Form- A

FORM OF ORDER SHEET

Court of	 	
i		

	Case No	13949 /2020
S.No.	Date of order proceedings	Order or other proceedings with signature of judge
1	2	3
1-	11/11/2020	The appeal presented today by Mr. Umar Farooq Advocate may be entered in the Institution Register and put to the Learned Member for
		proper order please. REGISTRAR
2-		This case is entrusted to S. Bench for preliminary hearing to be put up there on
,		MEMBER(J)
01	.03.2021	The learned Member Judicial Mr. Muhammad Jamal Khan
	on	leave, therefore, the case is adjourned. To come up for t
	sa	me before S.B on 26.07.2021.
		Reader
1		

BEFORE THE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICE TRIBUNAL, PESHAWAR

AP	PEA	\L	NO.	/2020
			•	 -

SUMAIRA NAZ

VS

EDUCATION DEPTT:

INDEX

S.NO.	DOCUMENTS	ANNEXURE	PAGE
1.	Memo of appeal	•••••	1-3.
2.	Notification	A	4.
3.	Pay slips	B&C	5- 6
4.	Departmental appeal	D	7
5.	Service Tribunal judgment	E	8-9.
6.	Vakalatnama		10

APPELLANT

THROUGH:

UMAR FAROOQ
ADOCATE HIGH COURT

FLAT NO.4 2ND FLOOR, JUMMA KHAN PLAZA WARSAK ROAD, PESHAWAR CELL NO 0313-8901647

Note: Sir,

Spare copies will be submitted After submission of the case.

BEFORE THE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICE TRIBUNAL, PESHAWAR

APPEAL NO. 139 19/2020

Service Tribunal
Diary No. 14521

Mr, SUMAIRA NAZ CT(BPS-15)

TANGI DIST CHARSADDA.

Personnel Number: 00516273

APPELLANT

VERSUS

- 1- The Government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa through Chief Secretary, Khyber **Pakhtunkhwa**, Peshawar.
- 2- The Secretary (E&SE) Department, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar.
- 3- The Secretary Finance Department, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar.
- 4- The Accountant General, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar.
- 5- The Director of (E&SE) Department, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar.

APPEAL UNDER SECTION-4 OF THE OKHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICE TRIBUNAL ACT, 1974 AGAINST THE IMPUGNED ACTION OF THE RESPONDENTS BY ILLEGALLY AND UNLAWFULLY DEDUCTING THE CONVEYANCE ALLOWANCE OF THE APPELLANT DURING WINTER & SUMMER VACATIONS AND AGAINST NO ACTION TAKEN ON THE DEPARTMENTAL APPEAL OF THE APPELLANT WITHIN THE STATUTORY PERIOD OF NINETY DAYS.

PRAYER:

That on acceptance of this appeal the respondents may kindly be directed not to make deduction of conveyance allowance during vacations period (Summer & Winter Vacations) and make the payment of all outstanding amount of Conveyance allowance which have been deducted previously with all back benefits. Any other remedy which this august Tribunal deems fit that may also be awarded in favor of the appellant.

R/SHEWETH:

ON FACTS:

- 1- That the appellant is serving in the Elementary & Secondary Education Department as **CT** (**BPS-15**) quite efficiently and up to the entire satisfaction of their superiors.
- 2- That the Conveyance Allowance is admissible to all the Civil Servants and to this effect a Notification No. FD (PRC)1-1/2011 dated 14.07.2011 was issued. That later on vide revised Notification dated 20.12.2012 whereby the conveyance allowance for employees working in BPS 1 to 15 were enhance/revised while employees from

- 6- That the appellant also prayed to be treated through the principals of consistency for allowing such relief which was granted in appeal No 1452/2019 titled Maqsad Hayat versus Education Department in Judgment Dated 11.11.2019.
- 7- That where after the appellant waited for the statutory period of ninety days but no reply has been received from the respondents. That appellant feeling aggrieved and having no other remedy filed the instant service appeal on the following grounds amongst the others.

GROUNDS:

- A- That the action and inaction of the respondents regarding deduction of conveyance allowance for vacations period/months is illegal, against the law, facts, norms of natural justice.
- B- That the appellant have not been treated by the respondent Department in accordance with law and rules on the subject noted above and as such the respondents violated Article 4 and 25 of the constitution of Islamic Republic of Pakistan 1973.
- C- That the action of the respondents is without any legal authority, discriminatory and in clear violation of fundamental rights duly conferred by the Constitution and is liable to be declared as null and void.

