## Form-A

# FORM OF ORDER SHEET

	•	•	,	 1 1
Court of				
		<del> </del>		 

# Restoration Application No. 825/2023

		•	restoration Application No. 825/2023
	S.No.	Date of order Proceedings	Order or other proceedings with signature of judge
	1	2	3
	1	16.11.2023	The application for restoration of Appeal no.
	,		946/2019 submitted today Mr. Saifullah Khalii
			Advocate. It is fixed for hearing before Single Bench at
			Peshawar on Original file be requisitioned.
	· .	,	Parcha Peshi is given to the counsel for the applicant.
			By the order of Chairman
			By the order of Chamman
			REGISTRAR
		, ,	
			1
		,	
		·	
j			
ļ			<u>-</u>
			मा प्रकारक करण है । विकार करण है । । । । । । । । । । । । । । । । । ।
-	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	Kalanda garang	
		. * 1	FORM ORDER STREET

## BEFORE THE WORTHY SERVICES TRIBUNAL KPK,

<u>PESHAWAR</u>

Restoration Appli No. 825/2023 Service Appeal No. 946/2019

> Akhter Hussain V/S Govt of KP & Others

## **INDEX**

S.No	Description	Annexure	Pages
01.	Application		1
02.	Affidavit		2,
03.	Copy of order dated: 30/08/2023	A	367
04.	Copy of order dated: 25/10/2023	В	8 to 10

Dated: 16 / 11 /2023

Appellant

Through

SAIF ULLAH KHALIL (SR)

Advocate, High Court Peshawar

### BEFORE THE WORTHY SERVICES TRIBUNAL KPK, PESHAWAR

Restoration Appli No. 825/2023 Service Appeal No. 946/2019

Khyber Pakhtukhyen Service Tribunal

Diary No. 7076

Dates 16-11-2023

➤ Akhter Hussain S/O Abdul Khaliq Khan R/O Regi Aftezai, Peshawar, Ex-Look After Superintendent at Pakistan Forest Institute, Peshawar.

.....Appellant

#### **VERSUS**

- 1. Government of KPK through Secretary Environment Peshawar.
- 2. Secretary to Government of KPK, Establishment Department Civil Secretariat Peshawar.
- 3. Director General, Pakistan Forest Institute, Peshawar.

.....Respondents

Application for Restoration of Appeal No. 946/2019, Adjourned Sine Die on 30/08/2023, titled "Akhter Hussain VS Government of KPK".

#### Respectfully sheweth:-

The appellant submit as under.

- That the above titled appeal was adjourned sine die on 30/08/2023 due to the pendency of CA.No. 468/21 before the Honorable Supreme Court of Pakistan in which the same question of law was involved.
   (Copy of order dated: 30/08/2023 is attached as Annex "A")
- 2. That the above CA.No 468/21 is dismissed by the Honorable Supreme Court of Pakistan vide order dated: 25/10/2023.

  (Copy of order dated: 25/10/2023 is attached as Annex "B")
- 3. That now in the light of the above development, the instant appeal needs to be restored so that the same may very kindly be decided in the light of order delivered in CA.No. 468/21, dated: 25/10/2023.

It is therefore most humbly prayed that on acceptance of the instant petition, the appeal in hand may very kindly be ordered to be restored & be decided on merits.

Dated: 16/11/2023

Appellant

**THROUGH** 

SAIFULLAH KHALIL (SR)

Adv, High Court Peshawar

# BEFORE THE WORTHY SERVICES TRIBUNAL KPK, PESHAWAR

Service Appeal No. 946 / 2019

Akhter Hussain
V/S
Govt of KP & Others

## <u>AFFIDAVIT</u>

I, Saifullah Khalil Advocate (Counsel for the appellant), on the instruction of my client do hereby solemnly affirm & declare on oath that the contents of the instant application are true & correct to the best of my knowledge & nothing has been concealed from this Honorable Tribunal.

