FORM OF ORDER SHEET

Court of	
Implémentation Petition No.	919/2023

	Imp	olementation Petition No. 919/2023
S.No.	Date of order proceedings	Order or other proceedings with signature of judge
1 .	2	3
1	29.11.2023	The implementation petition of Mr. Mukhtiar Ali
		Shah submitted today by Mr. Adnan Khan Advocate. It is
		fixed for implementation report before touring Single
		Bench at Swat on Original file be
		requisitioned. AAG has noted the next date.
!		By the order of Chairman
		4.
		REGISTRAR
•		
)	
1		
	,	
-	'	
	, 	
-		
•		_
	. '	
	· · ·	

BEFORE THE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICE TRIBUNAL, PESHAWAR (CAMP COURT AT SWAT)

Execution Application No. 9/9 of 2023

In Service Appeal No.65/2023

VERSUS

Commandant Swat Levies/Deputy Commissioner, District Swat & A Swat Respondent

INDEX

S.	Description	Annexure	Pages No.
No.	·		
1.	Memo of Application		1-3
2.	Affidavit		4
3.	Copy of order dated 24-08-2023	Α	5-19
4	Copy of application	В	10
5.	Copy of judgment dated 23-11-2022	С	11-16
6.	Copy of office order dated 20-03- 2023	Ď	17-19
7.	Wakalatnama		20

Applicant

Mukhtiar Ali Shah Sepoy No.537993 Through Counsel

Dr. Adnan Khan, Barrister-at-Law, Advocate Supreme Court of Pakistan.

Umar Sadiq Advocate High Court

Office: Adnan Law Associates, Opp. Shuhada Park College Colony,

Saidu Sharif, Swat. Cell: 0346-9415233

BEFORE THE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICE TRIBUNAL, PESHAWAR (CAMP COURT AT SWAT)

Execution Application No. ______ of 2023

Service Tribunal

In Service Appeal No.65/2023

Dated 29-11-2023

Mukhtiar Ali Shah S/o Akbar Ali R/o Shagai Saidu Sharif, District Swat [Sepoy No.537993]

.....Applicant

VERSUS

- 1. Govertment of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa through Chief Secretary Civil Secretariat at Peshawar
- 2. Government of Khyber through Secretary Home & Tribal Affairs Department, Civil Secretariat at Peshawar.

3	.Commandant Swat	Levies/Deputy	Commissioner	Swat
		*******	Resp	onden

APPLICATION FOR IMPLEMENTATION OF ORDER DATED 24-08-2023

Respectfully Sheweth:

- 1) That the applicant approached this Tribunal by way of filing the captioned appeal which was disposed of vide order dated 24-08-2023 (Copy of order dated 24-08-2023 is attached as Annexure "A").
- 2) That as per the directions made in the order, the applicant was directed to approach the proper forum for implementation of Section 11 of the Act of 2021. The proper forum/competent authority for the applicant is the Deputy Commissioner. Hence, the applicant filed a written

- 3) That despite the lapse of a considerable amount of time, neither the needful has been done nor any response has been received as yet.
- 4) That on the contrary, some colleagues of the applicant had approached the august Peshawar High Court through various petitions, which were allowed vide judgment dated 23-11-2022. The Hon'ble High Court while allowing the petitions had ordered re-instatement of the applicants into the service (Copy of judgment dated 23-11-2022 is attached as Annexure "C").
- 5) That in light of the above mentioned judgment of the Hon'ble High Court, colleagues of the applicant who were similarly placed were re-instated by the concerned authority (Copy of office order dated 20-03-2023 is attached as Annexure "D").
- 6) That keeping aside the directions of this Tribunal, the applicant ought to have been re-instated without any litigation under the rule of consistency and equality before the law. Regrettably, the needful was not done even after the order of this Tribunal.
- 7) That further grounds with leave of this Hon'ble Tribunal will be raised at the time of oral submissions.

It is, therefore, humbly prayed that on acceptance of this application, the titled judgment dated 24-08-2023 be executed/implemented in its letter and spirit with the grant of any other

remedy deemed just and proper in the circumstances.

