

8th Nov. 2023 1. Learned counsel for the appellant present. Mr. Habib Anwar, Additional Advocate General for the respondents present.

2. Reply on behalf of respondent No.2 which is neither signed by himself nor there is name under the signature besides no authority letter of the officers signing the comments or the one swearing in the affidavit are annexed. Furthermore, on the reply, it has been noted that it is on behalf of respondents No.1 to 3 while there is single signature of respondent No.2. Therefore, the office shall return the comments to respondent No.2 for removal of deficiencies pointed out above and to resubmit within seven days. Appellant is directed to deposit expenses of TCS for summoning private respondent No.4, within 3 days. To come up for reply/comments on 16.11.2023 before S.B. P.P given to the parties.

Expense for TCS
not submitted

of
Moharvis




(Kalim Arshad Khan)
Chairman

Mutazem Shah

16th Nov. 2023 1. Nobody is present on behalf of the appellant. Mr. Habib Anwar, Additional Advocate General for the respondents present.

2. Despite directions to the appellant to deposit expenses of TCS for summoning private respondent No.4, the same have not been deposited. Therefore, this case is dismissed due to non-compliance of the Court order. Consign.

3. *Pronounced in open Court at Peshawar and given under my hand and seal of the Tribunal on this 16th day of November, 2023.*



(Kalim Arshad Khan)
Chairman

*Mutazem Shah *