S.No	Date of	Order or other proceedings with signature of judge or Magistrate
	order	or and probodings with biginature or judge of magnification
	proceeding	
1	S .	
1	2	3
	-	KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICE TRIBUNAL. PESHAWAR.
	-	
		APPEAL NO. 1268/2013
		Bakht Munir-vs- The Government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa through Secretary Elementary & Secondary Education, Peshawar and others.
	09.12.2016	JUDGMENT
		MUHAMMAD AAMIR NAZIR, MEMBER:
		Counsel for the appellant and Additional AG for the respondents present.
· -		2. In the instant appeal issue of up-gradation is involved and according to the
		judgment of august Supreme Court of Pakistan dated 17.02.2016 delivered in
		Civil Appeal No. 101 & 102-P of 2011 the service Tribunals have no jurisdiction
		to entertain any appeal involving the issue of up-gradation as it does not part of
		terms and conditions of service of the Civil servants.
		3. In view of the above the appeal was not found maintainable by this
		Tribunal for want of jurisdiction. The same is therefore dismissed. The appellant
		may seek his remedy before any other appropriate forum if so advised. File be
		consigned to the record room.
,		(MUHAMMAD AAMIR NAZIR) MEMBER
		(ASH FAQUE TAJ)  MEMBER
		<u>ANNOUNCED</u> 09.12.2016

17.08.2016

Mr. Sarfaraz Ahmed, Proxy on behalf of counsel for the appellant and Additional AG for respondents present. Mr. Sarfaraz Ahmed proxy on behalf of counsel for the appellant requested for adjournment as counsel for the appellant is not available today before the Tribunal. Adjourned for arguments

to 9-12-16 before D.B.

Membe

Membe

12-11-16

8

01.04.2015

Counsel for the appellant and Addl: A.G for respondents present. Rejoinder not submitted. Requested for adjournment. The appeal is assigned to D.B for rejoinder and final hearing for 14.10.2015.

14.10.2015

None for the appellant present. Mr. Muhammad Jan, GP for respondents present. To come up for rejoinder and arguments on 12-4-16.

Member

12.04.2016

Counsel for the appellant and Addl: AG for respondents present. Counsel for the appellant requested for adjournment. To come up for arguments on 17.08.2016.

Member

20.1.2015	Vide order sheet dated 19.2.2014 in c	_
	1266/2013, this appeal is adjourned to	-4-15
		-11/1
		Relader /
	Vide order sheet dated 19.2.2014 in c	onnected Service Appeal No.
1-4-15	1266/2013, this appeal is adjourned to	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
		Doodoo
•		Reader
•	•	;.
	Vide order sheet dated 19.2.2014 in o	onnected Service Appeal No.
-	1266/2013, this appeal is adjourned to	
		·
		Reader
	Vide order sheet dated 19.2.2014 in c	connected Service Anneal No.
	1266/2013, this appeal is adjourned to	<del></del> •
	•	
•		Reader
		. 10
	Vide order sheet dated 19.2.2014 in o	connected Service Appeal No.
11	1266/2013, this appeal is adjourned to	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
(7)	<u>:</u>	
		Reader 71-2-5
	41-6-51	Pro- 5
ة <del>ا</del> م		•
	Vide order sheet dated 19.2.2014 in o	connected Service Appeal No.
# <b>4</b>	1266/2013, this appeal is adjourned to	
		P+9
		Reader
¢		reduei
	, Vide order sheet dated 19.2.2014 in o	connected Service Appeal No.
	1266/2013, this appeal is adjourned to	<u></u>

Reader

07.01.2014

Appoul NO. 1268/80/2

Counsel for the appellant present. Preliminary arguments

heard and case file perused. Counsel for the appellant contended that the appellant has not been treated in accordance with law/rules. He further contended that similar nature of appeal No. 1323/2013, titled Mr. Muhammad Pervez vs. Education Department, Peshawar has already been admitted and pending before the learned Bench-II for regular hearing. He prayed that the same may also be admitted for regular hearing and club with the said appeal. Points raised at the Bar need consideration. The appeal is admitted to regular hearing subject to all legal objections. The appellant is directed to deposit the security amount and process fee within 10 days. Thereafter, Notice be issued to the respondents for submission of written reply on 19.02.2014 before the learned Bench-II.

19.2.2014

vide order sheet dated 19.2.2014 in connected appeal No. 1266/2013, this appeal is adjourned to 7.5.2014.

Vide order sheet dated 19.2.2014 in connected appeal No. 1266/2013, this appeal is adjourned to 12 - 8 - 14

Vide order sheet dated 19.2.2014 in connected appeal No.

1266/2013, this appeal is adjourned to 6-11-19

6-11-14

Vide order sheet dated 19.2.2014 in connected appeal No.

