Form- A FORM OF ORDER SHEET

| Court of | | | | | | |
|----------|--|--|--|--|--|--|
|----------|--|--|--|--|--|--|

| | lmb | plementation Petition No. 893/2023 |
|------------|---------------------------|--|
| S.No. | Date of order proceedings | Order or other proceedings with signature of judge |
| 1 | 2 | 3 |
| 1 | 29.11.2023 | The implementation petition of Mr. Arshad |
| • | | Ahmad submitted today by Mr. Adnan Khan Advocate. It |
| | | is fixed for implementation report before touring Single |
| • | | Bench at Swat on Original file be |
| . • | | requisitioned. AAG has noted the next date. |
| | | By the order of Chairman |
| <i>:</i> . | | REGISTRAR |
| | | |
| | | |
| - | | |
| | | |
| ٠. | | |
| | | |
| • | - | è |
| | | |
| | · · · · | |
| | | |

BEFORE THE SERVICE TRIBUNAL, KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA AT PESHAWAR

Execution Application No. 293 of 2023
In Service Appeal No.1998/2022

Khyber Pakhtukhwa Service Tribunal

Diary No. 9545

Dated 29-11-2023

Arshad Ahmad S/o Bacha Nawab R/o Kandharo Warsak Timergara, District Dir Lower [Sepoy No.1758]

..... Applicant

VERSUS

- 1 Govertment of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa through Chief Secretary Civil Secretariat at Peshawar
- 2. Government of Khyber through Secretary Home & Tribal Affairs Department, Civil Secretariat at Peshawar.
- 3.Commandant Dir Levies/Deputy Commissioner Dir Lower at Timergara.

.....Respondents

APPLICATION FOR IMPLEMENTATION OF ORDER DATED 24-08-2023.

Respectfully Sheweth:

- That the applicant approached this Tribunal by way of filing the captioned appeal which was disposed of vide order dated 24-08-2023 (Copy of order dated 24-08-2023 is attached as Annexure "A").
- That as per the directions made in the order, the applicant was directed to approach the proper forum for implementation of Section 11 of the Act of 2021. The proper forum/competent authority for the applicant is the Deputy Commissioner. Hence, the applicant filed a written

application for giving effect to the order to be implemented (Copy of application is attached as Annexure "B").

- 3) That despite the lapse of a considerable amount of time, neither the needful has been done nor any response has been received as yet.
- That on the contrary, some colleagues of the applicant had approached the august Peshawar High Court through various petitions, which were allowed vide judgment dated 23-11-2022. The Hon'ble High Court while allowing the petitions had ordered re-instatement of the applicants into the service (Copy of judgment dated 23-11-2022 is attached as Annexure "C").
- That in light of the above mentioned judgment of the Hon'ble High Court, colleagues of the applicant who were similarly placed were re-instated by the concerned authority (Copy of office order dated 20-03-2023 is attached as Annexure "D").
- That keeping aside the directions of this Tribunal, the applicant ought to have been re-instated without any litigation under the rule of consistency and equality before the law. Regrettably, the needful was not done even after the order of this Tribunal.
- 7) That further grounds with leave of this Hon'ble Tribunal will be raised at the time of oral submissions.

It is, therefore, humbly prayed that on acceptance of this application, the titled

judgment dated 24-08-2023 be executed/implemented in its letter and spirit with the grant of any other remedy deemed just and proper in the circumstances.

Applicant

Arshad Ahmad Sepoy No.1758

Through Counsel

Dr. Ádnan Khan, Barrister-at-Law, Advocate Supreme Court of Pakistan.

Office: Adnan Law Associates, Opp. Shuhada Park College Colony, Saidu Sharif, Swat.

Cell: 0346-9415233

<u>CERTIFICATE</u>:

Certified that no such like application has earlier been filed before this Hon'ble Tribunal on the subject matter.

