Form- A

FORM OF ORDER SHEET -

	· Imp	lementation Petition No. 915/2023
Ś No.	Date of order proceedings	Order, or other proceedings with signature of judge
	2	3
1.	29.11.2023	The implementation petition of Mr. Pervez Khan
		submitted today by Mr. Adnan Khan Advocate. It is fixed
,		for implementation report before touring Single Bench
,	İ	at Swat on Original file be
	en e	requisitioned. AAG has noted the next date.
		By the order of Chairman
÷		REGISTRAR
		1
``\'		
	•	

BEFORE THE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICE TRIBUNAL, PESHAWAR (CAMP COURT AT SWAT)

Execution Application No. 45 of 2023

In Service Appeal No.73/2023

VERSUS

Commandant Swat Levies/Deputy Commissioner, District Swat. 4 others

.....Respondent

INDEX

S. No.	Description	Annexure	Pages No.
1.	Memo of Application		1-2
2.	Affidavit		4
3.	Copy of order dated 24-08-2023	Α	5-9
. 4.	Copy of application	В	10
5.	Copy of judgment dated 23-11-2022	С	11-16
6.	Copy of office order dated 20-03-2023	D	17-19
7.	Wakalatnama		20

Applicant

Pervez Ahmad Sepoy No.538883 Through Counsel

Dr. Adnan Khan, Barrister-at-Law, Advocate Supreme Court of Pakistan.

Umar Sadiq

Advocate High Court

Office: Adnan Law Associates,

Opp. Shuhada Park College Colony,

Saidu Sharif, Swat. Cell: 0346-9415233

BEFORE THE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICE TRIBUNAL, PESHAWAR (CAMP COURT AT SWAT)

Execution Application No. ______ of 2023

Khyber Pakhtukhwa Service Tribunal

In Service Appeal No.73/2023

90 11 2-13

Pervez Ahmad S/o Taj Muhammad Khan R/o Shagai Saidu Sharif, District Swat [Sepoy No.538883]

......Applicant

VERSUS

- 1. Govertment of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa through Chief Secretary Civil Secretariat at Peshawar
- 2. Government of Khyber through Secretary Home & Tribal Affairs Department, Civil Secretariat at Peshawar.
- 3 .Commandant Swat Levies/Deputy Commissioner Swat

/Respondent

APPLICATION FOR IMPLEMENTATION OF ORDER DATED 24-08-2023

Respectfully Sheweth:

- 1) That the applicant approached this Tribunal by way of filing the captioned appeal which was disposed of vide order dated 24-08-2023 (Copy of order dated 24-08-2023 is attached as Annexure "A").
- 2) That as per the directions made in the order, the applicant was directed to approach the proper forum for implementation of Section 11 of the Act of 2021. The proper forum/competent authority for the applicant is the Deputy Commissioner. Hence, the applicant filed a written

- 3) That despite the lapse of a considerable amount of time, neither the needful has been done nor any response has been received as yet.
- 4) That on the contrary, some colleagues of the applicant had approached the august Peshawar High Court through various petitions, which were allowed vide judgment dated 23-11-2022. The Hon'ble High Court while allowing the petitions had ordered re-instatement of the applicants into the service (Copy of judgment dated 23-11-2022 is attached as Annexure "C").
- 5) That in light of the above mentioned judgment of the Hon'ble High Court, colleagues of the applicant who were similarly placed were re-instated by the concerned authority (Copy of office order dated 20-03-2023 is attached as Annexure "D").
- 6) That keeping aside the directions of this Tribunal, the applicant ought to have been re-instated without any litigation under the rule of consistency and equality before the law. Regrettably, the needful was not done even after the order of this Tribunal.
- 7) That further grounds with leave of this Hon'ble Tribunal will be raised at the time of oral submissions.

It is, therefore, humbly prayed that on acceptance of this application, the titled judgment dated 24-08-2023 be executed/implemented in its letter and spirit with the grant of any other

remedy deemed just and proper in the circumstances.

Applicant

Pervez Ahmad Sepoy No.538883

Through Counsel

Dr. Adnan Khan, Barrister-at-Law, Advocate Supreme Court of Pakistan.

