Form- A

FORM OF ORDER SHEET

		COURT Of	
		Implementation Petition No	917/2023
į	Data of arder	Orden as all and a	

S.No.

1

Date of order proceedings	Order or other proceedings with signature of judge
2	
!	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
29.11.2023	The implementation petition of Mr. Sardar
٠.	Hussain submitted today by Mr. Adnan Khan Advocate. It
	is fixed for implementation report before touring Single
	Bench at Swat on Original file be
f	requisitioned. AAG has noted the next date.
	By the order of Chairman REGISTRAR

BEFORE THE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICE TRIBUNAL, PESHAWAR (CAMP COURT AT SWAT)

Execution Application No. 9/4 of 2023

In Service Appeal No. 55/ /2023

Sardar Hussain S/o Hameed Gul R/o Madyan, District Swat [Sepoy No.550593]

VERSUS

Commandant Swat Levies/Deputy Commissioner, District

INDEX

Swat. & others

S. No.	Description	Annexure	Pages No.
1.	Memo of Application		1-3
2.	Affidavit		4
3.	Copy of order dated 24-08-2023	Α	5-9
4.	Copy of application	В	10
5.	Copy of judgment dated 23-11-2022	С	11-16
6.	Copy of office order dated 20-03-2023	D	17-19
7.	Wakalatnama	0	20

Applicant

Sordar Hussain

Sardar Hussain Sepoy No.550593 Through Counsel

Dr. Adnan Khan, Barrister-at-Law, Advocate Supreme Court of Pakistan.

.....Respondent

Ŏ.

Umar Sadiq Advocate High Court

Office: Adnan Law Associates, Opp. Shuhada Park College Colony,

Saidu Sharif, Swat.

Cell: 0346-9415233

BEFORE THE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICE TRIBUNAL, PESHAWAR (CAMP COURT AT SWAT)

Execution Application No. 917 of 2023

Mayber Pakhtukhw Service Tribunal

In Service Appeal No. 55 /2023

Diary No. 9567

Dated 29-11-2013

Sardar Hussain S/o Hameed Gul R/o Madyan, District Swat [Sepoy No.550593]

.....Applicant

VERSUS

- 1. Govertment of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa through Chief Secretary Civil Secretariat at Peshawar
- 2. Government of Khyber through Secretary Home & Tribal Affairs Department, Civil Secretariat at Peshawar.

APPLICATION FOR IMPLEMENTATION OF ORDER DATED 24-08-2023

Respectfully Sheweth:

- 1) That the applicant approached this Tribunal by way of filing the captioned appeal which was disposed of vide order dated 24-08-2023 (Copy of order dated 24-08-2023 is attached as Annexure "A").
- 2) That as per the directions made in the order, the applicant was directed to approach the proper forum for implementation of Section 11 of the Act of 2021. The proper forum/competent authority—for the applicant is the Deputy Commissioner. Hence, the applicant filed a written

- 3) That despite the lapse of a considerable amount of time, neither the needful has been done nor any response has been received as yet.
- 4) That on the contrary, some colleagues of the applicant had approached the august Peshawar High Court through various petitions, which were allowed vide judgment dated 23-11-2022. The Hon'ble High Court while allowing the petitions had ordered re-instatement of the applicants into the service (Copy of judgment dated 23-11-2022 is attached as Annexure "C").
- 5) That in light of the above mentioned judgment of the Hon'ble High Court, colleagues of the applicant who were similarly placed were re-instated by the concerned authority (Copy of office order dated 20-03-2023 is attached as Annexure "D").
- 6) That keeping aside the directions of this Tribunal, the applicant ought to have been re-instated without any litigation under the rule of consistency and equality before the law. Regrettably, the needful was not done even after the order of this Tribunal.
- 7) That further grounds with leave of this Hon'ble Tribunal will be raised at the time of oral submissions.

It is, therefore, humbly prayed that on acceptance of this application, the titled judgment dated 24-08-2023 be executed/implemented in its letter and spirit with the grant of any other

remedy deemed just and proper in the circumstances.

Applicant

Sand or Hussam

Sardar Hussain Sepoy No.550593

Through Counsel

Dr. Adnan Khan, Barrister-at-Law, Advocate Supreme Court of Pakistan.

> **Umar Sadiq Advocate High Court**

CERTIFICATE:

Certified that no such like application has earlier been filed before this Hon'ble Tribunal on the subject matter.

