

21.07.2017

Counsel for the petitioner and Mr. Shamraiz Khan, H.C alongwith Mr. Muhammad Bilal, DDA for the respondents present. Representative of the respondents submitted copy of order dated 27.02.2017 alongwith detail of benefits which have been credited to the account of the petitioner. According to the representative the order of this Tribunal has been implemented. The learned counsel for the petitioner seeks adjournment due to absence of the petitioner. Last chance is given. To come up for further proceedings on 25.08.2017 before S.B at camp court, Abbottabad.

Handwritten: 2017-08-25  
Handwritten: 13-12-17  
Handwritten: 2017-08-25  
Chairman  
Camp Court, A/Abad

25.08.2017

Petitioner in person and Mr. Muhammad Bilal, Deputy District Attorney alongwith Shamraiz Khan, H.C for the respondents present. Petitioner produced a copy of order dated 27.2.2017 and stated that the judgment has been duly executed. He requested for withdrawal of the instant execution petition.





The instant petition is filed being duly executed. File be consigned to the record room.

Handwritten: 2017-08-25  
Chairman  
Camp Court, A/Abad

ANNOUNCED  
25.08.2017

FORM OF ORDER SHEET

Execution Petition No. 235 /2016

S.No.	Date of order proceedings	Order or other proceedings with signature of judge or Magistrate
1	2	3
1	13.12.2016	<p>The Execution Petition of Mr. Fayaz Ali submitted to-day by him, may be entered in the relevant Register and put up to the Court for proper order please.</p> <p style="text-align: right;"> REGISTRAR</p>
2-	14.12.2016	<p>This Execution Petition be put up before Touring S. Bench at A.Abad on <u>19-01-2017</u></p> <p style="text-align: right;"> CHAIRMAN</p>
	19.01.2017	<p>Counsel for the appellant present Wakalatnama submitted. Notices be issued to the respondents. To come up for implementation report on 20.04.2017 before S.B at camp court, Abbottabad.</p> <p style="text-align: right;"> Chairman Camp Court, A/Abad</p>
	20.04.2017	<p>Counsel for the petitioner and Mr. Shamraiz Khan, H.C alongwith Mr. Muhammad Siddique, Sr.GP for the respondents present. Requested for adjournment. To come up for implementation report on 21.07.2017 before S.B at camp court, Abbottabad.</p> <p style="text-align: right;"> Chairman Camp Court, Abbottabad.</p>

**BEFORE THE HONOURABLE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICES**

**TRIBUNAL, PESHAWAR**

*Execution Petition No. 235/2016*

**Fayaz Ali S/o Ali Akbar R/o Banda Sappan Tehsil & District  
Abbotabad**

**Versus**

- 1. Deputy Inspector General Of Police Hazara Region at  
Abbottabad**
- 2. The District Police Officer District Abbottabad**
- 3. The Supertendant of police Headquarter Abbottabad**

**INDEX**

<b>S.No</b>	<b>Description</b>	<b>Annexure</b>	<b>Pages</b>
<b>1.</b>	<b>Application along with Affidavit</b>		<b>1-4</b>
<b>2.</b>	<b>Copy of Judgment</b>	<b>A</b>	
<b>3.</b>	<b>Copy of Application</b>	<b>B</b>	

*Dated: 13-12-2016*

*Fayaz Ali*

**Fayaz Ali Petitioner in person**

**BEFORE THE HONOURABLE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICES**

**TRIBUNAL, PESHAWAR**

*Execution Petition no. 235/2016*

**Fayaz Ali S/o Ali Akbar R/o Banda Sappan Tehsil & District**

**Abbottabad**

Khyber Pakhtunkhwa  
Service Tribunal

Diary No. 1163

**Versus**

Dated 13-12-16

1. Deputy Inspector General Of Police Hazara Region at  
Abbottabad
2. The District Police Officer District Abbottabad
3. The Supertendant of police Headquarter Abbottabad

**Respondents.**

**APPLICATION FOR IMPLEMINTATION OF JUDGMENT DATED  
15/08/2016 PASSED IN APPEAL NO. 985/14 TITLED FAYAZ ALI  
VS DEPUTY INSPECTOR POLICE.**

**Respectfully Sheweth,**

1. That the petitioner filed in appeal No. 985/14 before this honorable tribunal on 25/07/2014.
2. That the above titled appeal of the petitioner after completion of all the proceeding accepted vide judgment