Applicant



BEFORE THE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICE TRIBUNAL, PESHAWAR (CAMP COURT AT SWAT)

| Execution Application No | of 202 | 3 |
|--------------------------|--------|---|
|--------------------------|--------|---|

In Service Appeal No.1998/2022

Arshad Ahmad S/o Bacha Nawab R/o Kandharo Warsak Timergara, District Dir Lower [Sepoy No.1758]

...... Applicant

VERSUS

Commandant Dir Levies/Deputy Commissioner Dir Lower at Timergara.

.....Respondents

AFFIDAVIT

I, Arshad Ahmad (Applicant), do hereby solemnly affirm and declare that the contents of the above titled application are true and correct to the best of my knowledge and belief.

DEPONENT

ATTESTED

SIL EHAN ADVOCATION OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY

Arshad Ahmad S/o Bacha Nawab Sepoy No. 1758



BEFORE THE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICE TRIBUNAL, PESHAWAR (CAMP COURT AT SWAT)

Service Appeal No.

Ame

Arshad Ahmad S/o Bacha Nawab R/o Kandharo Warsak Timergara, District Dir Lower [Sepoy No.1758]

.....Appellant

<u>VERSUS</u>

- 1) Government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa through Chief Secretary, Civil Secretariat at Peshawar.
- 2) Government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa through Secretary Home & Tribal Affairs Department, Civil Secretariat at Peshawar.
- 3) Commandant Dir Levies/Deputy Commissioner Dir Lower at Timergara.

..Respondents

APPEAL UNDER SECTION 4 OF THE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICE TRIBUNAL ACT, 1974.

PRAYER:

On acceptance of this Appeal, Notifications No. SO(Police-II)HD/MKD/Levies/Misc./2020 dated 22-03-2021 & No. SO (Police-II)HD/1-3/Federal, Levies 2021 dated 21-10-2021 and subsequent retirement orders issued by respondent No.2 may be declared as illegal and the same be set aside. Consequently, the appellant may be held entitled to complete his service till attaining 60 years age in light of Notification dated 14-07-2020.

cfc

Alternatively, the impugned notifications may be declared to be ineffective upon the rights of the appellant and he may be allowed to complete his service till attaining 60 years of age.

Service Appeal No.1916/2022 titled "Muhammad Salim Vs. The Government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa through Chief Secretary, Civil Secretariat at Peshawar and others"

ORDER 24th Aug. 2023

Kalim Arshad Khan, Chairman: Through this single order this appeal and all the following connected appeals are being decided as all are against the same impugned Notifications | No.SO(Police-II)HD/MKD/Levies/Misc./2020 dated 22.03.2021 and No.SO(Police-II)HD/1-3/FEDERAL LEVIES 2021 dated 20. 10.2021. Appeal Nos:1916/2022, 1917/2022, 1918/2022, 1919/2022, 1920/2022, 1921/2022, 1922/2022, 1923/2022, 1924/2022, 1925/2022, 1926/2022, 1927/2022, 1928/2022, 1929/2022, 1930/2022, 1931/2022, 1932/2022, 1933/2022, 1934/2022, 1935/2022, 1936/2022, 1937/2022, 1938/2022, 1939/2022, 1940/2022, 1941/2022, 1942/2022, 1943/2022, 1944/2022, 1945/2022, 1946/2022, 1947/2022, 1948/2022, 1949/2022, 1950/2022, 1951/2022, 1952/2022, 1953/2022, 1954/2022, 1955/2022, 1956/2022, 1957/2022, 1958/2022, 1959/2022, 1960/2022, 1961/2022, 1962/2022, 1963/2022, 1964/2022, 1965/2022 1966/2022, 1967/2022, 1968/2022, 1969/2022, 1970/2022, 1971/2022, 1972/2022, 1973/2022, 1974/2022, 1975/2022, 1976/2022, 1977/2022, 1978/2022, 1979/2022, 1980/2022, 1981/2022, 1982/2022, 1983/2022, 1984/2022, 1985/2022, 1986/2022, 1987/2022, 1988/2022, 1989/2022, 1990/2022, 1991/2022, 1992/2022, 1993/2022, 1994/2022, 1995/2022, 1996/2022, 1997/2022, (1998/2022, 1999/2022, 2000/2022, 2001/2022, 2002/2022, 2003/2022, 2006/2022, 34/2023, 35/2023, 36/2023, 37/2023, 38/2023, 39/2023, 40/2023, <u>(45/2023, 46/2023, 47/2023, </u> 41/2023, 42/2023, 43/2023, 44/2023,