- D- That there is clear difference between leave and vacation as leave is applied by the Civil Servant in light Government Servant Revised Leave Rules, 1981 while the vacations are always announced by the Government, therefore under the law and Rules the appellant fully entitled for the grant of conveyance allowance during vacations period.
- E- That the Government Servants Revised Leave Rules, 1981 clearly explain that the civil servants who avail the vacations are allowed only one leave in a month whereas, the other civil servants may avail 04 days leave in a calendar months and the same are credited to his account and in this way he may avail 48 days earned leave with full pay, whereas the Government servants to avail vacation such as appellant is allowed one day leave in a month and twelve (12) days in a year and earned leave for twelve days in a year are credited to his account and there is no question of deduction of conveyance allowance for vacation period, the respondents while making the deduction of conveyance allowance lost sight of this legal aspect and illegally and without any authority started the recovery and deduction of conveyance allowance from appellant.
- F- That as the act of the respondents is illegal, unconstitutional, without any legal authority and not only discriminatory but is also the result of malafide on the part of respondents.
- G- That appellant has the vested right of equal treatment before law and the act of the respondents to deprive the petitioners from the conveyance/allowance is unconstitutional and clear violation of fundamental rights.
- H- That according to Government Servants Revised leave Rules, 1981 vacations are holidays and not leave of any kind, therefore, the deduction of conveyance allowance in vacations is against the law and rules.
- I- That according to Article 38 (e) of the Constitution of Islamic Republic of Pakistan, 1973 the state is bound to reduce disparity in the income and earning of individuals including persons in the services of the federation, therefore in light of the said Article the appellant fully entitle for the grant of conveyance allowance during vacations.
- J- That the petitioners seeks permission of this Honorable Court to raise any other grounds available at the time of arguments.

It is therefore, most humbly prayed that the appeal of the appellant may be accepted as prayed for under the golden principals of consistency.

APPELLANT

SUMAIRA NAZ T

UMAR FAROOQ ADVOCATE HIGH COURT



GOVERNMENT OF KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA FINANCE DEPARTMENT (REGULATION WING)

NO. FD/SO(SR-II)/8-52/2012 Dated Pashawar the: 20-12-2012

From

The Secretary to Govt, of Khyteer Pashtuckhwa, Finance Department, Penhawar.

To:

All Administrative Secretaries to Govi. of Kiryter Pakhturithing.

The Sanior Member, Board of Revenue, White Pakhilla drap

The Secretary to Generican Knyber Pakhtiakawa

The Secretary to Chief Minster, Khyber Pakhtanithan

5. The Secretary, Provincial Ascertary Khyber Pakalunkhwa

All Heads of Attached Departments in Knyber Pakhtunkhiva.

An District Coordination Officers a Knyber Pakhtunkhiva.

All Political Agents / District & Serators Judges in Knyber Paktigenishwa

The Registral Pashapar Hamilton, Pashapar

. The Chairman, Public Service Cornnesion, Khyber Pokhtunkawa.

The Chairman, Sewies Tabund Flyber Pakhtorithwa.

Satisfied

REVISION IN THE RATE OF CONVEYANCE ALLOWANCE FOR THE CIVIL EMPLOYEES OF THE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA, PROVINCIAL GOVERNMENT BPS 1-19

Des/ Sir.

The Government of Khyber Pakhturathwa has been pleased to enhance / revise the rate of Conveyance Allowance admissible to all the Provinces Civil Servants. Govi: of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa (violking in BPS-1 to BPS-15) w.e.f from 1° September, 2012 at the following rates. However, the conveyance allowance for employees in BPS-15 to BPS-19 will retrain uprchanged.

			<u> </u>	
ع ر	ON.c	BP5	EXISTING RATE (PH)	REVISED RATE (PM)
	1.	1-4	RS.1,500/-	Rs.1.700/-
_	2.	5-10	Rs.1,500/=	Rs.1,840/-
	3.	11-15	Rs.2,000/-	Rs.2,720/-
	.2.	16-19	RS.5,000/	R\$.5,000/-

Conveyance Allowance at the opono rates per month shall be admissible to those SPS-17, 18 and 19 officers who have not been sanctioned afficial vehicles.

Yours Fakhtuliv,

|Sahibarda Sacod Ahmad| |Secretary Finance

Endytt NO. FD/SO(SR-II)/8-52/2012

Dated Legiawar the 10" December, 2011

A Copy is forwarded for information to thete-

2 Secretaries to Government of Punjab, Scall & Salbottettan, Farsiyot Decemberi

All Auroramous / Sami Autoscrobus Socies in Yayani Pakhtaninga

(INTIAZ AYUB)

Additional Seconary (Read)

NA-4

GOVERNMENT OF KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA FINANCE DEPARTMENT (REGUALTION WING)

NO.FD/SO(SR-II)/52/2012 Dated Peshawar the: 20.12.2012

From

The Secretary to Govt: of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa. Finance Department, Peshawar.

