELLANT.

## RESPECTFULLY SHEWETH: FACTS:

- 1. That the appellant was serving in the Elementary and Secondary Education Department as PET (BPS-15) and was retired in the year 2016. The appellant has performed his duty quite efficiency and upto the entire satisfaction of the superiors.
- 2. That the Conveyance Allowance is admissible to all the Civil Servants and to this effect a Notification No. FD (PRC) 1-1/2011 dated 14.07.2011 was issued. That later on vide revised notification dated 20.12.2012 whereby the conveyance allowance for employees working in BPS-01 to 15 were enhance/revised while employees from BPS-16 to 19 have been treated under the previous Notification by not enhancing their conveyance allowance. (Copy of the Notification dated 20.12.2012 are attached as Annexure-A)
- 3. That appellant was receiving the conveyance allowance as admissible under the law and rules but the respondents without any valid and justifiable reasons stopped/deducted the payment of conveyance allowance under the wrong and illegal pretext that the same is not allowed for the leave period. (Copies of the salary slips of working/serving month and vacations (deduction period) are attached as Annexure-B&C)
- 4. That appellant feeling aggrieved from the action of the respondents regarding deduction of conveyance allowance in vacations period/months filled departmental appeal but was not responded with in the statutory period of ninety days. (Copy of the Departmental appeal is attached as Annexure-D)
- 5. That appellant aggrieved and having no other remedy filed the instant service appeal on the following grounds amongst the others.

#### **GROUNDS:**

- A. That the action and inaction of the respondents regarding deduction of the conveyance allowance for vacations period/months is illegal, against the law, facts and norms of justice.
- B. That the appellant have not been treated by the respondents department in accordance with law and rules on the subject noted above and as such the respondents violated Article 4 and 25 of the Constitution of Islamic Republic of Pakistan, 1973.
- C. That the action of the respondents is without any legal authority, discriminatory and in clear violation of fundamental rights duly

conferred by the Constitution and is liable to be declared as null and void.

- D. That there is clear difference between leave and vacation as leave is applied by the Civil Servant in light Government Servant Revised Leave Rules, 1989 while the vacations are always announced by the Government, therefore under the law and rules are appellant fully entitled for the grant of conveyance allowance during vacations period.
- E. That the Government Servants Revised Leave Rules, 1981 clearly explain that the civil servants who avail the vacations are allowed only one leave in a month whereas, the other civil servants may avail 04 days leave in a calendar months and the same are credited to his account and in this way he may avail 48-days earned leave with full pay, whereas the Government Servants to avail vacation such as appellant is allowed one day leave in a month and twelve (12) days in a year and earned leave for twelve year are credited to his account and there is no question of deduction of conveyance vacation period, the respondents while making the deduction of conveyance allowance lost sight of this legal aspects and illegally and without any authority started the recovery and deduction of conveyance allowance from appellant.
- F. That similar nature appeals were allowed by this august Tribunal in preliminary hearing and the appellant being similar placed person is also entitled the same relief under the principle of consistency and Supreme Court judgment reported as 2009-SCMR-01. (Copies of judgments are attached as Annexure-E)
- G. That as the act of the respondents is illegal, without any legal authority and not only discriminatory but in is also the result of malafide on the part of respondents.
- H. That appellant has the vested right of equal treatment before Law and the act of the respondents to deprive the petitioners from the conveyance/allowance is institutional and clear vacation of fundamental rights.
- I. That according to Government Servants Revised Leave Rules, 1981 vacations are holidays and not leave of any kind, therefore, the deduction of conveyance allowances in vacations is against the law and rules.
- J. That according to Article 38(e) of the Constitution of Islamic Republic of Pakistan, 1973 the state is bound to reduce disparity in the income and earning of individuals including persons in the services of the Federation, therefore in light of the said Article the appellant fully entitle for the grant of conveyance allowance during vacations.

K. That the appellant seeks permission of this Honorable Tribunal to advance other grounds and proofs at the time of hearing.

It is, therefore most humbly prayed that the appeal of the appellant may be accepted as prayed for.

APPELLANT

Sultan Mohammad

THROUGH:

(TAIMUR ALI KHAN) ADVOCATE HIGH COURT &

(ASAD MAHMOOD) ADVOCATE HIGH COURT



# GOVERNMENT OF KHYBER PARHTUNKHWA FINANCE DEPARTMENT (REGULATION WING)

NO. FD/SO(SR:II)78-52/2012 Dated Peshawar the: | 20-12-2012

From

The Secretary to Govt, of Khyber Pashtuskhwa, Finance Department, Penhawar.