Page 🕹

48/2023, 49/2023, 50/2023, 51/2023, 52/2023, 53/2023, 54/2023 55/2023, 56/2023, 57/2023, 58/2023, 59/2023, 60/2023, 61/2023, 62/2023, 63/2023, 64/2023, 65/2023, 66/2023, 67/2023, 68/2023, 69/2023, 70/2023, 71/2023, 72/2023, 73/2023, 162/2023, 168/2023, 169/2023, 170/2023, 171/2023, 172/2023, 173/2023. 174/2023. 175/2023, 176/2023, 177/2023, 178/2023, 179/2023, 180/2023, 181/2023, 182/2023, 183/2023, 187/2023, 188/2023, 189/2023, 190/2023, 193/2023. 195/2023, 194/2023. 196/2023. 197/2023. 198/2023. 199/2023. 200/2023, 201/2023, 202/2023, 203/2023, 204/2023, 205/2023, 206/2023, 207/2023, 208/2023, 209/2023, 210/2023, 211/2023, 212/2023, 213/2023, 257/2023, 257/2023, 258/2023, 259/2023, 315/2023, 322/2023, 408/2023. 409/2023, 411/2023, 412/2023, 413/2023, 414/2023, 410/2023, 415/2023, 418/2023, 419/2023, 601/2023, 602/2023, 603/2023, 604/2023, 605/2023, 625/2023 626/2023, 629/2023, 630/2023 631/2023, 632/2023, 633/2023. 634/2023, 635/2023, 636/2023, 637/2023, 638/2023 639/2023, 640/2023, 641/2023, 642/2023, 643/2023. 644/2023, 645/2023, 646/2023. 659/2023, 660/2023, 661/2023. 682/2023, 793/2023, 870/2023, 1175/2023, 1258/2023, 1259/2023, 1288/2023, 1289/2023, 1300/2023, 1372/2023 and Service Appeal No. 1538/2023,

2. In some of the appeals learned counsel for the appellants are present while some appellants are in person present. Mr. Muhammad Jan, District Attorney alongwith M/S Liaqat Ali DSP, Hakim Zada

74/08/23

EXAMINER Shyber Pakhiner

(8)

Superintendent, Muhammad Asim Khan Assistant, Parvez Khan Assistant and Sharif Ullah Assistant for respondents present.

- 3. It is noted with serious concern that nobody from the Home Department put appearance. Copy of this order be thus sent to the Worthy Chief Secretary Khyber Pakhtunkhwa and Worthy Secretary, Home and Tribal Affairs Department, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa for information.
- 4. The matter was heard on more than one dates and could not be decided because of pendency of a CP No.818/2023 before the august Supreme Court of Pakistan. During the course of arguments on some previous dates, Dr. Adnan Khan learned counsel for some of the appellants had informed the Tribunal that the petitioners, who had approached the august Supreme Court of Pakistan, against the judgment of Hon'ble Peshawar High Court, in Writ Petition No.363-M of 2021 dated 29.11.2022, had submitted application for withdrawal of the CP from the august Supreme Court of Pakistan. The august Supreme Court was pleased to dismiss the CP as withdrawn on 07.06.2023. Today, Mr. Taimur Haider, Advocate/counsel for the appellant in Service Appeal No. 162/2023, produced copy of an Act of the Provincial Assembly named "The Provincially Administered Tribal Areas Levies Force (Amendment) Act, 2021" in to which a new section, Section-11 was added, which is rèproduced as under:
 - "11. Reinstatement of the levies personnel. --- All levies personnel, who have been retired from the Force, with effect from 22.03.2021, till the commencement of the Provincially Administered Tribal Areas Levies Force (Amendment) Act, 2021 shall be reinstated in the Force as regular employees, with effect from their respective dates of retirement and they shall be deemed as never retired from the Force."