Applicant

Mukhtiar Ali Shah Sepoy No.537993

Through Counsel

Dr. Adnan Khan, Barrister-at-Law, Advocate Supreme Court of Pakistan.

&

Umar Sadiq Advocate High Court

CERTIFICATE:

Certified that no such like application has earlier been filed before this Hon'ble Tribunal on the subject matter.

Applican



Execution Application No	·	_ of 2	023	•	•
In Service Appeal No.65/2023	<u> </u>		•		
Mukhtiar Ali Shah S/o Akb			_		
District Swat [Sepoy No.537	7993]		1		
			. •		
VEF	RSUS				

VERSUS

Commandant Swat Levies/Deputy Commissioner, District Swat.

Respondent

AFFIDAVIT

I, Mukhtiar Ali Shah (Applicant), do hereby solemnly affirm and declare that the contents of the above titled application are true and correct to the best of my knowledge and belief.

DEPONENT

Mukhtiar Ali Shah S/o Akbar Ali Sepoy No. 537993



BEFORE THE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICE TRIBUNAL, PESHAWAR (CAMP COURT AT SWAT)

Amix A

Service Appeal No. 65/ of 2023

Mukhtiar Ali Shah S/o Akbar Ali R/o Shagai Saidu Sharif,
 District Swat [Sepoy No.537993].

.....Appellant

VERSUS

- 1) Government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa through Chief Secretary, Civil Secretariat at Peshawar.
- 2) Government of Khyber through Secretary Home & Tribal Affairs Department, Civil Secretariat at Peshawar.
- 3) Commandant Swat Levies/Deputy Commissioner, District Swat.

.....Respondents

APPEAL UNDER SECTION 4 OF THE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICE TRIBUNAL ACT, 1974.

PRAYER:

On acceptance of this Appeal, Notifications No. SO(Police-II)HD/MKD/Levies/Misc./2020 dated 22-03-2021 & No. SO (Police-II)HD/1-3/Federal Levies 2021 dated 21-10-2021 issued by respondent No.2 may be declared as illegal and the same be set aside. Consequently, the appellant may be held entitled to complete his service till attaining 60 years age in light of Notification dated 14-07-2020.

Alternatively, the impugned notifications may be declared to be ineffective upon the rights of the appellant and he may be allowed to complete his service till attaining 60 years of age.

cFC

Service Appeal No.1916/2022 titled "Muhammad Salim Vs. The Government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa through Chief Secretary, Civil Secretariat at Peshawar and others"

<u>ORDER</u>

24th Aug. 2023

Kalim Arshad Khan, Chairman: Through this single order this appeal and all the following connected appeals are being decided as all are against the same impugned Notifications No.SO(Police-II)HD/MKD/Levies/Misc./2020 dated 22.03.2021 and No.SO(Police-II)HD/1-3/FEDERAL LEVIES 2021 dated 20. 10.2021. Appeal Nos:1916/2022, 1917/2022, 1918/2022, 1919/2022, 1920/2022, 1921/2022, 1922/2022, 1923/2022, 1924/2022, 1925/2022, 1926/2022, 1927/2022, 1928/2022, 1929/2022, 1930/2022, 1931/2022, 1932/2022, 1933/2022, 1934/2022, 1935/2022, 1936/2022, 1937/2022, 1938/2022, 1939/2022, 1940/2022, 1941/2022, 1942/2022, 1943/2022, 1944/2022, 1945/2022, 1946/2022, 1947/2022, 1948/2022, 1949/2022, 1950/2022, 1951/2022, 1952/2022, 1953/2022 ,1954/2022, 1955/2022, 1956/2022, 1957/2022, 1958/2022, 1959/2022, 1960/2022, 1961/2022, 1962/2022, 1963/2022, 1964/2022, 1965/2022 1966/2022, 1967/2022, 1968/2022, 1969/2022, 1970/2022, 1971/2022, 1972/2022, 1973/2022, 1974/2022, 1975/2022, 1976/2022, 1977/2022, 1978/2022, 1979/2022, 1980/2022, 1981/2022, 1982/2022, 1983/2022, 1984/2022, 1985/2022, 1986/2022, 1987/2022, 1988/2022, 1989/2022, 1990/2022, 1991/2022, 1992/2022, 1993/2022, 1994/2022, 1995/2022, 1996/2022, 1997/2022, 1998/2022, 1999/2022, 2000/2022, 2001/2022, 2002/2022, 2003/2022, 2006/2022, 34/2023, 35/2023, 36/2023, 37/2023, 38/2023, 39/2023, 40/2023, 41/2023, 42/2023, 43/2023, 44/2023 46/2023, 47/2023,