Τà:

All Auministrative Service to Govi. of Eingler Paketunidhwa.

The Soulor Member, Board of Revenue, Kingber Pakhida Gwa...

The Secretary to Governor Knyber Parincessand

The Secretary to Chief Missier, Khyber Pakhlaridiwa.

5. The Secretary, Provincial Ascembly, Khyber Pakaterkhwa.

All Heads of Attaches Departments in Knyher Pakhtunkhwa.
 All District Coordination Officerum Knyser Pakhtunkhwa.

Ali Political Agents / District & Sexplors Judges in Khyter Pakhtunikhwa

The Registral Pegapost Ham Court, Postanov

The Chalman Public Service Convession, Khyber Poshtunichwa.

Jipa Charman, Bervezes Tabanal, Kaybar Piskhtorkhwa

Someth

## REVISION IN THE RATE OF CONVEYANCE ALLOWANCE FOR THE CIVIL EMPLOYEES OF THE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA, PROVINCIAL GOVERNMENT BPS 1-19

Dear Sir.

The Government of Khyber Pakhturáhwa has beim pleased to enhance / révise me rate of Conveyance Allowance admissible to all the Provinced Civil Servants; Govér of Khyber Pakhturíkhwa (Working in BPS-1 to BPS-15) w.e.f from 15 September, 2012 at the following rates. However, the conveyance allowance for employees in BPS-15 to BPS-19 will remain. \*\* Usrchanged.

| S.NO     | BPS   | EXISTING RATE (PM) | REVISED RATE (PM)       |
|----------|-------|--------------------|-------------------------|
| 1.       | 1-4   | Rs.1,500/-         | Rs.1.700/-              |
| 27       | 5-10  | Rs.1,500/-         | Rs.1,540/-              |
| 3.       | 11-15 | Rs.2,000/-         | Rs. 2,720/-             |
| <u>.</u> | 16-19 | Rs.5,000/-         | R\$.5,000/ <sub>3</sub> |

2. Conveyance Allowance at the papie rates per month shall be admissible to those BPS-17, 18 and 19 officials who have not seen sanctioned afficial vehicles.

Yours, Fashfully,

(Sahibzada Sacod Alumad) Secretary Finance

Endste NO. FDSSO(STE-T)/8-52/2012

Dated Feenwar the 20th Developher, 2012

A Copy is forwarded for information to thet-

- Accountant General, Rayber Pakhtaristma, Payimaga
- Secressies is Goesconsed of Punjob, සිදුවරු හි පිරුද්දමණා මියන්න සිදුවන් සිදුවන් සිදුවන්

I All Autoromous / Serry Autoromous Bodies in Winder Pakhtanthais

TESTED

(INTIAZ AYUB) Addilinosk Socration (Read)

MW

#### GOVERNMENT OF KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA FINANCE DEPARTMENT (REGUALTION WING)

NO.FD/SO(SR-II)/52/2012 Dated Peshawar the: 20:12.2012

From

The Secretary to Govt: of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa. Finance Department, Peshawar.

To:

- 1. All administrative Secretaries to Govt: of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa.
- 2. The Senior Member, Board of Revenue, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa.
- The Secretary to Governor, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa.
- The Secretary to Chief Minister, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa.
- The Secretary, Provincial Assembly, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa.
- All Heads of attached Departments in Khyber Pakhtunkhwa.
- All District Coordination Officers of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa...
- All Political Agents/District & Session Judge in Khyber Pakhtunkliwa.
- 9. The Registrar Peshawar High Court, Peshawar.
- 10. The Chairman Public Service Commission, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa.
- 11. The Chairman, Service Tribunal, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa.