1266/2013, this appeal is adjourned to  $\frac{20-1-15}{20}$ 

28.11.2013

No one is present on behalf of the appelant. To come up for

preliminary hearing on 07.01.2014.

Member

C525

# Form- A FORM OF ORDER SHEET

	Çase No	<u> </u>
S.No.	Date of order Proceedings	Order or other proceedings with signature of judge or Magistrate
1	2	3
1	02/09/,2013	The appeal of Mr. Bakhat Munir resubmitted today by Mr. Abdul Ghaffar Khan Advocate may be entered in the
		Institution Register and put up to the Worthy Chairman for
		preliminary hearing.
		REGISTRAR
2	3-9-2013	This case is entrusted to Primary Bench for preliminary
		hearing to be put up there on 28-11-2013
		CHAIRMAN
	-	
•		
:		

The appeal of Mr. Bakht Munir PST GPS Dahnil Dir Upper received today i.e. on 01/08/2013 is incomplete on the following scores which is returned to the counsel for the appellant for completion and resubmission within 15 days.

- 1- Copies of departmental appeal and its rejection order are not attached with the appeal which may be placed on it.
- 2- Annexure-A of the appeal is incomplete which may be completed.
- 3- Annexures of the appeal are illegible which may be replaced by legible/better one.
- 4- Heading of the appeal is incomplete which may be completed.

No. 196 /S.T, \_\_\_/2013.

SERVICE TRIBUNAL KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA PESHAWAR.

Peshavear

Mr. Abdul Ghaffar Khan Adv. Pesh.

In reply to the initial objection, it may be stated fesperted Sir, that recruitment rules have been challenged in the instant rules which are construed by superior courts as a final order directly amenable before this Hon'able Tribunal and now departmental appeal is needed to be filed against them. Reference may be made to 1994 SCAR 1033 para 8 at page 1032 gays: In the second appeal (civil appeal no 141/1992), the tribunal was in the error in holding that the policy letter 9 10-2-1980 did not amount to an order or a departevental order or a final order. - All such orders if they exertal order or a final order. - All such orders if they expect the terms & conditions of the service of the authority expect the terms & conditions of enfacie issued by the authority qualify as departmental order enfacie issued by the authority within the donartment within the department empowered to do so. Hence such order would be would be amenable to appallate jurindiction of the service Relance may be had on I.A. Shernoani Reported Tribunal" 3 only Relevent postion of notification has been annexed as I'only Relevent postion of notification has seen annexed with better americane "A". while gull copies with of notifications with better connected appeals No. coppies have already been annexed with connected appeals No. 1054 to 1063/13 which juits of all the appeals are the sauces this appeal may kindly be the appeal may be put for heaving before appeal may be put for heaving before a bench for further proceeding. Abdul Ghaffar Johan Advocate. dt. 30-8-013

## BEFORE THE KHYBER PAKTHUNKHWA SERVICE TRIBUNAL, PESHAWAR.

Service Appeal No. 1268 of 2013.

Bakht Munir PST. ..... Appellant

# Versus

<u>INDEX</u>

S.No.	Description of documents	Annexure	Pages
1.	Memo of appeal		1-5
2.	Affidavit		6
3.	Addresses of the parties		7
4.	Application for temporary injunction		8-9
5.	Affidavit		10
6.	Application for condonation of delay		11-12
7.	Copy of Notification dated 13/11/2012	"A"	13-17
8.	Wakalat Nama		18

Dated 06/07/2013

Through

Petitioner/Appellant


Abdul Gháffar Khan Advocate, High Court, Peshawar.

Cell # 0300-5956376



## BEFORE THE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICE TRIBUNAL PESHAWAR.

Service Appeal No. 1268 of 2013



Bakht Munir Son of Aziz ul Haq PST GPS Dahnil Dir Upper R/o Batal P.O Osherai Dir Upper.

..... Appellant

#### **VERSUS**

- 1) Govt of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, through Secretary Elementary & Secondary Education, Peshawar.
- 2) Director, Elementary & Secondary Education, Peshawar.
- 3) Secretary, Finance Department, Govt of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Peshawar.

..... Respondents

APPEAL U/S 4 OF KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICE TRIBUNAL ACT, 1974 AGAINST THE IMPUGNED NOTIFICATION DATED 13/11/2012 OF THE RESPONDENT NO.1

Prayer:

On acceptance of this appeal the Respondents may graciously be directed to amend the impugned Rule to the extent of giving the experience /length of service of the appellant a considerable place and allowing him promotion. It is further prayed that respondents may be directed to grant enhancement to the appellant to BPS-15 without tieing up his length of service with any academic qualification beyond Secondary School Certificate.

ce-submitted to-

and filed.

Respectfully Sheweth:

1) That the appellant is working in the education department since his appointment against the posts of PTC Teacher (BPS-7), which has now been re-designed as primary school Teachers (PST).