To:

- 1. All administrative Secretaries to Govt: of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa.
- 2. The Senior Member, Board of Revenue, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa.
- 3. The Secretary to Governor, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa.
- 4. The Secretary to Chief Minister, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa.
- 5. The Secretary, Provincial Assembly, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa.
- 6. All Heads of attached Departments in Khyber Pakhtunkhwa.
- 7. All District Coordination Officers of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa.
- S. All Political Agents/District & Session Judge in Khyber Pakhtunkhwa.
- 9. The Registrar Peshawar High Court, Peshawar.
- 10. The Chairman Public Service Commission, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa.
- 11. The Chairman, Service Tribunal, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa.

Subject: REVISION IN THE RATE OF CONVEYANCE ALLOWANCE FOR THE CIVIL EMPLOYEES OF THE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA, PROVINCIAL GOVERNMENT BPS-1-19

Dear Sir,

The Government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa has been pleased to enhance/revise the rate of Conveyance Allowance admissible to all the Provincial Civil Servants Govt: of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa (working in BPS-1 to BPS-15) w.e.f from 1st September, 2012 at the following rates. However, the conveyance allowance for employees in BPS-16 to BPS-19 will remain unchanged.

	S.No.	BPS	Existing Rate (PM)	Revised Rate (PM)
•	1.	1-4	Rs. 1,500/-	Rs. 1,700/-
	'2 ·	5-10	Rs. 1.500/-	Rs. 1,840/-
	3.	11-15	Rs. 2,000/-	Rs. 2,720/-
	4.	16-19	Rs. 5,000/-	Rs. 5,000/-

2. Conveyance Allowance at the above rates per month shall be admissible to those BPS-17 18 and 19 officers who have not been sanctioned official vehicle.

Your Faithfully

(Sahibzada Saeed Ahmad) Secretary Finance

Endst No. FD/SO(SR-II)8-52/2012 Dated Peshawar the 20th December, 2012

5 = B

Open color of the color of

AMESTED

00516273 SUMAIRA NAZ CNIC: 1710211605246 CERTIFIED TEACHER(00000039) Grade: 15 NTN: Gazetted/Non-Gazetted: G
PAYMENTS AMOUNT
AMOUNT LOAN/FUND Buckle No .: DEDUCTIONS PRINCIPAL ; BALANCE REPAID 9,220.00 3016 GPF Subscription 0001 Basic Pay GPF#: GPF#: 1000 House Rent Allowance 1,818.00 3501 Benevolent Fund 150.00- 3704 group insurance(exchange 73.00 3711 AddI Insurance(exchange) 19.00 3661 E.E.F(Exchange) 15.00-1528 Unattractive Area A 1500.00 1947 Medical Allow 15% (1 1,000.00 2,650.00 1,383.00 1948 50% Adhoc Relief All 2199 Adhoc Relief Allow @ 2211 Adhoc Relief All 201 920.00 PAYMENTS 18,770.00 DEDUCTIONS 257.00-18,513 .00 NET PAY 01.07.2012 31.07.2012 Branch Code: payment through DDO Acct; no;



The Secretary (E&SE) Department, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar.

Subject:

DEPARTMENTAL APPEAL AGAINST THE IMPUGNED ACTION OF THE CONCERNED AUTHORITY BY ILLEGALLY UNLAWFULLY DEDUCTING THE CONVEYANCE ALLOWANCE

DURING WINTER & SUMMER VACATIONS

Respected Sir,

With due respect it is stated that I am the employee of your good self Department and is serving as CT (BPS-15) quite efficiency and up to the entire satisfaction of the superiors. It is stated for kind information that Conveyance Allowance is admissible to all the civil servants and to this effect a Notification No. FD (PRC) 1-1/2011 dated 14.07.2011 was issued. Later ion vide revised Notification dated 20.12.2012 whereby the conveyance allowance for employees working in BPS 1 to 15 were enhance/revised while employees from BPS-15 to 19 have been treated under the previous Notification by not enhancing their conveyance allowance. Respected Sir, I was receiving the conveyance allowance as admissible under the law and rules but the concerned authority without any valid and justifiable reasons stopped/deducted the payment of conveyance allowance under the wrong and illegal pretext that the same is not allowed for the leave period. One of the employee of Education Department in Islamabad filed service appeal No.1888 (R) CS/2016 before the Federal Service Tribunal, Islamabad regarding conveyance allowance which was accepted by the Honorable Service Tribunal vide its judgment dated 03.12.2018. That the august K.P.K service tribunal also allowed the restoration of the convence allowance in its judgment dated 11.11.2019 in appeal No 1452/2019 titled Magsad Hayat versus Education Derpartment. Copy attached. That I also the similar employee of Education Department and under the principle of consistency I am also entitled for the same treatment meted out in the above mentioned service appeal but the concerned authority is not willing to issue/grant the same conveyance allowance which is granting to other employees. Copy attached. I am feeling aggrieved from the action of the concerned authority regarding deduction of conveyance allowance in vacations period/months preferred this Departmental appeal before your good self.