*dated 15/08/2016 (Copy of the judgment is annexed in annexure A.*

- 3. That this honorable tribunal on acceptance of appeal of the petitioner impugned termination order against the services of the petitioner was set aside and respondents was directed to re-instate the petitioner with all back benefits.*
- 4. That the petitioner after obtaining copy of judgment submitted along with application before respondents for implementation of judgments on 05/09/2016 (Copy of Application is annexure B.*
- 5. That the petitioner again and again requested the respondent for implementation of judgment but the attitude of the respondent regarding implementation of judgment is prevaricative.*
- 6. That after announcement of the judgment 4 Months is already been elapsed, but the respondent did not implement the judgment of this honorable tribunal.*
- 7. That the period which was given by this honorable tribunal to respondent for conduct of de novo inquiry is already been elapsed, but respondent did not conduct any inquiry hence now respondent has no right to conduct any inquiry.*

It is, therefore, humbly requested that on acceptance of this application the respondent may graciously be directed for implementation of judgment in letter in spirit.

### **Interim Relief**

It is therefore respectfully prayed that on grant of interim relief respondent may graciously be directed not to conduct any inquiry against the petitioner as the time period which was given by this honorable tribunal to respondent for conduct of regular inquiry is already been elapsed

Date 13-12-2016

*Fayaz Ali*

Fayaz Ali Petitioner in person

### **AFFIDAVIT**

I, Fayaz Ali S/o Ali Akbar R/o Banda Sappan Tehsil & District Abbotabad, do hereby solemnly affirm and declare on oath that the contents of application are true and correct to the best of my knowledge and belief and nothing has been concealed from this Honourable Tribunal.

Date: 13-12-2016



*Fayaz Ali*  
DEPONENT


Annexure (A)

**BEFORE THE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICE TRIBUNAL**  
**PESHAWAR**

APPEAL NO. 985 /2014

1024  
25/7/14

Mr. Fayaz Ali, Ex-constable No. 671,  
Police line Abbottabad District Abbottabad.....**APPELLANT**



**VERSUS**

- 1- Deputy Inspector General of Police Hazara Region at Abbottabad..
- 2- The District Police Officer, District Abbottabad.
- 3- The Superintendent of Police Headquarter Abbottabad.....**RESPONDENTS**

**APPEAL UNDER SECTION 4 OF THE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICE TRIBUNAL ACT 1974 AGAINST THE IMPUGNED ORDER DATED 3.4.2014 WHEREBY THE MAJOR PENULTY OF DISMISSAL FROM SERVICE HAS BEEN IMPOSED ON THE APPELLANT UNDER A WRONG LAW AND MIS-INTERPRETATION OF THE JUDGMENT OF THIS AUGUST SERVICE TRIBUNAL VIDE JUDGMENT DATED 29.8.2012 IN APPEAL NO. 1371/2008 AND AGAINST NOT TAKING ACTION ON THE DEPARTMENTAL APPEAL OF THE APPELLANT WITHIN THE STATUTORY PERIOD.**

**PRAYER:**

That on acceptance of this appeal the impugned order dated 03.04.2014 may very kindly be set aside and the respondents may please be directed to re-instate the appellant with all back benefits. Any other remedy which this august tribunal deems fit may also be awarded in favor of the appellant.

25/7/14

**R/SHEWETH:**

**ON FACTS:**


- 1- That appellant is the employee of respondent Department and has served the respondent Department as constable for quite considerable time quite efficiently and up to the entire satisfaction of his superiors.

**ARRESTED**

Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Service Tribunal, Peshawar

That appellant while posted at DHQ Hospital at Abbottabad, one accused Nazir Khan S/O Majoon Khan who involved in criminal case was admitted in Hospital and escaped from the Hospital by deceiving the police officials.