- 2) That stated post was later on upgraded and by now the post of PST is BPS-12, as such all the PST have now been rendering services in BPS-12.
- 3) That being the senior most teacher and having served for decades on the same post and having no future prospect for the enhancement of their scales, the teacher association struggle for a long time for providing a service structure that may accommodate the senior teachers in higher pay scale, either through promotion or up-gradation or through some time scale.
- 4) That on pressing the demand vigorously and with untiring zeal by the Senior Teachers, the respondents notified the Rules for the recruitment and promotion of the teachers vide notification dated November13, 2012 (Annexure "A"), wherein PST (BPS-12) appears at S.No.21 with the required qualification and method of recruitment in the corresponding columns.
- 5) That at S.No. 19 of the rules (ibid) is the post of Primary School Head Teacher (PSHT) PBS-15, which is liable to be filled "by promotion, on the basis of seniority-cum-fitness, from amongst Senior Primary School Teachers with at least ten years service and having qualification prescribed for initial recruitment of Primary School Teachers".
- 6) That in the given scenario, the Senior Teachers, including the appellant having been placed no where and they are left hire and dry, without any chance of promotion or enhancement of pay scale. On the contrary, their juniors who are equipped with an intermediate certificate would be able to obtain (BPS-15) and become the bosses of their own seniors.

7) That feeling aggrieved of the said rules the Appellant now assails the same through the instant appeal on the following amongst other grounds:

#### **Grounds:**

- A) That at the time of induction of the Appellant PTC the requisite qualification was only Secondary School Certificate (SSC) and no other academic qualification or, for that matter, any training was required as a condition precedent for appointment against this post. Therefore, the additional qualification of Primary School Teacher Certificate or Diploma in Education, introduced later on, cannot be given retrospective effect and super-imposed on the appellant.
- B) That apart from the academic or other qualification(s) required for the post of PTC or PST at different times, the teachers working on these posts, who were equipped with the requisite qualification of their times, cannot be treated differently for any purpose. The Appellant being SSC with required length of service has to be treated on equal footing with any PST having Intermediate, PSTC or Diploma in Education on his credit but appointed recently.
- C) That the rich experience of the appellant as PST cannot be ignored and it requires to be considered on the time tested analogy of <u>OLD IS GOLD</u>.
- D) That the rule of promotion against the post of Primary School Head Teacher (PSHT) is defective yet on another score, as the appellant and similar other Senior Teachers have been afforded no opportunity of further enhancement by way of promotion or otherwise in their service career.

That the appellant has been discriminated as similarly placed teachers in other provinces have been allowed time scale upto BPS-15. For instance the provinces of Balochistan and Sindh and the Govt of AJK have adopted a uniform policy by granting a time scale to the stated post on the following count:

BPS-7 to BPS-10 After 09 years
BPS-10 to BPS-11 After 14 years
BPS-11 to BPS-14 After 21 years
BPS-14 to BPS-15 After 25 years

- That the impugned rules are not sustainable for yet another defect. All the rules on the subject of promotion in almost all departments give a good margin to experience /length of service but this criterion is lacking in the impugned provisions.
- G) That in the matter of up-gradation of the same post of PST from BPS-9 to BPS-12 only length of Service of 10 years was required as requisite qualification and no other conditions were attached thereto.
- II) That the Appellant have not been treated in accordance with law, as against the provisions contained in Article 4 of the Constitution.
- I) That the appellant seeks leave to urge additional grounds, after the stance of the respondents become known to him.

## **Prayer**

In view of the above said facts, it is, therefore, prayed that on acceptance of this appeal the respondents may graciously be directed to amend the impugned rules to the extent of giving the experience/length of service of the appellant a considerable place and allowing him promotion. It is, further, prayed that respondents may be directed to grant enhancement to the Appellant to BPS—15 without tieing up his length of service with any academic qualification beyond Secondary School Certificate.

Any other remedy to which the appellant is found ju in law, justice and equity may also be granted.

بخت صنیہ Appellant

Dated 06/07/2013

Through

Abdal Ghaffar Khan Advocate, High Court, Peshawar.

# <u>BEFORE THE KHYBER PAKTHUNKHWA SERVICE TRIBUNAL, PESHAWAR.</u>

Service Appeal N	o of 201	13.
Bakht Munir PS	Γ	Annellant

# Versus

#### <u>Affidavit</u>

I, Bakht Munir Son of Aziz ul Haq PST GPS Dahnil, Dir Upper R/o Batal P.O Osherai Dir Upper do hereby solemnly affirm and declare on oath that the contents of this appeal / application are true and correct to the best of my knowledge and belief and nothing has been concealed from this Hon'ble Court.

DEPONENT

Identified by

Abdul Ghaffar Khan
Advocate, High Court,
Peshawar.