Exposer pakningshive

34.58 M

When confronted with the provisions of the newly added Section-11 of the Act of 2021, whereby, all Levies personnel, who had retired from the Force w.e.f 22.03.2021 till the commencement of the Act i.e. 30.11.2021, were reinstated as regular employees w.e.f respective dates of retirement and were deemed to have never retired from the Force, the learned counsel was very fair to say that there was nothing more to be resolved. by this Tribunal in these appeals, so is the agreement of other learned counsel as well as appellants present before the Tribunal, because by promulgation of the above Act especially insertion of new Section-11, whereafter, both the impugned Notifications no more remained effective. They, however, contend that even the provisions of the Act were not be complied with/implemented by the respondents. They say they would approach the proper forum for giving effect to/implementation of the provisions of Section-11 of the Act of 2021 and in case their grievances are not addressed in accordance with the terms of the Act, they would recourse to further legal remedies available to them. Disposed of in the above terms. (Copies of this order be placed in all connected appeals). Consign.

Pronounced in open Court at Peshawar and given under our hands and seal of the Tribunal on this 24th day of August, 2023.

(Salah-Ud-Din)

Member (J)

(Kalim Arshad Khan) Chairman

"Minazem Shah"

Amir B

فيرون وي كروم كالرف ورليور ويريون

منوال - درخوامت مرائد مال ملارما دمر ليوم (اللدكارال) عدائل صفير مسروس مر مولي ساور مورخ و دورد و دورالا الم

جناعیان استروش به امروس طرب ولی شاور سای منطر مورخ و و الله و در میرانسان می مواسد و میرانسویز که ولازمین نحو می عال کیاری م

مردا - مزربو درخواست دسترها به آ آب صاحبال مبر بالی فرما کر در 2 ذیل در مورز اعد کاران کو عی سروس مر عال کرنه کا حکم صادر فرما کر در مورز اعد کاران کو عی سروس مر عال کرنه کا حکم صادر فرما کر

منی رمرمادین منیدک کا پی براه لات منیدک کا پی براه لات منید عر رفیق مورخ 1023/9/5

> CERTIFIED TO BE TRUE COPY

ATTESTED

1

The second second





Am C

JUDGMENT SHEET

PESHAWAR HIGH COURT MINGORA BENCH (Judicial Department)

- 1. <u>W.P. No. 1281-M/2022</u> &
- 2. W.P. No: 1283-M/2022

JUDGMENT

Dates of hearing: 23.11.2022

Petitioners: - (Aziz Gul & others) by Barrister Dr. Adnan Khan, ASC.

Respondents (Govt: of KPK & others) by Mr. Raza-ud-Din Khan, Addl: A.G

MUHAMMAD IJAZ KHAN, J.- Through this single judgment, we intend to decide the following two writ petitions, as common questions of law and facts are involved in the same.

- (1) W.P. No. 1281-M of 2022

 Aziz Gul & others V/s Govt: of KPK & others.
- (2) W.P. No. 1283-M-of 2022 Muhammad Salim & others v/s Govt of KPK & others
- 2. Precisely the grievances of the petitioners are that they were employees of the Levies Force of District Dir lower and were performing their duties as Sepoy, Lance Naik, Naik and Havaldar when they were retired vide four orders of even dated i.e. 25.03.2021. They further pleaded that after their retirement the provincial assembly has passed an Act with the

Pesha Mingora Sub-R

ATTASTED

EXAMPLES

Peshawar High Court

ngora Dar-ul-QazasSwat

ub-Registry Malakand

J.a?