Umar Sadiq Advocate High Court

CERTIFICATE:

Certified that no such like application has earlier been filed before this Hon'ble Tribunal on the subject matter.

Applicant

BEFORE THE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICE TRIBUNAL, PESHAWAR (CAMP COURT AT SWAT)

Execution Application No of 2023
In Service Appeal No.73/2023
Pervez Ahmad S/o Taj Muhammad Khan R/o Shagai Saidu Sharif, District Swat [Sepoy No.538883]
Applicant
<u>VERSUS</u>

Commandant Swat Levies/Deputy Commissioner, District Swat."

.....Respondent

<u>AFFIDAVIT</u>

I, Pervez Ahmad (Applicant), do hereby solemnly affirm and declare that the contents of the above titled application are true and correct to the best of my knowledge and belief.

DEPONENT

Pervez Ahmad S/o Taj Muhammad Khan

Sepoy No.538883



BEFORE THE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICE TRIBUNAL, PESHAWAR (CAMP COURT AT SWAT)

Amx,

Service Appeal No. 73/- of 2023

Pervez Ahmad S/o Taj Muhammad Khan R/o Shagai Saidu Sharif, District Swat [Sepoy No.538883].

.Appellant

VERSUS

- 1) Government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa through Chief Secretary, Civil Secretariat at Peshawar.
- 2) Government of Khyber through Secretary Home & Tribal Affairs Department, Civil Secretariat at Peshawar.
- 3) Commandant Swat Levies/Deputy Commissioner, District Swat.

.....Respondents

APPEAL UNDER SECTION 4 OF THE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICE TRIBUNAL ACT, 1974.

PRAYER:

On acceptance of this Appeal, Notifications No. *SO(Police-II)HD/MKD/Levies/Misc./2020 dated 22-03-2021 & No. SO (Police-II)HD/1-3/Federal Levies 2021 dated 21-10-2021 issued by respondent No.2 may be declared as illegal and the same be set aside. Consequently, the appellant may be held entitled to complete his service till attaining 60 years age in light of Notification dated 14-07-2020.

Alternatively, the impugned notifications may be declared to be ineffective upon the rights of the appellant and he may be allowed to complete his service till attaining 60 years of age.

CIC

Service Appeal No.1916/2022 titled "Muhammad Salim Vs. The Covernment of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa through Chief Secretary, Civil Secretariat at Peshawar and others"

ORDER 24th Aug. 2023

Kalim Arshad Khan, Chairman: Through this single order this appeal and all the following connected appeals are being decided as all are against the same impugned Notifications No.SO(Police-II)HD/MKD/Levies/Misc./2020 dated 22.03.2021 and No.SO(Police-II)HD/1-3/FEDERAL LEVIES 2021 dated 20. 10.2021. Appeal Nos:1916/2022, 1917/2022, 1918/2022, 1919/2022, 1920/2022, 1921/2022, 1922/2022, 1923/2022, 1924/2022, 1925/2022, 1926/2022, 1927/2022, 1928/2022, 1929/2022, 1930/2022, 1931/2022, 1932/2022, 1933/2022, 1934/2022, 1935/2022, 1936/2022, 1937/2022, 1938/2022, 1939/2022, 1940/2022, 1941/2022, 1942/2022, 1943/2022, 1944/2022, 1945/2022, 1946/2022, 1947/2022, 1948/2022, 1949/2022, 1950/2022, 1951/2022, 1952/2022, 1953/2022, 1954/2022, 1955/2022, 1956/2022, 1957/2022, 1958/2022, 1959/2022, 1960/2022, 1961/2022, 1962/2022, 1963/2022, 1964/2022, 1965/2022 1966/2022, 1967/2022, 1968/2022, 1969/2022, 1970/2022, 1971/2022, 1972/2022, 1973/2022, 1974/2022, 1975/2022, 1976/2022, 1977/2022, 1978/2022, 1979/2022, 1980/2022, 1981/2022, 1982/2022, 1983/2022, 1984/2022, 1985/2022, 1986/2022, 1987/2022, 1988/2022, 1989/2022, 1990/2022, 1991/2022, 1992/2022, 1993/2022, 1994/2022, 1995/2022, 1996/2022, 1997/2022, 1998/2022, 1999/2022, 2000/2022, 2001/2022, 2002/2022, 2003/2022, 2006/2022, 34/2023, 35/2023, 36/2023, 37/2023, 38/2023, 39/2023, 40/2023, 41/2023, 42/2023, 43/2023, 44/2023, <u> 45/2023, 46/2023, 47/2023,</u>