S. No. S. No. KPK Pendude

## BEFORE THE KHYBER PAKTHUNKHWA SERVICE TRIBUNAL, PESHAWAR.

Service Appeal No of 2013.
Bakht Munir PST Appellant
Versus
Govt of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, through Secretary Elementary & Secondary Education, Peshawar

## **ADDRESSES OF THE PARTIES.**

#### Appellant

Bakht Munir Son of Aziz ul Haq PST GPS Dahnil, Dir Upper R/o Batal P.O Osherai Dir Upper.

#### Respondents

- 1. Govt of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, through Secretary Elementary & Secondary Education, Peshawar.
- 2. Director, Elementary & Secondary Education, Peshawar.
- 3. Secretary, Finance Department, Govt of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Peshawar:

Dated 19/05/2013

Through

Aboul Ghaffar Khan Advocate, High Court, Peshawar.

# 8

## BEFORE THE KHYBER PAKTHUNKHWA SERVICE TRIBUNAL, PESHAWAR.

Service Appeal No	of 2013.
Rabht Munin DST	Annallant

# Versus

APPLICATION FOR TEMPORARY INJUNCTION
TO THE EFFECT THAT RESPONDENTS MAY
KINDLY BE RESTRAINED FROM TAKING ANY
ACTION FOR THE PROMOTION OF PSTS TO BPS
— 14 /15 AS ACCORDING TO THE PROCEDURE
MENTIONED IN THE IMPUGNED RULES /
NOTIFICATION.

Respectfully Sheweth:

- 1) That the Petitioner/Appellant has filed the above titled service appeal before the this Hon'ble Tribunal, in which no date of hearing has yet been fixed.
- 2) That respondents vide notification dated 13/11/2012 with regard to the fresh education policy has given a new method of promotion which is bound to violate the promotion right of thousands of teachers including the Appellant.
- 3) That the Petitioner/Appellant has prima facie case and is very hopeful for the ultimate success of his appeal.
- 4) That all the three ingredients i.e. prima facie case, balance of convenience and irreparable loss as per spirit



# BEFORE THE KHYBER PAKTHUNKHIPA SERVICE TRIBUNAL,

Service Appeal No.

of 2013

Bakht Munir PST. ...... Appellant

Tersus

APPLICATION FOR TEMPORARY INJUNCTION TO THE EFFECT THAT RESPONDENTS MAY KINDLY BE RESTRAINED FROM TAKING ANY ACTION FOR THE PROMOTION OF PSTS TO BPS MENTIONED IN THE IMPUGNED ROLLES / NOTIFICATION.

Respectfully Sheweth:

- I) That the Petitioner Appellant has filed the above titled service appeal before the this Hon ble Tribunal, in which no date of fiearing has yet been fixed.
- 2) Thus respondents vide notification dated 13-11-2012 with regard to the fresh education policy has given a new method of promotion which is bound to violate the promotion right of thousands of teachers including the Appellant
- 3) That the Perinoner Appellant has prima facie case and is very hopeful for the ultimate success of his appeal
- 4) That all-the three ingredients (e. prima facte case, bajance of convenience and irreparable loss as per spirit

of the rule for granting injunction are present in the said appeal.

- That in case the injunction as prayed for above is denied, the Petitioner /Appellant will suffer irreparable loss as the impugned vacancies will be fill up and there would be no chance for appellant's promotion. Therefore, it is in the interest of justice to stay further proceedings on the impugned notification till the final decision of the instant appeal.
- 6) That the facts and grounds taken in the memo of appeal may kindly be considered as part and parcel of the instant application.

It is, therefore, humbly prayed that in the light of the above said submissions this Hon'ble Tribunal may please be kind enough to restrain the concerned respondents from taking any action towards promoting the PSTs teachers on the basis of the noted notification.

Dated 06/07/2013

Petitioner /Appellant

Through

Abdul Ghaffar Khan Advocate, High Court, Peshawar.

## BEFORE THE KHYBER PAKTHUNKHWA SERVICE TRIBUNAL, PESHAWAR.

Service Appeal No.	of 2013.		
Bakht Munir PST	•••••		Appellant

# Versus

## Affidavit

I, Bakht Munir Son of Aziz ul Haq PST GPS Dahnil, Dir Upper R/o Batal P.O Osherai Dir Upper do hereby solemnly affirm and declare on oath that the contents of this appeal / application are true and correct to the best of my knowledge and belief and nothing has been concealed from this Hon'ble Court.

DEPONENT

Identified by

Abdul Ghaffar Khan Advocate, High Courts
Peshawar.