ADVOCATE

Mary May

**DEPONENT** 

CELL NO: - 0313-5941431

CNIC NO:- 17301-4134473-3



## BEFORE THE SERVICES TRIBUNAL PESHAWAF

PESHAWAR

Service Appeal No:

946

2019

Innex

1. Akhtar Hussain s/o Abdul Khaliq Khan R/O Regi Aftizai, Peshawar Ex-Look After Superintendent at Pakistan Forest Institute Peshawar.

**Appellant** 

#### **VERSUS**

- 1. Government of K.P.K through Secretary Environment Peshawar.
- 2. Secretary to Govt of K.P,K Establishment Department Civil Secretariat Peshawar
- 3. Director General Pakistan Forests institute Peshawar.

Respondents

19.5-19

APPEAL UNDER SECTION 22 OF SERVICE TRIBUNAL ACT AGAINSGT THE ORIGINAL ORDER DATED 30/03/2019 VIDE WHICH THE APPELLANT HAS NOT BEEN CONSIDERED FOR PROMOSSION TO B.P.S. 17 BY THE RESPONDENTS AND AGAINSGT THE JUDGMENT /ORDER DATED 01/07/2019 OF THE APPELLATE AUTHORITY VIDE WHICH THE APPEAL OF THE APPELLANT HAS BEEN DISMISSED.



#### PRAYER

ON ACCEPTANCE OF THIS SERVICE APPEAL BOTH THE IMPUGENED ORDERS MENTIONED ABOVE MAY VERY KINDLY BE SET ASIDE AND THE APPELLANT MAY VERY KINDLY BE ORDERD TO BE PROMOTED TO THE POST OF SUPERINTENDENT BF. 17 FROM JAN, 2015 ALONG WITH ALL BACK BENEFITS TILL 10/01/2019.( DATE OF HIS RETIREMENT)

Respectfully Sheweth.

The Appellant submits as under: -.

1. That the Appellant joined the Department of the Respondents as Lower Division Clerk on 12/08/1998 and thereafter the appellant was promoted from time to time and on 25/01/2001 the appellant was promoted on the



post of Assistant on BPS 14. (Copy of office order dated 25/01/2011 as annexure A.)

- 2. That vide office order date 28/09/2016 the appellant was upgrade from BPS-14 to BPS-16. (Copy of office order dated 20 /09/2016 is annexure B)
- 3. That vide office order date 13/10/2016 pay of the appellant was fixed in BPS-16.(Copy of office order dated 13.'10/2016 is annexure C)
- 4. That there after vide office order dated 6/4/2017 the appellant was given Look after charge of the post of superintendent BPS-17 and since the appellant Was serving on the said post and performing the duties of superintendent on BPS-17 but draw the pay of BPS-16. (Copy of office order dated 6/4/2017 is annexure D)
- 5. That before on his retirement on 17/1/2019 the appellant filed a Writ Petition no 3982-P/2018 against the Respondents to place his case for promotion before the DPC, which was accepted by the Hounreble High Court Peshawar vide order dated 11/12/18. (Copy of Writ Petition and order is Annexure as E,E1 Respectively.
- 6. That two posts of superintendent BPS- 17 were Lying vacant with the Respondents since 2014 and the appellant was eligible to be promoted to the said post after performing 5 years' service on BPS -16 as such the appellant, was entitled to be promoted on the said post in the year 2015 but the Respondents Delayed the matter on one or the other pretext.

That in this respect the appellant filed a numbers of applications to the Respondents but in vain(Copy of office order dated 6/4/2017 is annexure F)

- 8. That on the Direction of the High Court case of the appellant was put before the DPC who rejected the same vide order dated 15/5/19 against which the appellant filed a departmental appeal on 30/05/19 which was dismissed vide order dated 01/07/19. Copy of the orders dated 15/5/19 and 01/07/19 are annexure G,H)
- 9. That having no other alternate remedy the Appellant impugns both the judgments/ Orders before this Honourable Court on the following grounds