Page 1

48/2023, 49/2023, 50/2023, 51/2023, 52/2023, 53/2023, 54/2023, 55/2023, 56/2023, 57/2023, 58/2023, 59/2023, 60/2023, 61/2023,

62/2023, 63/2023, 64/2023, 65/2023, 66/2023, 67/2023, 68/2023,

69/2023, 70/2023, 71/2023, 72/2023, 73/2023, 162/2023, 168/2023,

169/2023, 170/2023, 171/2023, 172/2023, 173/2023, 174/2023,

175/2023, 176/2023, 177/2023, 178/2023, 179/2023, 180/2023,

181/2023, 182/2023, 183/2023, 187/2023, 188/2023, 189/2023,

190/2023, 193/2023, 194/2023, 195/2023, 196/2023, 197/2023,

198/2023, 199/2023, 200/2023, 201/2023, 202/2023, 203/2023,

204/2023, 205/2023, 206/2023, 207/2023, 208/2023, 209/2023,

210/2023, 211/2023, 212/2023, 213/2023, 297/2023, 257/2023,

258/2023, 259/2023, 315/2023, 322/2023, 408/2023, 409/2023;

410/2023, 411/2023, 412/2023, 413/2023, 414/2023, 415/2023,

418/2023, 419/2023, 601/2023, 602/2023, 603/2023, 604/2023,

605/2023, 625/2023, 626/2023, 629/2023, 630/2023, 631/2023,

632/2023, 633/2023, 634/2023, 635/2023, 636/2023, 637/2023,

638/2023, 639/2023, 640/2023, 641/2023, 642/2023, 643/2023,

644/2023, 645/2023, 646/2023, 659/2023, 660/2023, 661/2023,

682/2023, 793/2023, 870/2023, 1175/2023, 1258/2023, 1259/2023,

1288/2023, 1289/2023, 1300/2023, 1372/2023 and Service Appeal No.

1538/2023,

2. In some of the appeals learned counsel for the appellants are present while some appellants are in person present. Mr. Muhammad Jan, District Attorney alongwith M/S Liaqat Ali DSP, Hakim Zada

74/08/23

EXAMINEN CT-C

Page Z

Superintendent, Muhammad Asim Khan Assistant, Parvez Khan Assistant and Sharif Ullah Assistant for respondents present.

- 3. It is noted with serious concern that nobody from the Home Department put appearance. Copy of this order be thus sent to the Worthy Chief Secretary Khyber Pakhtunkhwa and Worthy Secretary, Home and Tribal Affairs Department, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa for information.
- The matter was heard on more than one dates and could not be 4. decided because of pendency of a CP No.818/2023 before the august Supreme Court of Pakistan. During the course of arguments on some previous dates, Dr. Adnan Khan learned counsel for some of the appellants had informed the Tribunal that the petitioners, who had approached the august Supreme Court of Pakistan, against the judgment of Hon'ble Peshawar High Court, in Writ Petition No.363-M of 2021 dated 29.11.2022, had submitted application for withdrawal of the CP from the august Supreme Court of Pakistan. The august Supreme Court was pleased to dismiss the CP as withdrawn on 07.06.2023. Today, Mr. Taimur Haider, Advocate/counsel for the appellant in Service Appeal No. 162/2023, produced copy of an Act of the Provincial Assembly named "The Provincially Administered Tribal Areas Levies Force (Amendment) Act, 2021" in to which a new section, Section-11 was added, which is reproduced as under:
 - "11. Reinstatement of the levies personnel. --- All levies personnel, who have been retired from the Force, with effect from 22.03.2021, till the commencement of the Provincially Administered Tribal Areas Levies Force (Amendment) Act, 2021 shall be reinstated in the Force as regular employees, with effect from their respective dates of retirement and they shall be deemed as never retired from the Force."