Page 1

48/2023, 49/2023, 50/2023, 51/2023, 52/2023, 53/2023, 54/2023, 55/2023, 56/2023, 57/2023, 58/2023, 59/2023, 60/2023, 61/2023,

62/2023, 63/2023, 64/2023, 65/2023, 66/2023, 67/2023, 68/2023,

69/2023, 70/2023, 71/2023, 72/2023, 73/2023, 162/2023, 168/2023,

169/2023, 170/2023, 171/2023, 172/2023, 173/2023, 174/2023,

175/2023, 176/2023, 177/2023, 178/2023, 179/2023, 180/2023,

181/2023, 182/2023, 183/2023, 187/2023, 188/2023, 189/2023,

190/2023, 193/2023, 194/2023, 195/2023, 196/2023, 197/2023,

198/2023, 199/2023, 200/2023, 201/2023, 202/2023, 203/2023,

204/2023, 205/2023, 206/2023, 207/2023, 208/2023, 209/2023,

210/2023, 211/2023, 212/2023, 213/2023, 257/2023, 257/2023,

258/2023, 259/2023, 315/2023, 322/2023, 408/2023, 409/2023,

410/2023, 411/2023, 412/2023, 413/2023, 414/2023, 415/2023,

418/2023, 419/2023, 601/2023, 602/2023, 603/2023, 604/2023,

605/2023, 625/2023, 626/2023, 629/2023, 630/2023, 631/2023,

632/2023, 633/2023, 634/2023, 635/2023, 636/2023, 637/2023,

638/2023, 639/2023, 640/2023, 641/2023, 642/2023, 643/2023.

644/2023, 645/2023, 646/2023, 659/2023, 660/2023, 661/2023,

682/2023, 793/2023, 870/2023, 1175/2023, 1258/2023, 1259/2023,

1288/2023, 1289/2023, 1300/2023, 1372/2023 and Service Appeal No.

1538/2023,

2. In some of the appeals learned counsel for the appellants are present while some appellants are in person present. Mr. Muhammad Jan, District Attorney alongwith M/S Liaqat Ali DSP, Hakim Zada

108/2°

EXAMINEN CTC



Superintendent, Muhammad Asim Khan Assistant, Parvez Khan Assistant and Sharif Ullah Assistant for respondents present.

- 3. It is noted with serious concern that nobody from the Home Department put appearance. Copy of this order be thus sent to the Worthy Chief Secretary Khyber Pakhtunkhwa and Worthy Secretary, Home and Tribal Affairs Department, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa for information.
- 4. The matter was heard on more than one dates and could not be decided because of pendency of a CP No.818/2023 before the august Supreme Court of Pakistan. During the course of arguments on some previous dates, Dr. Adnan Khan learned counsel for some of the appellants had informed the Tribunal that the petitioners, who had approached the august Supreme Court of Pakistan, against the judgment of Hon'ble Peshawar High Court, in Writ Petition No.363-M of 2021 dated 29.11.2022, had submitted application for withdrawal of the CP from the august Supreme Court of Pakistan. The august Supreme Court was pleased to dismiss the CP as withdrawn on 07.06.2023. Today, Mr. Taimur Haider, Advocate/counsel for the appellant in Service Appeal No. 162/2023, produced copy of an Act of the Provincial Assembly named "The Provincially Administered Tribal Areas Levies Force (Amendment) Act, 2021" in to which a new section, Section-11 was added, which is reproduced as under:
 - "11. Reinstatement of the levies personnel. --- All levies personnel, who have been retired from the Force, with effect from 22.03.2021, till the commencement of the Provincially Administered Tribal Areas Levies Force (Amendment) Act, 2021 shall be reinstated in the Force as regular employees, with effect from their respective dates of retirement and they shall be deemed as never retired from the Force."