Applicant Sonday Hussaim

BEFORE THE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICE TRIBUNAL, PESHAWAR (CAMP COURT AT SWAT)

Execution Application No of 2023
In Service Appeal No. /2023
Sardar Hussain S/o Hameed Gul R/o Madyan, District Swat
[Sepoy No.550593]
Applicant
<u>VERSUS</u>
Commandant Swat Levies/Deputy Commissioner, District
Swat.
Respondent

AFFIDAVIT

I, Sardar Hussain (Applicant), do hereby solemnly affirm and declare that the contents of the above titled application are true and correct to the best of my knowledge and belief.

DEPONENT

Sardar Hussain

Sardar Hussain S/o Hameed Gul Sepoy No.550593

BEFORE THE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICE TRIBUNAL, PESHAWAR (CAMP COURT AT SWAT)

Ama A

Service Appeal No. 55/ of 2023

'Sardar Hussian S/o Hameed Gul R/o Madyan, District Swat [Sepoy No.550593].

.....Appellant

VERSUS

- 1) Government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa through Chief Secretary, Civil Secretariat at Peshawar.
- 2) Government of Khyber through Secretary Home & Tribal Affairs Department, Civil Secretariat at Peshawar.
- 3) Commandant Swat Levies/Deputy Commissioner, District Swat.

.....Respondents

APPEAL UNDER SECTION 4 OF THE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICE TRIBUNAL ACT, 1974.

PRAYER:

On acceptance of this Appeal, Notifications No. SO(Police-II)HD/MKD/Levies/Misc./2020 dated 22-03-2021 & No. SO (Police-II)HD/1-3/Federal Levies 2021 dated 21-10-2021 and subsequent retirement orders issued by respondent No.2 may be declared as illegal and the same be set aside and appellant may be held entitled to complete his service till attaining 60 years age in light of Notification dated 14-07-2020. Consequently, office order 26-05-2022 dated issued Commandant Swat Levies/DC Swat be struck down and appellant may be re-instated in service.

Service Appeal No.1916/2022 titled "Muhammad Salim Vs. The Government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa through Chief Secretary, Civil Secretariat at Peshawar and others"

ORDER 24th Aug. 2023

Kalim Arshad Khan, Chairman: Through this single order this appeal and all the following connected appeals are being decided as all are against the same impugned Notifications No.SO(Police-II)HD/MKD/Levies/Misc./2020 dated 22.03.2021 and No.SO(Police-II)HD/1-3/FEDERAL LEVIES 2021 dated 20. 10.2021. Appeal Nos:1916/2022, 1917/2022, 1918/2022, 1919/2022, 1920/2022, 1921/2022, 1922/2022, 1923/2022, 1924/2022, 1925/2022, 1926/2022, 1927/2022, 1928/2022, 1929/2022, 1930/2022, 1931/2022, 1932/2022, 1933/2022, 1934/2022, 1935/2022, 1936/2022, 1937/2022, 1938/2022, 1939/2022, 1940/2022, 1941/2022, 1942/2022, 1943/2022, 1944/2022, 1945/2022, 1946/2022, 1947/2022, 1948/2022, 1949/2022, 1950/2022, 1951/2022, 1952/2022, 1953/2022 ,1954/2022, 1955/2022, 1956/2022, 1957/2022, 1958/2022, 1959/2022, 1960/2022, 1961/2022, 1962/2022, 1963/2022, 1964/2022, 1965/2022 1966/2022, 1967/2022, 1968/2022, 1969/2022, 1970/2022, 1971/2022, 1972/2022, 1973/2022, 1974/2022, 1975/2022, 1976/2022, 1977/2022, 1978/2022, 1979/2022, 1980/2022, 1981/2022, 1982/2022, 1983/2022, 1984/2022, 1985/2022, 1986/2022, 1987/2022, 1988/2022, 1989/2022, 1990/2022, 1991/2022, 1992/2022, 1993/2022, 1994/2022, 1995/2022, 1996/2022, 1997/2022, 1998/2022, 1999/2022, 2000/2022, 2001/2022, 2002/2022, 2003/2022, 2006/2022, 34/2023, 35/2023, 36/2023, 37/2023, 38/2023, 39/2023, 40/2023, 41/2023, 42/2023, 43/2023, 44/2023 46/2023, 47/2023,