Ex Mines

24.08.003

When confronted with the provisions of the newly added Section-11 of the Act of 2021, whereby, all Levies personnel, who had retired from the Force w.e.f 22.03.2021 till the commencement of the Act i.e. 30.11.2021, were reinstated as regular employees w.e.f respective dates of retirement and were deemed to have never retired from the Force, the learned counsel was very fair to say that there was nothing more to be resolved by this Tribunal in these appeals, so is the agreement of other learned counsel as well as appellants present before the Tribunal, because by promulgation of the above Act especially insertion of new Section-11, whereafter, both the impugned Notifications no more remained effective. They, however, contend that even the provisions of the Act were not be complied with/implemented by the respondents. They say they would approach the proper forum for giving effect to/implementation of the provisions of Section-11 of the Act of 2021 and in case their grievances are not addressed in accordance with the terms of the Act, they would recourse to further legal remedies available to them. Disposed of in the above terms. (Copies of this order be placed in all connected appeals). Consign.

5. Pronounced in open Court at Peshawar and given under our hands and seal of the Tribunal on this 24th day of August, 2023.

(Salah-Ud-Din) Member (J)

(Kalim Arshad Khan) Chairman

Mutazem Shah

aritied specture copy

EXAMINER

Thyber Cakhtunkhwa

Service Tribunal.

CIC

IN 18 - / NO CONS THE CONS. AMP Compan lie 24-8-023 10 Civil 66. 2h Carles 10/200 Mill distributed of the - Quwold di illim a Cille Colomina Com Con litties Ullin Six - De L'Extra Ju 45 Ge a Pobrt i Dlurda - (4110 is 34-8-023 ily fib Who) - Con & Uls. 1 Children in Colle Called Set of Ilogin Dilling - Che (vild cité Calo Elois le Cloke Lévis) Berilds Copies Copie



JUDGMENT SHEET

PESHAWAR HIGH COURT MINGORA BENCH (Judicial Department)

- 1. <u>W.P. No. 1281-M/2022</u> &
- 2. W.P. No.1283-M/2022

JUDGMENT

Dates of hearing: 23.11.2022

Petitioners: - (Aziz Gul & others) by Barrister Dr. Adnan Khan, ASC.

Respondents (Govt: of KPK & others) by Mr. Raza-ud-Din Khan, Addl: A.G

MUHAMMAD IJAZ KHAN, J.- Through this single judgment, we intend to decide the following two writ petitions, as common questions of law and facts are involved in the same.

(1) W.P. No. 1281-M of 2022 Aziz Gul & others v/s Govt: of KPK & others.

- (2) W.P. No. 1283-Mof 2022 Muhammad Salim & others v/s Govt: of KPK & others
- 2. Precisely the grievances of the petitioners are that they were employees of the Levies Force of District Dir lower and were performing their duties as Sepoy, Lance Naik, Naik and Havaldar when they were retired vide four orders of even dated i.e. 25.03.2021. They further pleaded that after their retirement the provincial assembly has passed an Act with the

AITESIED

EXAMPLES

EXAMPLES

Peshawar High Court

Mingora Dar-ul Qaza Sw

Sub-Registry Malakane

J.03

CT, e

name Provincially Administered Tribal Areas

Levies Force (Amendment) Act, 2021 (herein

after referred as "Act of 2021") whereby all the

employees of the Levies Force who retired from

22.03 2021 till the commencement of Act i.e.

30.11.2021 shall be re-instated in service,

however, the benefit of the aforesaid Act has not

been extended to the petitioners, therefore, they

have approached to this Court through the instant

petitions.