EX MINER Whyber Pakitishes Bervice Tribunal

M. orans

When confronted with the provisions of the newly added Section-11 of the Act of 2021, whereby, all Levies personnel, who had retired from the Force w.e.f 22.03.2021 till the commencement of the Act i.e. 30.11.2021, were reinstated as regular employees w.e.f respective dates of retirement and were deemed to have never retired from the Force, the learned counsel was very fair to say that there was nothing more to be resolved by this Tribunal in these appeals, so is the agreement of other learned counsel as well as appellants present before the Tribunal, because by promulgation of the above Act especially insertion of new Section-11, whereafter, both the impugned Notifications no more remained effective. They, however, contend that even the provisions of the Act were not be complied with/implemented by the respondents. They say they would approach the proper forum for giving effect to/implementation of the provisions of Section-11 of the Act of 2021 and in case their grievances are not addressed in accordance with the terms of the Act, they would recourse to further legal remedies available to them. Disposed of in the

5. Pronounced in open Court at Peshawar and given under our hands and seal of the Tribunal on this 24th day of August, 2023.

above terms. (Copies of this order be placed in all connected appeals).

(Salah-Ud-Din) Member (J)

(Kalim Arshad Khan) Chairman

"Muazem Shah*

irritica softe fure copy

Consign.

ENAMINER

Lhyber Takhtunkhwa

Service Tribunal.

cT.C

کندمت جناب ڈیٹی کمشنر / کمانڈنٹ سوات کیویرضلع سوات عنوان: درخواست مبراد بحالی ملازمت بردئے تھم <u>در حرامی کم بیور کر کی میرا</u> عدالت جناب مردس ٹریبول خیبر پختون خواہ پشاور

> جناب عالی! سائلان ذیل عرض رساں ہے۔ ۱۔ بید کہ سائلان دفتر ہذا میں بخسشت لیو بزسر کارہ ملازم ہے۔ ۲۔ بید کہ سائلان عمر کی وجہ سے یعن 45 سال ریٹائرڈ کئے گئے تھے۔ ۳۔ بید کہ عدالت ٹر بیونل پشاور (کیمپ کورٹ سوات) نے من سائلان کو بحال کئے ہیں۔ ہذکورہ عدالت کا تھم مور خد <u>24/28/48</u> لف ہذاہے۔

لہذابہ منظوری درخواست ہذااستدعاء کیجاتی ہے۔ کہ سائلان کو مذکورہ بالاحکم نامے کے مطابق بحال کرنے اور بقایہ جات ادا کرنے کا حکم صادر فر مایا جائے۔

CERTIFIED TO BE TRUE COPY





JUDGMENT SHEET

PESHAWAR HIGH COURT MINGORA BENCH (Judicial Department)

- 1. <u>W.P. No. 1281-M/2022</u>
- 2. W.P. No. 1283-M/2022

JUDGMENT

Dates of hearing: 23.11.2022

<u>Petitioners: - (Aziz Gul & others) by Barrister</u>

Dr. Adnan Khan, ASC,

Respondents (Govt: of KPK & others) by Mr. Raza-ud-Din Khan Addl: A.G

MUHAMMAD IJAZ KHAN, J.- Through this single judgment, we intend to decide the following two writ petitions, as common questions of law and facts are involved in the same.

- (1) W.P. No. 1281-M of 2022
 Aziz Gul & others v/s Govt: of KPK & others.
- (2) W.P. No. 1283-M of 2022 Muhammad Salim & others v/s Govtvof KPK &
- 2. Precisely the grievances of the petitioners are that they were employees of the Levies Force of District Dir lower and were performing their duties as Sepoy, Lance Naik, Naik and Havaldar when they were retired vide four orders of even dated i.e. 25.03.2021. They further pleaded that after their retirement the provincial assembly has passed an Act with the



J. 03

ctc



name Provincially Administered Tribal Areas Levies Force (Amendment) Act, 2021 (herein

after referred as "Act of 2021") whereby all the employees of the Levies Force who retired from

22.03 2021 till the commencement of Act i.e.


30.11.2021 shall be re-instated in service,

however, the benefit of the aforesaid Act has not

been extended to the petitioners, therefore, they

have approached to this Court through the instant

petitions.