S.No. of proceedings	Date of Order or proceedings.	Order or other proceedings with signature of Judge or Magistrate and that of parties where necessary.
1	2	3
	15.08.2016	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>BEFORE THE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICE TRIBUNAL</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><u>CAMP COURT ABBOTTABAD</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;">APPEAL NO. 985/2014</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Fayaz Ali Versus Deputy Inspector General of Police Hazara Region, Abbottabad and 2 others.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><u>JUDGMENT</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><u>MUHAMMAD AZIM KHAN AFRIDI, CHAIRMAN:-</u></p> <p>Counsel for the appellant and Mr. Muhammad Siddique Sr.GP alongwith Mr. Shamraiz Khan, Head Constable for respondents present.</p> <p>2. Mr. Fayaz Ali ex-constable No. 671 hereinafter referred to as the appellant has preferred the instant service appeal under Section 4 of the Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Service Tribunal Act, 1974 against impugned order dated 03.04.2014 whereby major penalty in the shape of dismissal from service was imposed against him and where-against his departmental appeal dated 10.04.2014 was not decided and hence the instant service appeal on 25.07.2014.</p> <p>3. Brief facts giving rise to the present appeal are that the appellant was serving as constable and posted at DHQ Hospital Abbottabad wherefrom accused Nazir Khan son of Majoon Khan involved in a criminal case and admitted to hospital escaped from the custody of police and for which appellant alongwith other police officials were proceeded against departmentally and a criminal case vide FIR No. 939</p>



ATTESTED

Signature of the official



dated 17.12.2001 under Sections 223/224-PPC P.S Cantt. Abbottabad was also registered. Vide order dated 02.01.2002 the appellant was discharged from service which order was finally impugned before this Tribunal wherein the said order was set aside vide judgment dated 29.08.2012 in service appeal No. 1371/2008 and the respondents were directed to conduct enquiry in the incident of escape and bring to book responsible police officers and take disciplinary action against them. That the appellant was again proceeded against and dismissed from service vide impugned order dated 03.04.2014 where-against he preferred departmental appeal on 10.04.2014 which was not responded and hence the instant service appeal.

4. Learned counsel for the appellant has argued that the appellant was reinstated vide judgment dated 29.08.2012 by this Tribunal. That no enquiry whatsoever was ordered by this Tribunal against the appellant which was directed against police officers responsible for the incident of escape. That the appellant was proceeded against under Police Rules, 1975 which are not applicable to the case of the appellant as he was to be proceeded against under the Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Government Servants (E&D) Rules, 2011. That the appellant was not given any opportunity of hearing. That no witness whatsoever was examined nor opportunity of cross-examination extended to him. That the appellant was acquitted of the charges by the court of learned Judicial Magistrate vide judgment dated 31.10.2007 for want of evidence in support of alleged charges. That co-accused namely Khursheed Anwar, Guard Commander and Raja Mumtaz were given punishment of forfeiture of increments for 3 years and 2 years

ATTESTED

EXCISE OFFICER  
Khyber Pakhtunkhwa  
Service Tribunal,  
Peshawar

respectively while the appellant was meted out with discriminatory attitude by awarding him major punishment in the shape of dismissal from service. That no evidence worth the name was produced during the enquiry proceedings for substantiating charges against the appellant and as such the impugned order is liable to be set aside.

5. Reliance was placed by the learned counsel for the appellant on cases-law reported as 2007-SCMR-229, 2005 PLC(C.S) 1356 (Sind Service Tribunal) and 2004-PLC(C.S)1293 (Sind Service Tribunal).

6. Learned Senior Government Pleader has argued that proper opportunity of hearing was afforded to the appellant. That Police Rules, 1975 are applicable to the case of the appellant. That the appellant was found guilty as such appropriate punishment commensurate to the role of the appellant was awarded to him and that the enquiry was conducted against the appellant in accordance with directions of the Tribunal.

7. We have heard arguments of learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.

8. Perusal of judgment of this Tribunal dated 29.08.2012 would suggest that impugned order dated 02.1.2002 was set aside and appellant was reinstated in service with all consequential/back benefits. It was further directed that since an accused involved in heinous criminal case made good his escape from police custody therefore the department, may if deemed appropriate, could conduct detailed enquiry into the incident of escape and bring to book responsible officers by taking disciplinary action against them. We are of the humble view that the appellant cannot seek exemption from detailed enquiry which was

ATTESTED

EXAMINER  
Khyber Pakhtunkhwa  
Service Tribunal,  
Peshawar

to be conducted in the incident of escape with an objection to bring to book responsible police officers. As such arguments of learned counsel for the appellant to the effect that the appellant was reinstated in service without conducting any enquiry against him are not sustainable.

9. Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Police Rules, 1975 have been framed under Section 7 of Police Act, 1861 which are still in vogue while the Government Servants (E&D) Rules, 2011 framed under Section 26 of the Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Civil Servants Act, 1973 has neither repealed the said rules nor having any overriding effect as such we hold that Government Servants (E&D) Rules, 2011 were not applicable to the case of appellant.

10. It is evident from the record that during the enquiry no opportunity of cross-examining the witnesses by the appellant was extended. The enquiry officer has relied on the statements of two police officials namely Khursheed Anwar and Raja Mumtaz Ahmad who were themselves accused and charged for the said occurrence. Even the said two accused were not cross-examined from the side of the appellant. We therefore hold that the enquiry was not conducted in the mode and manners prescribed under Police Rules, 1975.

11. Co-accused Khursheed Anwar and Raja Mumtaz Ahmad were awarded punishments in the shape of forfeiture of increments for 3 years and 2 years respectively while the appellant was awarded major punishment in the shape of dismissal from service. The authority has given no reason as to why major penalty in the shape of dismissal from service was desirable for the appellant when ~~it~~ similarly placed

ATTESTED

EXAMINER  
Khyber Pakhtunkhwa  
Service Tribunal,  
Peshawar

employees namely Raja Mumtaz Ahmad and incharge of the team namely Khursheed Anwar were awarded minor penalties.

12. In the light of the above we are left with no option but to accept the instant appeal by setting aside the impugned order dated 03.04.2014 and as a consequence thereof reinstate the appellant in service with all consequential/back benefits. The respondents are placed at liberty to conduct denovo enquiry in the matter subject to affording opportunity of hearing to the appellant in the mode and manners prescribed by rules and in case the appellant is found guilty then the relevant authority shall also take into account the penalty imposed against other officials and shall, in case of excessive punishment, give reasons for awarding such penalty. The respondents shall conduct and conclude the enquiry within a period of 2 months from the date of receipt of this judgment.

No order as to costs. File be consigned to the record room.

Announced  
15.08.2016 *Sd/- M. Azim Khan Afzidi,*  
Chairman

*Sd/- Abdul Latif,*  
Member

Certified to be true copy  
ENYAANER  
Khyber Pakhtunkhwa  
Service Tribunal  
Peshawar

Date of Presentation of Application 29-08-16  
Number of Pages 2400  
Copying Fee 14  
Urgent 2  
Total 16  
Name of Officer AWD  
Date of Completion 29-08-16  
Date of Delivery of Order 29-08-16

Annexure (B)

حکومت جناب ڈسٹرکٹ ایجوکیشن آفیسر صاحب ایف اے آباد

درخواست برادرسائل کو اپنی ملازمت پر بحال کرنے اور ادا کرنے  
سائل کو اپنے بنیادیا جات عطا فرمادے عدالت سے رول نمبر بیون  
پتادریکٹ کورٹ ایف اے آباد مورف 08 15  
2016

مطالبہ عالی درخواست سائل ذیل عرف ہے

- (1) یہ کہ سائل حکم پڑا میں بطور انٹیل ملازمت سے انجام دیا گیا اور سائل کو مورف 2014-4-3 کو اسکی رول سے Dismiss کر دیا گیا تھا۔
- (2) یہ کہ سائل نے آڈیٹرز کے خلاف ایف اے 985 سے رول نمبر 15 کو فریڈ سے بنا و میں داخل کی جس پر عدالت نے مورف 08 15 کو فریڈ سے کرنے پر Dismiss آڈیٹرز فریڈ فرمایا اور سائل کو اسکی ملازمت پر Back Benefits کے بحال کرنے کا حکم فرمایا نقل فریڈ ہے

اسد سعید سے رول نمبر بیون کے فریڈ کی روش میں  
سائل کو اسکی رول پر بحال کیا جائے اور سائل کے  
Back Benefits ادا کرنے کے احکامات صادر فرمائے جائیں  
امد فرم = 07 5  
2016


سائل حافظ علی سائق انٹیل 671 کے ساتھ سپاٹ ملیم ٹاؤ  
ایف اے آباد  
03137990757  
ذیل

ORDER:

915

65302671

in compliance with the judgment dated 15-08-2016, in service appeal No.985/2014, filed by one Fiaz Ali, Ex-Constable No.671, versus, District Police Officer, Abbottabad etc, passed by the honorable Service tribunal, Khyber Pakhtunkhawa, Peshawar against which the legal remedy availed to the department has also seen-sought but in vain, the above named is hereby re-instated in service as constable.