A. V

name Provincially Administered Tribal Areas Levies Force (Amendment) Act, 2021 (herein after referred as "Act of 2021") whereby all the employees of the Levies Force who retired from 22.03 2021 till the commencement of Act i.e. shall be re-instated in service, 30:11:2021 however, the benefit of the aforesaid Act has not been extended to the petitioners, therefore, they have approached to this Court through the instant petitions.

- Arguments of learned counsel for the petitioners were heard in considerable detail and the record perused with their able assistance, whereas the learned Addl: A.G present in Court also accepts notices of these connected petitions.
 - The main grievance of the learned counsel for the petitioners was that since petitioners have got retired within the bracket period as provided under The Act of 2021, therefore, they are entitled for its benefits. He further submits that in case of the colleagues of the petitioners an identical relief has already been granted, therefore, they are also entitled for the same relief in view of the law laid down by the

6, 1)

Hon ble Apex Court in cases reported as 1996

SCMR 1185, 2005 SCMR 499 and 2009 SCMR

page 1.



parties that the present petitioners have got retired on 25.03.2021 as by then those petitioners who were sepy have attained the age of 42 years and those petitioners who were Lance Nalk, Naik, and Havaldar have completed three years of service as Lance Naik, Naik and Havaldar, therefore, by operation of The Federal Levies Services (Amended) Rules 2013 R/W notification dated 21.10.2021, the aforesaid criteria has been provided for the retirement of different categories of the employees of Levies Force.



6. It may be noted that after the retirement of the petitioners, the Provincial Assembly has passed The Act of 2021 which has been made applicable with effect from 22:03:2021 and till the commencement of the Act. Since the said Act has been published in the official gazette on 30.11:2021, therefore, the two crucial dates would be 22:03:2021 i.e. the date of applicability of the Act till 30:11:2021 i.e. the

2.09

ا نے اِن

date of commencement of the Act, where new section i.e. section 11 has been inserted after section 10 in The Provincially Administered. Tribal Areas Levies Force Regulation, 2012, the same being relevant for the present controversy is reproduced below;

1. Short title and commencement... (4) This Act may be called the Provincially Administered Tribal Areas Levies Force (Amendment) Act, 2021

(2) It shall come into force with effect from 22,03,2021

2. Insertion of new section to the Khyber Pakhtunkhwa regulation No. 1 of 2012.— In the Provincially Administered Tribal Areas Levies Rorce Regulation, 2012 (Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Regulation No. 1 of 2012), after section 10 the following new section shall be added, namely:

"11. Re-instatement of the levies personnel.—All levies personnel, who have been retired from the Force, with effect from 22:03:2021 III lie commencement of the Provincially Administered Tribal Areas Levies Force (Amendment) Act. 2021 shall be reinstated in the Force as regular employees, with effect from their respective dates of retirement and they shall be deemed as never retired from the Force."

The language of the above Act of 2021 is clear in its meaning quality as well as the class of employees to whom the benefit of the aforesaid Act could be extended.

As stated hereinabove that as per section 1 (2) of the aforesaid Act the same was made applicable from 22.03.2021 and up to the

ATTASTED

BELLY INFRAGRAT

PESHAWAT HIGH COURT

OBERSGISTO MAIAKANO

0



It further stipulates that all levies personnel who have been retired from the Force with effect from 22.03.2021 till the commencement of The Act 2021 i.e. 30.11.2021 shall be re-instated in the Force as regular employees with effect from their respective dates of retirement and they shall be deemed as never retired from the Force.

The language of the aforesaid Act of 2021 fully attracts and benefits to the case of present petitioners who got retired on 25.03.2021, therefore, the respondents were legally bound to extend the benefit of the aforesaid Act of 2021 to the petitioners.