The main grievance of the learned counsel for the petitioners was that since petitioners have got retired within the bracket period as provided under The Act of 2021, therefore, they are entitled for its benefits. He further submits that in case of the colleagues of the petitioners an identical relief has already been granted, therefore, they are also entitled for the same relief in view of the law laid down by the



Hon ble Apex Court in cases reported as 1996

SCMR 1185, 2005 SCMR 499 and 2009 SCMR

page 1.



parties that the present petitioners have got retired on 25.03.2021 as by then those petitioners who were sepy have attained the age of 42 years and those petitioners who were Lance Naik, Naik, and Havaldar have completed three years of service as Lance Naik, Naik and Havaldar, therefore, by operation of The Federal Levies Services (Amended) Rules 2013 R/W notification dated 21.10.2021, the aforesaid criteria has been provided for the retirement of different categories of the employees of Levies Force.

6. It may be noted that after the retirement of the petitioners, the Provincial Assembly has passed The Act of 2021 which has been made applicable with effect from 22.03.2021 and till the commencement of the Act. Since the said Act has been published in the official gazette on 30.11.2021, therefore, the two crucial dates would be 22:03.2021 is the date of applicability of the Act till 30.11.2021 is the

ATTESTED

EXAMPLE A

LONG COUNT

Mingora Darrul Valakand

Sub-Registry Walakand

2.07

ctc

med Negem Apwar

(14)

date of commencement of the Act, where new section i.e. section 11 has been inserted after section 10 in The Provincially Administered. Tribal Areas Levies Force Regulation, 2012, the same being relevant for the present controversy is reproduced below:

1. Short title and commencement... (1) This Act may be called the Provincially Administered Tribal Areas Levies Force (Amendment) Act, 2021

(2) It shall come into force with effect from 22,03,2021

2. Insertion of new section to the Khyber Pakhtunkliwa regulation No. 1 of 2012.— In the Provincially Administered Tribal Areas Levies Rorce Regulation, 2012 (Khyber Pakhtunkliwa Regulation No. 1 of 2012), after section 10 the following new section shall be added, namely:

"11. Re-Instatement of the levies personnel.—All levies personnel, who have been retired from the Force, with effect from 22.03:2021; till lie commencements of the Provincially Administered Tribal Areas Levies Force (Amendment) Act; 2021, shall be reinstated in the Force; as regular employees, with effect from their respective dates of retirement and they shall be deemed as never retired from the Force."

The language of the above Act of 2021 is clear in its meaning qualits applicability as well as the class of employees to whom the benefit of the aforesaid Act could be extended. As stated hereinabove that as per section 1 (2) of the aforesaid Act the same was made applicable from 22.03.2021 and up to the





CIC



A COUNTY OF THE PROPERTY OF TH

commencement of the Act which is 30.11.2021. It further stipulates that all levies personnel who have been retired from the Force with effect from 22.03.2021 till the commencement of The Act 2021 i.e. 30.11.2021 shall be re-instated in the Force as regular employees with effect from their respective dates of retirement and they shall be deemed as never retired from the Force. The language of the aforesaid Act of 2021 fully attracts and benefits to the case of present petitioners who got retired on 25.03.2021, therefore, the respondents were legally bound to extend the benefit of the aforesaid Act of 2021 to the petitioners.

7. Accordingly, both these connected write petitions bearing No. 1281-M of 2022 and 1283-M of 2022 are allowed and the petitioners are re-instated in service of the Levies Force with effect from the date of their retirement and it shall be deemed that they have never been retired and consequently the respondents are directed to issue formal orders of their

ctc

re-instatement in service in light of section 11 of

The Act of 2021. Order accordingly.

