Form- A

FORM OF ORDER SHEET

, o a . c o					<u> </u>	
						_
	•					
	_					
	. 61					•
	6 //	<i>('</i>)	_		-	
	, (\ 1	` 1.			
		\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \	· 1		•	
> Ni∩ -	, ,	1 1/1	. / /202	ነበ		

рі	te of order oceedings	Order or other proceedings with	signature of judge
1	2.		3
1- 02/	11/2020	·	d today by Mr. Umar Farooq Advocate m
		be entered in the Institution Report order please.	gister and put to the Learned Member f
		proper order predict	
			REGISTRAR
-	. 4	This case is entrusted to up there on $\frac{1}{2}$	S. Bench for preliminary hearing to be p
;			
	6 TZ		MEMBER(J)
	•		
		eave, therefore, the case e before S.B on 26.07.202	\$
			Reader
·	. · · š		
	, · S	ingly.	
	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		
	. 5		
	S		
	· \$		
	5		
	. \$		
	S		

BEFORE THE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICE TRIBUNAL, PESHAWAR

APPEAL NO			/2020
-----------	--	--	-------

MOHAMMAD JAVID VS EDUCATION DEPTT:

INDEX

S.NO.	DOCUMENTS	ANNEXURE	PAGE
1.	Memo of appeal	***************************************	1-3.
2.	Notification	A	4.
3.	Pay slips	B & C	5-6.
4.	Service Tribunal judgment	D.	7-8.
5.	Departmental Appeal	· E	9.
6.	Vakalatnama		

APPELLANT

THROUGH:

UMAR FAROOQMOHMAND ADVOCATE

Flat No. 4, 2nd Floor, Jumma Khan Plaza, Warsak Road, Peshawar 0313-8901647

Note: Sir,

Spare copies will be submitted After submission of the case.

BEFORE THE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICE TRIBUNAL, PESHAWAR

APPEAL NO./3547/2020

Service Browns

Mary No. 138/7

Buton 2/11/2020

ADDELLANT

Mr.Mohammad javid,TT(BPS-15), GPS,Mozikor ghazi bag, District Mohmand.

VERSUS

- 1- The Government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa through Chief Secretary, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar.
- 2- The Secretary (E&SE) Department, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar.
- 3- The Secretary Finance Department, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar.
- 4- The Accountant General, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar.
- 5- The Director of (E&SE) Department, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar.

 RESPONDENTS

APPEAL UNDER SECTION-4 OF THE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICE TRIBUNAL ACT, 1974 AGAINST THE IMPUGNED ACTION OF THE RESPONDENTS BY ILLEGALLY AND UNLAWFULLY DEDUCTING THE CONVEYANCE ALLOWANCE OF THE APPELLANT DURING WINTER & SUMMER VACATIONS AND AGAINST NO ACTION TAKEN ON THE DEPARTMENTAL APPEAL OF THE APPELLANT WITHIN THE STATUTORY PERIOD OF NINETY DAYS.

PRAYER:

That on acceptance of this appeal the respondents may kindly be directed not to make deduction of conveyance allowance during vacations period (Summer & Winter Vacations) and make the payment of all outstanding amount of Conveyance allowance which have been deducted previously with all back benefits. Any other remedy which this august Tribunal deems fit that may also be awarded in favor of the appellant.

R/SHEWETH: ON FACTS:

- 1- That the appellant is serving in the Elementary & Secondary Education Department as TT(BPS-15) quite efficiently and up to the entire satisfaction of their superiors.
- 2- That the Conveyance Allowance is admissible to all the Civil Servants and to this effect a Netification No. FD (PRG)1-1/2011 dated 14.07.2011 was Issued. That later on vide revised Notification dated 20.12.2012 whereby the conveyance allowance for employeesworking in BPS 1 to 15 were enhance/revised while



employees from

- 3- That appellant was receiving the conveyance allowances as admissible under the law and rules but the respondents without any valid and justifiable reasons stopped/deducted the payment of conveyance allowance under the wrong and illegal pretext that the same is not allowed for the leave period. Copies of the Salary Slips of working/serving month and vacations (deduction period) are attached as annexure.
- 5- That appellant preferred departmental appeal before the respondents under the rule of consistency against the unlawful action of deducting the convince allowance, but no response has been given by the respondent department till the expiry of statutory period of ninety days. Copy of the departmental appeal is attached as annexure....E.
- 6- That appellant feeling aggrieved and having no other remedy filed the instant service appeal on the following grounds amongst the others.