Page 1

49/2023, 50/2023, 51/2023, 52/2023, 53/2023, 54/2023, 48/2023 55/2023] 56/2023, 57/2023, 58/2023, 59/2023, 60/2023, 61/2023, 62/2023, 63/2023, 64/2023, 65/2023, 66/2023, 67/2023, 68/2023, 69/2023, 70/2023, 71/2023, 72/2023, 73/2023, 162/2023, 168/2023, 169/2023, 170/2023, 171/2023. 172/2023, 173/2023, 174/2023. 175/2023, 176/2023, 177/2023, 178/2023, 179/2023, 180/2023, 181/2023 182/2023 187/2023, 183/2023 188/2023, 189/2023, 190/2023, 193/2023, 194/2023, 195/2023, 196/2023, 197/2023, 198/2023, 199/2023, 200/2023, 201/2023, 202/2023, 203/2023, 204/2023, 205/2023, 206/2023, 207/2023, 208/2023, 209/2023, 210/2023, 211/2023, 212/2023, 213/2023, 257/2023, 257/2023, 258/2023, 259/2023, 315/2023, 322/2023, 408/2023 409/2023, 410/2023, 411/2023, 412/2023, 413/2023, 414/2023, 415/2023, 418/2023, 419/2023, 601/2023, 602/2023, 603/2023, 604/2023, 605/2023, 625/2023, 626/2023, 629/2023, 630/2023, 631/2023, 632/2023, 633/2023 634/2023, 635/2023 636/2023, 637/2023, 638/2023, 639/2023 640/2023, 641/2023, 642/2023, 643/2023. 644/2023 645/2023, 646/2023, 659/2023, 660/2023. 661/2023. 682/2023, 793/2023, 870/2023, 1175/2023, 1258/2023, 1259/2023, 1288/2023, 1289/2023, 1300/2023, 1372/2023 and Service Appeal No. 1538/2023.

2. In some of the appeals learned counsel for the appellants are present while some appellants are in person present. Mr. Muhammad Jan, District Attorney alongwith M/S Liaqat Ali DSP, Hakim Zada

108/2°

EXAMINER When California

 2 ge 2

8

Superintendent, Muhammad Asim Khan Assistant, Parvez Khan Assistant and Sharif Ullah Assistant for respondents present.

- 3. It is noted with serious concern that nobody from the Home Department put appearance. Copy of this order be thus sent to the Worthy Chief Secretary Khyber Pakhtunkhwa and Worthy Secretary, Home and Tribal Affairs Department, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa for information.
- 4. The matter was heard on more than one dates and could not be decided because of pendency of a CP No.818/2023 before the august Supreme Court of Pakistan. During the course of arguments on some previous dates, Dr. Adnan Khan learned counsel for some of the appellants had informed the Tribunal that the petitioners, who had approached the august Supreme Court of Pakistan, against the judgment of Hon'ble Peshawar High Court, in Writ Petition No.363-M of 2021 dated 29.11.2022, had submitted application for withdrawal of the CP from the august Supreme Court of Pakistan. The august Supreme Court was pleased to dismiss the CP as withdrawn on 07.06.2023. Today, Mr. Taimur Haider, Advocate/counsel for the appellant in Service Appeal No. 162/2023, produced copy of an Act of the Provincial Assembly named "The Provincially Administered Tribal Areas Levies Force (Amendment) Act, 2021" in to which a new section, Section-11 was added, which is reproduced as under:
 - "11. Reinstatement of the levies personnel. --- All levies personnel, who have been retired from the Force, with effect from 22.03.2021, till the commencement of the Provincially Administered Tribal Areas Levies Force (Amendment) Act, 2021 shall be reinstated in the Force as regular employees, with effect from their respective dates of retirement and they shall be deemed as never retired from the Force."

24,58 sans

EX MINES

When confronted with the provisions of the newly added Section-11 of the Act of 2021, whereby, all Levies personnel, who had retired from the Force w.e.f 22.03.2021 till the commencement of the Act i.e. 30.11.2021, were reinstated as regular employees w.e.f respective dates of retirement and were deemed to have never retired from the Force, the learned counsel was very fair to say that there was nothing more to be resolved by this Tribunal in these appeals, so is the agreement of other learned counsel as well as appellants present before the Tribunal, because by promulgation of the above Act especially insertion of new Section-11, whereafter, both the impugned Notifications no more remained effective. They, however, contend that even the provisions of the Act were not be complied with/implemented by the respondents. They say they would approach the proper forum for giving effect to/implementation of the provisions of Section-11 of the Act of 2021 and in case their grievances are not addressed in accordance with the terms of the Act, they would recourse to further legal remedies available to them. Disposed of in the above terms. (Copies of this order be placed in all connected appeals).