It is therefore, most humbly prayed that on acceptance of this Departmental appeal the concerned authority may very kindly be directed the conveyance allowance may not be deducted from my monthly salary during the winter & summer vacations.

Dated: 20.07.2020

Your Obediently

SUMAIRA NAZ TANGI DIST CHARSADD

JNKHWA SERVICE TRIBUNA PESHAWAR

APPEAL NO. 1452 /2019

Mr. Maqsad Hayat, SCT (BPS-16), GHS Masho Gagar, Peshawar.....

VERSUS

1- The Government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa through Chief Secretary, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar.

2- The Secretary (E&SE) Department, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar.

3- The Secretary Finance Department, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar.

4- The Accountant General, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar.

5- The Director (E&SE) Department, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar. RESPONDENTS

APPEAL UDNER SECTION-4 OF THE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICE TRIBUNAL ACT, 1974 AGAINST THE IMPUGNED ACTION OF THE RESPONDENTS BY ILLEGALLY AND UNLAWFULLY DEDUCTING THE CONVEYANCE'ALLOWANCE DURING WINTER APPELLANT VACATIONS AND AGAINST NO ACTION TAKEN ON MITHIN APPEAL OF APPELLANT DEPARTMENTAL STATUTORY PERIOD OF NINETY DAYS.

PRAYER:

24/18/19

That on acceptance of this appeal the respondents may kindly be directed not to make deduction of conveyance allowance during vacations period (Summer & Winter Vacations) and make the payment of all outstanding amount of Conveyance allowance which have been deducted Figedto-daypreviously with all back benefits. Any other remedy which this august Tribunal deems fit that may also be awarded in Registrar favor of the appellant.

> R/SHEWETH: ON FACTS:

1- That the appellant is serving in the elementary and eseconda education department as Certified Teacher (BPS-15) quite efficient and up to the entire satisfaction of the superiors.

2- That the Conveyance Allowance is admissible to all the civil servar and to this effect a Notification No. FD (PRC) 1-1/2011 dat 14.07.2011 was issued. That later ion vide revised Notification dat 20.12.2012 whereby the conveyance allowance for employs

Affect No. 1452/2019 Maxfad Hayat vs Govt

11.11.2019

Counsel for the appellant present.

Learned counsel referred to the judgment passed by learned Federal Service Tribunal in Appeal No. 1888(R)CS/2016 which was handed down on 03.12.2018. Through the said judgment the issue of payment of Conveyance Allowance to a civil servant during summer and winter vacations was held to be within his entitlement, and the deduction already made from him was to be reimbursed. Similar reference was made to the judgment by Honourable Peshawar High Court passed on 01.10.2019 in the case of appellant.

Learned counsel, when confronted with the proposition that the issue, in essence, was dilated upon by the Federal Service Tribunal and, more particularly, by the Honourable Peshawar High Court in the case of appellant, stated that in case the respondents are required to execute the judgment of Peshawar High Court, the appellant will have no cavil about disposal of instant appeal.

The record suggests that while handing down judgment in the Writ Petition preferred by the appellant, the Honourable High Court not only expounded the definition of "Pay" as well as "Salary" but also entitlement of a civil servant for the Conveyance Allowance during the period of vacations. It is important to note that the respondents were represented before the High Court during the proceedings.

In view of the above noted facts and circumstances and in order to protect the appellant from a fresh round of litigation which may protract over a formidable period, the appeal in hand is disposed of with observation that the judgment of Honourable Peshawar High Court passed in Writ Petitions including W.P. No. 3162-P/2019 shall be honoured and implemented by the respondents within shortest possible time. The appellant shall, however, be at liberty to seek remedy in accordance with law in case his grievance is not redressed by the respondents within a

ាស្ត្រ reasonable time.

Caroller^a

Positawas

File be consigned to the regord

و المراجع

11.11.2019

ANNOUNCED

Chairmán

VAKALATNAMA

BEFORE THE KHYBER PAKHTUNKH	WA SERVICE TIBUNAL, PESHAWAR
	OF 2020
	(APPELLANT)
SUMAIRA NAZ	(PLAINTIFF)
VER	(PETITIONER) SUS
	(RESPONDENT)
Education Department	(DEFENDANT)
I/WeSUMAIRA NAZ do hereby appoint and constitute UM Peshawar to appear, plead, act, comp for me/us as my/our Counsel/Advocate liability for his default and with the Advocate Counsel on my/our cost. I/we withdraw and receive on my/our beh	oromise, withdraw or refer to arbitration in the above noted matter, without an authority to engage/appoint any other authorize the said Advocate to deposit
deposited on my/our account in the above	ve noted matter.
Dated//2020	
	CLIENT

ACCEPTED
UMAR FAROOQ
ADVOCATE