- Arguments of learned counsel for the petitioners were heard in considerable detail and the record perused with their able assistance, whereas the learned Addl: A.G present in Court also accepts notices of these connected petitions.
 - 4. The main grievance of the learned counsel for the petitioners was that since petitioners have got retired within the bracket period as provided under The Act of 2021, therefore, they are entitled for its benefits. He further submits that in case of the colleagues of the petitioners an identical relief has already been granted, therefore, they are also entitled for the same relief in view of the law laid down by the

ATTESTED

EXAMINER

EXAMINER

EXAMINER

Deshave

LUB Court

Mingora Dar-ul Cusca Swall

Sub-Registry Malakand

43

cte

Hon ble Apex Court in cases reported as 1996

SCMR 1185, 2005 SCMR 499 and 2009 SCMR

page 1

parties that the present petitioners have got retired on 25.03.2021 as by then those petitioners who were sepy have attained the age of 42 years and those petitioners who were Lance Nalk, Naik, and Havaldar have completed three years of service as Lance Naik, Naik and Havaldar, therefore, by operation of The Federal Levies Services (Amended) Rules 2013 R/W notification dated 21.10.2021, the aforesaid criteria has been provided for the retirement of different categories of the employees of Levies Force.

6. It may be noted that after the retirement of the petitioners, the Provincial Assembly has passed The Act of 2021 which has been made applicable with effect from 22/03/2021 and till the commencement of the Act. Since the said Act has been published in the official gazette on 30.11.2021, therefore, the two crucial dates would be 22:03:2021 it exthe date of applicability of the Act till 30:11:2023 life the

WINGS DESCRIPTION OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPE

000

01.0

section i.e. section II has been inserted after section 10 in The Provincially Administered.

Tribal Areas Levies Force Regulation, 2012, the same being relevant for the present controversy is reproduced below;

Act may be called the Provincially Administered Tribal Areas Levies Force (Amendment) Act, 2021

(2) It shall come into force with effect from 22,03,2021

2. Insertion of new section to the Khyber Pakhtunkliwa regulation No. 1 of 2012.— In the Provincially Administered Tribal Areas Levies Rorce Regulation, 2012 (Khyber Pakhtunkliwa Regulation No. 1 of 2012), after section 10, the following new section shall be added, namely:

"II. Re-instatement of the levies personnel.—All levies personnel, who have been relired from the Force; with effect from 22.03:2021 till the commencement of the Provincially Administered Tribal Areas Levies Force (Amendment) Act, 2021 shall be reinstated in the Force; as regular employees, with effect from their respective dates of retirement and they shall be deemed as never retired from the Force."

The language of the above Act of 2021 is clear in its meaning qualits applicability as well as the class of employees to whom the benefit of the aforesaid Act could be extended. As stated hereinabove that as per section 1 (2) of the aforesaid Act the same was made applicable from 22.03.2021 and up to the

ATTASTED

EXCLUSION GOUNT

RESHAWAT HIGH COUNT

OB-R-GISHO Malakand

The Contraction of the Contracti





It further stipulates that all levies personnel who have been retired from the Force with effect from 22.03.2021 till the commencement of The Act 2021 i.e. 30.11.2021 shall be re-instated in the Force as regular employees with effect from their respective dates of retirement and they shall be deemed as never retired from the Force. The language of the aforesaid Act of 2021 fully attracts and benefits to the case of present petitioners who got retired on 25.03.2021, therefore, the respondents were legally bound to extend the benefit of the aforesaid Act of 2021 to the petitioners.

writing elitions bearing No. 1281-M of 2022 and 1283-M of 2022 are allowed and the petitioners are re-instated in service of the Levies Force with effect from the date of their retirement and it shall be deemed that they have never been retired and consequently the respondents are directed to issue formal orders of their

-\.\n3/

re-instatement in service in light of section 11 of

The Act of 2021. Order accordingly.