ANNOUNCED

JUDGE

JUDGE

Date of Presente son et configure 32 Urger

CERTIFIED TO BE TRUE COPY

Examiner

Finance High Court Mingora/Dar-ul-Qaza Swat

Anorized under Article 57 of Cancon Shalladat order 984

Sub-Registry Malakand





OFFICE OF THE DC MALAKANDI COMMANDANT MALAKAND LEVIES

/LC DATED MALAKAND THE 20/3 12023 Phone: 0932-452080 Fax: 0932-450557

OFFICE ORDER

In Pursuance of the Honorable Peshawar High Court, Mingora Bench, Dar-ul-Qaza, Swat Judgment dated 23-11-2022 passed in C.O.C No.102-M/2022 in W.P. No.1283/2022 in respect of Mr. Muhammad Sallm & Others , the competent authority is pleased to conditionally re-instate the followings Havildars, Naiks, Lance Naik and Sepoys of Malakand Levies subject to the final outcome of the pending CPLA No.46-P/2023 in the august Supreme Court of Pakistan as well as legal guidance of Provincial Government with Immediate effect:-

S.No	ent with Imme	Name	Designation
1.	4222	Muhammad Zeb	Havilder BPS-09
2.	4237	Nawab Sher	Havildar BPS-09
3.	+239	Muhammad Sadiq	Havilda: BPS-09
4.	4251	Shah Muhammad	Havildar BPS-09
	4282	Umar Rehman	Havildar BPS-09
5.	4263	Hassan Diya	Havildar BPS-09
6.		7. L. Chah	Havildar BPS-09
7.	4293	Rahmat Ullah	Havildar BPS-09
8.	4300	Ihsan-ul-Haq	Havildar BPS-09
9.	4302		Havildar BPS-09
10.	4304	Noor Raziq	Havildar BPS-09
11	4314	Sher Dad	Havildar BPS-09
12	4319	Shah Nasim	
13	4320	Muhammad Razaq	Havidar BPS-00
14	. 4324	Noor Hadi	Havildar BPS-09
15	4325	Sabz Ali	Naik BPS-08
10	6. 4331	Gul Rehman	Naik BPS-08
	7. 4338	Amir Nawaz Khan	Nalk BPS-08
1	8. 4342	Umar Jan	Naik BPS-08
	9. 4343	Said Ahmad	Naik BPS-08
1	20. 4353	Sobal Khan	Naik BPS-08
	21. 4370	Aziz Gul	Naik BPS-08
\	22. 4374	Sardar Ali	Naik BPS-08





	•	-
(1	2	3
II	l)
1 /	_	_

23.	4377	Muhammad Salim	Nalk BPS-08
24.	437B	Rahim Gul	Nalk BPS-08
25.	4382	Sami Ullah	Naik of Groot
26.	4391	Murad Khan	Nalk BPS-08
27.	4394	Muhammad Roza	Nalk BPS-08
28.	4400	Wasi Ullah	Nalk BPS-08
29,	4405	Maaz Ullah Khan	Lance Naik BPS-08
30.	4423	Ibrar Hussain	Lance Nalk BPS-08 Lance Nalk BPS-08
31.	4390	Syed Jamai	Lance Naik BPS-08
32.	4407	Rahman Zamin	Lance Nalk BPS-08
33.	4413	Sarwar Shah	Lance Nalk BPS-08
34.	4414	Fazal Mehmood	Lance Nalk BPS-08
35.	4420		Lance Nalk BPS-08
38.	4423	Ibrar Hussain	Lance Nalk BPS-08
37.	4427	Umar Ghani	Lance Nalk BPS-08
38.	4448	Habib-ur-Rehman	Lance Nalk BPS-08
39.	4452	Aziz-ur-Relimen	Lance Naik BPS-08
40.	4457	Noor Zeb	Lance Naik BPS-Q8
41.	4471	Iqbal Hussain	Nell BPS-08
42.			Lance Naik BPS-08
43			Lance Naik BPS-08
44			Lance Naik BPS-08
45	449		
46	449	5 Muhammad Nat	Lance Nalk BPS-08
47	449	6 Asal Khan	
ì	3. 449		Lance Naik BPS-08
ــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــ	9. 44		
l		03 Fazal Haleem h	
		10 Muhammad Za	
i		17 Sald Alam	Sepoy BPS-07
1		18 Sardar Ali	Sepoy BPS-07
·		Haji Rehman	11
· I	l	531 Wahld Shah	Sepay BP8-07
-	56. 4	532 Sardar Ghani	Sepoy BPS-07
-	57. 4	533 Amjad Ali	Sepoy BPS-07
.	58. 4	559 Latif Khan	Sepay BPS-07
	59.	1584 Muhammad I	mran Sepoy BPS-07