The New Year and I want

# <u>BEFORE THE KHYBER PAKTHUNKHWA SERVICE TRIBUNAL,</u> <u>PESHAWAR.</u>

Service Appeal No	of 2013.
Bakht Munir PST	Appellant

# Versus

# APPLICATION FOR CONDONATION OF DELAY IF ANY.

Respectfully Sheweth:

1/2,

- 1) That the Petitioner/Appellant have filed the above captioned appeal in which no date is yet fixed for hearing, before this Hon'ble Tribunal,
- 2) That the contents of the instant appeal which may kindly be read as an integral part of this petition.
- 3) That the petitioner/appellant before filing departmental appeal was repeatedly assured to help him by the respondents and the Appellant visiting the offices of respondents time and again and at last on 07/06/2013 the Petitioner/Appellant were handed over general reply / rejection order on the above mentioned date which reply was earlier given to the Petitioner/Appellant of other cases / appeals.

# BEFORE THE KINBER PAKTIDAKHHA SERVICE TRIBUNAL, PESHAHAR.

-	
:	

Service Appeal No.

ef 2013

Bakht Mirnir PST

# JE25545

# APPLICATION FOR CONDONATION OF DELAY IF ANY.

Respecifially Sheweth

- That the Perinquer Appellant have filed the above captioned appeal in which no date is yet fixed for hearing, before this Hon'ble Tribunal.
- 2) That the contains of the instant appeal which may kindly be read as an integral part of this petition.
- Just the patitioner appellent before tiling departmental appeal was repeatedly assured to help him by the respondents and the Appellant visiting the offices of respondents time and again and at last on 07.06.2013 the Petitioner Appellant were handed over general reply rejection order on the above mentioned date which reply was earlier given to the Petitioner Appellant of other cases appeals.

- That the petitioner /appellant's valuable rights are involved in the captioned appeal, therefore, the petitioner may not be knocked out merely on the basis of technicalities including limitation.

  Reference is made to the judgments reported in PLD 2003 SC 724 = 2003 PLC (CS) 796.
- 5) That even otherwise the impugned order passed by the respondent No.1 against the appellant is void abi-initio and it is an established principle of law that no limitation runs against the void order,

In view of foregoing circumstances, it is respectfully prayed that the instant petition may graciously be accepted and the delay, if any, in filing of the above departmental appeal may kindly be condoned in the interest of justice and the appeal be decided on merits and technicalities may kindly be avoided. Any other relief which this Hon'ble Tribunal deemed fit and proper may kindly be granted in the interest of justice.

Dated 06/07/2013

Through

Petitioner /Appellant

Abdid Ghaffar Khan Advocate, High Court, Peshawar.

# BEFORE THE KHYBER PAKTHUNKHWA SERVICE TRIBUNAL, PESHAWAR.

Bakht M	lunir .	PST		 Ap <sub>l</sub>	pellan	ĺ
		•	٠.,			
Service .	Appea	l No.		 f 2013.		•


# Versus

## <u>Affidavit</u>

I, Bakht Munir Son of Aziz ul Haq PST GPS Dahnil, Dir Upper R/o Batal P.O Osherai Dir Upper do hereby solemnly affirm and declare on oath that the contents of this appeal / application are true and correct to the best of my knowledge and belief and nothing has been concealed from this Hon'ble Court.

Identified by

Abdul Ghaffar Khan Advocate, High Court, Peshawar.



COVERNMENT OF THE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHYA IENTARY AND SECONDARY EDUCATION DEPARTMENT.

SO PENA SERCIMENTALIZATION OF the Robbing Codres- by parsudrice of the provisions containing in substude (2) of the Khyber Palsulling high Civi vants (Appointment, Promotion and Transfer) Rules, 1989 and in Supersession of all Notifications is sued in this behalf, the Elementary and Secondary resion Deparament in consultation with the Establishment Depicturent and the Finance Department hereby lays down the inclined of recruitment inction and anhar conditions specified in the Appendicto this Notification which shall be applicable to all the posts specified in Column No. 2 of the Appendix and the schedule therewith.

SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT OF THE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA

ši. No. & Dale as above

Copy languaged to:

1. The Secretary to Gover of Khyber Pakfluckhyva, Establishment Decalinent.

The Secretary to Gove of Knyber Pakhtunkhwa, Flnanco Department.

Tha Score very to Gove, of Knyber Pakhtunkhyya, Levy Department:

The Sectionary Knyber Pakhturkhiva, Public Service Commission Poshavar. The Accountant General, Knyber Pakhturkhiva Peshavar.

The Director (ESSE) Knyber Pakhtunkhwa Peshawari

The Director Education (FATA), Peshavar.

ELEMENTARY ÁND SECONDARY EDUCATION DEPARTMENT GOVERNMENT OF THE KHYPER PAKHTÜNKHWA

# NOTTEICATION

Perhavior, dated the November 15,2612.