7. Accordingly, both these connected writing elitions bearing No. 1281-M of 2022 and 1283-M of 2022 are allowed and the petitioners are re-instated in service of the Levies Force with effect from the date of their retirement and it shall be deemed that they have never been retired and consequently the respondents are directed to issue formal orders of their

EXAMINER

EXAMINER

eshawar High Court
gora Dar-ul-Gaza, Swat
b-Registry Malakand

re-instatement in service in light of section 11 of

The Act of 2021. Order accordingly.

ANNOUNCED
Dr. 23 11 2022

JUDGE

JUDGE

Sinio H2A

Name of Suprement Authoromonal Zahin

Data of Present success conficient 30 - H 2022

Data incompletion at 1000 Bo H 2022

Noto

Organ

Fee

30 11 2022

CERTIFIED TO BE TRUE COPY

Pekhawar High Court stingorar Dar-ul-Qaza Swat Authorized under Article 87 of Cancian Shatladat onteriora Sub-Regiety Halakand







OFFICE OF THE DC MALAKANDI COMMANDANT MALAKAND LEVIES

/LC

DATED MALAKAND THE 20/3 12023 Phone: 0932-462080 Fax: 0932-450557

OFFICE ORDER

In Pursuance of the Honorable Peshawar High Court, Mingora Bench, Dar-ul-Qaza, Swat Judgment dated 23-11-2022 passed in C.O.C No.102-M/2022 in W.P. No.1283/2022 in respect of Mr. Muhammad Salim & Others , the competent authority is pleased to conditionally re-instate the followings Havildars, Naiks, Lance Naik and Sepoys of Malakand Levies subject to the final outcome of the pending CPLA No.46-P/2023 in the august Supreme Court of Pakistan as well as legal guidance of Provincial Government with Immediate effect;-

| Governn S.No | Regt; No | Name | Designation | |
|-----------------|-----------|---------------------------------------|-----------------|--|
| | 4222 | Muhammad Zeb | Havildar BPS-09 | |
| 1, | 4237 | Nawab Sher | Havildar BPS-09 | |
| 2. | | Muhammad Sadiq | Havildar BPS-09 | |
| 3. | 4239 | Shah Muhammad | Havildar BPS-09 | |
| 4. | 4251 | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | Havildar BPS-09 | |
| 5. | 4282 | Umar Rehman | Havildar BPS-09 | |
| 6. | 4263 | Hassan Diya | Havildar BPS-09 | |
| 7 | 4293 | Zahir Shah | | |
| 8 | 4300 | Rahmat Ullah | Havildar BPS-09 | |
| 9. | 4302 | Ilnsan-ul-Haq | Havildar BPS-09 | |
| | | Noor Raziq | Havildar BPS-09 | |
| 10 | | Sher Dad | Havildar BPS-09 | |
| 11 | | Shah Nasim | Havildar BPS-09 | |
| 12 | 4319 | | Havildar BP6-00 | |
| 13 | 4320 | Muhammad Razaq | | |
| 1 | 1. 4324 | Noor Hadi | Havildar BPS-09 | |
| · } | 5. 4325 | Sabz Ali | Naik BPS-08 | |
| \ | 6. 4331 | Gul Rehman | Naik BPS-08 | |
| | 7. 4338 | Amir Nawaz Khan | Naik BPS-08 | |
| | | Umar Jan | Naik BPS-08 | |
| | 8. 4342. | 1 | | |
| | 19. 4343. | Said Ahmad | Naik BPS-08 | |
| | 20. 4353 | Sobal Khan | Naik BPS-08 | |
| | 21. 4370 | Aziz Gui | Naik BPS-08 | |
| | 22. 4374 | Sardar Ali | Naik BPS-08 | |