GROUNDS:

- A- That the action and inaction of the respondents regarding deduction of conveyance allowance for vacations period/months is illegal, against the law, facts, norms of natural justice.
- B- That the appellant have not been treated by the respondent Department in accordance with law and rules on the subject noted above and as such the respondents violated Article 4 and 25 of the constitution of Islamic Republic of Pakistan 1973.
- C- That the action of the respondents is without any legal authority, discriminatory and in clear violation of fundamental rights duly conferred by the Constitution and is liable to be declared as null and void.
- D- That there is clear difference between leave and vacation as leave is applied by the Civil Servant in light Government Servant Revised Leave Rules, 1981 while the vacations are always announced by the Government, therefore under the law and Rules the appellant fully entitled for the grant of conveyance allowance during vacations period.

- E- That the Government Servants Revised Leave Rules, 1981 clearly explain that the civil servants who avail the vacations are allowed only one leave in a month whereas, the other civil servants may avail 04 days leave in a calendar months and the same are credited to his account and in this way he may avail 48 days earned leave with full pay, whereas the Government servants to avail vacation such as appellant is allowed one day leave in a month and twelve (12) days in a year and earned leave for twelve days in a year are credited to his account and there is no question of deduction of conveyance allowance for vacation period, the respondents while making the deduction of conveyance allowance lost sight of this legal aspects and illegally and without any authority started the recovery and deduction of conveyance allowance from appellant.
- F- That as the act of the respondents is illegal, unconstitutional, without any legal authority and not only discriminatory but is also the result of malafide on the part of respondents.
- G- That appellant has the vested right of equal treatment before law and the act of the respondents to deprive the petitioners from the conveyance/allowance is unconstitutional and clear violation of fundamental rights,
- H- That according to Government Servants Revised leave Rules, 1981 vacations are holidays and not leave of any kind, and therefore, the deduction of conveyance allowance in vacations is against the law and rules.
- I- That according to Article 38 (e) of the Constitution of Islamic Republic of Pakistan, 1973 the state is bound to reduce disparity in the income and earning of individuals including persons in the services of the federation, therefore in light of the said Article the appellant fully entitle for the grant of conveyance allowance during vacations.
- J- That the petitioners seeks permission of this Honorable Court to raise any other grounds available at the time of arguments.

It is therefore, most humbly prayed that the appeal of the appellant may be accepted as prayed for.

APPELLANT

Mohammad javid

THROUGH;
UMAR FAROOQMOHMAND

. . .

KAMRAN KHAN ADVOCATES



GÖVERNMENT OF KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA FINANCE DEPARTMENT (REGULATION WING)

NO. FD/SO(SR-ID/8-52/2012 Dated Pashawar the: 20-12-2012

From.

The Secretary to Govi, of Khyber Pashtunknya, Finance Desorbnost, Perhawar.

To:

All Administrative Separaties to Gove of Kington Pakintendring The Senior Member, Doed of Revenue, Rhyber Pakhing Phys

The Secretary to Governor Knyber Pakhtuskawa The Secretary to Chiaf Mover, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa,

The Secretary, Provincial Ascentary Knyber Politicakhina All Heads of Altached Departments in Knyffer Pakhwakiyiya All District Coordination Officerson Klyster Pakiltonkines:

As Political Agents / District & Sessions Judges in Khyper Paktischkhwa.

Jhe Registra Páshavar Hyb Cott. Pechawa

The Charman Public Service Commession, Khyber Pokhtunkowa. The Charman, Services Tribunal Kryper Fakhtunkowa.

Stid,ect

REVISION IN THE RATE OF CONVEYANCE ALLOWANCE FOR THE CIVIL EMPLOYEES OF THE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA. GOVERNMENT BPS 1-19

Dear Sir

The Government of Khyber Pokhturethyro has been pleased to enhance / revise the rate of Conveyance Allowance admissible to all the Provinces Civil Servants, Gover of Actypen Paschbankhwa (yeorxing in BPS-1 to BPS-15) well from 1° September, 2012 at the following rates. However, the conveyance allowance for employees in 575-15 to 665-19 will remain wrchanged.

	<u>,,</u>	<u></u> - <u>-</u>
S.NO BPS	EXISTING RATE (PM)	REVISED RATE (PM)
1. 1.4	?\$.\$00/-	Rs.1.700/-
. <u>-2.</u> 5-10	Ps/1,500/-	Rs.1,840/-
3. 11-15	₹s.2,600/-	r.s.2,720/-
· 4. 16-19		Rs.5,000/-

Cómveyance Allowance at the poor rates per manth shall be admissible to those BRS-17, 18 grid 19 offices who have not been sanctioned official vehicles.

Yours Fakhfully.