Suskarfoldssynd Median 2012 Tenching Cadree, In parsumee of the previsions contained in sub rule (2) of rule 3 of the KLyber Pakinan Liva Cref Not have the production and Transfer Rates, 1989 and in supersession of all Northeations issued in this behalf, the Elementary and Secondary Exercise Department is conduction with the Establishment Department the Finance Department hereby lays down the untibed of receitings. sees the versite of each trees specified in the Appendix to this Notificative which shall be applicable to all the posts specified in Colonn No. 2 of the See Shipson's and the sensitive theresity.

SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT OF THE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHMA ELEMENTARY AND SECONDARY EDUCATION DEPARTMENT

> COAST NUTS COME BY BEST · cr populari Xvi

The Sec electro Govinor alpha Participhes, Estadishment Department The Secretary to Goot of Alyper Parabulanua. Finance Ocpurraent.

The South beyond the chamber Parkenshare Law Department

The Sec. 1124 Angrar Pestpalsheri Public Sereke Courassian Postawal. Encountries Godges, Knyber Pahitumehna Pashapar,

Ting Sire do 116883) Alyber Pakhunkhwa Pesnaman The Dreater Education (FATA), Pessagar

(APTA) COUNTY (APTA) عوم فرکز دارد. مهجمه ومهداره يوريد كوالي كا فيسارك بياور

And the state of the control of the

i Dr






	Physical Education Teacher (BPS-15).	Bachelor's Degree from a recognized University with one year junior Diploma in Physical Education course or Army equivalency or other equivalent qualification.  (b) twenty per cent by initial recruitment; a basis of seniority-cum-fitness, from amongst the Primary School Her Teachers with at least live years service and having qualification prescribed I initial recruitment of Physical Education.
		Provided that if no suitab candidate is available for promotion the on the basis of seniority-cum-times from amongst Senior Primary Scho Teachers with at least five years service and having qualification prescribed from the initial recruitment of Physical Educatic Teacher.
19.	Primary School Head	Note: In case of non-availability of suitab candidate for promotion, then by initi recruitment.
20.	Teneher (PSHT) (BPS-15). Senior Primary School Teneher (BPS-14).	By promotion, on the basis of seniority-cun fitness, from amongst Senior Primary Scho Teachers with at least ten years service at having qualification prescribed for mili recruitment of Primary School Teacher.  By promotion, on the basis of seniority-cut:
<b>.</b>		fitness, from amongst Primary School Teacher

Med

;



21. Printary Selvol Teacher (i) Intermediate or équivalent qualification, from a recognized Board with Printary School 12 (1975-12).  (1975-12)	-		,		
S-12).  S-12).  S-12).  Teacher (ii) Intermedia recognized two years from a recognized two years from a recognized two years from a recognized the recognized two a recognized from a recognized		with at least five years service as such a having qualification prescribed for infrectionary School Teacher.	By initial recruitment on merit at Union Coan level; provided that if no suitable candidate within the Union Council is available, then fr the adjacent Union Councils on merit.		By initial recruitment.
S-12).  S-12).  S-12).  Teacher (ii) Intermedia recognized two years from a recognized two years from a recognized two years from a recognized them a recogn				-	18 to 35 years.
21. Printary School Teacher (BPS-12).				Secondary recognized two years from a reco	Intermediate with Hifz-e-Quran and Qirat Sanad from a recognized Institution.
21.	The state of the s		(BPS-12).	,	Qari (BPS-12).
			SI.		22.







#### Qari/Oaria

Total Marks 100
Marky obtained X 20% total pareks
Marks obtained V 20 / total marks =
Marks obtained X20 / total mark -
Marks obtained X39/total marks =
Marks obtained X 15 ctotal marks

Certified Teacher
(General , Industrial Arts , Agriculture , Home Economics)

Category of Qualification	Total Marks 100 For Humanities group at Intermediate/Graduation Level	
TISSE.	Marke obtained X 26 s total marke =	13
R.A. B.S.	Marks obtained X 20 total marks =  Marks obtained X 20 total marks	51,3
CT Conflicate/ Diploma in Education AADE MA/18-M Ed/MA Edu	Marks obtained X20 read warks -	
I Philippin	Marks obtained X 15 ; total marks =  Marks = 05	<del></del> <u>-</u>

For Condidate of Science group

5 Extra marks for FNe, 5 Extra marks for B Se and 5 Extra marks for MSe will be added to the total source ast win. The remaining the selection

them in chain

Replace (Section 1987)



#### Primary School Teacher

Category of Qualification	Total Marks 109 For Humanities group at Intermediate Level	For Candidate of Science group
N. C.	Marks obtained X 20 Frotal marks	
VSSC:	Marks obtained X10; total marks	5 Extra marks for FSc, 5 Extra marks for B.Sc and Extra marks for M.Sc will be asked to the total
0.178Sc	Marks admined X2N intal marks	score abtained by a conditate during his selection
ST Certificate: Diploma in ducation IADE.		
MANSONLEAT MA Edu PhiliPhD	Marks obtained X 20 / total marks =	
THEITHD	Morks = 95	