5. Pronounced in open Court at Peshawar and given under our hands and seal of the Tribunal on this 24th day of August, 2023.

(Salah-Ud-Din) Member (J)

(Kalim Arshad Khan)
Chairman

Μπατειη Shah

EXAMINER
Shyber Cakhtunkhws
Service Tribunal.

Consign.

CIV

100

بحضور جناب ڈیٹی کمشنر / کمانڈنٹ سوات لیویز ضلع سوات

Ama

عنوان _ درخواست بمراد بحالی از مت بروئے تھم 24.08.2023 عدالت جناب سروس ٹریبونل خیبر بختون خواہ پیثاور

جنابعالي

سائلان ذیل عرض رسال ہے۔

ا۔ یہ کہ سائلان دفتر ہذایں بحسیت لیویز سرکاری ملازم ہیں۔

۲۔ بیکسائلان عمر کی وہرے یعنے 45سال پرریٹائرڈ کئے گئے تھے۔

سیکہ عدالت ٹریوٹل پشاور (کیمپ کورٹ سوات) نے من سائلان کو بحال کئے ہیں۔

مذكوره عدالت كالحكم مورخه 24.8.2023 لف بذا ب-

لبذا به منظوری درخواست بذا استدعاء کیجاتی ہے۔ کسائلان کو ندکورہ بالاحکم نامے کے مطابق بحال کرنے اور بقامیہ جات اداکرنے کا حکم صا در فر مایا جائے۔

سر دادميس ولا عبرالل

de 8.9.2023

Sussain

CERTIFIED TO BE TRUE COPY



Anux C



JUDGMENT SHEET

PESHAWAR HIGH COURT MINGORA BENCH (Judicial Department)

- 1. <u>W.P. No. 1281-M/2022</u> &
- 2. W.P. No.1283-M/2022

JUDGMENT

Dates of hearing: 23.11.2022

<u>Petitioners: - (Aziz Gul & others) by Barrister</u> <u>Dr. Adnan Khan, ASC.</u>

Respondents (Govt: of KPK & others) by Mr. Raza-ud-Din Khan, Addl: A.G

MUHAMMAD IJAZ KHAN, J.- Through this single judgment, we intend to decide the following two writ petitions, as common questions of law and facts are involved in the same.

- (1) W.P. No. 1281-M of 2022
 Aziz Gul & others v/s Govt: of KPK & others
- (2) W.P. No. 1283-M-of 2022 Muhammad Salim & others v/s Govt of KPK & others
- 2. Precisely the grievances of the petitioners are that they were employees of the Levies Force of District Dir lower and were performing their duties as Sepoy, Lance Naik, Naik and Havaldar when they were retired vide four orders of even dated i.e. 25.03.2021. They further pleaded that after their retirement the provincial assembly has passed an Act with the

AIT ASITO

EXAMPLES

EXAMPLES


Peshawar High Court

Mingora Dari-ul Gaza Sw

Sub-Registry Malakand

J. 03

CT-C



name Provincially Administered Tribal Areas

Levies Force (Amendment) Act, 2021 (herein

after referred as "Act of 2021") whereby all the
employees of the Levies Force who retired from

22.03 2021 till the commencement of Act i.e.

30.11.2021 shall be re-instated in service,
however, the benefit of the aforesaid Act has not
been extended to the petitioners, therefore, they
have approached to this Court through the instant
petitions.

- Arguments of learned counsel for the petitioners were heard in considerable detail and the record perused with their able assistance, whereas the learned Addl: A.G present in Court also accepts notices of these connected petitions.
 - 4. The main grievance of the learned counsel for the petitioners was that since petitioners have got retired within the bracket period as provided under The Act of 2021, therefore, they are entitled for its benefits. He further submits that in case of the colleagues of the petitioners an identical relief has already been granted, therefore, they are also entitled for the same relief in view of the law laid down by the

n.T.

CT-C

Hon ble Apex Court in cases reported as 1996

SCMR 1185, 2005 SCMR 499 and 2009 SCMR

page 1.