  
District Police Officer, Abbottabad.

No. 2537 /dated Abbottabad the 27 /02/2017.


Copies of above is submitted to the honorable Service Tribunal, Khyber Pakhtunkhawa, Peshawar referred to above judgment please.

  
District Police Officer, Abbottabad.

  
21/2/17

OB  
24-2-17

DIC  
OAS



P NO = 702625

SOURCE - 02  
IN RESPECT OF CONSTABLE FIAZ ALI NO.915  
PERSONAL NUMBER 702625

S-201  
9/4

DISTRICT ABBOTTABAD

Month	Total	Basic Pay		H.R		W.A		C.A		R.A		CRA		MA		Risk All:		AR 2013		AR 2014		AR 2015		AR 2016		Spl Incent:		Fixed Daily All:		Total
01-04-2014 to 30-11-2014	8	9560x8	72320	1002x8	8016	100x8	800	1840x8	14720	681x8	5448	300x8	2400	1000x8	8000	5010x8	40080	765x8	6120	1303x8	14464	1356x8	10848			775x8	6200	2730x8	21840	211256
01-12-2014 to 30-06-2015	7	9820x7	65100	1002x7	7014	100x7	700	1932x7	13524	681x7	4767	300x7	2100	1200x7	8400	5010x7	35070	765x7	5355	1860x7	13020	1395x7	9765			775x7	5425	2730x7	19110	189350
01-07-2015 to 30-11-2015	5	12765x5	60425	1002x5	5010	100x5	500	1932x5	9660	681x5	3405	300x5	1500	1500x5	7500	5010x5	25050					930x5	4650			775x5	3875	2730x5	13650	135225
01-12-2015 to 30-06-2016	7	13105x7	86975	1002x7	7014	100x7	700	1932x7	13524	681x7	4767	300x7	2100	1500x7	10500	5010x7	35070					1395x7	9765			775x7	5425	2730x7	19110	194950
01-07-2016 to 30-11-2016	5	16150x5	80750	1002x5	5010	150x5	1150	1932x5	9660	681x5	3405	300x5	1500	1500x5	7500	5010x5	25050					310x5	1550	1615x5	8075	775x5	3875	2730x5	13650	161175
01-12-2016 to 28-2-2017	3	16570x3	49710	1002x3	3006	150x3	450	1932x3	5796	681x3	2043	300x3	900	1500x3	4500	5010x3	15030					310x3	930	1657x3	4971	775x3	2325	2730x3	8190	97851
			415280		35070		4300		66884		23835		10500		46400		175350		11475		27484		37508		13046		27125		95550	989807

District Police Office  
District Police Officer, Abbottabad  
Abbottabad




V/R  
Vacancy  
Madani

915

ORDER.

671

In compliance with the judgment dated 15-08-2016, in service appeal No.985/2014, filed by one Fiaz Ali, Ex-Constable No.671, versus, District Police Officer, Abbottabad etc, passed by the honorable Service tribunal, Khyber Pakhtunkhawa, Peshawar against which the legal remedy availed to the department has also seen sought but in vain, the above named is hereby re-instated in service as constable.



District Police Officer, Abbottabad.

No. 2537

/dated Abbottabad the 27 /02/2017.

Copies of above is submitted to the honorable Service Tribunal, Khyber Pakhtunkhawa, Peshawar referred to above judgment please.



District Police Officer, Abbottabad.

