


4th Dec, 2023 1. Learned counsel for the appellant present. Mr. Asad Ali Khan, Assistant Advocate General alongwith Mr. Muhammad Mansoor, Assistant Director (Litigation) for the respondents present.

2. Learned counsel for the appellant says that the prayer of the appellant was for directing the respondents to issue seniority list, which had not been issued after merger of the departments. The respondents have annexed the provisional seniority list issued on 12.04.2023. Mr. Muhammad Mansoor, Assistant Director, present on behalf of the respondents, says that no final seniority list was issued after issuance of provisional seniority list. Learned counsel for the appellant says that the appellant would be satisfied if a direction is given for a specific period to the respondents to issue final seniority list in accordance with law and in the meantime promotions, on the provisional seniority list should not be made. The departmental representative assured that the final seniority list would be issued within thirty days. Disposed of in view of the statement of the departmental representative with the direction to the respondents to issue final seniority within thirty days. It is, however, expected that the department would not make promotion on the basis of provisional seniority list which is admittedly objected by to the appellant and might also be objected by some others. It would be also appreciated that the department settles the objections before issuance of final seniority list. Consign.

3. *Pronounced in open court in Peshawar and given under my hand and seal of the Tribunal on this 4th day of December, 2023.*



(Kalim Arshad Khan)
Chairman