| · | | and Salim | Nalk Br5-vo |
|-------|--------|-------------------|-------------------|
| 23. | 4377 | Muhammad Salim | Naik BPS-08 |
| 24 | 4378 | Rahim Gul | Nalk BPS-08 |
| 25. | 4382 | Sami Ullah | Nalk BPS-08 |
| 26. | 4391 | Murad Khan | Nalk BPS-08 |
| 27. | 4394 | Muhammad Roze | Nak BPS-08 |
| 28. | 4400 | Wasi Ullah | Lance Nalk BPS-08 |
| 29, . | 4405 | Maaz Ullah Khan | Lance Nalk BPS-08 |
| 30. | 4423 | Ibrar Hussain | Lance Nalk BPS-08 |
| 31. | 4390 | Syed Jamai | Lance Naik BPS-08 |
| 32. | 4407 | Rahman Zamin | Lance Nalk BPS-08 |
| 33. | 4413 | Sarwar Shah | Lance Nalk BPS-08 |
| 34. | 4414 | Fazal Mehnicod | Lance Naik BPS-08 |
| 35. | 4420 | Bakht Zamin | Lance Nalk BPS-08 |
| 36. | 4423 | Ibrar Hussain | Lance Nalk BPS-08 |
| 37. | 4427 | Umar Ghani | Lance Nalk BPS-08 |
| 38. | 4448 | Hablb-ur-Rehman | Lance Nalk BPS-08 |
| 39. | 4452 | Aziz-ur-Rehmen | Lance Naik BPS-08 |
| 40. | 4457 | Noor Zeb | Lance Naik BPS-Q8 |
| 41. | 4471 | Iqbal Hussain | |
| 42. | 4477 | Muhammad Ismall | Lance Naik BPS-08 |
| 43. | 4485 | Ghulam Rabi | Lance Naik BPS-08 |
| 44. | \ | Khalld Usman | Lance Naik BPS-08 |
| 45. | | | Lance Naik BPS-08 |
| 46. | | - A Alubi | Lance Naik BPS:08 |
| 47 | | | Lance Nalk BPS-08 |
| Ĺ | | | Lance Naik BPS-08 |
| 48 | | Codin | Lance Naik BPS-08 |
| 49 | | 1choo | Lance Nalk BPS-08 |
| 50 | | | Lance Naik BPS-08 |
| 51 | | | Sepoy BPS-07 |
| 52 | | · | Sepoy BPS-07 |
| 1 | 3. 451 | | Sepoy BPS-07 |
| , l | 4. 452 | | Sepoy BPS-07, |
| 1 | 5. 453 | | Sepoy BPS-07 |
| l | 6. 45 | | |
| · \ | | 33 Amjad Ali | Sepoy BPS-07 |
| l | | 59 Latif Khan | Sepay BPS-07 |
| | 59. 45 | 84 Muhammad Imrar | Sepoy BPS-07 |

CT-C

| | | the second secon | Sapey Reps of |
|-----|------|--|---------------------------|
| BO. | 4590 | Lal Faraz | Sepoy BPS-07 |
| 61. | 4595 | Bashir Muhammad | Sepoy BPS-07 |
| 62. | 4602 | Sajid Hussaln | Sepoy BPS-07 |
| 63. | 4610 | Mukhtiyar Ahmad | Sepoy BPS-07 |
| 64. | 4813 | Imdad Ullah | Sepoy BPS-07 |
| 65, | 4980 | Khyal Badshah | Sepay Br 3-07 |
| 80. | 4998 | Muhammad Hilkhar | Sepoy BPS-07 Sepoy BPS-07 |
| 67 | 5057 | Bakht Naeem | Sepoy BPS-07 |
| 68. | 5258 | Saad Ullah | Sepoy BPS-07 |
| 69. | 5396 | Sawar Khan | Sepoy BPS-07 |
| 70. | 5434 | 5434 Imtiaz Alam Sepoy | Sepoy BPS-07 |
| 71. | 5897 | Fazal Mehmood | Sepoy BPS-07 |
| 72. | 5926 | Muhammad Faroog | Sepoy or 5-07 |
| 73. | 6302 | Muhammad Zeb Khan | Sepoy BPS-07 |

The conditional re-instatement of the appellants will be subject to production of bonds with 02-guaranters in respect of each appellant through stamp paper that outcome of the CPLA in the apex Supreme Court if not upheld the Peshawar High Court, Mingora Bench, Dar-ul-Qoza, Swat Judgment, all availed benefits thereof will have to be re-funded in lump sum.