There is no dispute amongst the parties that the present petitioners have got retired on 25.03.2021 as by then those petitioners who were sepy have attained the age of 42 years and those petitioners who were Lance Nalk, Naik, and Havaldar have completed three years of service as Lance Naik, Naik and Havaldar, therefore, by operation of The Federal Levies. Services (Amended) Rules 2013 R/W notification dated 21.10.2021, the aforesaid criteria has been provided for the retirement of different categories of the employees of Levies Force.

It may be noted that after the retirement of the petitioners, the Provincial Assembly has passed The Act of 2021 which has been made applicable with effect from 22:03:2021 and till the commencement of the Act. Since the said Act has been published in the official gazette on 30.11:2021, therefore, the two crucial dates would be 22:03:2021 it exthe date of applicability of the Act till 30:11:2021 its the date of

ATTESTED

Ex. WHER COUNT

Bashay 1 John Count

Ingoia Day John Count

Sub-Registry Walakand

CTC

mind Nesem Abwer

date of commencement of the Act, where new section i.e. section 11 has been inserted after section 10 in The Provincially Administered.

Tribal Areas Levies Force Regulation, 2012, the same being relevant for the present controversy is reproduced below;

Act may be called the Provincially Administered Tribal Areas Levies Force (Amendment) Act, 2021

(2) It shall come into force with effect from 22,03,2021

2. Insertion of new section to the Khyber Pakhtunkliwa regulation No. 1 of 2012.— In the Provincially Administered Tribal Areas Levies Rorce Regulation, 2012 (Khyber Pakhtunkliwa Regulation No. 1 of 2012), after section 10 the following new section shall be added, namely:

"II. Re-instatement of the levies personnel.—All levies personnel, who have been retired from the Force, with effect, from 22.03:2021. IIII the commencements of the Provincially Administered Tribal Areas Levies. Force (Amendment) Act. 2021. shall be reinstated in the Force, as regular employees, with effect from their respective dates of retirement and they shall be deemed as never retired from the Force."

The language of the above Act of 2021 is clear in its meaning qualits applicability as well as the class of employees to whom the benefit of the aforesaid Act could be extended. As stated hereinabove that as per section 1 (2) of the aforesaid Act the same was made applicable from 22.03.2021 and up to the

ctc



It further stipulates that all levies personnel who have been retired from the Force with effect from 22.03.2021 till the commencement of The Act 2021 i.e. 30.11.2021 shall be re-instated in the Force as regular employees with effect from their respective dates of retirement and they shall be deemed as never retired from the Force. The language of the aforesaid Act of 2021 fully attracts and benefits to the case of present petitioners who got retired on 25.03.2021, therefore, the respondents were legally bound to extend the benefit of the aforesaid Act of 2021 to the petitioners.

7. Accordingly, both these connected write petitions bearing No. 1281-M of 2022 and 1283-M of 2022 are allowed and the petitioners are re-instated in service of the Levies Force with effect from the date of their retirement and it shall be deemed that they have never been retired and consequently the respondents are directed to issue formal orders of their

CT.C

Z...2

(16)

re-instatement in service in light of section 11 of

The Act of 2021. Order accordingly.

<u>ANNOUNCED</u> Dt: 23,11,2022

JUDGE

JUDGE

Name of super and Aller Announced Zahira

Date of Presents from at some 30 11 2022

Date incompile fluit at some 30 11 2022

Urgan

Fee 30 11 2012

CERTIFIED TO BE TRUE COPY

Examiner

Pennawor High Court atingora/Dar-ul-Qaza Swat

Authorized under Article ST of Concon Shalladas orderiges

Sub-negleby Matakand

لاحداث فلاس





OFFICE OF THE DC MALAKANDI COMMANDANT MALAKAND LEVIES

DATED MALAKAND THE 20/3 12023 Phone: 0932-452080 Fax: 0932-450557

OFFICE ORDER

In Pursuance of the Honorable Peshawar High Court, Mingora Bench, Dar-ul-Qaza, Swat Judgment dated 23-11-2022 passed in C.O.C No.102-M/2022 in W.P. No.1283/2022 in respect of Mr. Muhammad Salim & Others , the competent authority is pleased to conditionally re-instate the followings Havildars, Naiks, Lance Naik and Sepays of Malakand Levies subject to the final outcome of the pending CPLA No.46-P/2023 in the august Supreme Court of Pakistan as well as legal guidance of Provincial Government with Immediate effect:-