ANNOUNCED

JUDGE

JUDGE

Name of superior Muhammad Zahin Date of Preserve success conficient 30 - 1/2022 Date decomple than et consis 30 - 1/2022 Note: - 6 - pages - Urger

CERTIFIED TO BE TRUE COPY

Penhawar High: Court, MingorarDar-ul-Qaza, Swat Authorized under Article ET of Concon Shatladat orderiges Sub-Ragiety Malakand





OFFICE OF THE DC MALAKANDI COMMANDANT MALAKAND LEVIES

DATED MALAKAND THE 20/3 12023 Phone: 0932-452080 Fax: 0932-450557 /LC

OFFICE ORDER

In Pursuance of the Honorable Peshawar High Court, Mingora Bench, Dar-ul-Qaza, Swat Judgment dated 23-11-2022 passed in C.O.C No.102-M/2022 in W.P. No.1283/2022 in respect of Mr. Muhammad Salim & Others , the competent authority is pleased to conditionally re-instate the followings Havildars, Naiks, Lance Naik and Sepoys of Malakand Levies subject to the final outcome of the pending CPLA No.46-P/2023 in the august Supreme Court of Pakistan as well as legal guidance of Provincial Government with immediate effect:-

1 .
30
09
09
09
09
-09
-09
-09
3-09
S-09
5-09
S-09
PS-09
PS-00
PS-09
08
-08
-08
!
-08
3-08
3-08
S-08
S-08

			•	the state of the s
	4377	1	Muhammad Salim	Nalk 8/95-08
23.	4378	- 1 - 1 -	Rahim Gul	Nalk BPS-08
24.	4382		Sami Ullah	Nalk BPS-08
25.	4391		Murad Khan	Nalk BPS-08
26. 27.	4394	<u> </u>	Muhammad Roze	Nalk BPS-08
28.	440	<u> </u>	Wasi Ullah	Nalk BPS-08
29, .	440	1 1	Maaz Ullah Khan	Lance Naik BPS-08
30,	442	*	Ibrar Hussain	Lance Nalk BPS-08
31.	439		Syed Jamal	Lance Nalk BPS-08 Lance Naik BPS-08
32.	440		Rahman Zamin	Lance Nalk BPS-08
33.	441	 i3	Sarwar Shah	Lance Nalk BPS-08
34.	44	14	Fazal Mehmood	Lance Nalk BPS-08
35.	44	20	Bakht Zamin	Lance Nalk BPS-08
36.	44	23	Ibrar Hussain	Lance Nalk BPS-08
37.	44	27	Umar Ghani	Lance Nalk BPS-08
.38.	44	48	Habib-ur-Rehman	Lance Nalk BPS-08
39.	44	52	Aziz-ur-Relimen	Lance Naik BPS-08
40.	1	157	Noor Zeb	Lance Naik BPS-Q8
41.	:4:	471	Iqbal Hussain	Lance Naik BPS-08
42.	. 4	477	Muhammad Ismail	Lance Naik BPS-08
43	. _	485	Ghulam Rabi	Lance Naik BPS-08
.: 44	`` \{_	492	Khalid Usman	Lance Naik BPS-08
45	``	493	Bashir Ahmad	Lance Naik BPS-08
46		1495	Muhammad Nabi	Lance Naik BPS-08
47	7	4496	Asal Khan	Lance Naik BPS-08
41	· L	4498	Umar Gul Muhammad Sadiq	Lance Naik BPS-08
i		4499	Fazal Haleem Khan	Lance Nalk BPS-08
l	0.	4503	Muhammad Zahir	Lance Naik BPS-08
l	1.	4510		Sepoy BPS-07
	52.	4517	Sald Alam	Sepoy BPS-07
1	53.	4518	Sardar Ali	Sepoy BPS-07
· l	54.	4529	Haji Rehman Wahld Shah	Sepoy BP8-07
l	55.	4531		Sepoy BPS-07
	56.	4532	Sardar Ghanl	Sepoy BPS-07
.\	57.	4533	Amjad Ali	Sepoy BPS-07
	58.	4559	Latif Khan	
	59.	4584	Muhammad Imran '	Sepoy BPS-07