Furthermore, meintervening period from their retirement fill the Peshawar High Court, Mingora Bench. Dar-ul-Qaza Swat decision/Judgment in Their appeals will be considered as leave of its kind.

MALAKAND LEVIES MALAKAND

No, Copy forwarded to the:-

Copy totwarded to the.
 Secretary, Home & TA's Department, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar.
 Commissioner, Malakand Division at Saidu Sharif, Swat.
 Registrar, Peshawar High Court, Mingora Bench, Dar-ul-Qaza, Swat.

4. Additional Advocate General, Peshawar High Court, Mingora Bench, Darul-Qaza, Swat. For information, please.

5. District Accounts Officer, Malakand.

6. Subedar Major, Malakand Levies.

 Official concerned. For information & necessary action.

MALAKAND LEVIES MALAKAND

لحدالت فيهر پخونخوا مروس فريونل پياور اسوات كيمپ كورك

قيت أيك روبي

مقدمه مندرج عنوان بالایس ای طرف سے واسطے پیروی وجواب وہی وکل کا دوائی متعدمه مندرج عنوان بالایس ای طرف سے واسطے پیروی وجواب وہی وکل کا دوائی کا کا لل متعلقہ آن مقام پہاوڑ بیل کمپ کورٹ ہوات کیلئے پیر طرفدان فان ASC عمرماد آل ایمو کی کا روائی کا کا لل مقرر کر کے افر ارکیا جا تا ہے کہ جا حب موصوف کو مقدمہ کی کل کا روائی کا کا لل وہی اورا قبال دعوگا اور درخواست ہرتم کی تقدیق زراوراس پر دستی طوائر نے کا اختیار ہوگا۔

دی اورا قبال دعوی اور درخواست ہرتم کی تقدیق زراوراس پر دستی طوائر نے کا اختیار ہوگا۔

نیز بصورت عدم پیروی یا ڈگری ایک طرف یا ایک کی برا مدہوگی اور منسوخ نہ کور کے نسل یا جروی کا روائی کے واسطے اور وہیل یا مختار قانونی کوائی ہمراہ یا ای بجائے تقرر کا اختیار ہوگا۔

اور صاحب مقررہ شدہ کو بھی جملہ نہ کورہ بالا اختیار ات حاصل ہو نے اور اسکا ساختہ برواختہ منظور و قبول ہوگا ۔ اور دوران مقدمہ بیس جو خرچہ وہرجا نہ النوا سے مقدمہ کے سیب سے ہوگا اسکے ستحق و کیل صاحب ہو نگے نیز بقایا وخرچہ کی وصولی کرتے وقت کا بھی اختیار ہوگا اگرکوئی تاریخ پیشی مقام دورہ ہر ہویا حدے باہر ہوتو و کیل صاحب یا بند نہ ہوئے کی بیروی مقدمہ نہ کورلہذا و کا لت نامہ کھودیا کے سندر ہے میں برد بیا بند نہ ہوئے کی بیروی مقدمہ نہ کورلہذا و کا لت نامہ کھودیا کے سندر ہوئی دیا ہوئے کی بیروی مقدمہ نہ کورلہذا و کا لت نامہ کھودیا کے سندر ہوئی دیا ہوئے کی بیروی مقدمہ نہ کورلہذا و کا لت نامہ کھودیا کے سندر ہوئی دیا ہوئی کے دیا ہوئی کے سندر ہوئی کا میں کورنی مقدمہ نہ کورلہذا و کا لت نامہ کھودیا کے سندر ہوئی دیور

ل عبد العبد العبد

بمقام سوار المرارا

Judy Cody Jaw Jamy Willed Willed

Barrister

Dr. Adnan Khan

Advocate Supreme Court of Police