.No	Regt; No	Name	Designation
1,	4222	Muhammad Zeb	Havildar BPS-09
	4237	Nawab Sher	Havildar BPS-09
- -	4239	Muhammad Sadiq	Havildar BPS-09
4.	4251	Shah Muhammad	Havildar BPS-09
	4282	Umar Rehman	Havildar BPS-09
	4263	Hassan Diya	Havildar BPS-09
6.	\	7-L Chah	I-lavildar BPS-09
7.	4293	Rahmat Ullah	Havildar BPS-09
8.	4300	Ilnsan-ul-Haq	Havildar BPS-09
9.	4302	Noor Raziq	Havildar BPS-09
10.			Havildar BPS-09
11		Sher Dad	Havildar BPS-09
12	4319	Shah Nasim	Havildar BP5-00
13	4320	Muhammad Razaq	
14	4324	Noor Hadi	Havildar BPS-09
15	4325	Sabz Ali	Naik BPS-08
10	3. 4331	Gul Rehman	Naik BPS-08
1	7. 4338	Amir Nawaz Khan	Nalk BPS-08
	8. 4342	Umar Jan	Naik BPS-08
	9. 4343	Sald Ahmad	Naik BPS-08
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	20. 4353	Sobat Khan	Naik BPS-08
		Aziz Gul	Naik BPS-08
i		'	
	22. 4374	Sardar Ali	Nalk BPS-08

	_	
	1	>
_ /	•	×
-77	1	U

'n

23.	4377	1	Viuhar	nmad Salim	1.0		P5-00	· #
24.	437B		Rahin	ı Gul			P5-08	
25.	4382	. 1		Ullah	1	i i	3PS-08	
26.	4391		Mura	i Khan	1.		3P\$-08	,
27.	4394		Muha	mmad Roze			BPS-08	
	4400			Ullah	N	lalk	BPS-08	Ċ
28.	4405	1 1 1 1		⊍llah Khan	1	şηo	e Naik BP9-08	l
29, .	4423			Huasain	I	anc	e Nalk BPS-08	}
30 _,	4390			Jamal		and	B Nalk BPS-08	1
32.	4407			man Zamin		and	e Naik BPS-08	1
33.	4413			var Shah		Lan	ce Nalk BPS-08	- ·
34,	441		1	al Mehmood		t an	ce Naik BPS-08	╣,
35.	442	<u> </u>	Bak	ht Zamin		Lan	ce Nalk BPS-08 ce Nalk BPS-08	-
36.	442	<u> </u>	Ibra	r Hussain		Lar	ice Nalk BPS-08	7
37.	442	i	Uń	ar Ghani		Lar	nce Naik BPS-08	
38.	444		Ha	blb-ur-Rehman		Lai	nce Nalk BPS-08	
39.	448		Az	iz-ur-Relunen		La	nce Naik BPS-08	
40.	44		No	or Zeb		La	nce Naik BPS-08 ince Naik BPS-Q8	
41.	:44	<u> </u>	Iq	pal Hussain			ance Naik BPS-08	
42.	44	77	M	phammad Ismall	, 41.00		ance Naik BPS-08	*
43.	44	85	- G	hulam Rabi				
44.		i	r K	halld Usman		- 1	ance Naik BPS-08	
45.		493	e	ashir Ahmad		- 1	ance Naik BPS-08	
46.		495	- 	Nuhammad Nabi			ance Naik BPS-08	
47		496		sal Khan			ance Nalk BPS-08	
48		498	·- \-	Imar Gul	•	ŧ	Lance Naik BPS-08	
49		499	1	Muhammad Sadiq		1	Lance Naik BPS-08	<u></u>
50		503		Fazal Haleem Khan			Lance Nalk BPS-08	
5		4510		Muhammad Zahir			Lance Naik BPS-08	
l		4517	1	Said Alam			Sepoy BPS-07	
·		4518		Sardar Ali			Sepoy BPS-07	
1	4.	4529		Haji Rehman			Sepoy BPS-07	
' I	55.	4531		Wahld Shah			Sepay BPS-07	~~~~
	56.	4532		Sardar Ghanl			Sepoy BPS-07	
\		4533	,	Amjad Ali			Sepoy BPS-07	
· L	57.	4559	····	Latif Khan			Sepoy BPS-07	
\ <u> </u>	58.	4584		Muhammad Imran	···	•••	Sepoy BPS-07	
<u> </u>	59.	7307	<u></u>	THE STATE OF THE S				

٠.