			Sepuy ROS 67
60.	4590	Lai Faraz	Sepay BPS-07
61.	4595	Bashir Muhammad	Sepoy BPS-07
62,	4602	Sajid Hussain	Sepoy BPS-07
63.	4610	Mukhliyar Ahmad	Sepoy BPS-07
64.	4613	Imdad Ullah	Sepoy BPS-07
65.	4986	Khyal Badshah	Sepoy BPS-07
68.	4998	Muhammad Hilkhar	Sepoy BPS-07
67.	5057	Bakht Naeem	Sepoy BPS-07
68.	5258	Saad Ullah	Sepoy BPS-07
69.	5396	Sawar Khan	Sepoy BPS-07
70.	5434	Imtiaz Alam	Sepoy BPS-07
71.	5897	Fazai Mehmood	Sepoy BPS-07
72.	5926	Muhammad Farooq	Sepoy BPS-07
73.	6302	Muhammad Zeb Khan	Jepoy z.

The conditional re-instatement of the appellants will be subject to production of bonds with 02-guarantors in respect of each appellant through stamp paper that outcome of the CPLA in the apex Supreme Court if not upheld the Peshawar High Court, Mingora Bench, Dar-ul-Qoza, Swat Judgment, all availed benefits thereof will have to be re-funded in lump sum.

Furthermore, the intervening period from their retirement fill the Pashawar High Court, Mingora Bench. Dar-ul-Quza Swat decision/Judgment in Their appeals will be considered as leave of its kind.

MALAKAND LEVIES MALAKAND

No, Copy forwarded to the:-

Secretary, Home & TA's Department, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar.
 Commissioner, Malakand Division at Saidu Sharif, Swat.
 Registrar, Peshawar High Court, Mingora Bench, Dar-ul-Qaza, Swat.

4. Additional Advocate General, Peshawar High Court, Mingora Bench, Darul-Qaza, Swat. For information, please.

5. District Accounts Officer, Malakand.

6. Subedar Major, Malakand Levies.

Official concerned. For information & necessary action.

MALAKAND LEVIES MALAKAND

روه المرابع المنابع ا فتتاريم الأأه Execution is بأعث تحررا نك مقدمه مندرجه عنوان بالامیں اپنی طرف سے واسطے پیروی وجواب دہی وکل کا روائی متعلقه آن مقام پياور رئيول كيمپكور شيوات كيليخ بير مفرعد نان فان ASC عمرصا دق ايدوكيث . مقرر کرے اقر ارکیا جاتا ہے کہ صاحب موصوف کو مقدمہ کی کل کا روائی کا کامل اختياط موگا _ نيز وكيل صاحب كوراضي نامه وتقرر ثالث و فيصله پرحلف دينے جواب دی اورا قبال دعوی اور درخواست ہرتشم کی تصدیق زراوراس پر دستخط کڑنے کا اختیار ہوگا۔ نیز بصورت عدم پیروی یا ڈگری ایک طرف یا اپیل کی برامد ہوگی اورمنسوخ مذکور کے نسل یا جزوی کاروائی کے واسطے اور وکیل یا مخار قانونی کواپنی ہمراہ یااپنی بجائے تقر رکا اختیار ہوگا۔ اورصاحب مقرره شده کوئهی جمله مذکوره بالااختیارات حاصل هو کیے اوراسکاساخته به برواختة منظور وقبول ہوگا۔اور دوران مقدمہ میں جوخر چہدہ ہرجانہ التوایے مقدمہ کے سبب سے ہوگا اسکے ستحق وکیل صاحب ہو گئے۔ نیز بقایا وخرچہ کی وصولی کرتے وفتت کا بھی اختیار ہوگا اگر کوئی تاریخ پیشی مقام دورہ ہر ہویا حدے باہر ہوتو وکیل ها حب یا بندنه هو نگے کی پیروی مقدمه مذکورلهذا وکالت نامه لکھ دیا ک سندر ہے Lisater Gall of Adv بمقام سوارطيه