#### Other conditions:

1. The concerned Appointing Authority will scrutinize and verify the dozuments and move the appointment as per prescribed rule and the will go the decoments verified after the issuance of appointment orders within shortest possible time, not exceeding ninety (90) days

2. The merit list prepared by the concerned oppointing authority well be displayed for too days to receive the objections opposits, it may and stroll beste the final merit list ofter making necessary corrections while addressing the observations of persons appeals, followed by requisite appointment orders 3. In case a document's) is an found fakei forged bogus upon secuting verification, to, service of the teacher concerned shall be teachering in a factoring of the announce.

poid to him as salare shall be recovered from him and on FIE shall be indeed against him on account of fargery fraud variet the relevant has

4. Deni Asmad fram recognized Tazeemat-nl-Wafaqud Madaris. Datal Ulcam Sarán Shadi Swat, Datal Ulcer. Charhagh Swat, Datal Ulcer. Charhagh Swat, Datal Ulcer. Charhagh Swat, Datal Ulcer. Uleom Darosh Chitral and any other Government run Darul Uleom, as notified by if a Government from time to line will be accepted from appointment against the posses of Arabic Teachers of Theology Teachers of the case may be





The Director Confedent & Teachers Education Abbollabad.
The Director (PITE) Khyber Pakhaunkhwa Peshawar.
The Director ESRU, Elementary & Secondary Education Khyber Pakhaunkhwa, Peshawar.
The Deputy Director Database(EMIS) E&SE Department.
All District Coordination Officers in Khyber Pakhtunkhwa.
All Executive District Officers Elementary & Secondary Education in Khyber Pakhtunkhwa.
All All District Accounts Officers in Khyber Pakhtunkhwa /Agency Accounts Officers FATA.

16. P.S to Governor, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa 17. P.S to Chief Minister, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa.

18 P.S to Chief Secretary, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa. 19 PS to Minister F&SE Knyber Pakhtunkhwa Peshawar.

20. PS to Secretary E&St. Department.

21. Master File

المراب ا مقدمه باعث تحريراً نكب John has مقدمه مندرجه عنوان بالامیں اپنی طرف سے واسطے پیردی وجواب دہی وکل کاروا کی متعلقہ آن مقام كي عبرالعفام الرامو (مروست بالرور مقرر کر کے اقر ارکیا جاتا ہے۔ کہصاحب موصوف کومقد مہ کی کل کاروائی کا کال اختیار ہوگا۔ نیز الي مير وکیل صاحب کوراضی نامه کرنے وتقر رثالث وفیصله برحلف دیئے جواب دہی اورا قبال دعویٰ اور بصورت ڈگری کرنے اجراءاور وصولی چیک در دیبیار عرضی دعویٰ اور درخواست ہرتئم کی تصدیق Allerting زرایں پردسخط کرانے کا ختیار ہوگا۔ نیز صورت عدم پیردی یاڈ گری پیطرفہ یا پیل کی برامدگی Accepted اورمنسوخی نیز دائر کرنے اپیل نگرانی ونظر نانی و پیروی کرنے کا مختار ہوگا۔ ازبصورت ضرورت مقدمہ مذکور کے کل یا جزوی کاروائی کے واسطے اور وکیل یا مختار قانونی کواپنے ہمراہ یا اپنے بجا کھے تقرر کا اختیار ہوگا۔اورصاحب مقرر شدہ کو بھی وہی جملہ مذکورہ بااختیارات حاصل ہوں گے اوراس کاساختہ پرداختہ منظور وقبول ہوگا دوران مقدمہ میں جوخر چہ ہرجانہ التوائے مقدمہ کے سبب سے وہوگا۔ کوئی تاریخ بیشی مقام دورہ پر ہو یا حدسے باہر ہوتو وکیل صاحب پابند ہول گے۔ کہ بیروی ندکورکریں ۔ لہذا و کالت نامہ ککھدیا کہ سندر ہے۔ چشا ور عدنان ستيشنري مارت چوک بنشتگر ی پشاورشی نون: 22201<sup>93</sup>

#### ÉFORE THE SERVICE TRIBUNAL KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA PESHAWAR

SERVICE APPEAL No: 1268/2013.