Sahibrada Sacod Ahmad) Secretary Finance

Endre NO. FIRSOIST-ID-8-52/2012

Dated Primawar the 20th December, 2017

A Copy is forwarded for information to the:-

Accordant General Paymer Pakhterishna, Pestigasa

Secretaries to Goldmanzett of Punjac, for this Sabarstan, Finalist Chrositiste

All Authorithous / Stemi Autoricipous Section in Whyter Pakittanitima

UNTIAZ AYUBI

indilinate (comban) /R

TESTED

GOVERNMENT OF KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA FINANCE DEPARTMENT (REGUALTION WING)

NO.FD/SO(SR-II)/52/2012 Dated Peshawar the: 20:12.2012

From

The Secretary to Govt: of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa. Finance Department, Peshawar.

To٠

- All administrative Secretaries to Govt: of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa.
- The Senior Member, Board of Revenue, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa.
- 3. The Secretary to Governor, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa.
- 4. The Secretary to Chief Minister, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa.
- 5. The Secretary, Provincial Assembly, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa.
- All Heads of attached Departments in Khyber Pakhtunkhwa. 7. All District Coordination Officers of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa.
- 8. All Political Agents/District & Session Judge in Khyber Pakhtunkhwa.
- 9. The Registrar Peshawar High Court, Peshawar.
- 10. The Chairman Public Service Commission, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa.
- 11. The Chairman, Service Tribunal, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa.

REVISION IN THE RATE OF CONVEYANCE ALLOWANCE CIVIL EMPLOYEES OF THE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA; TROVINCIAL

Dear Sir,

The Government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa has been pleased to enhance free inc rate of Conveyance Allowance admissible to all the Provincial Civil Servants Govt: of Khyber-Pakhtunkhwa (working in BPS-1 to BPS-15) w.e.f from 1st September, 2012 at the following rates. However, the conveyance allowance for employees in BPS-16 to BPS-19 will remain inchanged.

	.0.31	70.70		
	S.No.	BPS	Existing Rate (PM)	Revised Rate (PM)
•	1.	1-4	Rs. 1,500/-	Rs. 1,700/-
٠.	·2 ·	5-10"	Rs. 1,500/-	Rs. 1,840/-
	3.	11-15	Rs. 2,000/-	Rs. 2.720/-
•	4.	16-19	Rs. 5,000/-	Rs. 5,000/-
	• •			

Conveyance Allowance at the above rates per month shall be admissible to those BPS-17, 18 and 19 officers who have not been sanctioned official vehicle.

Your Faithfully

(Sahihzada Saeed Ahmad) Secretary Finance

Endst No. FD/SO(SR-II)8-52/2012 Dated Peshawar the 20th December, 2012

BEFORE THE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICE TRIBUNAL

PESHAWAR . APPEAL NO. 1452 /2019

Mr. Maqsad Hayat, SCT (BPS-16), GHS Masho Gagar, Peshawar.

APPELLANT

VERSUS

1- The Government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa through Chief Secretary, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar.

2- The Secretary (E&SE) Department, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar.

3- The Secretary Finance Department, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar.

4- The Accountant General, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar.

5-. The Director (E&SE) Department, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar.RESPONDENTS

APPEAL UDNER SECTION-4 OF THE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICE TRIBUNAL ACT, 1974 AGAINST THE IMPUGNED ACTION OF THE RESPONDENTS BY ILLEGALLY UNLAWFULLY DEDUCTING THE CONVEYANCE ALLOWANCE OF THE APPELLANT DURING WINTER & VACATIONS AND AGAINST NO ACTION TAKEN ON THE APPELLANT WITHIN DEPARTMENTAL APPEAL OF STATUTORY PERIOD OF NINETY DAYS.

PRAYER:

That on acceptance of this appeal the respondents may kindly be directed not to make deduction of conveyance allowance during vacations period (Summer & Winter Vacations) and make the payment of all outstanding amount of Conveyance allowance which have been deducted Predto-daypreviously with all back benefits. Any other remedy which this august Tribunal deems fit that may also be awarded in REGISTRAF favor of the appellant.

> R/SHEWETH: ON FACTS:

- 1- That the appellant is serving in the elementary and secondary education department as Certified Teacher (BPS-15) quite efficiency and up to the entire satisfaction of the superiors.
- 2- That the Conveyance Allowance is admissible to all the civil servants and to this effect a Notification No. FD (PRC) 1-1/2011 dated 14.07.2011 was issued. That later ion vide revised Notification dated 20:12.2012 whereby the conveyance allowance for employees

ATTESTED

3-11/10/16

11.11.2019

Appeal No. 1452/2019 Marchad Hayat is Gott

Counsel för the appellant present.