## GROUNDS

- A. That the act of the Respondents by not promoting the appellant to BPS-17 and their refusal is against the Law and Rules hence untenable.
  - B. That the appellant has a vested right to be promoted to the post of BPS-17 from the year 2015 as the appellant is the post senior in the seniority List for the said promotion.
  - C. That the appellant is already performing duties at Look after duty on the post of superintendent BPS-17 and has also fulfilled the entire criteria for promotion as such entitled to be promoted to the said post.
  - D. That the Respondents are bound to act in accordance with Law and Rules and cannot refuse the rights of the appellant guaranteed by the Law and Rules.
  - E. That if the appellant is not promoted to the said post the appellant well suffer irreparable Loss as the said post is laying vacant since 2014.
  - F. That the appellant has already retired from service on 17/01/19 as such he is entitled for promotion since 2015 till the date of retirement along with all back benefits.
  - G. That Framing of Rules was the responsibility of the Respondents on this practice the appellant cannot be deprived from his vested of promotion.
  - H. That even if the entire deportment of the appellant was on deputation to the provincial Govt which is there after absorbed by it promotion to the appellant cannot be refused keeping in waive the rule of estopple and principle of Locus ponetentia.
- their employees. (Copies are annexure i.)
  - That any other points/ Grounds would be raised at the time of arguments with the prior permission of this Houseable Court.



It, is there for most humbly prayed that on acceptance of this service appeal both the impugned orders mentioned above may very kindly be set aside and the appellant may very kindly be orderd to be promoted to the post of superintendent bps- 17 from jan, 2015 along with all back benefits.

Date:

11 /07/2019

appellant

Through

Saif Ullah Khalil (Senior) Advocate, High Court Peshawar

#### CERTIFICATE:

Certified that no such like service appeal has earlier been filed before this Honourable Court for the same petitioners.

Advocate

## LIST OF BOOKS:

1. Book of Services Law

2. Any other Book as per Need 1978 2011

Pariliped Parilipes



30<sup>th</sup> Aug. 2023

Kalim Arshad Khan, Chairman: Learned counsel for appellant and Mr. Muhammad Jan, District Attorney for respondents present.

ribung

- 2. Learned counsel for the appellant and learned District Attorney, both say that against the same impugned order one Lakhkar Khan has filed writ petition before the Hon'ble Peshawar High Court which was allowed and the Government had filed CPLA before the august Supreme Court of Pakistan, in which the august Supreme Court had granted leave on 18.05.2021, suspending the operation of the impugned judgment. The learned counsel for appellant and learned District Attorney both say that the decision of the appeal before the august Supreme Court of Pakistan, in either way, may have effect on the decision of this appeal, therefore, they request that this appeal may be adjourned sine die. On the joint request of the learned counsel for the appellant and learned District Attorney, it is accordingly adjourned sine die. Any of parties may, however, get it restored and decided after decision in the august Supreme Court of Pakistan in the appeal of Government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa. Consign.
- 3. Pronounced in open Court at Peshawar under our hands and seal of the Tribunal on this 30th day of August, 2023.

(Salah-Ud-Din) Member (J)

.

(Kalim Arshad Khan)

Chairman

Certified to be ture cop

Khyber Pakhtunkinwe Service Tribunal.

\*Mutazem Shah \*

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF PAKISTAN

(Appellate Jurisdiction)

PRESENT:

Mr. Justice Jamal Khan Mandokhail Mr. Justice Muhammad Ali Mazhar

Mr. Justice Syed Hasan Azhar Rizvi

#### C.A.468/2021 AND C.M.A.1029-P/2020

C.A.468/2021 (Against judgment dated 16.09.2020 passed by Pesht war High Court, Peshawar In WP No.4429-P/2019)

Government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa through Secretary Establishment & Administration Department, Peshawar and others v. Lakhkar Khan and another

2. C.M.A.1029-P/2020 (Stay application)

Government of KP. through Secretary Administration Establishment & Secretariat, Civil Department, Peshawar and others v. Lakhkar Khan and another

For the Applicant(s)/ Appeliant(s)