CTC

	4590	Lai Faraz	Somy aps of
60.	4590		Sepoy BPS-07
61.	4593	Bashir Muhammad	Sepoy BPS-07
62.	4602	Sajid Hussain	Sapoy BPS-07
63.	4610	Mukhtiyar Ahmad	Sepoy BPS-07
64.	4813	Impad Ullah	
65,	4980	Khyal Bedshah	Sepoy BPS-07
	4996	Muhammad Mikhar	Sepoy BPS-07
60.		Bakht Naeem	Sepoy BPS-07
67.	5057	Saad Ullah	Sepoy BPS-07
68.	5258		Sepoy BPS-07
69.	5396	Sawar Khan	Sepoy BPS-07
.70.	5434	Imtiaz Alam	Sepay BPS-07
71.	5897	Fazal Mehmood	Sepoy BPS-07
72.	5926	Muhammad Farooq	Sepoy BPS-07
73.	6302	Muhammad Zeb Khan	Sepoy D.

The conditional re-instatement of the appellants will be subject to producting of bonds with 02-guarantors in respect of each appellant through stamp paper that outcome of the CPLA in the apex Supreme Court if not upheld the Peshawar High Court, Mingora Bench, Dar-ul-Qoza, Swat Judgment, all availed benefits thereof will have to be re-funded in lump sum.

Furthermore, meintervening period from their relirement fill the Peshawar High Court, Mingora Bench. Dar-ul-Qoza Swat decision/Judgment in Their appeals will be considered as leave of its kind.

MALAKAND LEVIES MALAKAND

No. Copy forwarded to the:-

1. Secretary, Home & TA's Department, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar.
2. Commissioner, Malakand Division at Saidu Sharif, Swat.
3. Registrar, Peshawar High Court, Mingora Bench, Dar-ul-Qaza, Swat.

4. Additional Advocate General, Peshawar High Court, Mingora Bench, Darul-Qaza, Swat. For information, please.

5. District Accounts Officer, Malakand.

6. Subedar Major, Malakand Levies.

7. Official concerned.

For information & necessary action.

MALAKAND LEVIES MALAKAND

بعد السف فيتر پخونخواسرون فريبول پشاور/سوات كيمپ كورث لعد الس مورفد کے کو مزر بنام دُسِلی کمیسترسوات Execution. باعث تحريرآ نك مقدمه مندرجه عنوان بالامیں اپنی طرف سے داسطے پیروی وجواب دہی وکل کا روائی متعلقه آن مقام پياورزيول كيمپكور ف سوات كيلي بيرسرعد نان فان ASC عمرصادق ايدوكيث مقرركرك اقراركياجا تاب كهصاحب موصوف كومقدمه كى كل كاروائى كاكامل اختياط موگا ينزوكيل صاحب كوراضي نامه وتقرر ثالث وفيصله برحلف وييخ جواب دي اورا قبال دعوي اور درخواست ہرتتم كى تصديق زراوراس پر دستخط كڑنے كا اختيار ہوگا۔ نیز بصورت عدم پیروی یا ڈگری ایک طرف یا اپیل کی برامد ہوگی اورمنسوخ مذکور کے نسل یا جرز وی کاروائی کے واسطےاور وکیل یا مختار قانونی کواپنی ہمراہ یااپنی بجائے تقر رکا اختیار ہوگا۔ اورصاحب مقرره شده کوبھی جمله مذکوره بالا اختیارات حاصل ہوئے اوراسکاسا ختہ برواخته منظور وقبول ہوگا۔اور دوران مقدمہ بیں جوخر چہو ہرجانہ التوایے مقدمہ کے سبب سے ہوگا اسکے ستحق وکیل صاحب ہو کئے۔ نیز بقایا وخر چہ کی وصولی کرتے وفتت کابھی اختیار ہوگا اگر کوئی تاریخ پیشی مقام دورہ ہر ہویا حدے باہر ہوتو وکیل کے ماحب یابندنه موتکے کی پیروی مقدمه مذکورلهذا وکالت نامه لکھ دیاک سندر ہے new godew بمقام سوات كهمت كويرط