Learned counsel referred to the judgment passed by learned Federal Service Tribunal in Appeal No. 1888(R)CS/2016 which was handed down on 03.12.2018. Through the said judgment the issue of payment of Conveyance Allowance to a civil servant during summer and winter vacations was held to be within his entitlement and the deduction already made from him was to be reimbursed. Similar reference was made to the judgment by Honourable Peshawar High Court passed on 01.10.2019 in the case of appellant.

Learned counsel, when confronted with the proposition that the issue, in essence, was dilated upon by the Federal Service Tribunal and, more particularly, by the Honourable Peshawar High Court in the case of appellant, stated that in case the respondents are required to execute the judgment of Peshawar High Court, the appellant will have no cavil about disposal of instant appeal.

The record suggests that while handing down judgment in the Writ Petition preferred by the appellant, the Honourable High Court not only expounded the definition of "Pay" as well as "Salary" but also entitlement of a civil servant for the Conveyance Allowance during the period of vacations. It is important to note that the respondents were represented before the High Court during the proceedings.

In view of the above noted facts and circumstances and in order to protect the appellant from a fresh round of litigation which may protract over a formidable period, the appeal in hand is disposed of with observation that the judgment of Honourable Peshawar High Court passed in Writ Petitions including W.P. No. 3162-P/2019 shall be honoured and implemented by the respondents within shortest possible time. The appellant shall, however, be at liberty to seek remedy in accordance with law in case his grievance is not redressed by the respondents within a

reasonable time.

File be consigned to the regord.

Peshawas ANNOUNCED

11.11.2019

Chairman

Cardifies!

To,

The Director, (E&SE) Department, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar.

Subject:

DEPARTMENTAL APPEAL AGAINST THE IMPUGNED ACTION OF THE CONCERNED AUTHORITY BY ILLEGALLY AND UNLAWFULLY DEDUCTING THE CONVEYANCE ALLOWANCE DURING WINTER & SUMMER VACATIONS

Respected Sir,

With due respect it is stated that I am the employee of your good self Department and is serving as TT(BPS-15) quite efficiency and up to the entire satisfaction of the superiors. It is stated for kind information that Conveyance Allowance is admissible to all the civil servants and to this effect a Notification No. FD (PRC) 1-1/2011 dated 14.07.2011 was issued. Later on vide revised Notification dated 20.12.2012 whereby the conveyance allowance for employees working in BPS 1 to 15 were enhance/revised while employees from BPS-16 to 19 have been treated under the previous Notification by not enhancing their conveyance allowance. Respected Sir, I was receiving the conveyance allowance as admissible under the law and rules but the concerned authority without any valid and justifiable reasons stopped/deducted the payment of conveyance allowance under the wrong and illegal pretext that the same is not allowed for the leave period. One of the employee of Education Department in Islamabad filed service appeal No.1888 (R) CS/2016 before the Federal Service Tribunal, Islamabad regarding conveyance allowance which was accepted by the Honorable Service Tribunal vide its judgment dated 03.12.2018. That I also the similar employee of Education Department and under the principle, of consistency I am also entitled for the same treatment meted out in the above mentioned service appeal but the concerned authority is not willing to issue/grant the same conveyance allowance which is granting to other employees. Copy attached. I am feeling aggrieved from the action of the concerned authority regarding deduction of conveyance allowance in vacations period/months preferred this Departmental appeal before your good self.

It is therefore, most humbly prayed that on acceptance of this Departmental appeal the concerned authority may very kindly be directed the conveyance allowance may not be deducted from my monthly salary during the winter & summer vacations.

Dated: 15.07.2020

Your Obediently

Mohammad javid

/---D

VAKALATNAMA

BEFORE THE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICE TIBUNAL **PESHAWAR** ___ OF 2020 (APPELLANT) Mohammad javid (PLAINTIFF) (PETITIONER) **VERSUS** (RESPONDENT) Education Department (DEFENDANT) I/WeMohammad javid and constitute UMAR FAROOQMOHMAND, Do hereby appoint Advocate, Peshawar to appear, plead, act, compromise, withdraw or refer to arbitration for me/us as my/our Counsel/Advocate in the above noted matter, without any liability for his default and with the authority to engage/appoint any other Advocate Counsel on my/our cost. I/we authorize the said Advocate to deposit, withdraw and receive on my/our behalf all sums and amounts payable or deposited on my/our account in the above noted matter. Dated,

ACCEPTED

KAMRAN KHAN ADVOCATES

UMAR FAROOQ MOHMAND

OFFICE:

Flat No. 4, 2nd Floor, Jumma Khan Plaza, Warsak Road, Peshawar 0313-8901674