: Mr. Zahid Yousaf Qureshi, AOR Admin Ahmad, Farhan Officer, PFI Peshawar

Respondent No.1

: Respondent in person Mrs. Kausar Iqbal Bhatti, AOR

Date of Hearing

25.10.2023

#### ORDER

Jamal Khan Mandokhail, J: C.A.468/2021: The

respondent No.1 was serving as Assistant in Pakistan Forest Institute, a Federal entity, but on account of 18th amendment to the Constitution, the department was devolved to the Province of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa ("KPK"), in the year 2011, consequently, the services of the respondent alongwith other employees were also transferred to KPK. In the meanwhile, the post of SuperIntendent (BPS-17) fall vacant, to which the respondent applied, but the department did not process his case. Being

Senior Court Associate Supreme Court of Pakistan islamabad

9

disposed of vide order dated 25.01.2017 with the direction to the department to consider his case for promotion in the first available meeting of the Promotion Committee. Before a meeting of the said committee could be convened, the respondent was retired from his service on 27.02.2017. The Provincial Government finally framed the Pakistan Forest Institute Recruitment and Promotion Rules, 2019 ("Rules"), on the basis whereof, a meeting of the Promotion Committee was convened by the department on 27.05.2019. The request of the respondent for his *proforma* promotion was turned down by the petitioner. Feeling aggrieved, the respondent filed a WP No.4429-P/2019 before the High Court which was allowed vide judgment dated 16.09.2020, hence the instant petition for leave to appeal.

AOR for the petitioners states that the department was devolved upon the Province of KPK in the year 2011, but on account of none framing of service rules by the Province, the respondent's case for promotion was not considered. However, he admits the fact that before framing of Provincial Rules, the services of the respondent was governed by the previous rules framed by the Federal Government. Under such circumstances, when the right of promotion has accrued to the respondent, he was in service and that time, the rules framed by the Federal Government were

ATTESTED

Somor Court Associate
Supreme Court of Parising

in field. He applied for his proforma promotion in terms of the rules applicable to him at that time, but with no response, despite directions of the High Court.

In view of the above, we see no reason to Interfere in well reasoned findings of the High Court, based upon law and facts. The department shall consider the case of respondent No.1 on the basis of the previous rules and decide the same preferably within a period of 90 days, after receiving a copy of this order. The petition is dismissed and leave is declined.

4. C.M.A.1029-P/2020: Disposed of accordingly.

Sd/-J Sd/-J Sertified to be-True Copy

Sd/-J

Senion Court Associate Supreme Court of Pakislan Johannahad

18718/2	
R No:	,
Date of Presentation:	
No of Words:	
of Fotios:	
- Sition Foo Rin	•
700 Stamps: 10.07	-
** Completion of Gopy	7
יי מי בים מעשרא פו כברייי	7
Ingered by Prepated by 4-11-23	
- :ceived by	-

20123 يشادر بارايسوي اليشن خسيبر بخستونخواه ایرُوکیٹ: <u>سیم</u> PESHAWAR PAR ASSOCIATION Appellant دعويٰ: جرم: مقدمه مندرج عنوان بالامیں این طرف سے واسطے بیروی وجواب دہی کاروائی متعلقہ کر کے اقرار کیا جاتا نے کہ صاحب موصوف و مقدمہ کی کل کاروائی کا کال افتیار ابوگا ، نیز و کیل صاحب کو راضی نامه کرنے وِتَقرِر ثَالْتُ و فيصله بر حلف دينے جوالب وعوى اقبال وعوى اور درخواليت ان برسم كى تقيديق زریں پر دستط کرنے کا افتیار ہوگا ، نیز بصورت عدم پیروی یا ڈگری میطرفہ یا ایل کی برا پدگی اور منسوفی ، نیز دائر كرنے ايل مرانى ونظر تانى و بيروى كرانے كا مخار ہو كا اور بصورت ضرورت مقدم مركورہ كے كل يا جزوى الرقوم : <u>2023 ) المط</u>ي