CTC

			Sepay ROS 07
60.	4590	Lai Faraz	Sepoy BPS-07
61.	4593	Bashir Muhammad	Sepoy BPS-07
62.	4602	Salid Hussain	Sepoy BPS-07
63.	4610	Mukhliyar Ahmad	Sepoy BPS-07
64.	4813	Imdad Ullah	Sepoy BPS-07
65.	4980	Khyal Badshah	Sepoy BPS-07
60.	4996	Muhammad Illikhar	Sepoy BPS-07
67.	5057	Bakht Naeem	Sepoy BPS-07
68.	5258	Saad Ullah	Sepoy BPS-07
69.	5396	Sawar Khan	Sepoy BPS-07
70.	5434	Imtiaz Alam	Sepoy BPS-07
71.	5897	Fazal Mehmood	Sepoy BPS-07
72.	5926	Muhammad Farooq	Sepoy BPS-07
73.	6302	Muhammad Zeb Khan	Sepoy Br 3-01

The conditional re-instatement of the appellants will be subject to production of bonds with 02-guarantors in respect of each appellant through stamp paper that outcome of the CPLA in the apex Supreme Court if not upheld the Peshawar High Court, Mingora Bench, Dar-ul-Qoza, Swat Judgment, all availed benefits thereof will have to be re-funded in lump sum.

Furthermore, the intervening period from their retirement fill the Pashawar High Court, Mingora Bench. Dar-ul-Qoza Swat decision/Judgment in Their appeals will be considered as leave of its kind.

> DC MALAKANDICOMMANDANT MALAKAND LEVIES MALAKAND

No, Copy tonwarded to the:

Copy tonwarded to the:

Secretary, Home & TA's Department, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar.
 Commissioner, Malakand Division at Saidu Sharif, Swat.

3. Registrar, Peshawar High Court, Mingora Bench, Dar-ul-Qaza, Swat.

4. Additional Advocate General, Peshawar High Court, Mingora Bench, Darul-Qaza, Swat.

For information, please.

5. District Accounts Officer, Malakand.

Subedar Major, Malakand Levies.

7. Official concerned. For information & necessary action.

> DC MALAKANDICOMMANDANT MALAKAND LEVIES MALAKAND

رور لحد السن فيبر پخونخواسروس فركيول پيثاور/سوات كيمپ كورك بنام دُینی کمیسنرسوات مورخہ کی کو میں Execution. باعث تحريرا نكه مقدمه مندرج عنوان بالامیں اپنی طرف سے داسطے پیروی وجواب دہی وکل کا روائی متعلقه آن مقام بشاور رئي بول كيب كورث سوات كيليح بير سرعد تان فان ASC عمر صادق الدوكيث مقرر کرے اقرار کیا جاتا ہے کہ صاحب موصوف کومقدمہ کی کل کاروائی کا کامل اختياط موگا ينزوكيل صاحب كوراضي نامه وتقرر ثالث وفيصله برحلف دين جواب دی اورا قبال دعوی اور درخواست ہرفتم کی تصدیق زراوراس پر دستخط کڑنے کا اختیار ہوگا۔ نیز بصورت عدم پیردی یا ڈگری ایک طرف یا اپیل کی برامد ہوگی اورمنسوخ مذکور کے نسل یا جرّوی کاروائی کے واسطےاوروکیل یا مختار قانونی کواپنی ہمراہ یااپنی بجائے تقر رکااختیار ہوگا۔ اورصاحب مقرره شده كوئهمي جمله مذكوره بالااختيارات حاصل هوئنكے اوراسكاساخته برواختة منظور وقبول ہوگا۔اور دوران مقدمہ میں جوخر چہو ہرجانہ التوایے مقدمہ کے سبب سے ہوگا اسکے ستی وکیل صاحب ہو نگے۔ نیز بقایا وخرچہ کی وصولی کرتے وفتت کابھی اختیار ہوگا اگر کوئی تاریخ پیشی مقام دورہ ہر ہویا حدسے باہر ہوتو وکیل هما حب پایندنه هو نگے کی پیروی مقدمه ندکورلهذا و کالت نامه لکھ دیاک سندر بمقام كيمث كورث سوار