Subject: REVISION IN THE RATE OF CONVEYANCE ALLOW CIVIL EMPLOYEES OF THE KHYBER PAKHTUNE

The Government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa has been pleased to enhance/revise the rate of Conveyance Allowance admissible to all the Provincial Civil Servants Govt: of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa (working in BPS-1 to BPS-15) w.e.f from 1st September, 2012 at the following rates. However, the conveyance allowance for employees in BPS-16 to BPS-19 will remain un-

| S.No.       BPS       Existing Rate (PM)       Revised Rate (PM)         I.       1-4.       Rs. 1,500/-       Rs. 1,700/-         2.       5-10       Rs. 1,500/-       Rs. 1,840/-         3.       11-15       Rs. 2,000/-       Rs. 2,720/-         4.       16-19       Rs. 5,000/-       Rs. 5,000/- |   |           | _                    |                   |
|--|---|-----------|----------------------|-------------------|
| Rs 1,500/-   Rs 1,700/-  |   | S.No. BPS | Existing : Pata CDAD | <del></del>       |
| 2.     5-10     Rs. 1,500/-     Rs. 1,700/-       3.     11-15     Rs. 2,000/-     Rs. 1,840/-       4.     16-19     Rs. 5,000/-     Rs. 2,720/-  | Ì | I. 1-4    | Dc 1 500/            | Revised Rate (FM) |
| 3. 11-15 Rs. 2,000/- Rs. 1,840/- Rs. 2,720/-   |   | 2. 5-10   | 19.1,5007-           | Rs. 1,700/-       |
| 4. 16-19 Rs. 2,000/- Rs. 2,720/-   |   |           | . RS. 1,500/-        |                   |
| 1 RC (1010)  | 1 |           |                      |                   |
| Rs. 5,000/-  | 1 | 4. 16-19  |                      |                   |
|  |   |           |                      | Rs. 5,000/-       |

Conveyance Allowance at the above rates per month shall be admissible to those BPS-17 18 and 19 officers who have not been sanctioned official vehicle.

Your Faithfully

(Sahibzada Saeed Alimad) Secretary Finance

Endst No. FD/SO(SR-II)8-52/2012 Dated Peshawar the 20th December, 2012



To,

The Secretary (E&SE) Department Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar.

Subject:

DEPARTMENTAL APPEAL AGAINST THE IMPUGNED ACTION OF THE CONCERNED AUTHORITY BY ILLEGALLY AND UNLAWFULLY DEDUCTING THE CONVEYANCE ALLOWANCE DURING WINTER & SUMMER VACATIONS.

#### Respected Sir,

With due respect it is stated that I was the employee of your good self Department and was serving as PET (BPS-15). That I was retired in the year 2016 and performed my duty quit efficiency and up to the entire satisfaction of the superiors. It is stated for kind information that conveyance Allowance is admissible to all the civil servants and to this effect a notification .No. FD (PRC) 1-1/2011 dated 14.07.2011 was issued. Letter on vide revised Notification dated 20.12.2012 whereby the conveyance allowance for employees working in BPS-1 to 15 were enhanced/revised while employees from BPS-15 to 19 have been treated under the previous Notification by not enhancing their conveyance allowance. Respected Sir, I was receiving the conveyance allowance as admissible under the law and rules but the concerned authority without any valid and justifiable reasons stopped/deducted the payment of conveyance allowance under the wrong and illegal pretext that the same is not allowed for the leave period. The august KPK service Tribunal also allowed the restoration of the conveyance allowance and its judgment dated 11.11.2019 in appeal no. 5452/2019 title Maqsad Hayat vs Education Department. Copy attached. I also the similar employee of education department and under the principle of consistency I am also entitle for the same treatment meted out in the above mentioned Service Appeal but the concerned authority not willing to issue/grant the same conveyance allowance which is granted to other employees. Copy attached. I am feeling aggrieved from the action of concerned authority regarding deduction of conveyance allowance in vacation period/months preferred this Departmental Appeal before your good self.

It is therefore, most humbly prayed that on accepting this Departmental Appeal the concerned authority may very kindly be directed to make me payment of all outstanding amount of conveyance allowance which have been deducted previously.

Dated: 04.11.2020

Your's Obediently

Sultan Mohammad, Retired PET GHSS Battagram Shabqadar Distt: Charsadda

ATTESTED

## PESHAWAR

APPEAL NO. 1452 /2019

Mr. Magsad Hayat, SCT (BPS-16), GHS Masho Gagar, Peshawar.....

#### VERSUS

1- The Government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa through Chief Secretary, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar.

2- The Secretary (E&SE) Department, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar.

3- The Secretary Finance Department, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar.

4- The Accountant General, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar.