OB No. 49  
24-2-17

DIC  
OASI

8 NO-2702625 SOURCE - 02  
 IN RESPECT OF CONSTABLE FIAZ ALI NO.915  
 PERSONAL NUMBER 702625

S-201  
 9/4

	Total	Basic Pay		H.R		W.A		C.A		R.A		CRA		MA		Risk All:		AR 2013		AR 2014		AR 2015		AR 2016		Spl Incent:		Fixed Daily All:		Total
	Month	5801	72320	5002	8016	5070	800	1840x8	14720	501	5054	5079	2400	1000x8	8000	5010x8	40080	765x8	6120	1808x8	14464	1356x8	10848	5475	775x8	6200	2730x8	21840	211258	
01-04-2014 to 30-11-2014	8	9560x8	72320	1002x8	8016	100x8	800	1840x8	14720	681x8	5448	300x8	2400	1000x8	8000	5010x8	40080	765x8	6120	1808x8	14464	1356x8	10848			775x8	6200	2730x8	21840	211258
01-12-2014 to 30-06-2015	7	9820x7	65100	1002x7	7014	100x7	700	1932x7	13524	681x7	4767	300x7	2100	1200x7	8400	5010x7	35070	765x7	5355	1850x7	13020	1395x7	9765			775x7	5425	2730x7	19110	189350
01-07-2015 to 30-11-2015	5	12765x5	60425	1002x5	5010	100x5	500	1932x5	9660	681x5	3405	300x5	1500	1500x5	7500	5010x5	25050					930x5	4650			775x5	3875	2730x5	13650	135225
01-12-2015 to 30-06-2016	7	13105x7	86975	1002x7	7014	100x7	700	1932x7	13524	681x7	4767	300x7	2100	1500x7	10500	5010x7	35070					1395x7	9765			775x7	5425	2730x7	19110	194950
01-07-2016 to 30-11-2016	5	16150x5	80750	1002x5	5010	150x5	1150	1932x5	9660	681x5	3405	300x5	1500	1500x5	7500	5010x5	25050					310x5	1550	1615x5	8075	775x5	3875	2730x5	13650	161175
01-12-2016 to 28-2-2017	3	16570x3	49710	1002x3	3006	150x3	450	1932x3	5796	681x3	2043	300x3	900	1500x3	4500	5010x3	15030					310x3	930	1657x3	4971	775x3	2325	2730x3	8190	97851
			415280		35070		4300		66884		23835		10500		46400		175350		11475		27484		37508		13046	27125	95550	989807		

District Police Officer  
 District Police Officer, Abbottabad  
 Abbottabad

# وکالت نامہ

کورٹ فیس

بعدالت جناب سروس ٹریڈیوٹیل لٹاؤرز (کمپ) کورٹ اسٹ ایبار

عنوان: ضابطہ عملی بنام گوگوشٹ

منجانب: Section

نوعیت مقدمہ: Execution

باعث تحریر آنکہ

مقدمہ مندرجہ میں اپنی طرف سے واسطے پیروی و جواب دہی کل کاروائی متعلقہ آں مقام

قفل انصاف انٹروسٹ اسٹ ایبار

کو وکیل مقرر کر کے اقرار کرتا ہوں کہ صاحب موصوف کو مقدمہ کی کل کاروائی کا کامل اختیار ہوگا نیز وکیل صاحب موصوف کو کرنے راضی نامہ و تقرر ثالث و فیصلہ برحلف و دینے اقبال دعویٰ اور بصورت دیگر ڈگری کرانے اجراء وصولی چیک روپیہ و عرضی دعویٰ کی تصدیق اور اس پر دستخط کرنے کا اختیار ہوگا اور بصورت ضرورت مقدمہ مذکور کی کل یا کسی جزوی کاروائی کے لئے کسی اور وکیل یا مختار صاحب قانونی کو اپنے ہمراہ اپنی بجائے تقرر کا اختیار بھی ہوگا اور صاحب مقرر شدہ کو بھی وہی اور ویسے ہی اختیارات ہوں گے اور اس کا ساختہ پرداختہ مجھ کو منظور قبول ہوگا۔ دوران مقدمہ جو خرچہ و ہر جانہ التوائے مقدمہ کے سبب ہوگا اس کے مستحق وکیل صاحب ہوں گے۔ نیز بقایا رقم وصول کرنے کا بھی اختیار ہوگا۔ اگر کوئی پیشی مقام دورہ پر ہو یا حد سے باہر ہو تو وکیل صاحب موصوف پابند ہوں گے کہ پیروی مقدمہ مذکورہ کریں اور اگر مختار مقرر کردہ میں کوئی جزو بقایا ہو تو وکیل صاحب موصوف مقدمہ کی پیروی کے پابند نہ ہوں گے۔ نیز درخواست براد استجارت ٹائٹل بیسٹہ مفلسی کے دائرہ کرنے اور اس کی پیروی کا بھی صاحب موصوف کو اختیار ہوگا۔

لہذا وکالت نامہ تحریر کر دیا تاکہ سند رہے۔

المرقوم: 2017-1-19

بمقام: A.T.D.

Accepted.

العبد

مستحق