Bakfut Munis PST GPS, Dahail Dir upper Applicants

#### VERSUS.

The Secretary Elementary & Secondary Education Department Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar & others -----Respondents

#### PARAWISE COMMENTS/REPLY FOR AND ON BEHALF OF THE RESPONDENTS

#### Respectfully Sheweth:-

#### Preliminary objections

- 1 The appellant has no cause of action/locus standi.
- 2 The instant appeal is badly time barred.
- The appellant has concealed the material fact from this Hon! able Tribunal hence liable to be dismissed.
- 4 The appellant has not come to Hon! able Tribunal with clean hands.
- The present appeal is liable to be dismissed for non joinder/mis-joinder for necessary parties.
- 6 The appellant has filed the instant appeal on malafide motives.
- 7 The instant appeal is against the prevailing laws & rules.
- The appellant is estopped by his own conduct to file in present appeal.
- The instant appeal is not maintainable in the present form & also in the present circumstances of the issue.
- This Hon !able Tribunal has no jurisdiction to adjudicate the present appeal.
- The appellant has not submitted any departmental appeal through proper channel and in accordance with Section -22 of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Civil Servant Act 1973 and civil servant appeal rules 1986. Hence the present appeal is not tenable and liable to be dismissed.
- That the rule 3(2) of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Civil Servant (appointment, Promotion and Transfer) rules 1989, authorize the department to lay down the method of appointment, qualification and other conditions applicable to the post in consultation with the Establishment & Administration Department and Finance Department. Hence the appeal in hand is liable too be dismissed.
- 13 That it was observed by the Apex Court that it is exclusively with in the domain of the government to decide whether a particular qualification will be considered

#### ON FACTS

- This Para pertains to the service record of the appellant. While the appellant has not presented his appointment order and attested copy of service book, hence no comments.
- This Para also pertains to record, however, the previous recruitment policy, rules & qualifications are amended by the government and enhance the qualification and standard for recruitment and promotions in order to maintain efficiency in service, in consultation with establishment and Finance Department, under the rules 3(2) of Khyber Pakhtunhwa Civil Servant (appointment, Promotion and transfer) rules 1989, on 13/11/2012 as annexure "B" of the appeal).
- The statement is misleading one against the principle of Natural justice, merit & without any cogent legal ground of proof against law, rules in vogue, hence incorrect & denied.
- The statement of the appellant in this Para is related to the recruitment policy of the Govt in Education Department dated 13/11/2012, however no civil servant including the appellant has legal and vested right in promotion and policy as held by the apex court while the government has the right to enhance the qualification and standard for recruitment and promotion in order to maintain efficiency in service and to improve/uplift the standard and quality of education, in best interest of public. The appellant himself is responsible for not improving his academic qualifications, teaching skills during his 32-years service, being connected/ attached with teaching profession.
- Correct to the extent that respondent department notified the rules for the recruitment and promotion for the teachers of various cadres dated 13/11/2012, in accordance with the prevailing law, rules on the subject and to improve/enhance the quality education in the best interest of Public at large.
- 6 As replied in para-4 above.
- Incorrect & not admitted. The present appellant does not fall within the definition of aggrieved person and there is no reason for the petitioner to be aggrieved from the mentioned prevailing policy dated 13/11/2012. While the appellant did not implead the teachers upgraded/promoted under the said rules and those having the higher qualification than appellant and waiting for promotion. Hence the appellant has no cause of action/ locus standai to invoke the jurisdiction of this Hon! able Tribunal. Moreover, how it can be justified that the appellant possessing only SSC, be treated on equal footing with other PST teachers having higher academic and professional qualification, and the same is against norms of natural justice and merit, hence the appeal in hand is liable to be dismissed inter alia on the following grounds:-

#### **GROUNDS.**

- A Incorrect and not admitted. The statement of the appellant is baseless, false and based on malafide motives, against the law, rules & policy on the subject in vogue, hence denied. However, it can not be justified that the appellant possessing only SSC, be treated on equal footing with other PST teachers having higher academic & professional qualification, and the same is against norms of natural justice and merit.
- B As replied in Para above.
- C Incorrect & not admitted. The statement of the appellant is misleading one and against the wisdom, basic principle of learning process & the needs of the nation.

qualifications, teaching skills during his 32 years service, being connected/attached with teaching profession.

- E Incorrect & not admitted. The appellant has not been discriminated. The policies/rules other Provinces are not applicable in the Province of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa.
- F Incorrect & not admitted. The statement of the appellant in this Para is baseless, misleading one without any support of cogent reason.
- G This Para is related to the Govt: Policy in vogue which is in accordance with law & rules on the subject & framed by the competent authority. Hence needs no comments.
- H Incorrect. The appellant has been treated in accordance with law & constitution of Pakistan.
- The respondents also seeks the permission of this Hon! able Court to adduce more grounds & proofs at the time of arguments.

In view of the above submission, it is requested that this Hon' able Tribunal may very graciously be pleased to dismiss the appeal with cost in favour of the respondent Department.

Secretary

Elementary & Secondary Education Department, Government of Khyber

Pakhtunkhwa

Director

Elementary & Secondary Education Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Peshawar.