5- The Director (E&SE) Department, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar. ......RESPONDENTS

APPEAL UDNER SECTION-4 OF THE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICE TRIBUNAL ACT, 1974 AGAINST THE IMPUGNED THE RESPONDENTS BY ILLEGALLY ACTION OF UNLAWFULLY DEDUCTING THE CONVEYANCE ALLOWANCE THE APPELLANT DURING WINTER VACATIONS AND AGAINST NO ACTION TAKEN ON THE DEPARTMENTAL APPEAL OF APPELLANT WITHIN rice Tribumit, STATUTORY PERIOD OF NINETY DAYS.

#### PRAYER:

That on acceptance of this appeal the respondents may kindly be directed not to make deduction of conveyance allowance during vacations period (Summer & Winter Vacations) and make the payment of all outstanding amount of Conveyance allowance which have been deducted Figedite-day previously with all back benefits. Any other remedy which this august Tribunal deems fit that may also be awarded in Registrar favor of the appellant.

#### R/SHEWETH: ON FACTS:

11/10/18

- 1- That the appellant is serving in the elementary and secondary education department as Certified Teacher (BPS-15) quite efficiency and up to the entire satisfaction of the superiors.
- 2- That the Conveyance Allowance is admissible to all the civil servants and to this effect a Notification No. FD (PRC) 1-1/2011 dated 14.07.2011 was issued. That later ion vide revised Notification dated 20,12,2012 whereby the conveyance allowance for employees



Affeal No. 1452/2019 Markad Hayat vs Gort

G-16

11.11.2019

Counsel for the appellant present.

Learned counsel referred to the judgment passed by learned Federal Service Tribunal in Appeal No. 1888(R)CS/2016 which was handed down on 03.12.2018. Through the said judgment the issue of payment of Conveyance Allowance to a civil servant during summer and winter vacations was held to be within his entitlement and the deduction already made from him was to be reimbursed. Similar reference was made to the judgment by Honourable Peshawar High Court passed on 01.10.2019 in the case of appellant.

Learned counsel, when confronted with the proposition that the issue, in essence, was dilated upon by the Federal Service Tribunal and, more particularly, by the Honourable Peshawar High Court in the case of appellant, stated that in case the respondents are required to execute the judgment of Peshawar High Court, the appellant will have no cavil about disposal of instant appeal..

The record suggests that while handing down judgment in the Writ Petition preferred by the appellant, the Honourable High Court not only expounded the definition of "Pay" as well as "Salary" but also entitlement of a civil servant for the Conveyance Allowance during the period of vacations. It is important to note that the respondents were represented before the High Court during the proceedings.

In view of the above noted facts and circumstances and in order to protect the appellant from a fresh round of litigation which may protract over a formidable period, the appeal in hand is disposed of with observation that the judgment of Honourable Peshawar High Court passed in Writ Petitions including W.P. No. 3162-P/2019 shall be honoured and implemented by the respondents within shortest possible time. The appellant shall, however, be at liberty to seek remedy in accordance with law in case his grievance is not redressed by the respondents within a reasonable time.

File be consigned to the record.

Chairman

ANNOUNCED

11.11.2019

ATTESTED

Certificity of the Kingle of Helder

THE STATE OF THE S



Service Appeal No. 4362020

Rhyber Pakhtukhwa Service Tribunai

Diary No. 76

Muhammad Naeem Khan CT (BPS-15), GHS Kotli Saleh Khana, Nowshera.

1

Dated # 13/2020

**APPELLANT** 

#### **VERSUS**

- 1. The Government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa through Chief Secretary, Civil Secretariat, Peshawar.
- 2. The Secretary (E&SE) Department, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar.
- 3. The Secretary Finance Department, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar.
- 4. The Accountant General, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar.
- 5. The Director (E&SE) Department, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar.

#### **RESPONDENTS**

APPEAL UNDER **SECTION** OF THE **KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICE TRIBUNAL** ACT. 1974 **AGAINST** THE **IMPUGNED ACTION OF** THE RESPONDENTS BY **ILLEGALLY** AND UNLAWFULLY DEDUCTING THE CONVEYANCE ALLOWANCE OF THE **APPELLANT** DURING WINTER AND **SUMMER** VACATIONS AND AGAINST NOT TAKING ACTION ON THE DEPARTMENTAL APPEAL OF APPELLANT WITHIN THE STATUTORY PERIOD OF NINETY DAYS.

