# Form-A

# FORM OF ORDER SHEET

- Court of

## Restoration Application No. 876/2023

S.No. Date of order Proceedings

1

1

Order or other proceedings with signature of judge

27.11.2023

2

The application for restoration of Appeal no. 581/2022 submitted today Mr. Shah Faisal Ilyas Advocate. It is fixed for hearing before Single Bench at Peshawar on\_\_\_\_\_\_Original file be requisitioned. Parcha Peshi is given to the counsel for the applicant.

By the order of Chairman

REGISTRAR

.

•

#### **BEFORE THE KP SERVICE TRIBUNAL, PESHAWAR**

Restoration Application No. <u>876</u>/2023 IN Appeal No.581/2022

Khyber Pak Service Ti	htukhwa Ibunal 2499
Diary No	-11-2023

Waqar Ahmad, Tehsildar/Inspector Stamps, Abbottabad.....**Appellant** 

#### VERSUS

- 1. Govt of KPK through Chief Secretary, Civil Secretariat Peshawar.
- Senior Member Board of Revenue (SMBR) KPK, Opposition MPA Hostel, Peshawar.
- 3. Secretary Establishment, Govt of KPK, Civil Secretariat, Peshawar......**Respondents**

# APPLICATION FOR RESTORATION OF THE ABOVE NOTED SERVICE APPEAL WHICH WAS DISMISSED IN DEFAULT ON 09.10.2023.

#### **Respectfully Sheweth;**

- 1. That the above noted service Appeal was fixed for hearing on 09.10.2023, but the same was dismissed in default for non-prosecution.
- 2. That non-appearance of petitioner's counsel on the date fixed was neither intentional nor deliberate, but due to the reason that applicant/ counsel was conveyed the date in the case as 09-10-2023, But today when clerk of the undersigned come to this honorable tribunal about Query of other cases he was informed by

the office, that your cases have been dismissed for nonprosecution.

- 3. That absence of the applicant was not intentional, but due to above stated reason, and for afore mentioned fact verification earlier cause list was asked from the office of this Honorable Tribunal which was told to be not available being losted.
- 4. That valuable rights of the Appellant is involved, and if the Service Appeal is not restored then the Appellant will suffer irreparable loss.

It is, therefore, most Humbly Prayed that the above noted case may kindly be restored in the interest of Justice and be decided on merits.

> Petitioners Through Fuiled

Shah Faisal Ilyas Advocate Supreme Court

Date 27/11/2023

#### AFFADAVIT

I, do hereby affirm and declare as per instruction of my client that the contents of this Application are true and correct to the best of my knowledge belief and nothing has been concealed from his honorable court.



### BEFORE THE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVIC TRIBUNAL, PESHAWAR

# Service Appeal No. 581 /2022

1.

2.

Fleda

egistra

18/03/2027

15.

K h Durv 282 Dated

ukhtuakha.

Waqar Ahmad, Tehsildar/Inspector Stamps, Abbottabad.

.....Appellant

fure copy

latur ∈ew#

vice Tribunal. Peshawar

#### Versus

Govt. of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa through Chief Secretary, Civil Secretariat, Peshawar.

Senior Member Board of Revenue (SMBR), Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Opposite MPA Hostel, Peshawar.

3. Secretary Establishment, Government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Civil Secretariat, Peshawar.

4. Gul Ghazi Khan, Ex.DRA

5. Misri Khan, Ex-Tehsildar (ACB)

6. Mukhtiar Ali, Ex.DRA

7. Muhammad Aslam Ex.Sub-Registrar

8. Mushtaq Ahmad, Ex.Sub-Registrar

9. Liaqat Ali, Ex.Sub-Registrar

10. Dil Nawaz Khan, Ex.Sub-Registrar

11. Bashir Ahmad, Ex-Naib Tehsildar

12. Naz Amin, Ex-Naib Tehsildar

📲 🛪 Abdul Haleem, Ex-District Kanungo

14. Asghar Shah, Ex-Naib Tehsildar

Muhammad Taj, District Kanungo

16. Said Rheman, Ex-Naib Tehsildar

17. Kiramat Ullah Kundi, Ex-Tehsildar (ACB)

18. Muhammad Hayat, Ex-District Kanungo

19. Waheed Ahmad, District Kanungo

Shaif ur Rehman, Disrtict Kanungo
Muhammad Hayaun, Sub-Registrar

Muhammad Hayaun, Sub-Registrar Sarir Ahmad, Ex-Naib Tehsildar

22. Sarir Ahmad, Ex-Naib Tehsildar23. Muhammad Riaz, Ex-Naib Tehsildar

24. Attaullah, Ex-Naib Tehsildar

25. Mushtaq Hussain, Ex-Naib Tehsildar

26. Abdul Qayum, Ex-Naib Tehsildar

27. Muhammad Nawaz, Ex-Naib Téhsildar

#### 51A No. 581/2022

fumber of Word



Tate of Complecture

lame of Copyi

**, 4** 8 8 8 8

\*Naeem Amin\*

Nemo for the appellant. Mr. Ghulam Shabu Secretary alongwith Mr. Asad Ali Khan, Assistant Advocat General for official respondents present and stated that para-wise comments submitted by respondents No. 1 & 2 in Service Appeal 580/2022 titled "Syed Sultan Haider Shah Versus No. Government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa through Chief Secretary, Civil Secretariat, Peshawar and others", may also be considered in the instant appeal. He also stated that respondent No. 3 relies on the said comments. Copy of the reply submitted in Service Appeal No. 580/2022 titled "Syed Sultan Haider Shah Versus" Government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa through Chief Secretary, Civil Secretariat, Peshawar and others" is placed on file in the instant appeal.

Pakhtunkhin

Vide previous order dated 25.09.2023, appellant was directed to submit copies of appeal and to deposit TCS expenses for issuing of notices to private respondents No. 15, 19, 48, 63, 64, 67, 68, 72, 73, 74, 76, 77, 78 but neither copies of the appeal were submitted by the appellant nor he deposited TCS expenses.

Today, the appeal in hand was called on for hearing after various intervals, however nobody put appearance on behalf of the appellant till rising of the court, therefore, the appeal in hand stands dismissed in default. Parties are left to bear their own costs. File be consigned to the record room.

ANNOUNCED 09.10.2023 Certified ure con (Salah-ud-Din Member (Judicial) K+ vice Tribuna

estawar