#### **PRAYER**

Registrar 1/3/2020

ON' THE **ACCEPTANCE OF APPEAL** THIS RESPONDENTS MAY KINDLY BE DIRECTED NOT TO MAKE DEDUCTION OF CONVEYANCE ALLOWANCE DURING VACATIONS PERIOD (SUMMER & WINTER VACATION) AND **MAKE** THE **PAYMENT OF OUTSTANDING** AMOUNT **CONVEYANCE** OF **ALLOWANCE** WHICH **BEEN** HAVE **DEDUCTED** PREVIOUSLY WITH ALL BACK BENEFITS. ANY OTHER REMEDY WHICH THIS AUGUST TRIBUNAL DEEMS FIT AND APPROPRIATE THAT MAY ALSO BE AWARDED IN FAVOUR OF APPELLANT.

ATTESTED

06.07.2020

Counsel for the appellant present.

- At the outset learned counsel referred to copy of order dated 11.11.2019 passed in Appeal No. 1452/2019 and requested for disposal of instant appeal also in terms of the order.
- It shall be useful for the purpose to reproduce hereunder the contents of 2. referred order dated 11.11.2019:-

"Learned counsel referred to the judgment passed by learned Federal Service Tribunal in Appeal No. 1888(R)CS/2016 which was handed down on 03.12.2018. Through the said judgment the issue of payment of Conveyance Allowance to a civil servant during summer and winter vacations was held to be within his entitlement and the deduction already made from him was to be reimbursed. Similar reference was made to the judgment by Honourable Peshawar High Court passed on 01.10.2019 in the case of appellant.

Learned counsel, when confronted with the proposition that the issue, in essence, was dilated upon by the Federal Service Tribunal and, more particularly, by the Honourable Peshawar High Court in the case of appellant, stated that in case the respondents are required to execute the judgment of Peshawar High Court, the appellant will have no cavil about disposal of instant appeal.

The record suggests that while handing down judgment in the Writ Petition preferred by the appellant, the Honourable High Court not only expounded the definition of "Pay" as well as "Salary" but also entitlement of a civil servant for the Conveyance Allowance during the period of vacations. It is important to note that the respondents were represented before the High Court during the proceedings.

In view of the above noted facts and circumstances and in order to protect the appellant from a fresh round of litigation which may protract over a formidable period, the appeal in hand is disposed of with observation that the judgment of Honourable Peshawar High Court passed in Writ Petitions including W.P No. 3162-P/2019 shall be honoured and implemented by the respondents within shortest possible time. The appellant shall, however, be at liberty to seek remedy in accordance with law in case his grievance is not redressed by the respondents within a reasonable time.

3. As a disgruntled, the appellant appears to be placed similar to a number of petitioners in Writ Petition No.3162-P/2019 and other connected matters decided by the Hon'ble Peshawar High Court. Under the principle of consistency, it would, therefore, be appropriate to dispose of the appeal in MITENED hand in accordance with the order dated 11.11.2019 passed in Service Appeal

No.1452. Disposed of similarly. File be consigned to the record room

**ANNOUNCED** 06.07.2020

Certified to be ture copy Khyb

Member

### **VAKALAT NAMA**

| NO   | _/2021   |
|--|--|
| IN THE COURT OF KP Service   | Tribunal, Perhamose  |
| Sultan Mohammud VERSUS   | (Appellant)<br>(Petitioner)<br>(Plaintiff)                               |
| I/Wé, Saltan Mohama  | (Defendant)  |
| Do hereby appoint and constitute <i>Taimur</i> , <i>Peshawar</i> , to appear, plead, act, compromise, me/us as my/our Counsel/Advocate in the above his default and with the authority to engage/apmy/our costs. | Ali Khan, Advocate High Court withdraw or refer to arbitration for       |
| I/We authorize the said Advocate to deposit, with<br>sums and amounts payable or deposited on my/or<br>The Advocate/Counsel is also at liberty to leav<br>proceedings, if his any fee left unpaid or is outstar  | ur account in the above noted matter.  e my/our case at any stage of the |
| Dated/2021   | (CLIENT)   |

TAIMUR ALI KHAN Advocate High Court BC-10-4240 CNIC: 17101-7395544-5 Cell No. 0333-9390916

**ACCEPTE** 

OFFICE: Room # FR-8, 4<sup>th</sup> Floor, Bilour Plaza, Peshawar, Cantt: Peshawar